#### PLEASE NOTE THAT ALL MEETINGS ARE RECORDED

# AGENDA Value Adjustment Board Regular Meeting - February 9, 2018 - 9:00 a.m. Ernie Lee Magaha Government Building, First Floor

Call to Order.

## (PLEASE TURN YOUR CELL PHONE TO THE VIBRATE, SILENCE, OR OFF SETTING)

2. Was the Meeting Properly Advertised?

The Meeting was advertised in the *Board of County Commissioners-Escambia County, Florida, Meeting Schedule February 5-February 9, 2018*, and it was posted on the Escambia County Clerk & Comptroller's website.

3. Special Magistrates' Recommended Decisions.

<u>Recommendation:</u> That the Board review and either **uphold** or **overturn** the recommended decisions of the Special Magistrates for Petitions heard for the 2017 Tax Year, as provided.

4. Approval of Minutes.

<u>Recommendation:</u> That the Board approve the Minutes of the Value Adjustment Board Organizational Meeting held August 24, 2017, as prepared by Lizabeth Carew, Administrative Specialist, Clerk & Comptroller's Office.

- 5. Election of Chairman and Vice Chairman.
- 6. Adjournment.

## News Journal pnj.com

Published Daily-Pensacola, Escambia County, FL

#### PROOF OF PUBLICATION

State of Florida

County of Escambia:

Before the undersigned authority personally appeared <u>Steve Dulaney</u> who, on oath, says that she is a personal representative of the Pensacola News Journal, a daily newspaper published in Escambia County, Florida; that the attached copy of advertisement, being a Legal in the matter of:

#### BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS MEETING SCHEDULE 02/05 - 02/09

Was published in said newspaper in the issue(s) of:

#### February 03, 2018

Affiant further says that the said Pensacola News Journal is a newspaper published in said Escambia County, Florida, and that the said newspaper has heretofore been published in said Escambia County, Florida, and has been entered as second class matter at the Post Office in said Escambia County, Florida, for a period of one year next preceding the first publication of the attached copy of advertisement; and affiant further says that she has neither paid nor promised any person, firm or corporation any discount, rebate, commission or refund for the purpose of securing this advertisement for publication in the said newspaper.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 05th day of FEBRUARY, 2018, by <u>Steve Dulaney</u>, who is personally known to me.





#### Board of County Commissioners-Escamble County, Florida Meeting Schedule February 5 - February 9, 2018



One or more of the Escambia County Commissioners may attend the following meetings:

DAY	DATE	TIME	MEETING	LOCATION
Mon	02/05	3:00pm	Selection Committee Director of Facilities Management	221 Palefox Place***
			Short List of Applicants	
Tue	02/06	6:30em	Planning Board/Rezoning	3363 West Park Place
Tue	02/06	9:00am -	Board of County Commissioners Committee of the Whole	BCC Meeting Room*
Tue	02/06	1:30pm	Environmental Enforcement Special Magistrate	3363 West Park Place
Wed	02/07	8:30am	Contractor Competency Board	3363 West Park Place
Wed	02/07	1:00pm	Development Review Committee	3363 West Park Place™
Fri	02/09	9:00em	Value Adjustment Board	BCC Meeting Room*

\*Ernie Lee Magaha Government Building, 221 Palafox Place
\*\*To View DRC Agenda go to: http://www.myesgamble.com/government

\*\*\*4<sup>th</sup> Floor Training Room 0.605

#### NEXT WEEK'S NOTE

MEAL	MEEV 0	NUIE:	•	
Mon	02/12	5:30pm	Marine Advisory Committee	3363 West Park Place, Room 104
Tue	02/13	5:00pm	Merit System Protection Board	221 Palafox Place***
Thu	02/15	9:00am	Community Redevelopment Agency	BCC Meeting Room*
Thu	02/15	9:15am	Board of County Commissioners Agenda Review	BCC Meeting Room*
Thu	02/15	4:30pm	Board of County Commissioners Public Forum	BCC Meeting Room*
Thu	02/15	5:30pm	Board of County Commissioners Public Hearings & Reports	BCC Meeting Room*
			•	•

