AGENDA ESCAMBIA COUNTY PLANNING BOARD QUASI-JUDICIAL HEARING February 5, 2019–8:30 a.m. Escambia County Central Office Complex 3363 West Park Place, Room 104

- 1. Call to Order.
- 2. Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.
- 3. Proof of Publication and Waive the Reading of the Legal Advertisement.
- 4. Approval of Minutes.
 - A. **<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>**: That the Planning Board review and approve the Meeting Resume' Minutes of the January 8, 2019 Planning Board Rezoning Meeting.
- 5. Acceptance of Rezoning Planning Board Meeting Packet.
- 6. Quasi-judicial Process Explanation.
- 7. Public Hearings.

A. Case #: Z-2019-02
Applicant: Wiley C. "Buddy" Page, Agent for Slavoljub & Margaret Djuric, Owner
Address: 12960 Lillian Highway & 12400 Blk Lillian Highway
Property 0.17 (+/-) acres and 0.11 (+/-) acres
Size:
From: MDR, Medium Density Residential district (10 du/acre)
TO: HDMU, High Density Mixed-Use district (25 du/acre)

B. Case #: Z-2019-03 Applicant: Kerry Anne Schultz, Agent for James D. Homyak, Ashland Avenue, LLC.

| Address: | 8662 Ashland Avenue |
|-------------------|---|
| Property Size: | 4.76 (+/-) acres |
| From: | MDR, Medium Density Residential district (10 du/acre) |
| To: | HDMU, High Density Mixed-use district (25 du/acre) |

8. Adjournment.



Planning Board-Rezoning

Meeting Date: 02/05/2019

Agenda Item:

RECOMMENDATION: That the Planning Board review and approve the Meeting Resume' Minutes of the January 8, 2019 Planning Board Rezoning Meeting.

Attachments

Draft January 8, 2019 Planning Board Rezoning Meeting Minutes

4. A.



RESUMÉ OF THE ESCAMBIA COUNTY PLANNING BOARD QUASI-JUDICIAL REZONING January 8, 2019

CENTRAL OFFICE COMPLEX 3363 WEST PARK PLACE, BOARD CHAMBERS PENSACOLA, FLORIDA (8:30 A.M. – 9:50 A.M.) (9:55 A.M. - 10:25 A.M.)

- Present: Reid Rushing Jay Ingwell Timothy Pyle Patty Hightower Alan Gray Eric Fears William Clay Absent: Wayne Briske, Chairman
 - Stephen Opalenik
- Staff Present: Allyson Cain, Urban Planner, Planning & Zoning Andrew Holmer, Division Manager, Planning & Zoning Horace Jones, Director, Development Services John Fisher, Senior Urban Planner, Planning & Zoning Juan Lemos, Senior Planner, Planning & Zoning Meredith Crawford, Assistant County Attorney
- 1. Call to Order.
- 2. Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.
- 3. Proof of Publication and Waive the Reading of the Legal Advertisement.

Motion by Alan Gray, Seconded by Reid Rushing

Motion was made to approve the proof of publication and to waive the reading of the legal advertisement.

Vote: 5 - 0 Approved

Other: Wayne Briske (ABSENT) William Clay (ABSENT)

- 4. Approval of Minutes.
 - A. **<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>**: That the Planning Board review and approve the Meeting Resume' Minutes of the December 4, 2018 Planning Board Rezoning Meeting.

Motion by Reid Rushing, Seconded by Eric Fears

Motion was made to approve the Rezoning Planning Board meeting minutes from December 4, 2018.

Vote: 5 - 0 Approved

Other: Wayne Briske (ABSENT) William Clay (ABSENT) 5. Acceptance of Rezoning Planning Board Meeting Packet.

Motion by Alan Gray, Seconded by Jay Ingwell

Motion was made to accept the Rezoning Planning Board meeting packet for January 8, 2019.

Vote: 5 - 0 Approved

Other: Wayne Briske (ABSENT) William Clay (ABSENT)

- 6. Quasi-judicial Process Explanation.
- 7. Public Hearings.

Α.

| Case #: Applicant: | Z-2018-17 Wiley C. "Buddy" Page, agent for RNL Investment Group, LLC, Owner |
|-----------------------|--|
| Address: | 8891 Burning Tree Rd |
| Property Size: | 3.52 (+/-) acres and 7.56 (+/-) acres |
| | Northern Portion: |
| From: | Rec, Recreation district (du density limited to vested development) |
| То: | MDR, Mediuim Density residential (10 du/acre) |
| | Southern Portion: |
| From: | Rec, Recreation district (du density limited to vested development) |
| То: | Com, Commercial district (25 du/acre) |

Alan Gray and Tim Pyle acknowledged visiting the site.

No planning board member acknowledged any ex parte communication regarding this item.

No planning board member abstained from voting on this matter due to any conflict of interest.

Motion by Alan Gray, Seconded by Jay Ingwell

Motion was made to accept Exhibit 1, Jason Widman's letter from his attorney as hearsay.

Vote: 6 - 0 Approved

Other: Wayne Briske (ABSENT)

Motion by Eric Fears, Seconded by Alan Gray

Motion was made to recommend approval to the BCC, finding exception to the Staff's Findings on Criteria B and C, and accepting the rebuttals by Mr. Page's facts.

Vote: 6 - 0 Approved

Other: Wayne Briske (ABSENT)

Case #: Z-2019-01 Applicant: Terramore Development, LLC, Agent for Steven and Carrin Buttrick, Owner Portion of 149 Highway 97 Address: South Property 1.66 (+/-) acres Size: From: LDR, Low Density Residential district (4 du/acre) To: Com, Commercial district (25 du/acre)

No planning board member acknowledged visiting the site.

No planning board member acknowledged any ex parte communication regarding this item.

No planning board member abstained from voting on this matter due to any conflict of interest.

Motion by Jay Ingwell, Seconded by Alan Gray

Motion was made to recommend approval to the BCC, based on the five approval conditions.

Vote: 6 - 0 Approved

Other: Wayne Briske (ABSENT)

8. Adjournment.

Β.

| Planning Board-Rezoning | | 7. A. |
|-------------------------|---|--------------|
| Meeting Date: | 02/05/2019 | |
| CASE : | Z-2019-02 | |
| APPLICANT: | Wiley C. "Buddy" Page, Agent for Slavoljub & Marga Owner | aret Djuric, |
| ADDRESS: | 12960 Lillian Highway & 12400 Blk Lillian Highway | |
| PROPERTY REF. NO.: | 02-2S-32-1001-030-010 & 02-2S-32-1001-043-010 | |
| FUTURE LAND USE: | MU-S, Mixed-Use Suburban | |
| DISTRICT: | 1 | |
| OVERLAY DISTRICT: | N/A | |
| BCC MEETING DATE: | 03/07/2019 | |

SUBMISSION DATA: REQUESTED REZONING:

FROM: MDR, Medium Density Residential district (10 du/acre)

TO: HDMU, High Density Mixed-Use district (25 du/acre)

RELEVANT AUTHORITY:

- (1) Escambia County Comprehensive Plan
- (2) Escambia County Land Development Code

(3) Board of County Commissioners of Brevard County v. Snyder, 627 So. 2d 469 (Fla. 1993)

(4) Resolution 96-34 (Quasi-judicial Proceedings)

(5) Resolution 96-13 (Ex-parte Communications)

APPROVAL CONDITIONS

Criterion a., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Consistent with Comprehensive Plan

The proposed zoning is consistent with the future land use (FLU) category as prescribed in LDC Chapter 3, and with all other applicable goals, objectives, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan. If the rezoning is required to properly enact a proposed FLU map amendment transmitted for state agency review, the proposed zoning is consistent with the proposed FLU and conditional to its adoption.

Comprehensive Plan (CPP) FLU 1.1.1 Development Consistency. New development and redevelopment in unincorporated Escambia County shall beconsistent with the Escambia County Comprehensive Plan and the Future LandUse Map (FLUM).

CPP FLU 1.3.1 Future Land Use Category The Mixed-Use Suburban (MU-S) Future Land

Use (FLU) category is intended for a mix of residential and nonresidential uses while promoting compatible infill development and theseparation of urban and suburban land uses. Range of allowable uses include: Residential, Retail and Services, Professional Office, Recreational Facilities, Public and Civic. The maximum residential density is twenty five dwelling units per acre.

CPP FLU 1.5.1 New Development and Redevelopment in Built Areas. To promote the efficient use of existing public roads, utilities and service infrastructure, the County will encourage redevelopment in underutilized properties to maximize development densities and intensities located in the Mixed Use-Suburban, Mixed Use-Urban, Commercial and Industrial Future Land Use districts categories (with the exception of residential development).

CPP FLU 2.1.2 Compact Development. To promote compact development, FLUM amendments and residential rezonings to allow higher residential densities may be may be allowed in the Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U) and Mixed-Use Suburban (MU-S) future land use categories.

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment to HDMU **is consistent** with the intent and purpose of FLU category MU-S, as stated in CPP FLU 1.3.1. The current FLU allows for residential and non-residential uses while promoting compatible infill development and the separation of urban and suburban land uses. The proposed development will use the existing roads and infrastructure.

Criterion b., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Consistent with The Land Development Code

The proposed zoning is consistent with the purpose and intent and with any other zoning establishment provisions prescribed by the proposed district in Chapter 3.

MDR, Medium Density Residential.

Purpose. The Medium Density Residential (MDR) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for residential uses at medium densities within suburban or urban areas. The primary intent of the district is to provide for residential neighborhood development in an efficient urban pattern of well-connected streets and at greater dwelling unit density than the Low Density Residential district. Residential uses within the MDR district are limited to single-family and two-family dwellings. The district allows non-residential uses that are compatible with suburban and urban residential neighborhoods.

HDMU, High Density Mixed-Use

(a) **Purpose.** The High Density Mixed-use (HDMU) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for a complimentary mix of high density residential uses and compatible non-residential uses within urban areas. The primary intent of the district is to provide for a mix of neighborhood retail sales, services and professional offices with greater dwelling unit density and diversity than the Low Density Mixed-use district. Additionally, the HDMU district is intended to rely on urban street connectivity and encourage vertical mixes of commercial and residential uses within the same building to accommodate a physical pattern of development characteristic of village main streets and older neighborhood commercial areas. Residential uses within the district include all forms of single-family, two-family and multi-family dwellings.

(b) Permitted uses. Permitted uses within the HDMU district are limited to the following; (1) Residential. The following residential uses are allowed throughout the district, but if within a Commercial (C) future land use category they are permitted only if part of a predominantly commercial development.

a. Group living, excluding dormitories, fraternity and sorority houses, and residential facilities providing substance abuse treatment, post-incarceration reentry, or similar services.

b. Manufactured (mobile) homes, including manufactured home subdivisions, but excluding new or expanded manufactured home parks.

c. Single-family dwellings (other than manufactured homes), detached or attached, including townhouses and zero lot line subdivisions.

d. Two-family and multi-family dwellings. See also conditional uses in this district.

(2) Retail sales. Small-scale (gross floor area 6000 sq.ft. or less per lot) retail sales,

including Low-THC marijuana dispensing facilities, sales of beer and wine, but excluding sales of liquor, automotive fuels, or motor vehicles, and excluding permanent outdoor storage, display, or sales. See also conditional uses in this district.

(3) Retail services. The following small-scale (gross floor area 6000 sq.ft. or less per lot) retail services, excluding outdoor work or permanent outdoor storage:

a. Bed and breakfast inns.

b. Boarding and rooming houses.

c. Child care facilities.

d. Personal services, including those of beauty shops, health clubs, pet groomers, dry cleaners, and tattoo parlors.

e. Professional services, including those of realtors, bankers, accountants, engineers, architects, dentists, physicians, and attorneys.

f. Repair services, including appliance repair, furniture refinishing and upholstery, watch and jewelry repair, small engine and motor services, but excluding major motor vehicle or boat service or repair.

g. Restaurants, and brewpubs, including on-premises consumption of alcoholic beverages, but excluding drive-in or drive-through service and brewpubs with distribution of alcoholic beverages for off-site sales.

See also conditional uses in this district.

Public and civic.

a. Preschools and kindergartens.

b. Emergency service facilities, including law enforcement, fire fighting, and medical assistance.

c. Foster care facilities.

d. Places of worship.

e. Public utility structures, excluding telecommunications towers.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(5) Recreation and entertainment.

a. Marinas, private only.

b. Parks without permanent restrooms or outdoor event lighting.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(6) Industrial and related. No industrial or related uses.

(7) Agricultural and related. Agricultural production limited to food primarily for personal consumption by the producer, but no farm animals.

(8) Other uses. [Reserved]

(c) Conditional uses. Through the conditional use process prescribed in Chapter 2, the BOA may conditionally allow the following uses within the HDMU district:

(1) Residential.

a. Dormitories.

b. Fraternity and sorority houses.

c. Manufactured (mobile) home parks.

(2) Retail sales. Medium-scale (gross floor area greater than 6000 sq.ft. per lot, but no greater than 35,000 sq.ft.) retail sales, including sales of beer and wine and automotive fuels, but excluding sales of motor vehicles and liquor, and excluding permanent outdoor storage, display, or sales.

Retail services.

a. Medium-scale (gross floor area greater than 6000 sq. ft. per lot, but no greater than 35,000 sq. ft.) retail services, excluding motor vehicle service and repair.

b. Restaurants and brewpubs with drive-in or drive-through service and brewpubs with the distribution of on-premises produced alcoholic beverages for off-site sales.

c. Small-scale (gross floor area 6000 sq.ft. or less per lot) major motor vehicle service and repair, excluding painting or body work and outdoor work.

Public and civic.

a. Broadcast stations with satellite dishes and antennas, excluding towers.

b. Cemeteries, including family cemeteries.

c. Clubs, civic and fraternal.

d. Community service facilities, including auditoriums, libraries, museums, and neighborhood centers. e. Cinerators.

f. Educational facilities not among the permitted uses of the district.

g. Funeral establishments.

h. Hospitals.

i. Offices for government agencies or public utilities.

j. Public utility structures exceeding the district structure height limit and

telecommunications towers of any height, excluding any industrial uses.

k. Warehousing or maintenance facilities for government agencies or public utilities.

(5) Recreation and entertainment.

a. Amusement arcade centers and bingo facilities.

b. Golf courses, tennis centers, swimming pools and similar active outdoor recreational facilities, including associated country clubs.

c. Parks with permanent restrooms or outdoor event lighting.

(6) Industrial and related. Microbreweries, microdistilleries, and microwineries

(7) Agricultural and related.

a. Horses or other domesticated equines kept on site, and stables for such animals, only as a private residential accessory with a minimum lot area of two acres and a maximum of one animal per acre.

b. Veterinary clinics.

(8) Other uses.

a. Self-storage facilities with a maximum lot area of one acre and outdoor storage limited to operable motor vehicles and boats. No vehicle rental.

b. Structures of permitted uses exceeding the district structure height limit, excluding

telecommunications towers.

(d) Site and building requirements. The following site and building requirements apply to uses within the HDMU district:

(1) Density. A maximum density of 25 dwelling units per acre.

(2) Floor area ratio. A maximum floor area ratio of 1.0 within the Commercial (C) future land use category and 2.0 within Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U).

(3) Structure height. A maximum structure height of 150 feet above highest adjacent grade.

(4) Lot area. No minimum lot area unless prescribed by use.

(5) Lot width. Except for cul-de-sac lots which shall provide a minimum lot width of 20 feet at the street right-of-way, the following minimum lot widths are required:

a. Single-family detached. Forty feet at the street right-of-way for single-family detached dwellings.

b. Two-family. Eighty feet at the street right-of-way for two-family dwellings.

c. Multi-family and other. Eighty feet at the street right-of-way for multi-family dwellings, boarding or rooming houses, or townhouse groups. No minimum lot width required by zoning for other uses.

(6) Lot coverage. Minimum pervious lot coverage of 20 percent (80 percent maximum semi-impervious and impervious cover) for all uses.

(7) Structure setbacks. For all principal structures, minimum setbacks are:

a. Front and rear. Twenty feet in the front and 15 feet in the rear.

b. Sides. Ten feet on each side of a group of attached townhouses. On each side of all other structures, 10 feet or 10 percent of the lot width at the street right-of-way,

whichever is less, but at least five feet. For structures exceeding 35 feet above highest adjacent grade, an additional two feet for each additional 10 feet in height, but not required to exceed 15 feet.

c. Corner lots. Will have one front setback and one side setback.

(8) Other requirements. Refer to chapters 4 and 5 for additional development regulations and standards.

(e) Location criteria.

All new non-residential uses proposed within the HDMU district that are not part of a predominantly residential development or a planned unit development, or are not identified as exempt by district regulations, shall be on parcels that satisfy at least one of the following location criteria:

(1) Proximity to intersection. Along an arterial or collector street and within 200 feet of an intersection with another arterial or collector.

(2) Proximity to traffic generator. Along an arterial or collector street and within a one-quarter mile radius of an individual traffic generator of more than 600 daily trips, such as an apartment complex, military base, college campus, hospital, shopping mall or similar generator.

(3) Infill development. Along an arterial or collector street, in an area where already established non-residential uses are otherwise consistent with the HDMU district, and where the new use would constitute infill development of similar intensity as the conforming development on surrounding parcels. Additionally, the location would promote compact development and not contribute to or promote strip commercial development.

(4) Site design. Along an arterial street **and at the intersection** with a local street that serves to connect the arterial street to another arterial, and all of the following site design

conditions:

a. Any intrusion into a recorded residential subdivision is limited to a corner lot.

b. Access and stormwater management is shared with adjoining uses or properties to the extent practicable.

c. Adverse impacts to any adjoining residential uses are minimized by placing the more intensive elements of the use, such as solid waste dumpsters and truck loading/unloading areas, furthest from the residential uses.

(5) Documented compatibility. A compatibility analysis prepared by the applicant provides competent substantial evidence of unique circumstances regarding the parcel or use that were not anticipated by the alternative criteria, and the proposed use will be able to achieve long-term compatibility with existing and potential uses. Additionally, the following conditions exist:

a. The parcel has not been rezoned by the landowner from the mixed-use, commercial, or industrial zoning assigned by the county.

b. If the parcel is within a county redevelopment district, the use will be consistent with the district's adopted redevelopment plan, as reviewed and recommended by the Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA).

(f) Rezoning to HDMU. High Density Mixed-use zoning may be established only within the Mixed-Use Suburban (MU-S), Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U), or Commercial (C) future land use categories. The district is suitable for areas where the intermixing of uses has been the custom, where future uses are uncertain, and some redevelopment is probable. The district is appropriate to provide transitions between areas zoned or used for medium or high density residential and areas zoned or used for commercial. Rezoning to HDMU is subject to the same location criteria as any new non-residential use proposed within the HDMU district.

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment to HDMU **is not** consistent with the intent and purpose of the Land Development Code (LDC). From a strict review of the locational criteria as stated above, the site does not meet the following required criteria as listed: proximity to intersection, proximity to traffic generator, and site design, however, the subject properties are adjacent to and surrounded by HDMU zoned parcels and the parcels currently have access from Lillian Hwy. The applicant provided supporting documentation for both parcels to address location criteria per the LDC requirements.

Criterion c., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Compatible with surrounding uses

All the permitted uses of the proposed zoning, not just those anticipated by the rezoning applicant, are compatible, as defined in Chapter 6, with the surrounding uses. The uses of any surrounding undeveloped land shall be considered the permitted uses of the applicable district. Compatibility is not considered with potential conditional uses or with any nonconforming or unapproved uses. Also, in establishing the compatibility of a residential use, there is no additional burden to demonstrate the compatibility of specific residents or activities protected by fair housing law.

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment is compatible with surrounding existing uses in the area. Within the 500' ft radius area, staff identified properties within zoning districts MDR and HDMU. Both parcels are currently surrounded by HDMU zoning and the proposed development will be consistent with similar densities and intensities in the area. One parcel currently has a dentist office on site; any future development of the adjoining parcel will be reviewed through the Site Plan Review Process.

Criterion d., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Appropriate if spot zoning

Where the proposed zoning would establish or reinforce a condition of spot zoning as defined in Chapter 6, the isolated district would nevertheless be transitional in character between the adjoining districts, or the differences with those districts would be minor or sufficiently limited. The extent of these mitigating characteristics or conditions demonstrates an appropriate site specific balancing of interests between the isolated district and adjoining lands. **As per LDC Chapter 6, Spot Zoning is:** *Zoning applied to an area of land, regardless of its size, that is different from the zoning of all contiguous land. Such isolated or "spot" zoning is usually higher in its density or intensity of use than the adjoining zoning and may, therefore, extend privileges not generally extended to property similarly located in the area. Spot zoning is not by itself prohibited, but due to its potentially adverse impacts on adjoining zoning it carries a higher burden of demonstration that, if authorized, it will contribute to or result in logical and orderly development.*

FINDINGS

Based on the LDC language, the requested ammendment **would not** be considered to be spot zoning as the adjoining parcels are currently zoned HDMU.

Criterion e., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Appropriate with changed or changing conditions

If the land uses or development conditions within the area surrounding the property of rezoning have changed, the changes are to such a degree and character that it is in the public interest to allow new uses, density, or intensity in the area through rezoning; and the permitted uses of the proposed district are appropriate and not premature for the area or likely to create or contribute to sprawl.

FINDINGS

The land uses or development conditions within the area surrounding the property of rezoning **have not** changed due to new developments or land use changes. The proposed rezoning to HDMU will share the same allowable uses and intensities as the adjoining parcels.

Working Case File

Z-2019-02





























Wiley C. "Buddy" Page, MPA, APA Professional Growth Management Services, LLC 5337 Hamilton Lane Pace, Florida 32571 Cell 850.232.9853 budpagel@att.net

> December 13,2018 VIA HAND DELIVERY

Mr. Horace Jones, Director Department of Growth Management 3363 West Park Avenue Pensacola, Florida 32505

| RE: | Rezoning request: MDR to HDMU |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|
| Parcel: | 02-25-32-1001-030-010 & |
| | 02-25-32-1001-043-010 |
| Owner: | Dr. S. & Margaret Djuric |
| Address: | 129 5 0 Lillian Hwy Pensacola |

Dear Mr. Jones:

The attached application requests Planning Board consideration to rezone the referenced parcels from **MDR** to **HDMU** classification. The lots are located on Lillian Highway which is classified by the FDOT as a Principal Arterial Urban roadway facility (see FDOT map enclosed).

This classification should satisfy those requirements at LDC Sec. 3-2.9(e)(30) Infill **Development** wherein requiring new development to be located along an arterial roadway, and not promoting strip commercial development.

The application contains the required filing fee together with additional information regarding location, proof of ownership and referenced materials. Please contact me if you have any questions or require anything further. Thank you.

truly yours,



Escambia County Planning and Zoning

Development Services Department 3363 West Park Place Pensacola, FL 32505 Phone: (850) 595-3475 • Fax: (850) 595-3481 http://myescambia.com/business/ds

| Rezoning Application FOR OFFICE USE ONLY - Case Number: 2-2019-02 Accepted by: <u>Aludsay</u> PB Meeting: 2/5/19 | | | | |
|--|-----|--|--|--|
| 1. <u>Contact Information:</u> | | | | |
| | A. | Property Owner/Applicant: Slavoljub and Margaret Djuric | | |
| | | Mailing Address: 12960 Lillian Highway Pensacola, Florida 32506 | | |
| | | Business Phone: 850-542-4428 Cell: 850-712-4980 | | |
| | | Email: margaretdjuric@gmail.com | | |
| | B. | Authorized Agent (if applicable): Wiley C."Buddy" Page | | |
| | | Mailing Address: 5337 Hamilton Lane Pace, Florida 32571 | | |
| | | Business Phone: Cell: 850-232-9853 | | |
| | | Email: budpage1@att.net | | |
| | | Note: Owner must complete the attached Agent Affidavit. If there is more than one owner, each owner must | | |
| | | complete an Agent Affidavit. Application will be voided if changes to this application are found. | | |
| 2. | Pro | operty Information: | | |
| | Α. | Existing Street Address: 12960 Lillian Highway Pensacola, Florida 32506 | | |
| | | Parcet ID (s): | | |
| | | 02-2S-32-1001-030-010 & 02-2S-32-1001-043-010 | | |
| | | | | |
| | B. | Total acreage of the subject property: 0.298ac | | |
| | C. | Existing Zoning: MDR | | |
| | | Proposed Zoning: <u>HD/MU</u> ; explain why necessary and/or appropriate | | |
| | | Medical offices not a listed use within the MDR category while it is within HD/MU. | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

FLU Category: MU-S

- E. Sanitary Sewer: _____ Septic: X

3. Amendment Request

Approval conditions. The applicant has the burden of presenting competent substantial evidence to the reviewing board establishing that the requested zoning district would contribute to or result in a logical and orderly development pattern. The appropriate surrounding area within which uses and conditions must be considered may vary with those uses and conditions and is not necessarily the same area required for mailed notification. A logical and orderly pattern shall require demonstration of each of the following conditions:

Please address ALL the following approval conditions for your rezoning request. (use

supplement sheets as needed)

a. Consistent with Comprehensive Plan. The proposed zoning is consistent with the future land use (FLU) category as prescribed in LDC Chapter 3, and with all other applicable goals, objectives, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan. If the rezoning is required to properly enact a proposed FLU map amendment transmitted for state agency review, the proposed zoning is consistent with the proposed FLU and conditional to its adoption.

As depicted on Attachment A herein, the requested HD/MU is shown as being consistent with the Mix Use Surburban Future Land Use Category found at LDC Sec. 3-1.3.

b. Consistent with zoning district provisions. The proposed zoning is consistent with the purpose and intent and with any other zoning establishment provisions prescribed by the proposed district in Chapter 3

LDC Sec. 3-2.9(b)(3)d establishes areas for a complimentary mix of residential uses and compatible non-residential uses in urban areas. This stated purpose is consistent with the requested change and use of the subject property.

c. Compatible with surroundings. All of the permitted uses of the proposed zoning, not just those anticipated by the rezoning applicant, are compatible, as defined in Chapter 6, with the surrounding uses. The uses of any surrounding undeveloped land shall be considered the permitted uses of the applicable district. Compatibility is not considered with potential conditional uses or with any nonconforming or unapproved uses. Also, in establishing the compatibility of a residential use, there is no additional burden to demonstrate the compatibility of specific residents or activities protected by fair housing law.