Note: A copy of the agends for the meetings initiated by the Board of County Commissioners containing specific ferms to be onsidered in the order of presentation may be obtained from the County Administrator's Office, Suite 420, Eccambile County Coverment Compt. 221 Paisfox Place. Any Persons needing accommodations to attend or participate, pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, should contract Angels Crawley, 595-4947, at itsest 72 hours in advance of the meeting. Those who are hearing or speech impaired may Contact Mrs. Crawley use -mell at Addrawle@mvescambils.com Any person who decides to appeal any decision made by any board, agency, or commission with respect to any matter considered at its meeting or hearing, will need a record of the proceedings of the meeting. Since the Board of County Commissioners does not make werbathm records of its meeting, such person may need to independently secure a record that should include the testimony or evidence on which the appeal is to be based. All Board of County Commissioners meetings are broadcast the sand rebroadcast on ECTV. Digital Chennel 98 on Cox Cable, Brighthouse and Mediacom and the Regular Board of County Commissioners Meetings beginning at 5:30 p.m. are broadcast live on WUWF Chennel 4. The meetings can also be seen him vie site we was been at the survey meetings can also be seen him vie site we was the survey meetings.

What's on ECTV at <u>www.myescambia.com</u>

MARK BEE KENT Notary Public - State of Florida Comm. Expires October 27, 2019 Comm. No. FF 931266 Al-13582 3.

Value Adjustment Board Regular Meeting

Meeting Date: 02/09/2018

**Issue:** Special Magistrates' Recommended Decisions

From: Pam Childers, Clerk of the Circuit Court & Comptroller

Organization: Clerk & Comptroller's Office

### Information

### **Recommendation:**

Special Magistrates' Recommended Decisions.

<u>Recommendation:</u> That the Board review and either **uphold** or **overturn** the recommended decisions of the Special Magistrates for Petitions heard for the 2017 Tax Year, as provided.

### **Background:**

Hearings for the 2017 Petitions to the Value Adjustment Board were conducted by Attorney Special Magistrate Larry A. Matthews on and Appraiser Special Magistrate Steven L. Marshall.

#### **Attachments**

Magistrate Worksheets 2017 VAB



## DECISION OF THE VALUE ADJUSTMENT BOARD EXEMPTION, CLASSIFICATION, ASSESSMENT DIFFERENCE TRANSFER, CHANGE OF OWNERSHIP OR CONTROL, OR QUALIFYING IMPROVEMENT PETITION

DR-485XC R. 01/17 Rule 12D-16.002 F.A.C. Eff. 01/17

The actions below were taken on your petition in	Escambia	County.	
✓ These actions are a recommendation only, no		actions are a final decision of	
If you are not satisfied after you are notified of the final		•	
court to further contest your assessment. (See sections			orida Statutes.)
Petition # 2017-0007	Parcel		
Petitioner name MELTON RONALD D	Proper	109 3 NAV 1 DI VIJ	
The petitioner is: ✓ taxpayer of record ☐ taxpay ☐ other, explain:	er's agent addres	s , FL	
Uniter, explain.			
<b>Decision Summary</b> ✓ Denied your petition	n ☐ Granted you	r petition 🔲 Granted your p	etition in part
		Value before Board	
Lines 1 and 4 must be completed	Value from	Action	Value after
'	TRIM Notice	Value presented by property appraiser Rule 12D-9.025(10), F.A.C.	Board Action
1. Just value, required	76,090.00	76,090.00	76,090.00
2. Assessed or classified use value,* if applicable	76,090.00	76,090.00	76,090.00
3. Exempt value,* enter "0" if none	0.00	0.00	0.00
4. Taxable value,* required	76,090.00	76,090.00	76,090.00
*All values entered should be county taxable values. School	l and other taxing auth	ority values may differ. (Section 19	6.031(7), F.S.)
	ason for Petition	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,,,,,
☐ Homestead ☐ Widow/er ☐ Blind	ч Пт	otally and permanently disable	d veteran
		se classification, specify	a votoran
		se exemption, specify	
Transfer of homestead assessment difference		Qualifying improvement	1
Change of ownership or control		Other, specify	
Reasons for Decision		Fill-in fields will expand or add	pages, as needed.
Findings of Fact (See Attached)			
(Occ / tituonou)			
Conclusions of Law			
(See Attached)			
✓ Recommended Decision of Special Ma	gistrate The findin	g and conclusions above are re	commendations.
	arry.Matthews	11/0	9/2017
Signature, special magistrate	Print r		Date
Lizabeth Carew Li	zabeth Carew	11/1	3/2017
Signature, VAB clerk or special representative	Print r	ame	Date
If this is a recommended decision, the board will consider Address	the recommended de	cision on at	■ AM □ PM.
If the line above is blank, please call (850) 595-3917	or visit our web s	ite at http://escambiaclerk.com	/AxiaLive2017
☐ Final Decision of the Value Adjustment	Board		
Signature, chair, value adjustment board	Print r	name [	Date of decision
Signature, VAB clerk or representative	Print r	name Dat	e mailed to parties