Compatibility is assured in theat the requested HDMU category will be consistent with all adjacent properties because these adjacent properties are currently zoned HDMU, which is the same category as is being requested herewith.

d. Appropriate if spot zoning. Where the proposed zoning would establish or reinforce a condition of spot zoning as defined in Chapter 6, the isolated district would nevertheless be transitional in character between the adjoining districts, or the differences with those districts would be minor or sufficiently limited. The extent of these mitigating characteristics or conditions demonstrates an appropriate site-specific balancing of interests between the isolated district and adjoining lands.

As per LDC Chapter 6, Spot Zoning is: Zoning applied to an area of land, regardless of its size, that is different from the zoning of all contiguous land. Such isolated or "spot" zoning is usually higher in its density or intensity of use than the adjoining zoning and may, therefore, extend privileges not generally extended to property similarly located in the area. Spot zoning is not by itself prohibited, but due to its potentially adverse impacts on adjoining zoning it carries a higher burden of demonstration that, if authorized, it will contribute to or result in logical and orderly development

This request will not result in an isolated district; rather, it will provide an infill resulting in compatibility with all adjacent properties because all will share the same zoning catrgory.

e. Appropriate with changed or changing conditions. If the land uses or development conditions within the area surrounding the property of rezoning have changed, the changes are to such a degree and character that it is in the public interest to allow new uses, density, or intensity in the area through rezoning; and the permitted uses of the proposed district are appropriate and not premature for the area or likely to create or contribute to sprawl.

These properties front on Highway 98 which continues to show increased traffic densities as shown on Attachment B Traffic Counts. One of the lots contains the owners dental offices where he has practiced since 1998. If approved by the Planning Board, the owner plans to expand the building for offices for his son who is a chiopractor. These proposed changes are a result of overall increase in residental housing in the area and the increasing need for more dental and chiopractic services to the area.

4. Please complete the following Forms: Concurrency Determination Acknowledgement and Affidavit of Owner/Limited Power of Attorney (if applicable).

CONCURRENCY DETERMINATION ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Property Reference Number(s): 02-25-32-1001-030-010/02-25-32-1001-043-010 ENSGEOLG, FL 32506 Property Address: 12960 MLANAN HINY

I/We acknowledge and agree that no future development for which concurrency of required facilities and services must be certified shall be approved for the subject parcel(s) without the issuance of a certificate of concurrency for the development based on the actual densities and intensities proposed in the future development's permit application.

I/We also acknowledge and agree that approval of a zoning district amendment (rezoning) or Future Land Use Map amendment does not certify, vest, or otherwise guarantee that concurrency of required facilities and services is, or will be, available for any future development of the subject parcels.

I/We further acknowledge and agree that no development for which concurrency must be certified shall be approved unless at least one of the following minimum conditions of the Comprehensive Plan will be met for each facility and service of the County's concurrency management system prior to development approval:

a. The necessary facilities or services are in place at the time a development permit is issued.

- b. A development permit is issued subject to the condition that the necessary facilities and services will be in place and available to serve the new development at the time of the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.
- c. For parks and recreation facilities and roads, the necessary facilities are under construction at the time the development permit is issued.
- d. For parks and recreation facilities, the necessary facilities are the subject of a binding executed contract for the construction of the facilities at the time the development permit is issued and the agreement requires that facility construction must commence within one year of the issuance of the development permit.
- e. The necessary facilities and services are guaranteed in an enforceable development agreement. An enforceable development agreement may include, but is not limited to, development agreements pursuant to Section 163.3220, F.S., or as amended, or an agreement or development order issued pursuant to Chapter 380, F.S., or as amended. For wastewater, solid waste, potable water, and stormwater facilities, any such agreement will guarantee the necessary facilities and services to be in place and available to serve the new development at the time of the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.
- f. For roads, the necessary facilities needed to serve the development are included in the first three years of the applicable Five-Year Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Work Program or are in place or under actual construction no more than three years after the issuance of a County development order or permit.

I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE THAT I HAVE READ, UNDERSTAND AND AGREE WITH THE ABOVE STATEMENT ON THIS d DAY OF OCTOYLES, YEAR OF 2018

Signature of Prope

Signature of ronerty Owner

SLAVOLJUB DJURIC Printed Name of Property Owner

<u>10/22</u>/18 Date <u>10/27</u>/18

MARGARET DJURIC Printed Name of Property Owne

- 5 -

AFFIDAVIT OF OWNER AND LIMITED POWER OF ATTORNEY

(if applicable)

As owner of the property located at <u>12960 AILLIAN HWY, PENSACOLA</u>, FL 32506 <u>PENSACOLA</u>, Florida, property reference number(s)<u>02-25-32-1001-030-010</u> **+02-25-32-1001-043-019** hereby designate <u>W.C. ISUDITY FAGE</u> for the sole purpose of completing this application and making

a presentation to the Planning Board and the Board of County Commissioners to request a rezoning on the above referenced property. This Limited Power of Attorney is granted on this 16 day of NOV the year of, 2018, and is effective until the Board of County Commissioners or the Board of Adjustment has rendered a decision on this request and any appeal period has expired. The owner reserves the right to rescind this Limited Power of Attorney at any time with a written, notarized notice to the Development Services Bureau.

Degeleatt-net Phone: 232853 JAIC USV. 16, VOIP LIC <u>Date</u> <u>11/16/18</u> Agent Name: Email: Address: Ya Cu AVOLIUR JUHIC Printed Name of Property Owner e of Property Owner MARCARET NJURIC ihih Signature of Property Owner

Printed Name of Property Owner

STATE OF <u>Florid</u> COUNTY OF <u>Escumbia</u> The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this <u>16</u> day of <u>Novembra</u> by <u>Slevoljub Diurie</u>, Margaret Diurie Personally Known DR Produced Identification Type of Identification Produced: Florida Drivers License Florida Drivers License James C. Gadesm

Printed Name of Notar

(Notary Seal)

JAMES C. GODWIN NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF FLORIDA Comm# GG141178 Expires 9/11/2021

- 6 -

5. Submittal Requirements

- A. _____ Completed application: All applicable areas of the application shall be filled in and submitted to the Planning and Zoning Department, 3363 West Park Place, Pensacola, FL 32505.
- B. _____ Application Fees: To view fees visit the website: <u>http://myescambia.com/business/ds/planning-board</u> or contact us at 595-3547

Note: Application fees include a \$5 technical fee. Cost of the public notice mailing is to be borne by the applicant. Payments must be submitted prior to 3 pm of the closing date of acceptance of application. Please make checks payable to Escambia County. MasterCard and Visa are also accepted (a 3% fee will be added for credit card payments).

- C. Legal Proof of Ownership (ex: copy of Tax Notice or Warranty Deed) <u>AND</u> a Certified Boundary Survey (Include Corporation/LLC documentation if applicable.)
- D. _____ Compatibility Analysis (if applicable): If the subject property does not meet the roadway requirements of Locational Criteria, a compatibility analysis prepared by the applicant is required to provide substantial evidence of unique circumstances regarding the parcel or use that were not anticipated by the alternative criteria. (See "Documented Compatibility" within the request zoning district of the LDC.)
- E. _____ Signed and Notarized Affidavit of Owner/Limited Power of Attorney AND Concurrency Determination Acknowledgement (pages 4 and 5).

By my signature, I hereby certify that:

- 1) I am duly qualified as owner(s) or authorized agent to make such application, this application is of my own choosing, and staff has explained all procedures relating to this request; and
- 2) All information given is accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief, and I understand that deliberate misrepresentation of such information will be grounds for denial or reversal of this application and/or revocation of any approval based upon this application; and
- 3) I understand that there are no guarantees as to the outcome of this request, and that the application fee is non-refundable; and
- 4) I authorize County staff to enter upon the property referenced herein at any reasonable time for purposes of site inspection and authorize placement of a public notice sign(s) on the property referenced herein at a location(s) to be determined by County staff; and
- 5) I am aware that Public Hearing notices (legal ad and/or postcards) for the request shall be provided by the Development Services Bureau.

10V. (6,2018 Date 11/16/18 DJURI Signature of Owner/Agent Agent Signature of Owner Printed Name of Owner STATE OF Florica Escendia COUNTY OF The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 16 day of Noventer 20 18 , by Margaret Djuric Personally Known - OR Produced Identification KType of Identification Produced: Slavdjub Djuric Tames C Godiom gpature of Notary Printed Name of Notary (notary seal) JAMES C. GODWIN - 7 -NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF FLORIDA Comm# GG141178 Expires 9/11/2021


Street View - Jun 2018

18 © 2018 Google

Perdido Bay Dental Office 12960 Lillian Highway Pensacola, FL

| ZONING | | F | | | | LU) CA | TEGOR uses | Y | |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| DISTRICT Specific distribution and extent of uses | AG max 1du/20ac max 0.25 FAR | RC max 2du/ac max 0.25 FAR | MU-S max 25du/ac max 1.0 FAR | MU-U max 25du/ac max 2.0 FAR | C Limited res max 25du/ac max 1.0 FAR | No res allowed max 1.0 FAR | P No res allowed | REC No res allowed max 0.5 FAR | CON No res allowed |
| Agr max 1du/20ac | Yes | Yes | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses | Na, uses | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses |
| RR max 1du/4ac | No, max density | Yes | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses |
| RMU max 2du/ac | No, max density | Yes | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses |
| LDR max 4du/ac | No, max density | No, max density | Yes | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses |
| LDMU max 7du/ac | No, max density | No, max density | Yes | Yes | No, uses | Na, uses | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses |
| MDR max 10du/ac | No, max density | No, max density | Yes | Yes | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses | No. uses |
| HDR max 18du/ac | No, max density | No, max density | Yes | Yes | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses |
| HDMU max 25du/ac | No, max density | No, max density | Yes | Yes | Yes | No, uses | Na, uses | No, uses | No, uses |
| Com max 25du/ac | No, max density | No, max density | Yes | Yes | Yes | No, res use | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses |
| HC/LI FLU-restricted max 25du/ac | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses | Yes | Yes | Yes | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses |
| Ind No res allowed | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses | Yes | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses |
| Rec No res allowed | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No, uses | Yes | Yes | No, uses |
| Con No res allowed | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Pub No res allowed | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses | No, uses | Yes | Yes | No, uses | No, uses |

For every combination of zoning district and FLU category represented by the table, "Yes" indicates the zoning is consistent with the FLU. "No" indicates zoning inconsistency with the FLU, primarily for the reason noted.

(Ord. No. 2015-56, § 1, 12-10-2015)

LDC 3:7

Attachment A

Chris Jones Escambia County Property Appraiser

| J | Real Estate Sear | ch | Tangible | e Property Sea | arch | Sale List | |
|---|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| ← Navigat ⇒ | te Mode 🔍 Account 🤇 | Reference | <u>Back</u> | | | Printer Fr | iendly Version |
| | 022S321001030010 102605000 DJURIC SLAVOLJUB 8 12960 LILLIAN HWY PENSACOLA, FL 3250 12960 LILLIAN HWY 3 SINGLE FAMILY RESII COUNTY MSTU <u>Open Tax Inquiry Win</u> k courtesy of Scott Lur | 6 32506 D | Assessi Year 2018 2017 2016 > <u>Fil</u> | Land \$6,134 \$6,134 \$6,134 | Imprv \$56,620 \$51,722 \$50,756 <u>Disclaime</u> Tax Estim W Homes | <u>ator</u> tead Exe | <u>Cap Val</u> \$62,75 \$57,85 \$56,89 |
| Sales Data | nty Tax Collector | Official | 2018 C None | ertified Roll | Online Exemptions | 2 | |
| 01/2005 556 06/1986 224 05/1979 133 01/1978 123 | | (New Window) <u>View Instr</u> <u>View Instr</u> <u>View Instr</u> | S 1/2 OI 5566 P 9 | | PERDIDO HEIGH | ITS RE S/D PB | 5 1 P 2 OR |
| Escambia Cou Comptroller Parcel Information | inty Clerk of the Circuit | Court and | METAL E | BUILDING | | Launch Int | eractive Ma |
| Section Map Id: 02-2S-32-2 Approx. Acreage: 0.1791 Zoned: P MDR Evacuation & Flood Information Open Report | HARTUNG A | | | | | | |
| Address:1296(| View Florida Do | | Building | gs | <u>DEP) Data</u> | 1 | |
| Structural Elen | nents WORK-AVERAGE | oy anab | | | | | |

THIS INSTRUMENT PREPARED BY AND RETURN TO: **Charles Curry** Stonewall Title Group 100 North Spring Street, Suite 1 Pensacola, Florida 32502

Property Appraisers Parcel Identification (Folio) Numbers: 02-25-32-1001-030-010

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDING DATA

THIS WARRANTY DEED, made the 18th day of January, A.D. 2005 by ROSE CORNELIA KONECEK and FREDERICK S. KONECEK, wife and husband, herein called the grantors, to SLAVOLJUB DJURIC and MARGARET DJURIC, husband and wife whose post office address is 12960 LILLIAN HIGHWAY, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA 32506, hereinafter called the Grantees:

(Wherever used herein the terms "grantor" and "grantoe" include all the parties to this instrument and the heirs, legal representatives and assigns of individuals, and the successors and assigns of compatibility)

WITNESSETH: That the grantors, for and in consideration of the sum of TEN AND 00/100'S (\$10.00) Dollars and other valuable considerations, receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, hereby grants, bargains, sells, aliens, remises, releases, conveys and confirms unto the grantee all that certain land altuate in ESCAMBIA County, State of Florida, viz:

THE SOUTH 1/2 OF LOT 3, BLOCK J, PLAT OF PERDIDO HEIGHTS, ACCORDING TO THE MAP OR PLAT THEREOF AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 1, PAGE(S) 2, PUBLIC **RECORDS OF ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA.**

Subject to zoning, restrictions, prohibitions and other requirements imposed by governmental authorities, and easements, restrictions and reservations of record and to taxes for the current year and thereafter.