#### **Findings of Fact:**

The subject property did not meet the qualifications for personal exemption based on several facts, a few below:

- 1) Photographs did not reflect a permanent habitat or residence of Petitioner. Additionally, the photos submitted by Petitioner appeared staged, and without evidence of actual or meaningful residence.
- 2) Other photos and evidence reflecting Petitioner's actual residence was in out-of-state residence(s).
- 3) The lack of candor by Petitioner in responding to questions, refusing to allow Property Appraiser to inspect the house and other evidence of obstruction, etc.
- 4) The history of use and attempted sales of property as commercial property.

#### **Conclusions of Law:**

- 1) Exemptions from ad valorem taxation are strictly construed against the taxpayer and in favor of the taxing authority. Dade Cty. Taxing Autho. v. Cedars of Lebanon Hosp. Corp., 355 So.2nd 1202 (Fla. 1978)
- 2) The burden is on the taxpayer to show clearly any entitlement to a tax exemption. Volusia County v. Daytona Bch. Racing & Rec. Facilities Dist., 341 So.2d 498 (Fla. 1976)
- 3) Any ambiguity is to be resolved against he taxpayer and against exemption. Parrish v. Pier Club Apts., LLC, 900 So.2 683 (Fla. 4th DCA 2005)
- 4) Actual use of the property as of January 1st of each tax year is the test for determining entitlement to either exemption or an agricultural classification. Sowell v. Panama Commons, L.P., 192 So.3d 27, 32 (Fla. 2016)



DR-485V R. 01/ 17 Rule 12D-16.0 02 F.A.C. Eff. 01/17

The actions below were taken on your petition.  These actions are a recommendation only, n If you are not satisfied after you are notified of th in circuit court to further contest your assessmen	ne final decision of	the VAB, you have the righ	t to file a lawsuit
Florida Statutes.)	15	115	
Petition # 2017-0142	Parce		
Petitioner name CALKINS THOMAS R TRUSTEE The petitioner is: ✓ taxpayer of record ☐ taxpay ☐ other, explain:			
<b>Decision Summary</b> ✓ Denied your petition	☐ Granted your	petition	etition in part
Value Lines 1 and 4 must be completed	Value from TRIM Notice	Before Board Action Value presented by property appraiser Rule 12D-9.025(10), F.A.C.	After Board Action
1. Just value, required	158,879.00	158,879.00	158,879.00
2. Assessed or classified use value,* if applicable	158,879.00	158,879.00	158,879.00
3. Exempt value,* enter "0" if none	55,000.00	55,000.00	55,000.00
4. Taxable value,* required	103,879.00	103,879.00	103,879.00
*All values entered should be county taxable values. School	ol and other taxing au	thority values may differ. (Section	196.031(7), F.S.)
Reasons for Decision		Fill-in fields will expand or ad	d pages, as needed.
Findings of Fact			
(See Attached)			
Conclusions of Law			
(See Attached)			
✓ Recommended Decision of Special Ma	<b>agistrate</b> Findi	ng and conclusions above are	recommendations.
Steven.Marshall	Stever	.Marshall	11/16/2017
Signature, special magistrate	Prin	t name	Date
Lizabeth Carew	Lizabe	eth Carew	11/17/2017
Signature, VAB clerk or special representative	Prin	t name	Date
If this is a recommended decision, the board will cons			at
If the line above is blank, the board does not yet known considered. To find the information, please call (850)			
☐ Final Decision of the Value Adjustmen	t Board		
Signature, chair, value adjustment board	Prin	t name	Date of decision
Signature, VAB clerk or representative	Prin	t name D	ate mailed to parties