TOGETHER, with all the tenemente, hereditaments and appurtenances thereto belonging or in anywise appertaining.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD, the same in fee simple forever.

AND, the grantors hereby covenant with said grantees that the grantors are lawfully seized of said land in fee simple; that the grantors have good right and lawful authority to sell and convey said land, and hereby warrant the title to said land and will defend the same against the lawful claims of all persons whomsoever; and that said land is free of all encumbrances, except taxes accruing subsequent to December 31, 2004.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the said grantors have signed and sealed these presents the day and year first above written.

Signed, sealed and delivered in the presence of:

 \mathbf{O} ess #1 Signature

CHARLES Witness #1 Printed Name

The M Witness #2 Signature MonAs M. JMITH

Witness #2 Printed Name

STATE OF FLORIDA **COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA**

Anu on ello **ROSE CORNELIA KONECEK**

25160 COUNTY ROAD 32, ALBERTA, ALABAMA 32530

Kanarek uðul -LS

FREDERICK S. KÓNECEK 25160 COUNTY ROAD 32, ALBERTA, ALABAMA 32530

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 18th day of January, 2005 by ROSE CORNELIA KONECEK and FREDERICK S. KONECEK who are personally known to me or have produced Daver 1. comes Identification.





Mhuth سمار Notary Signature

Printed Notary Signature

Fla 20040459



Chris Jones Escambia County Property Appraiser

Real Estate Search

Tangible Property Search

Sale List

Back Navigate Mode
Account

Reference Printer Friendly Version -General Information Assessments **Reference:** 022S321001043010 Year Land Total Imprv Cap Val Account: 102606300 2018 \$4,090 \$0 \$4,090 \$4,090 **Owners:** DJURIC SLAVOLJUB & MARGARET 2017 \$4,090 \$0 \$4,090 \$4,090 Mail: 12960 LILLIAN HWY 2016 \$4,090 \$0 \$4,090 \$4,090 PENSACOLA, FL 32506 Situs: 12400 BLK LILLIAN HWY 32506 Disclaimer Use Code: VACANT RESIDENTIAL 🔑 **Tax Estimator** Taxing COUNTY MSTU Authority: Tax Inquiry: Open Tax Inquiry Window > File for New Homestead Exemption Tax Inquiry link courtesy of Scott Lunsford Online Escambia County Tax Collector Sales 2018 Certified Roll Exemptions Data None Official Sale Records **Book Page Value Type** Date (New Legal Description Window) S 130 FT OF E 40 FT OF LT 4 BLK J PERDIDO HEIGHTS RE S/D 08/2006 5976 1986 \$100 QC View Instr PB 1 P 2 OR 5976 P 1986 10/1990 2922 113 \$2,300 QC View Instr 09/1990 2915 483 \$100 QC View Instr Official Records Inquiry courtesy of Pam Childers Extra Features Escambia County Clerk of the Circuit Court and None Comptroller Parcel Launch Interactive Map Information 0GDEN DR 112 Section Map +Id: 20 02-25-32-2 80 70 ROSIRITO PL Approx. Acreage: 60 0.1194 40 540 130 Zoned: 🔎 MDR 260 130 Evacuation 200 33 3 & Flood Information 160 Open Report LILLIAN HWY 75 75 75 75 75 202 75 75 75 75 75 NICELIN 75 75 75 75 200 50 150 150 200 50 50 50 50 View Florida Department of Environmental Protection(DEP) Data Buildings

None

The primary use of the assessment data is for the preparation of the current year tax roll. No responsibility or liability is assumed for inaccuracies or errors.

Recorded in Public Records 08/23/2006 at 03:51 PM OR Book 5976 Page 1986, Instrument #2006085359, Ernie Lee Magaha Clerk of the Circuit Court Escambia County, FL Recording \$10.00 Deed Stamps \$0.70

PREPARED BY ROSE C. KONACEK RETURNTO MARGARET DJURIC 12950 LILLIAN HWY PENSACOLA, FL 32506 QUITCLAIM DEED (Space above this line reserved for recording office use only) QUITCLAIM DEED, made on this date of August 2006 , between ("Grantors"), County, State of ALMS AmA husband+wife AND MARGARET DiuRic (Grantees"), County, State of FLORIDA SCAMPIA WITNESSETH, that Grantors, for and in consideration of the sum of \$10.00, and other good and valuable consideration in hand paid by Grantees, the receipt of which is acknowledged, quitclaim to Grantees and Grantees' heirs, executors, administrators, and assigns forever all of the right, title, and interest of Grantor in the following described land situated in ESCAMBIA County, Florida: Legal Description: S 130 FT OF E 40 FT OF LT 4 BLK J PERDIDO HEIGHTS RE S/D PB 1 P 2 OR 2922 P 113 Physical Address: 12400 BLK LILLIAN HIGHWAY Property Appraiser's Parcel I.D. No. 0225321001043010 ... SELLER(S): WITNESSES: Signature Name: Printed Nam Addres Name: Address STATE OF-FLORID COUNTY OF The follegoing instrument was acknowledged before me on this date: by , who is/are known to d the following as identification. 01/29/07



THE SOUTH 130.00 FEET OF THE EAST 40.00 FEET OF LOT 4, BLOCK J, RESUBDIVISION OF PERDIDO HEIGHTS, A SUBDIVISION OF A PORTION OF FRACTIONAL SECTION 2, TOWNSHIP 3 SOUTH, RANGE 32 WEST, ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF, AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 1, AT PAGE 2, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF

SURVEYORS NOTES:

THIS SURVEY WAS PERFORMED FOR THE CLIENT SHOWN AND IS NOT TO BE USED FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSES OR TRANSACTIONS WITHOUT PRIOR CONSENT FROM THIS SURVEYOR.

ALL MEASUREMENTS WERE MADE ACCORDING TO UNITED STATES FOOT. NO TITLE RESEARCH WAS PERFORMED BY THIS SURVEYOR, NOR WERE WE FURNISHED WITH SUCH.

NO INSTRUMENT OF RECORD REFLECTING EASEMENTS, RIGHT OF WAY, AND/OR OWNERSHIP WERE FURNISHED TO THIS SURVEYOR EXCEPT AS

NO UNDERGROUND INSTALLATIONS OR IMPROVEMENTS HAVE BEEN LOCATED

ALL BEARINGS AND DISTANCES ARE RECORD UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. THERE MAY BE ADDITIONAL RESTRICTIONS AFFECTING THIS PROPERTY

THAT MAY BE FOUND RECORDED IN THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF THE CITY/COUNTY/STATE, THAT DO NOT APPEAR ON THE FACE OF THIS PLAT.

IMPROVEMENT LOCATIONS SHOWN HEREON MAY BE EXAGGERATED AND NOT TO SCALE FOR CLARITY PURPOSES.

ADDITIONS OR DELETIONS TO SURVEY MAPS OR REPORTS BY OTHER THAN THE SIGNING PARTY OR PARTIES IS PROHIBITED WITHOUT WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE SIGNING PARTY OR PARTIES.

BUILDING SET BACK LINES ARE PER PLAT. THERE MAY BE ADDITIONAL RESTRICTIONS IN SUBDIVISION COVENANTS AND/OR OTHER CITY/COUNTY/STATE REGULATIONS.

IT IS THE RECOMMENDATION OF THIS SURVEYOR TO CHECK WITH THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION FOR ANY WETLAND ISSUES THAT COULD POSSIBLY AFFECT THIS PROPERTY THAT MAY OR MAY NOT BE SHOWN ON THE FACE OF THIS PLAT.

BASIS OF BEARING: NORTH (ASSUMED) PER PLAT.

REFERENCE SOURCE: FIELD WORK AND EXISTING FIELD MONUMENTATION, COUNTY PROPERTY APPRAISER'S TAX MAP: COPY OF PLAT BOOK 1. PAGE 2.

> SWINNEY & ASSOCIATES, INC. 3603 SUNNYSIDE ST. PENSACOLA, FLORIDA 32507 (850) 453-4261 FAX: (850) 458-2630 swinneyl@cox.net

> > LICENSE BUSINESS NO. 7092

| TOWNSHIP: 2 SOUTH RANGE: 32 WEST COUNTY: ESCA | AMBIA |
|---|-------|
| DRAWN BY: REM FIELD DATE: 12/18/2018 | |
| B CREW: MS/ZL FIELD BOOK: 308 PAGE: 65 | |

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS SURVEY WAS MADE UNDER MY RESPONSIBLE CHARGE AND MEETS THE STANDARDS OF PRACTICE AS SET FORTH BY THE FLORIDA BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL SURVEYORS AND MAPPERS IN CHAPTER 5J-17 FLORIDA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE PURSUANT TO SECTION 472.027 FLORIDA STATUTES.

> NOT VALID WITHOUT" THE ORIGINAL SIGNATURE AND THE ORIGINAL RAISED SEAL

OF A FLORIDA LICENSED SURVEYOR

AND MAPPER

1 Circus 20

DRAWING NUMBER:

05-7372A



| - | - | |
|---|---|---|
| Ъ | 2 | |
| • | | ٠ |

THE SOUTH 1/2 OF LOT 3, BLOCK J, PLAT OF PERDIDO HEIGHTS, A SUBDIVISION OF A PORTION OF SECTION 2, TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 32 WEST, ESCAMBIA COUNTY, STATE OF FLORIDA, AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 1, PAGE 2 OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF SAID COUNTY.

SLAVOLJUB DJURIC MARGARET DJURIC AMSOUTH BANK ATTORNEYS' TITLE INSURANCE FUND STONEWALL TITLE GROUP, LLC

THIS SURVEY WAS PERFORMED FOR THE CLIENT SHOWN AND IS NOT TO BE USED FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSES WITHOUT PRIOR CONSENT FROM THIS SURVEYOR

ALL MEASUREMENTS WERE MADE ACCORDING TO UNITED STATES FOOT.

NO TITLE RESEARCH WAS PERFORMED BY THIS SURVEYOR, NOR WERE WE FURNISHED WITH

NO INSTRUMENT OF RECORD REFLECTING EASEMENTS, RIGHT OF WAY, AND/OR OWNERSHIP WERE FURNISHED TO THIS SURVEYOR EXCEPT AS SHOWN.

NO UNDERGROUND INSTALLATIONS OR IMPROVEMENTS HAVE BEEN LOCATED EXCEPT AS SHOWN.

ALL BEARINGS AND DISTANCES ARE RECORD UNLESS DTHERWISE NOTED.

ERROR OF CLOSURE MEETS MINIMUM TECHNICAL STANDARDS.

THERE MAY BE ADDITIONAL RESTRICTIONS AFECTING THIS PROPERTY THAT MAY BE FOUND RECORDED IN THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF

REFERENCE SOURCE: ELELD WORK AND EXISTING FIELD NONIMENTATION

| LICENSE BUSINESS | NO. 7092 |
|------------------------|--|
| O LILLIAN | HIGHINAY |
| TOM SMITH | 4 |
| ARY VIITH I | MPROVENELITS |
| TOWNSHIP: Z-SOLTTH | RANGE: 32-WEST COUNTY: ECAN KIA |
| DRAWN BY: BH | FIELD DATE: DOBDSCREW: DCMS |
| 5 | FIELD BOOK: 127 PAGE: 410 |
| | |
| RTIFICATE | |
| STANDARDS AS SET FORTH | UNDER MY RESPONSIBLE CHARGE AND MEETS THE BY THE FLORIDA BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL LAND ISTRATION CODE: PURSUANT TO SECTION 472.027. |
| | NOT VALID WITHOUT THE ORIGINALI SIGNATURE AND THE OR GINAL RAISED SEAL OF ATRIORIDA LICENSED SURVEYOR ND MAPPER |
| Jun Sale | DRAWING NUMBER: |

05-737Z



Lillian Hwy 98 = FDOT Classification of Principal Arterial - Urban

ESCAMBIA COUNTY







ITE Trip Generation Rates - 8th Edition Pass-by rates from ITE Trip Generation Handbook - 2nd Edition



| Description/ITE Code | | ITE | Vehicl | e Trip C | Seneration Ra | tes | | | Expected | Total G | Senerated | Trips | Тс | otal Distr | ibution o | f Gener | ated Tric | s |
|---|---------------------|---------------|--------|----------|--|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------|----------|---------|-----------|---------|-------|------------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|
| FDOT | Units | | | | r of adjacent stre | | nless hi | ghlighted | Units | | | | | | | | | - |
| FSUTAMAS | | Weekday | AM | PM | Pass-By AM In | AM Out | PM In | PM Out | | Daily | AM Hour | PM Hour | AM In | AM Out | Pass-By | PM In | PM Out | Pass-By |
| Waterport/Marine Terminal 010 | Acres | 11.93 | NA | NA | N/ | NA NA | NA | NA | | 0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA | 0 |
| Waterport/Marine Terminal 010 | Berths | 171.52 | NA | NA | N/ | A NA | NA | NA | | 0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA | 0 |
| Commercial Airport 021 | Employees | 13.40 | 0.82 | 0.80 | 55% | 6 45% | 54% | 46% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Commercial Airport 021 | Avg Flights/Day | 104,73 | 5,40 | 5.75 | 54% | | | 55% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Commercial Airport 021 | Com. Flights/Day | 122.21 | 6.43 | 6.88 | 55% | 45% | 54% | 46% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| General Aviation Airport 022 | Employees | 14.24 | 0.69 | 1.03 | 83% | 6 17% | 45% | 55% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| General Aviation Airport 022 | Avg. Flights/Day | 1.97 | 0.24 | 0.30 | N/ | A NA | | NA | | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA | 0 |
| General Aviation Airport 022 | Based Aircraft | 5.00 | 0.24 | 0.37 | 83% | | 45% | 55% | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Truck Terminal 030 | Acres | 81.90 | 7.28 | 6.55 | 419 | | 43% | 57% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Truck Terminal 030 | Employees | 6.99 | 0.66 | 0.55 | 40% | 60% | 47% | 53% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Park&Ride w/ Bus Service 090 | Parking Spaces | 4.50 | 0.72 | 0.62 | 819 | | 23% | 77% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Park&Ride w/ Bus Service 090 | Acres | 372.32 | 48.81 | 43.75 | N/ | | NA | NA | | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA | 0 |
| Park&Ride w/ Bus Service 090 | Occ. Spaces | 9.62 | 1.26 | 0.81 | 69% | | 28% | 72% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Light Rail Station w/ Park. 093 | Parking Space | 2.51 | 1.07 | 1.24 | 80% | | 58% | 42% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Light Rail Station w/ Park. 093 | Occ. Spaces | 3.91 | 1.14 | 1.33 | 80% | | | 42% | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| General Light Industrial 110 | KSF ² | 6.97 | 0.92 | 0.97 | 88% | | 12% | 88% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| General Light Industrial 110 | Acres | 51.80 | 7.51 | 7.26 | 83% | | 22% | 78% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| General Light Industrial 110 | Employees | 3.02 | 0.44 | 0.42 | 83% | 5 17% | 21% | 79% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| General Heavy Industrial 120 | KSF ² | 1,50 | 0.51 | 0 19 | N/ | | NA | NA | | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA | 0 |
| General Heavy Industrial 120 | Acres | 6.75 | 1.98 | 2.16 | N/ | NA | NA | NA | | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA | 0 |
| General Heavy Industrial 120 | Employees | 0.82 | 0.51 | 0.88 | N/ | NA NA | NA | NA | | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA | 0 |
| Industrial Park 130 | KSF ² | 6.96 | 0.84 | 0.86 | 82% | 18% | 21% | 79% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Industrial Park 130 | Acres | 63.11 | 8.55 | 8.84 | 83% | 17% | 21% | 79% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Industrial Park 130 | Employees | 3.34 | 0.47 | 0.46 | 86% | 14% | 20% | 80% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manufacturing 140 | KSF ² | 3.82 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 78% | 22% | 36% | 64% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manufacturing 140 | Acres | 38.88 | 7.44 | 8.35 | 93% | 7% | 53% | 47% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manufacturing 140 | Employees | 2.13 | 0.40 | 0.36 | | | 44% | 56% | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Warehousing 150 | KSF ² | 3.56 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 79% | 21% | 25% | 75% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Warehousing 150 | Acres | 57.23 | 10.03 | 8.69 | 72% | 2 Contraction of the local sector | 35% | 65% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Warehousing 150 | Employees | 3.89 | 0.51 | 0.59 | | | 35% | 65% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mini Warehouse 151 | KSF ² | 2.50 | 0.15 | 0.26 | 59% | 41% | 51% | 49% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mini Warehouse 151 | Storage Units | 0.25 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 67% | | NA | NA | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 |
| Mini Warehouse 151 | Acres | 35.43 | 2.62 | 3.45 | | | 52% | 48% | | 0 | | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mini Warehouse 151 | Employees | 61.90 | 5.26 | 6.04 | | | 52% | 48% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| High-Cube Warehouse 152 | KSF ² | 1.44 | 0.09 | 0.10 | | and the second second second | 33% | 67% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Utilities 170 | KSF ² | NA | 0.80 | 0.76 | NA | | 45% | 55% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Utilities 170 | Employees | NA | 0.76 | 0.76 | 90% | - | 15% | 85% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| and the second second second | | | | | | | C. Westerney | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Single Family Homes 210 | DU | 9.57 26.04 | 0.75 | 1.01 | 25% | 75% 69% | 63% 66% | 37% | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Single Family Homes 210 | Acres | 26.04 | 0.21 | 0.28 | 319 | | 66% | 34% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Single Family Homes 210 Single Family Homes 210 | Persons Vehicles | 6.02 | 0.21 | 0.28 | 319 | | 66% | 34% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | COLOR DE LA COL | Conversion and | 0.0000000 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Apartment 220 | DU | 6.65 | 0.51 | 0.62 | 20% | 80% | 65% | 35% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Apartment 220 | Persons | 3.31 | 0.28 | 0.40 | N/ | | NA NA | NA NA | | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA | 0 |
| Apartment 220 | Vehicles | 5.10 | 0.46 | 0.60 | Concession of the local division of the loca | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA | 0 |
| Low Rise Apartment 221 | Occ.DU | 6.59 | 0.46 | 0.58 | 21% | 79% | 65% | 35% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| High Rise Apartment 222 | DU | 4.20 NA | 0.30 | 0.35 | 25% | 75% | 61% 58% | 39% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mid-Rise Apartment 223 | DU | NA | 0.30 | 0.39 | 31% | 09% | 50% | 42% | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | U | 0 | 0 |
| Rental Townhouse 224 | UD | NA | 0.70 | 0.72 | 33% | 67% | 51% | 49% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Resd. Condo/Townhouse 230 | DU | 5.81 | 0.44 | 0.52 | 17% | 83% | 67% | 33% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Resd. Condo/Townhouse 230 | Persons | 2.49 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 16% | 84% | 67% | 33% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Resd. Condo/Townhouse 230 | Vehicles | 3.34 | 0.24 | 0.32 | 16% | | 66% | 34% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Low Rise Resd. Condo 231 | DU | NA | 0.67 | 0.78 | 25% | 75% | 58% | 42% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| High Rise Resd. Condo 232 | DU DU | 4.18 | 0.34 | 0.38 | 19% | 81% | 62% 63% | 38% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Luxury Condo/Townhouse 233 | Occ. DU | NA | 0.56 | 0.55 | 23% | 11% | 03% | 37% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mobile Home Park 240 | Occ. DU | 4.99 | 0.44 | 0.59 | 20% | 80% | 62% | 38% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Single Tenant Office Bldg 715 | KSF ² | 11.57 | 1.80 | 1.73 | | 89% | 11% | 15% | 85% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|---|---------------------------|----------------|------------|---|-----------------------|------------------|-----------|-----|------------|---------------|-----|----|----|----------|----------|---|----------|----|---|
| Single Tenant Office Bldg 715 | Employees | 3.62 | 0.53 | 0.50 | No. | 89% | 11% | 15% | 85% | | a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | D | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Medical Dental Office 720 | KGF | 36.13 | 2.30 | 3.46 | | 79% | 21% | 27% | 73% | 1.0 | 36 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | D | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| Medical Dental Office 720 | Employees | 8.91 | 0.53 | - | - | 79% | 21% | 34% | 66% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Government Office Building 730 | KSF ² | 68 93 | 5.86 | 1.21 | | 84% | 15% | 31% | 69% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Government Office Building 730 | Employees | 11.95 | 1.02 | 1.91 | | 84% | 15% | 74% | 26% | 1000000000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| State Motor Vehicles Dept. 731 | K6F' | 166.02 | 9.84 | 17.09 | | NA | NA | NA | NA | | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA | 0 |
| State Motor Vehicles Dept. 731 | Employees | 44.54 | 2.64 | | | NA | NA | NA | NA | | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA | 0 |
| US Post Office 732 | KSF ² | 108.19 | 8.21 | 11.12 | 1000 | 52% | 48% | 51% | 49% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | D | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| US Post Office 732 | Employees | 28.32 | 2.01 | | | 52% | 48% | 51% | 49% | COLUMN STREET | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gov, Office Complex 733 | KSF ² | 27 92 | 2.21 | 2.85 | | 89% | 11% | 31% | 69% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gov. Office Complex 733 | Employees | 7,75 | 0.61 | 0.79 | | 89% | 11% | 31% | 69% | 1990 C. 199 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Office Park 750 | KSF? | 11.42 | 1.71 | 1.48 | 1 | 89% | 11% | 14% | 86% | 100 500 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Office Park 750 | Employees | 3.50 | 0.43 | 0.39 | | 92% | 8% | 15% | 85% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Office Park 750 | Acres | 195.11 | 25.65 | 28.28 | 1 | 92% | 8% | 15% | 85% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| R&D Center 760 | KSF ² | 8.11 | 1.22 | 1.07 | | 83% | 17% | 15% | 85% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| R&D Center 760 | Employees | 2.77 | 0.43 | 0.41 | | 86% | 14% | 10% | 90% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| R&D Center 760 | Acres | 79.61 | 10.77 | 15.44 | | 84% | 16% | 12% | 88% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Business Park 770 | KSF ² | 12.75 | 1 43 | | | 84% | 16% | 23% | 77% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Business Park 770 | Employees | 4.04 | 0.45 | 0.39 | | 85% | 15% | 22% | 78% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Business Park 770 | Acres | 149.79 | 18.85 | 16.84 | | 85% | 15% | 20% | 80% | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Building Materials/Lumber 812 | KSF ² | 45.16 | 2.60 | | | 67% | 33% | 47% | 53% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Building Materials/Lumber 812 | Employees | 32.12 | 2.42 | 2.77 | | 62% | 38% | 51% | 49% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Free Standing Discount Superstore 813 | KSF | 53.13 | 1.67 | | 28% | 56% | 44% | 49% | 51% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Specialty Retail Center 814 | KSF ² | 44.32 | 6.84 | 2.71 | and the | 48% | 52% | 44% | 56% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Specialty Retail Center B14 | Employees | 22 36 | NA | NA | | NA | NA | NA | NA | | 0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA | 0 |
| Free-Standing Discount Store 815 | KSP | 57.24 | 1.05 | | 17% | | 32% | 50% | 50% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Free-Standing Discount Store 815 | Employees | 28.84 | 0,51 | 3.48 | 17% | 66% | 34% | 50% | 50% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hardware/Paint Store 816 | KSF ² | 51.29 | 1 08 | | 26% | NA | NA | 47% | 53% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hardware/Paint Store 816 | Employees | 53.21 | 1.13 | 5.05 | 26% | NA | NA | NA | NA | 200 10 20 | . 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA | 0 |
| Hardware/Paint Store 816 | Acres KSF ² | 4.0.1 | 11 54 | 51.79 | 26% | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA | 0 |
| Nursery (Garden Center) 817 | | 36.08 | 1.31 | | | NA | NA | NA | NA NA | | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA NA | NA NA | 0 | NA NA | NA | 0 |
| Nursery (Garden Center) 817 Nursery (Garden Center) 817 | Employees Acres | 22.13 96.21 | 2.59 | | - | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA | 0 |
| Nursery (Wholesale) 818 | KSF' | 39.00 | 2.40 | | | NA | NA | NA | NA | | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA | 0 |
| Nursery (Wholesale) 818 | Employees | 23.40 | 0.34 | | | NA | NA | NA | NA | | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA | 0 |
| Nursery (Wholesale) 818 | Acres | 19.50 | 0.26 | | | NA | NA | NA | NA | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA | 0 |
| Shopping Center B20 (Equation) | KSF | Ec | uation | 15 | 34% | 61% | 39% | 49% | 51% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shopping Center 820 Rate | KSF ² | 42.94 | 1.00 | 3.73 | 34% | 61% | 39% | 49% | 51% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Factory Outlet Center 823 | KSF ² | 26.59 | 0.67 | 2.29 | | 73% | 27% | 47% | 53% | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| New Car Sales 841 | KSF | 33.34 | 2.03 | 2.59 | | 74% | 25% | 39% | 61% | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| New Car Sales 841 | Employees | 21.14 | 0.67 | 0.96 | | 44% | 56% | 48% | 52% | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Automobile Parts Sales 843 | KSF ² | 61.91 | 2.21 | 5.98 | 43% | NA | NA | 40% | 51% | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tire Store 848 | Service Bays | NA | 2.10 | 3.54 | 28% | 54% | 35% | 42% | 58% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | D | 0 | 0 |
| Tire Store 848 | K6F' | 24.87 | 2.89 | | 28% | 83% | 37% | 43% | 57% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tire Superstore 849 | Service Bays | 30.55 | 2.01 | 3.17 | | 65% | 35% | 47% | 53% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tire Superstore 849 | KSF | 20.38 | 1.34 | and the second se | | 85% | 35% | 47% | 53% | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Supermarket 850 | KSF ² | 102.24 | 3 59 | 10.50 | 36% | 61% | 39% | 51% | 49% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | KSF ² | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Convenience. Mkt. (Open 24 hrs) 851 | | 737.99 | 67.03 | 52.41 | 61% | | 50% | 51% | 49% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Convenience. Mkt. (Open 16 Hrs) 852 | KEF | NA | 31.02 | 34.57 | - | 50% | 50% | 49% | 51% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | D | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Convenience, Mkt w/ Gas Pumps 853 | KSF ² | 845.60 | 43.90 | 59.69 | 66% | 50% | 50% | 50% | 50% | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Convenience. Mkt w/ Gas Pumps 853 | Fuel Position | 542.60 | 16.57 | 19.07 | 66% | 50% | 50% | 50% | 50% | | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | Q | D | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Discount Supermarket 854 | KSF | 96.82 | 2.74 | 8.90 | 23% | 58% | 42% | 50% | 50% | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | D | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Discount Club 857 | KSF ² | 41.80 | 0.56 | 4.24 | | 71% | 29% | 50% | 50% | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | and the second second | and the state of | | | | 100 | | | | | | | | | |
| Discount Club 857 | Employees | 32.21 | 0.36 | 2.79 | - | 77% | 23% | 48% | 52% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | KSF ² | 6.73 | 0.51 | 0.88 | | 67% | 33% | 53% | 47% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wholesale Market 860 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wholesale Market 850 Sporting Goods Superstore 861 | KSF ² | NA | NA | 3.10 | | NA | NA | 47% | 53% | | 0 | NA | U | NA | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| To success we have a second | 2 | NA 29.80 | NA 1.26 | 3.10 2.37 | 48% | NA 57% | NA 43% | 47% | 53% 52% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