#### Findings of Fact for Petition 2017-0142:

Mr. Calkins, the Petitioner, presented credible evidence that after years of solitude the new airport construction of the VT MAE Hanger at the airport-- contiguous to his back yard, diminished the value of his property. Mr. Calkins documented noise, odor, lights, dust, loss of sunlight and other factors. Generally, I believe all is true. Mr. Calkins presented several photos to fairly present his case. The P.A. staff agrees with Mr. Calkins. The issue was , effective January 1-- what is the value of the property given the intrusion of the hanger development? The P.A. staff presented six comparables located next door or within blocks of the subject. Adjustments were made for location, existence of a pool, and so forth. Comparable number six is located next door to the subject property. After adjustments , it reflects a value for the subject of \$92.19 per square foot. This sale has the same hanger view as the subject (more or less). Comparables 3 and 4 bracket \$80 TO \$114 per square foot. As is typical all of the comparables had attributes requiring adjustment or consideration in the reconciliation of value process. The P.A. and the petitioner presented quality and ethical analysis and made good presentations. The P.A. value range is clear, based upon the data presented, to support a value range of \$80 to as high as \$119 per square foot for the subject property. The subject property CAMA value is \$158,879 or \$72.25 per square foot. Based upon the good quality market evidence presented by the P.A., it appears the noise, lights, sight and other negative issues caused by the VT MAE Hanger have been appropriately accounted for in the valuation process.

#### Conclusions of Law for Petition 2017-0142:

The P.A. offer quality analysis of comparable sales that were reasonably similar to the subject and suitable for comparable sales analysis purposes. The P.A. data fairly and reasonably accounts for the construction of the VT MAE hangers market influence effective the date of valuation. Therefore, the Special Magistrate upholds the presumption of correctness and affirms/upholds the estimate of value reported by the P.A.



DR-485V R. 01/ 17 Rule 12D-16.0 02 F.A.C. Eff. 01/17

The actions below were taken on your petition.				
✓ These actions are a recommendation only, n	ot final	] These	e actions are a final decis	ion of the VAB
If you are not satisfied after you are notified of the in circuit court to further contest your assessment Florida Statutes.)				
Petition # 2017-0008		Parce	ID 080035000	
Petitioner name MELTON RONALD D  The petitioner is:	er's agent	Prope addre	* 100 0 NAVI DEVD	
<b>Decision Summary</b> ✓ Denied your petition	Grante	ed your	petition	petition in part
Value Lines 1 and 4 must be completed	Value fi TRIM No	_	Before Board Action Value presented by property apprain Rule 12D-9.025(10), F.A.C.	After Board Action
1. Just value, required	76,0	90.00	76,090.00	76,090.00
2. Assessed or classified use value,* if applicable	76,0	90.00	76,090.00	76,090.00
3. Exempt value,* enter "0" if none		0.00	0.00	0.00
4. Taxable value,* required	76,0	90.00	76,090.00	76,090.00
*All values entered should be county taxable values. School	ol and other ta	axing aut	hority values may differ. (Secti	on 196.031(7), F.S.)
Reasons for Decision			Fill-in fields will expand or	add pages, as needed.
Findings of Fact				
(See Attached)				
Conclusions of Law				
(See Attached)				
✓ Recommended Decision of Special Ma	gistrate	Findir	ng and conclusions above a	re recommendations.
Steven.Marshall		Steven	.Marshall	11/07/2017
Signature, special magistrate		Print	name	Date
Lizabeth Carew		Lizabe	th Carew	11/13/2017
Signature, VAB clerk or special representative		Print	name	Date
If this is a recommended decision, the board will cons	sider the rec	ommen	ded decision on	at
If the line above is blank, the board does not yet know considered. To find the information, please call (850)				
☐ Final Decision of the Value Adjustmen	t Board			
Signature, chair, value adjustment board		Print	name	Date of decision
Signature, VAB clerk or representative		Print	name	Date mailed to parties

### Findings of Fact for Petition 2017-0008:

The petitioner was present. Mr. Melton obviously had an agenda to attack and disrupt the hearing. The petitioner was repeatedly cautioned by the Special Magistrate, the VAB Attorney Ms. Whibbs and the P.A.-- Mr. Jones during the hearing. Mr. Melton was advised repeatedly that the hearings only purpose and authority was to focus on value of the property effective January 1. Mr. Melton demanded a seven year refund for over payment, reinstatement of his homestead on the property and made personal and derogatory comments to the P.A. staff. Mr. Melton did not present any sales data or any credible evidence what so ever regarding the market value of his property. Mr. Melton paid \$115,000 for the subject property in September 2013. Mr. Melton listed the property for sale for \$189.500 in 2015. The P.A. presented credible value indications of \$144,000 by the Market Approach and \$130,000 by the Income approach. After adjusting for 1st & 8th criteria the P.A. had a value conclusion of \$76,090. clearly, the value is low-- probably well below true market value.

#### **Conclusions of Law for Petition 2017-0008:**

The Petitioner presented no credible arguments regarding the value of the property. The P.A. provided two credible value indications to well support the just value. The P.A. complied with Statutory criteria and provided a defensible and reasonable estimate of market value. The P.A. was affirmed the "presumption of correctness" and the value estimate of the P.A. is upheld by the Special Magistrate.



## DECISION OF THE VALUE ADJUSTMENT BOARD EXEMPTION, CLASSIFICATION, ASSESSMENT DIFFERENCE TRANSFER, CHANGE OF OWNERSHIP OR CONTROL, OR QUALIFYING IMPROVEMENT PETITION

DR-485XC R. 01/17 Rule 12D-16.002 F.A.C. Eff. 01/17

The actions below were taken on your petition in	Escambia	County.	
✓ These actions are a recommendation only, no	ot final 🔲 Thes	se actions are a final decision	on of the VAB
If you are not satisfied after you are notified of the final		•	
court to further contest your assessment. (See sections			5, Florida Statutes.)
Petition # 2017-0141	Parc		
Petitioner name GUILLEN DIANA	Prop	, 013070000010011001	
The petitioner is: ✓ taxpayer of record ☐ taxpay	er's agent addr	ess , FL	
other, explain:			
<b>Decision Summary</b> ✓ Denied your petition	Granted yo	our petition 🔲 Granted yo	ur petition in part
		Value before Board	
Lines 1 and 4 must be completed	Value from	Action	Value after
	TRIM Notice	Value presented by property apprais Rule 12D-9.025(10), F.A.C.	er Board Action
Just value, required	93,355.0	<del>- 1</del>	93,355.00
2. Assessed or classified use value,* if applicable	93,355.0	93,355.0	
3. Exempt value,* enter "0" if none	0.0	0.0	0.00
4. Taxable value,* required	93,355.0	93,355.0	93,355.00
*All values entered should be county taxable values. School	l and other taxing au	thority values may differ. (Section	196.031(7), F.S.)
	ason for Petition	,	
☐ Homestead ☐ Widow/er ☐ Blind	<u> </u>	Totally and permanently disa	bled veteran
	bled veteran	Use classification, specify	
	loyed military	Use exemption, specify	
Transfer of homestead assessment difference		Qualifying improvement	
Change of ownership or control		Other, specify	
Reasons for Decision		Fill-in fields will expand or	add pages, as needed.
Findings of Fact Petitioner did not appear at the commencement of the	echeduled hearin	a and did not indicate a desire	to have their
Petition heard without their attendance and a good ca		_	to have their
Conclusions of Law	idoc request is not	portuning.	
	a and an that any vis	ht the Detitioner may beyo to	bring on action in
The relief is denied and the decision is being issued in Circuit Court is not impaired. Rule 12D-9.021(6)(8)	n order that any no	nt the Petitioner may have to	oring an action in
Circuit Court is not imparied. Rule 12D-9.021(0)(0)			
✓ Recommended Decision of Special Ma	<b>gistrate</b> The find	ling and conclusions above are	e recommendations.
Larry.Matthews	arry.Matthews	1	1/07/2017
Signature, special magistrate	Prir	t name	Date
Lizabeth Carew Li	zabeth Carew	1	1/13/2017
Signature, VAB clerk or special representative	Prir	t name	Date
If this is a recommended decision, the board will consider Address	the recommended	decision on at	■ AM □ PM.
If the line above is blank, please call (850) 595-3917	or visit our web	site at http://escambiaclerk.c	om/AxiaLive2017
Final Decision of the Value Adjustment	Board		
Signature, chair, value adjustment board	Prir	t name	Date of decision
		<u> </u>	
Signature, VAB clerk or representative	Prir	it name	Date mailed to parties



## DECISION OF THE VALUE ADJUSTMENT BOARD EXEMPTION, CLASSIFICATION, ASSESSMENT DIFFERENCE TRANSFER, CHANGE OF OWNERSHIP OR CONTROL, OR QUALIFYING IMPROVEMENT PETITION