A 1,000sf Medical Dental facility will have a trip distribution of 36 trips per day as shown above.

1

DJURIC NEIGHBORHOOD COMPATIBILITY

The requested HDMU zoning district classification was selected because it contains the allowed use of professional offices as per the following excerpt from the adopted Escambia County Land Development Code:

Sec. 3-2.9 High Density Mixed-use district (HDMU). (a)

Purpose. The High Density Mixed-use (HDMU) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for a complimentary mix of high density residential uses and compatible non-residential uses within urban areas. The primary intent of the district is to provide for a mix of neighborhood retail sales, services and **professional offices** with greater dwelling unit density and diversity than the Low Density Mixed-use district. Additionally, the HDMU district is intended to rely on urban street connectivity and encourage vertical mixes of commercial and residential uses within the same building to accommodate a physical pattern of development characteristic of village main streets and older neighborhood commercial areas. Residential uses within the district include all forms of single-family, two-family and multi-family dwellings.

Dr. Djuric has maintained his dental practice at this location since 1998. The location contains two buildings, the dental office and an adjacent existing building as well. He anticipates his son will use the second building to open his new chiropractic offices. Both buildings will be used to provide medical services under the allowed "professional uses" referenced above. The existing dental office has proven itself to be compatible with the neighborhood for over 21 years. No new construction is anticipated on the building except for parking and a handicapped ramp addition out front. Dr. Djuric's proposed plans are contained in his attached letter dated November 15, 2018.

As shown on maps in the application, the property is surrounded by

requested by Dr. Djuric.

Sec. 3-1.6 Compatibility. (a) Generally. Zoning districts provide the primary means to establish and maintain the necessary balance between the needs and interests of different land uses, allowing neighboring uses to coexist successfully in a stable fashion over time, protecting the investments in each. Although zoning separates generally incompatible development, inclusion as a permitted use within a district does not alone ensure compatibility with other district uses. (b) Location criteria. Location criteria are established within some zoning districts to promote compatibility among uses, especially new non-residential uses in relation to existing residential uses. Most criteria are designed to create smooth transitions of use intensity from large-scale concentrations of general commercial uses near major street intersections to small-scale dispersed neighborhood commercial uses in proximity to residential areas. Such transitions prevent the adverse impacts of continuous strip commercial development along major streets and avoid negative or blighting influences of some commercial uses on adjacent residential neighborhoods.

As referenced earlier, the dental practice has been a part of this neighborhood for over twenty years, demonstrating that professional services can co-exist successfully in a stable fashion over time, protecting the investments in each.

The Comprehensive requires the following criteria regarding location:

(e) Location criteria. All new non-residential uses proposed within

the HDMU district that are not part of a predominantly residential development or a planned unit development, or are not identified as exempt by district regulations, shall be on parcels that satisfy at least one of the following location criteria:

(3) Infill development. Along an arterial or collector street, in an area where already established non-residential uses are otherwise consistent with the HDMU district, and where the new use would constitute infill development of similar intensity as the conforming development on surrounding parcels. Additionally, the location would promote compact development and not contribute to or promote strip commercial development

The requested zoning category is consistent with item **(3) Infill Development** above in that the site is surrounded by the same category as is being requested in this application, and the proposed use of **Professional Services** is listed as an allowed and permitted use under **LDC 3-2.9** cited above.

| Planning Board-Rezor | ing 7. B. |
|----------------------|---|
| Meeting Date: | 02/05/2019 |
| CASE : | Z-2019-03 |
| APPLICANT: | Kerry Anne Schultz, Agent for James D. Homyak, Ashland Avenue, LLC. |
| ADDRESS: | 8662 Ashland Avenue |
| PROPERTY REF. NO.: | 10-1S-30-1101-030-005 |
| FUTURE LAND USE: | MU-U, Mixed-Use Urban |
| DISTRICT: | 3 |
| OVERLAY DISTRICT: | N/A |
| BCC MEETING DATE: | 03/07/2019 |

SUBMISSION DATA: REQUESTED REZONING:

FROM: MDR, Medium Density Residential district (10 du/acre)

TO: HDMU, High Density Mixed-use district (25 du/acre)

RELEVANT AUTHORITY:

- (1) Escambia County Comprehensive Plan
- (2) Escambia County Land Development Code

(3) Board of County Commissioners of Brevard County v. Snyder, 627 So. 2d 469 (Fla. 1993)

(4) Resolution 96-34 (Quasi-judicial Proceedings)

(5) Resolution 96-13 (Ex-parte Communications)

APPROVAL CONDITIONS

Criterion a., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Consistent with Comprehensive Plan

The proposed zoning is consistent with the future land use (FLU) category as prescribed in LDC Chapter 3, and with all other applicable goals, objectives, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan. If the rezoning is required to properly enact a proposed FLU map amendment transmitted for state agency review, the proposed zoning is consistent with the proposed FLU and conditional to its adoption.

CPP FLU 1.3.1 Future Land Use Categories. The Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U) Future Land Use (FLU) category is intended for an intense mix of residential and nonresidential uses while promoting compatible infill development and the separation of urban and suburban land uses within the category as a whole. Range of allowable uses include: Residential,

Retail and Services, Professional Office, Light Industrial, Recreational Facilities, Public and Civic. The maximum residential density is 25 dwelling units per acre.

CPP FLU 1.5.1 New Development and Redevelopment in Built Areas. To promote the efficient use of existing public roads, utilities, and service infrastructure, the County will encourage the redevelopment in underutilized properties to maximize development densities and intensities located in the MU-S, MU-U, Commercial, and Industrial Future Land Use categories (with the exception of residential development).

CPP FLU 2.1.2 Compact Development. To promote compact development, FLUM amendments and residential rezonings to allow higher residential densities may be allowed in the Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U) and Mixed-Use Suburban (MU-S) future land use categories.

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment to HDMU **is consistent** with the intent and purpose of Future Land Use category MU-U as stated in CPP FLU 1.3.1. The MU-U FLU is intended for an intense mix of residential and non-residential uses while promoting compatible infill development and the separation of urban and suburban land uses within the category as a whole. The listed Range of Allowable Uses includes residential, retail and services, professional office, light industrial, recreational facilities, public, civic and limited agriculture. The amendment is also consistent with the intent of FLU 1.5.1 and FLU 2.1.2 by making use of the existing public roads and the availability of utilities and service infrastructure.

Criterion b., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Consistent with The Land Development Code

The proposed zoning is consistent with the purpose and intent and with any other zoning establishment provisions prescribed by the proposed district in Chapter 3.

Sec. 3-2.7 Medium Density Residential district (MDR).

(a) Purpose. The Medium Density Residential (MDR) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for residential uses at medium densities within suburban or urban areas. The primary intent of the district is to provide for residential neighborhood development in an efficient urban pattern of well-connected streets and at greater dwelling unit density than the Low Density Residential district. Residential uses within the MDR district are limited to single-family and two-family dwellings. The district allows non-residential uses that are compatible with suburban and urban residential neighborhoods.

Sec. 3-2.9 High Density Mixed-use district (HDMU).

(a) Purpose. The High Density Mixed-use (HDMU) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for a complimentary mix of high density residential uses and compatible non-residential uses within urban areas. The primary intent of the district is to provide for a mix of neighborhood retail sales, services and professional offices with greater dwelling unit density and diversity than the Low Density Mixed-use district. Additionally, the HDMU district is intended to rely on urban street connectivity and encourage vertical mixes of commercial and residential uses within the same building to accommodate a physical pattern of development characteristic of village main streets and older neighborhood commercial areas. Residential uses within the district include all forms of single-family, two-family and multi-family dwellings.

(b) Permitted uses. Permitted uses within the HDMU district are limited to the following:

(1) Residential. The following residential uses are allowed throughout the district, but if within a Commercial (C) future land use category they are permitted only if part of a predominantly commercial development.

a. Group living, excluding dormitories, fraternity and sorority houses, and residential facilities providing substance abuse treatment, post-incarceration reentry, or similar services.

b. Manufactured (mobile) homes, including manufactured home subdivisions, but excluding new or expanded manufactured home parks.

c. Single-family dwellings (other than manufactured homes), detached or attached, including townhouses and zero lot line subdivisions.

d. Two-family and multi-family dwellings.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(2) Retail sales. Small-scale (gross floor area 6000 sq.ft. or less per lot) retail sales, including Low-THC marijuana dispensing facilities, sales of beer and wine, but excluding sales of liquor, automotive fuels, or motor vehicles, and excluding permanent outdoor storage, display, or sales. See also conditional uses in this district.

(3) Retail services. The following small-scale (gross floor area 6000 sq.ft. or less per lot) retail services, excluding outdoor work or permanent outdoor storage:

a. Bed and breakfast inns.

b. Boarding and rooming houses.

c. Child care facilities.

d. Personal services, including those of beauty shops, health clubs, pet groomers, dry cleaners, and tattoo parlors.

e. Professional services, including those of realtors, bankers, accountants, engineers, architects, dentists, physicians, and attorneys.

f. Repair services, including appliance repair, furniture refinishing and upholstery, watch and jewelry repair, small engine and motor services, but excluding major motor vehicle or boat service or repair.

g. Restaurants, and brewpubs, including on-premises consumption of alcoholic beverages, but excluding drive-in or drive-through service and brewpubs with distribution of alcoholic beverages for off-site sales.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(4) Public and civic.

a. Preschools and kindergartens.

b. Emergency service facilities, including law enforcement, fire fighting, and medical assistance.

c. Foster care facilities.

d. Places of worship.

e. Public utility structures, excluding telecommunications towers.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(5) Recreation and entertainment.

a. Marinas, private only.

b. Parks without permanent restrooms or outdoor event lighting.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(6) Industrial and related. No industrial or related uses.

(7) Agricultural and related. Agricultural production limited to food primarily for personal consumption by the producer, but no farm animals.

(8) Other uses. [Reserved]

(c) Conditional uses. Through the conditional use process prescribed in Chapter 2, the BOA may conditionally allow the following uses within the HDMU district:

(1) Residential.

a. Dormitories.

b. Fraternity and sorority houses.

c. Manufactured (mobile) home parks.

(2) Retail sales. Medium-scale (gross floor area greater than 6000 sq.ft. per lot, but no greater than 35,000 sq.ft.) retail sales, including sales of beer and wine and automotive fuels, but excluding sales of motor vehicles and liquor, and excluding permanent outdoor storage, display, or sales.

(3) Retail services.

a. Medium-scale (gross floor area greater than 6000 sq. ft. per lot, but no greater than 35,000 sq. ft.) retail services, excluding motor vehicle service and repair.

b. Restaurants and brewpubs with drive-in or drive-through service and brewpubs with the distribution of on-premises produced

alcoholic beverages for off-site sales.

c. Small-scale (gross floor area 6000 sq.ft. or less per lot) major motor vehicle service and repair, excluding painting or body work and outdoor work.

(4) Public and civic.

a. Broadcast stations with satellite dishes and antennas, excluding towers.

b. Cemeteries, including family cemeteries.

c. Clubs, civic and fraternal.

d. Community service facilities, including auditoriums, libraries, museums, and neighborhood centers.

e. Cinerators.

f. Educational facilities not among the permitted uses of the district.

g. Funeral establishments.

h. Hospitals.

i. Offices for government agencies or public utilities.

j. Public utility structures exceeding the district structure height limit and telecommunications towers of any height, excluding any industrial uses.

k. Warehousing or maintenance facilities for government agencies or public utilities.

(5) Recreation and entertainment.

a. Amusement arcade centers and bingo facilities.

b. Golf courses, tennis centers, swimming pools and similar active outdoor recreational facilities, including associated country clubs.

c. Parks with permanent restrooms or outdoor event lighting.

(6) Industrial and related. Microbreweries, microdistilleries, and microwineries

(7) Agricultural and related.

a. Horses or other domesticated equines kept on site, and stables for such animals, only as a private residential accessory with a minimum lot area of two acres and a maximum of one animal per acre.

b. Veterinary clinics.

(8) Other uses.

a. Self-storage facilities with a maximum lot area of one acre and outdoor storage limited to operable motor vehicles and boats. No vehicle rental.

b. Structures of permitted uses exceeding the district structure height limit, excluding telecommunications towers.

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment **is consistent** with the intent and purpose of the Land Development Code. The proposed High Density Mixed-use zoning may be established only within the Mixed-Use Suburban (MU-S), Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U), or Commercial (C) future land use categories. The district is suitable for areas where the intermixing of uses has been the custom, where future uses are uncertain, and some redevelopment is probable. The district is appropriate to provide transitions between areas zoned or used for medium or high density residential and areas zoned or used for commercial.

Criterion c., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Compatible with surrounding uses

All the permitted uses of the proposed zoning, not just those anticipated by the rezoning applicant, are compatible, as defined in Chapter 6, with the surrounding uses. The uses of any surrounding undeveloped land shall be considered the permitted uses of the applicable district. Compatibility is not considered with potential conditional uses or with any nonconforming or unapproved uses. Also, in establishing the compatibility of a residential use, there is no additional burden to demonstrate the compatibility of specific residents or activities protected by fair housing law.

FINDINGS

The applicant has stated that the proposed rezoning is for residential use. For any nonresidential uses an compatibility study would have to be approved by the Planning Director during the Site Plan Review Process. The proposed amendment **is compatible** with surrounding residential existing uses in the area. Within the 500 radius area, staff observed properties with zoning districts HDMU and MDR. Thirty single family residences, three vacant commercial, one stormwater pond, and one warehouse.

Criterion d., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Appropriate if spot zoning

Where the proposed zoning would establish or reinforce a condition of spot zoning as defined in Chapter 6, the isolated district would nevertheless be transitional in character between the adjoining districts, or the differences with those districts would be minor or sufficiently limited. The extent of these mitigating characteristics or conditions demonstrates an appropriate site specific balancing of interests between the isolated district and adjoining lands. As per LDC Chapter 6, Spot Zoning is: Zoning applied to an area of land, regardless of its size, that is different from the zoning of all contiguous land. Such isolated or "spot" zoning is usually higher in its density or intensity of use than the adjoining zoning and may, therefore, extend privileges not generally extended to property similarly located in the area. Spot zoning is not by itself prohibited, but due to its potentially adverse impacts on adjoining zoning it carries a higher burden of demonstration that, if authorized, it will contribute

FINDINGS

The requested zoning district **would not be considered to be spot zoning** as the adjoining parcel to the south is currently zoned HDMU. Along Ashland Ave, there are residential use properties and existing subdivision with new subdivision be built to the west along Ashland Ave.

Criterion e., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Appropriate with changed or changing conditions

If the land uses or development conditions within the area surrounding the property of rezoning have changed, the changes are to such a degree and character that it is in the public interest to allow new uses, density, or intensity in the area through rezoning; and the permitted uses of the proposed district are appropriate and not premature for the area or likely to create or contribute to sprawl.

FINDINGS

The land uses or development conditions within the area surrounding the property of rezoning **have not changed.** The current request does support the transitional character, uses and intensities of the surrounding areas.

Attachments

Working Case File

Z-2019-03























LOOKING AT SUBJECT PROPERTY



KENNETH R. FOUNTAIN KERRY ANNE SCHULTZ SCOTT C. BRIDGFORD

2045 FOUNTAIN PROFESSIONAL CT. SUITE A NAVARRE, FLORIDA 32566 TEL: (850) 939-3535 FAX: (850) 939-3539

> SANTA ROSA BEACH Tel: (850) 622-2700 Fax: (850) 622-2722

December 19, 2018

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Escambia County Planning and Zoning Development Services Department 3363 West Park Place Pensacola, FL 32505

RE: REZONING APPLICATION Property Reference Number: 101S301101030005 8662 Ashland Avenue, Pensacola, Florida 32534 Owner: Ashland Avenue, LLC

Dear Ms. Cain:

I have the pleasure of assisting the above-referenced owner with the Application for Rezoning. Enclosed is the following information and documentation:

- (1) Completed Application
- (2) Concurrency Determination Acknowledgment
- (3) Affidavit of Owner and Limited Power of Attorney
- (4) Property Appraiser Information Confirming Ownership
- (5) Check in the amount of \$1,275.00 for the application fee
- (6) Boundary Survey

Please be advised that the owner can satisfy the re-zoning approval conditions to re-zone the property from Medium Density Residential ("MDR") to High Density Mixed Use ("HDMU"). In support of the Rezoning Application, the owner can support the following approval conditions:

(1) <u>Consistent with Comprehensive Plan</u>. The proposed rezoning is consistent with the future land use (FLU) category as prescribed in the Land Development Code ("LDC") Chapter 3, and with all other applicable goals, objectives, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan and not in conflict with any of its provisions. The rezoning is consistent with aspects of the Comprehensive Plan. The future land use of the property (Mixed Use Urban ("MU-U") (25 units per acre) allows for a mix of uses, including residential. The proposed zoning request of HDMU allows for single family residential use, and therefore, is consistent with the goals of the comprehensive plan. The subject parcel is located in Escambia County. The proposed development is well within the service areas of the County and Utility

infrastructure and will not negatively impact or degrade the level of service. In addition, the applicant understands the development must meet County land development standards. The proposed rezoning for the subject parcel meets the goals, objectives and policies of the comprehensive plan regarding potable water, wastewater, solid waste, storm water management, traffic, school and aquifer protection.

- (2) <u>Consistent with Zoning District Provisions</u>. The proposed rezoning is consistent with the stated purposes and intent of the Land Development Code, including any other zoning establishment provisions prescribed by the proposed district in Chapter 3. The primary intent of the HDMU district is to provide for residential development in an efficient urban pattern of well-connected streets. The proposed rezoning is consistent with the LDC and surrounding uses.
- (3) Compatible with Surroundings. All land uses, development activities, and conditions allowed by the proposed zoning are compatible with the surrounding conforming uses, activities and conditions and are able to coexist in relative proximity to them in a stable fashion over time such that no use, activity, or condition negatively impacts another. The appropriateness of the rezoning is not limited to any specific use that may be proposed but is evident for all permitted uses of the requested zoning. This condition shall not apply to any conditional uses of the proposed district or compatibility with nonconforming or unapproved uses, activities, or conditions. The requested zoning is HDMU, which allows for single family residential. The proposed zoning is compatible with the surrounding uses. The proposed development is residential development and the density would remain below the maximum allowed by the neighboring districts. The owner desires HDMU zoning to have the flexibility of forty foot lot widths. The proposed rezoning meets intent and goals of the surroundings area.
- (4) <u>Appropriate if Spot Zoning</u>. The adjacent property immediately to the South is also zoned HDMU. This rezoning request does not constitute spot zoning. In addition, the density of the proposed development would fall below the maximum allowed by the existing contiguous zones.
- (5) <u>Appropriate with Changes or Changing Conditions</u>. The area to which the proposed rezoning would apply has changed, or is changing, to such degree that it is in the public interest to encourage new uses, density, or intensity in the area through rezoning. The demand for smaller lot single family residential homes in the portion of the county has increased sharply in recent years.

Should you have any questions or need additional information, please do not hesitate to contact my office. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely, Fountain, Schultz & Associates, P.L. Kerry Anne Schultz

KAS: amf Enclosures as stated Last Updated: 10/6/17-Rezoning



Escambia County Planning and Zoning

Development Services Department 3363 West Park Place Pensacola, FL 32505 Phone: (850) 595-3475 • Fax: (850) 595-3481 http://myescambia.com/business/ds

H 18124176PPB

Rezoning Application

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY - Case Number: 22019-03 Accepted by: _____ PB Meeting: 2/5/2019

- 1. Contact Information:
 - A. Property Owner/Applicant: Ashland Avenue, L.L.C., a Florida limited liability company Mailing Address: 366 Fort Pickens Road, Pensacola Beach, FL 32561 Business Phone: <u>850-393-0893</u> Cell: <u>850-393-0893</u>

Email: jim@panhandlegulf.com

B. Authorized Agent (if applicable): Kerry Anne Schultz Mailing Address: 2045 Fountain Professional Court, Ste. A, Navarre, FL 32566 Cell:

Business Phone: 850-939-3535

Email: kaschultz@fountainlaw.com

Note: Owner must complete the attached Agent Affidavit. If there is more than one owner, each owner must complete an Agent Affidavit. Application will be voided if changes to this application are found.

2. Property Information:

- A. Existing Street Address: 8662 Ashland Ave., Pensacola, Florida 32534 Parcel ID (s): 101S301101030005
- B. Total acreage of the subject property: 4.83

C. Existing Zoning: MDR

Proposed Zoning: HDMU ; explain why necessary and/or appropriate Development of residential townhomes.

FLU Category: MU-U

D. Is the subject property developed (if yes, explain): No.

The current structures will be demolished upon commencement of construction

- E. Sanitary Sewer: X Septic: _____
- 3. Amendment Request

Approval conditions. The applicant has the burden of presenting competent substantial evidence to the reviewing board establishing that the requested zoning district would contribute to or result in a logical and orderly development pattern. The appropriate surrounding area within which uses and conditions must be considered may vary with those uses and conditions and is not necessarily the same area required for mailed notification. A logical and orderly pattern shall require demonstration of each of the following conditions:

Please address ALL the following approval conditions for your rezoning request. (use

supplement sheets as needed)

a. Consistent with Comprehensive Plan. The proposed zoning is consistent with the future land use (FLU) category as prescribed in LDC Chapter 3, and with all other applicable goals, objectives, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan. If the rezoning is required to properly enact a proposed FLU map amendment transmitted for state agency review, the_proposed zoning is consistent with the proposed FLU and conditional to its adoption.

| ee attached letter | | |
|--------------------|------|-------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | · |
| | | |

the purpose and intent and with any other zoning establishment provisions prescribed by the proposed district in Chapter 3

See attached letter

b.

Last Updated: 10/6/17-Rezoning

c. Compatible with surroundings. All of the permitted uses of the proposed zoning, not just those anticipated by the rezoning applicant, are compatible, as defined in Chapter 6, with the surrounding uses. The uses of any surrounding undeveloped land shall be considered the permitted uses of the applicable district. Compatibility is not considered with potential conditional uses or with any nonconforming or unapproved uses. Also, in establishing the compatibility of a residential use, there is no additional burden to demonstrate the compatibility of specific residents or activities protected by fair housing law.

See attached letter

d. Appropriate if spot zoning. Where the proposed zoning would establish or reinforce a condition of spot zoning as defined in Chapter 6, the isolated district would nevertheless be transitional in character between the adjoining districts, or the differences with those districts would be minor or sufficiently limited. The extent of these mitigating characteristics or conditions demonstrates an appropriate site-specific balancing of interests between the isolated district and adjoining lands.

As per LDC Chapter 6, Spot Zoning is: Zoning applied to an area of land, regardless of its size, that is different from the zoning of all contiguous land. Such isolated or "spot" zoning is usually higher in its density or intensity of use than the adjoining zoning and may, therefore, extend privileges not generally extended to property similarly located in the area. Spot zoning is not by itself prohibited, but due to its potentially adverse impacts on adjoining zoning it carries a higher burden of demonstration that, if authorized, it will contribute to or result in logical and orderly development

See attached letter

e. Appropriate with changed or changing conditions. If the land uses or development conditions within the area surrounding the property of rezoning have changed, the changes are to such a degree and character that it is in the public interest to allow new uses, density, or intensity in the area through rezoning; and the permitted uses of the proposed district are appropriate and not premature for the area or likely to create or contribute to sprawl.

See attached letter

4. Please complete the following Forms: Concurrency Determination Acknowledgement and Affidavit of Owner/Limited Power of Attorney (if applicable).

CONCURRENCY DETERMINATION ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Property Reference Number(s): 101S301101030005

Property Address: 8662 Ashland Ave., Pensacola, Florida 32534

I/We acknowledge and agree that no future development for which concurrency of required facilities and services must be certified shall be approved for the subject parcel(s) without the issuance of a certificate of concurrency for the development based on the actual densities and intensities proposed in the future development's permit application.

I/We also acknowledge and agree that approval of a zoning district amendment (rezoning) or Future Land Use Map amendment does not certify, vest, or otherwise guarantee that concurrency of required facilities and services is, or will be, available for any future development of the subject parcels.

I/We further acknowledge and agree that no development for which concurrency must be certified shall be approved unless at least one of the following minimum conditions of the Comprehensive Plan will be met for each facility and service of the County's concurrency management system prior to development approval:

- a. The necessary facilities or services are in place at the time a development permit is issued.
- b. A development permit is issued subject to the condition that the necessary facilities and services will be in place and available to serve the new development at the time of the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.
- c. For parks and recreation facilities and roads, the necessary facilities are under construction at the time the development permit is issued.
- d. For parks and recreation facilities, the necessary facilities are the subject of a binding executed contract for the construction of the facilities at the time the development permit is issued and the agreement requires that facility construction must commence within one year of the issuance of the development permit.
- e. The necessary facilities and services are guaranteed in an enforceable development agreement. An enforceable development agreement may include, but is not limited to, development agreements pursuant to Section 163.3220, F.S., or as amended, or an agreement or development order issued pursuant to Chapter 380, F.S., or as amended. For wastewater, solid waste, potable water, and stormwater facilities, any such agreement will guarantee the necessary facilities and services to be in place and available to serve the new development at the time of the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.
- f. For roads, the necessary facilities needed to serve the development are included in the first three years of the applicable Five-Year Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Work Program or are in place or under actual construction no more than three years after the issuance of a County development order or permit.

I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE THAT I HAVE READ, UNDERSTAND AND AGREE WITH THE ABOVE STATEMENT 19Th DAY OF December, YEAR OF 2018 ON THIS

ignature of Property Owner

ATHLAND AUTEVIE LLC 12/19/18 Printed Name of Property Owner

Signature of Property Owner

Printed Name of Property Owner

Date

Last Updated: 10/6/17-Rezoning

AFFIDAVIT OF OWNER AND LIMITED POWER OF ATTORNEY (if applicable)

As owner of the property located at <u>8662 Ashland Ave., Pensacola, Florida 32534</u> ________, Florida, property reference number(s) <u>101S301101030005</u> _________ I hereby designate Kerry Anne Schultz ________ for the sole purpose of completing this application and making a presentation to the Planning Board and the Board of County Commissioners to request a rezoning on the above referenced property. This Limited Power of Attorney is granted on this <u>19TH</u> day of <u>December</u> the year of, <u>2018</u>, and is effective until the Board of County Commissioners or the Board of Adjustment has rendered a decision on this request and any appeal period has expired. The owner reserves the right to rescind this Limited Power of Attorney at any time with a written, notarized notice to the Development Services Bureau.

 Agent Name: Kerry Anne Schultz
 Email: kaschultz@fountainlaw.com

 Address: 2045 Fountain Professional Court, Ste. A, Navarre, FL 32566
 Phone: 850-939-3535

| | JANAES D. HOMAYAK-ANAN | ANGANTSEIL |
|------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| Ab.P. | JANNES D. HOMAYAK-NAAN ASHLOND AUFLUGE LLL | 12/19/18 |
| Signature of Property Owner | Printed Name of Property Owner | Date |
| Signature of Property Owner | Printed Name of Property Owner | Date |
| STATE OF Florida | COUNTY OF Escambia | |
| The foregoing instrument was | acknowledged before me this <u>[976</u> day of | December 20 18, |
| by James D. | | |

Personally Known OR Produced Identification . Type of Identification Produced: ____

gnature of Notar

Julie JANE FRANKLIN

Printed Name of Notary

(Notary With Communication of the second sec

5. Submittal Requirements

- A. X Completed application: All applicable areas of the application shall be filled in and submitted to the Planning and Zoning Department, 3363 West Park Place, Pensacola, FL 32505.
- B. X Application Fees: To view fees visit the website: <u>http://myescambia.com/business/ds/planning-board or contact us at 595-3547</u>

Note: Application fees include a \$5 technical fee. Cost of the public notice mailing is to be borne by the applicant. Payments must be submitted prior to 3 pm of the closing date of acceptance of application. Please make checks payable to Escambia County. MasterCard and Visa are also accepted (a 3% fee will be added for credit card payments).

- C. X Legal Proof of Ownership (ex: copy of Tax Notice or Warranty Deed) AND a Certified Boundary Survey (Include Corporation/LLC documentation if applicable.)
- D. <u>NA</u> Compatibility Analysis (if applicable): If the subject property does not meet the roadway requirements of Locational Criteria, a compatibility analysis prepared by the applicant is required to provide substantial evidence of unique circumstances regarding the parcel or use that were not anticipated by the alternative criteria. (See "Documented Compatibility" within the request zoning district of the LDC.)
- E. X Signed and Notarized Affidavit of Owner/Limited Power of Attorney AND Concurrency Determination Acknowledgement (pages 4 and 5).

By my signature, I hereby certify that:

- 1) I am duly qualified as owner(s) or authorized agent to make such application, this application is of my own choosing, and staff has explained all procedures relating to this request; and
- 2) All information given is accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief, and I understand that deliberate misrepresentation of such information will be grounds for denial or reversal of this application and/or revocation of any approval based upon this application; and
- 3) I understand that there are no guarantees as to the outcome of this request, and that the application fee is non-refundable; and
- 4) I authorize County staff to enter upon the property referenced herein at any reasonable time for purposes of site inspection and authorize placement of a public notice sign(s) on the property referenced herein at a location(s) to be determined by County staff; and
- 5) I am aware that Public Hearing notices (legal ad and/or postcards) for the request shall be provided by the Development Services Bureau.

| Signature of Owner/Agent | Printed Name Owner/Agent | Date Date |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| Signature of Owner | Printed Name Owner/Agent | MERATSEN 12/19/18 Date |
| STATE OF Florida COUNT | TY OF <u>Escambla</u> day of <u>December</u> 20 <u>18</u> , by | The foregoing instrument |
| Personally Known O OR Produced Identific | | |
| Signature of Notary | Julie Jane Fran Printed Name of Notary | (notary seal) |
| | -7- | Julie Jane Franklin NOTARY PUBLIC |

Comm# FF986787 Expires 4/27/2020 Recorded in Public Records 11/6/2017 8:09 AM OR Book 7805 Page 335, Instrument #2017086999, Pam Childers Clerk of the Circuit Court Escambia County, FL Recording \$18.50 Deed Stamps \$0.70

> Prepared by and return to: Kerry Anne Schultz Attorney at Law Fountain, Schultz & Associates, P.L. 2045 Fountain Professional Ct Suite A Navarre, FL 32566 850-939-3535 File Number: 17-00275.RC

> > [Space Above This Line For Recording Data]

Corrective Warranty Deed

**This corrective warranty deed is being re-recorded to correct the name in the notary block to Diana Dawn Romero f/k/a Diana Dawn Elmore, and as Attorney-in-Fact for Nell W. Elmore in that certain Warranty Deed recorded 7/6/2017 in O.R. Book 7740, Page 434, of the public records of Escambia County, Florida.

This Warranty Deed made this 5th day of July, 2017 between Nell W. Elmore, an unmarried woman and Diana Dawn Romero f/k/a Diana Dawn Elmore, a married woman whose post office address is 407 Crickentree Drive, Cary, NC 27518, grantor, and Ashland Avenue, L.L.C., a Florida limited liability company whose post office address is 366 Fort Pickens Road, Pensacola Beach, FL 32561, grantee:

(Whenever used herein the terms "grantor" and "grantee" include all the parties to this instrument and the heirs, legal representatives, and assigns of individuals, and the successors and assigns of corporations, trusts and trustees)

Witnesseth, that said grantor, for and in consideration of the sum of TEN AND NO/100 DOLLARS (\$10.00) and other good and valuable considerations to said grantor in hand paid by said grantee, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, has granted, bargained, and sold to the said grantee, and grantee's heirs and assigns forever, the following described land, situate, lying and being in Escambia County, Florida to-wit:

Lt 3 Block 5, National Land Sales Company Subdivision of Section 10, Township 1 South Range 30 West, Escambia County, Florida, less and except and portion as described in Deed Book 563 Page 65 for County Road Right of Way.

Parcel Identification Number: 101S301101030005

Subject to reservations, restrictions and easements of record which are not hereby reimposed, and any zoning ordinances.

Together with all the tenements, hereditaments and appurtenances thereto belonging or in anywise appertaining.

To Have and to Hold, the same in fee simple forever.

And the grantor hereby covenants with said grantee that the grantor is lawfully seized of said land in fee simple; that the grantor has good right and lawful authority to sell and convey said land; that the grantor hereby fully warrants the title to said land and will defend the same against the lawful claims of all persons whomsoever; and that said land is free of all encumbrances, except taxes accruing subsequent to December 31, 2016.

In Witness Whereof, grantor has hereunto set grantor's hand and scal the day and year first above written.

Signed, sealed and delivered in our presence:

DoubleTimee

BK: 7805 PG: 336 Last Page

Seo1 Nell W. Elmore by Diana Dawn Romero f/k/a Witness Name HOD Diana Dawn Elmore, Attorney In Fact Inderich PX 4 ohn Dillerto Witness Name: Fraderick \overline{D} 1111/ Seal n *flk* JUDD Diana Dawn Romero f/k/a Diana Dawn Elmo ss Name: State of County of _____ The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 5/h day of June, 2017 by Diana Dawn Romero f/k/a Diana Dawn Elmore, who [] are personally known or [X] have produced a driver's license as identification. *And as Attorney-in-Fact for Nell W. Elmore // [Notary Scal] uche Notary Public 11111 Printed Name: My Commission Expires: 11111

Warranty Deed - Page 2

DoubleTimes

.

.....

.

Source: Escambia County Property Appraiser

| | e Mode 🐏 | Account | eference | | | | Restore Ful | Page Version |
|--|-----------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| General Info | mation | | | Assessn | nents | | | |
| Reference: | 1015301 | 101030005 | | Year | Land | Imprv | Total | Cap Val |
| Account: | 0201110 | 00 | | 2018 | \$114,238 | \$1,537 | \$115,775 | \$115,775 |
| Owners: | ASHLAND | AVENUE LLC | | 2017 | \$114,238 | \$144,879 | \$259,117 | \$163,837 |
| Mall: | 366 FT PI | ICKENS RD DLA BEACH, FL | 32561 | 2016 | \$114,238 | \$140,352 | \$254,590 | \$160,468 |
| Situs: | | ILAND AVE 32 | | 1 | | Disclaimer | | |
| Use Code: | SINGLE F | AMILY RESID | | • • • • | | | | |
| Units: | 2 | | | | I | <u>ax Estima</u> | <u>ator</u> | |
| Taxing Authority: | COUNTY | MSTU | | > File | o for Neu | w Homest | oad Evo | motion |
| Tax Inquiry: | <u>Open Tax</u> | Inquiry Windo | w | / 1.00 | | Online | | mpuon (|
| Tax Inquiry lini Escambia Cour | | | rd | | | <u>Unime</u> | | |
| Sales Data | | | 0/// | 2018 Ce None | rtified Roll E | exemptions | | |
| Sale Date Bo 06/05/2017 78 | 2 | Value Type \$100 WD | (New Window) | LT 3 BLK | escription 5 LESS DB 56 OR 7740 P 43 | 53 P 65 COUNT 34 OR 7805 P 3 | Y RD R/W 5/E | PLAT DB |
| 06/05/2017 77 | | | | | | | | |
| 01/04/2017 78 | | \$100 WD | | | | | | |
| | 39 879 | \$100 WD | | Extra Fe | | | | |
| 01/1971 5 | 42 560 | \$100 WD | - | CARPORT GAZEBO | | | | |
| Official Records Escambia Coun Comptroller | | | | MOBILE F | IOME | | | |
| Parcel | | 2.91, | | | | | | |
| Information | · | | | | | | Launch Inte | eroctive Map |
| Section Map Id: 10-1 <u>S-30-2</u> | ₽ ₽ | | | | | | | |
| Approx. Acreage: 4.8100 | | | | | | | | |
| Zoned: MDR | ASHI AND NE | | | | | | | 331 48 |
| Evacuation | · · · · · | | | | | | | 10 |
| & Flood | 22 | | | | | | | e, |
| Open Report | 3 | | | • | | | | |
| billing the second second | un lau | | | | | | | |
| | ~ 1 | | | | | | | |
| | 5 - | | | | | | | |
| | 8 | | | | | | ! | |
| <u> </u> | -1 1 | | | | | | 1 | |
| E Contraction of the second se | | | | | | | 1 | |
| | View View | Florida Depart | ment of Env | ironmental | Protection(D) | EP) Data | • | |







R/W DENOTES RIGHT-OF-WAY