DR-485XC R. 01/17 Rule 12D-16.002 F.A.C. Eff. 01/17

The actions below were taken on your petition in	Escambia	County.	
✓ These actions are a recommendation only, no	ot final 🔲 These	actions are a final decision of	of the VAB
If you are not satisfied after you are notified of the final		B, you have the right to file a law	vsuit in circuit
court to further contest your assessment. (See sections	193.155(8)(I), 194.036, 1	194.171(2), 196.151, and 197.2425, FI	orida Statutes.)
Petition # 2017-0009	Parcel	ID 093739000	
Petitioner name CARLSON ELIZABETH	Proper		
The petitioner is: ✓ taxpayer of record ☐ taxpay	er's agent addres	s , FL	
other, explain:		,	
<b>Decision Summary</b> ✓ Denied your petition	Granted you	r petition 🔲 Granted your p	petition in part
		Value before Board	
Lines 1 and 4 must be completed	Value from	Action	Value after
•	TRIM Notice	Value presented by property appraiser Rule 12D-9.025(10), F.A.C.	Board Action
Just value, required	89,641.00	89,641.00	89,641.00
2. Assessed or classified use value,* if applicable	89,641.00	89,641.00	89,641.00
3. Exempt value,* enter "0" if none	0.00	0.00	0.00
4. Taxable value,* required	89,641.00	89,641.00	89,641.00
*All values entered should be county taxable values. School	and other taxing auth	ority values may differ. (Section 19	6.031(7), F.S.)
Re	ason for Petition		
☐ Homestead ☐ Widow/er ☐ Blind		otally and permanently disabled	d veteran
		Jse classification, specify	
	loyed military 🔲 L	Jse exemption, specify	
Transfer of homestead assessment difference		Qualifying improvement	i
Change of ownership or control		Other, specify	
Reasons for Decision		Fill-in fields will expand or add	pages, as needed.
Findings of Fact			
(See Attached)			
Conclusions of Law			
Petitioner failed to furnish sufficient evidence to overc	come the Property Ap	praiser's presumption of correc	tness; therefore,
the exemption should be denied. Volusia County vs. I	Daytona Beach Racii	ng 341 So.2d 498 (Fla 1977).	
✓ Recommended Decision of Special Ma	<b>gistrate</b> The findir	ng and conclusions above are re	commendations.
	arry.Matthews		7/2017
Signature, special magistrate	Print r	name	Date
	zabeth Carew	11/1;	3/2017
Signature, VAB clerk or special representative	Print r	name	Date
If this is a recommended decision, the board will consider	the recommended de	ecision on at	■ AM □ PM.
Address			
If the line above is blank, please call (850) 595-3917	or visit our web s	ite at http://escambiaclerk.com	/AxiaLive2017
☐ Final Decision of the Value Adjustment	t Board		
Signature, chair, value adjustment board	Print r	name [	Date of decision
Signature, VAB clerk or representative	Print r	name Dat	e mailed to parties

Findings of Fact:

Page 2 of 2

There are too many inferences, if not actual facts, that this married couple did not live separately and autonomously to qualify as a "separate family unit". The couple remains in a sufficiently intact marriage that does not allow Petitioner's residence to qualify as a separate family unit by Petitioner's own admission in Exhibit D of the Property Appraiser's Evidence, the couple still co-exist for purposes of the denial of Petitioner's Petition.



DR-485V R. 01/ 17 Rule 12D-16.0 02 F.A.C. Eff. 01/17

The actions below were taken on your petition.				
✓ These actions are a recommendation only, n	ot final	] These	e actions are a final decision	n of the VAB
If you are not satisfied after you are notified of the				
in circuit court to further contest your assessmer Florida Statutes.)	nt. (See section	ons 193.	155(8)(1), 194.036, 194.171(2), 1	96.151, and 197.2425,
Petition # 2017-0154		Parce	I ID 169505802	
Petitioner name PAUL ARQUETTE/BREITBURN N	//ANAGEM <u></u>	Prope		
The petitioner is: ✓ taxpayer of record ☐ taxpay	er's agent	addre		
other, explain:			,	
<b>Decision Summary</b> ✓ Denied your petition	☐ Grante	d your	petition	etition in part
Value	Value fr	om	Before Board Action	After Board
Lines 1 and 4 must be completed	TRIM No	tice	Value presented by property appraised Rule 12D-9.025(10), F.A.C.	Action
1. Just value, required	1,146,136.00 1,146,136.00 1,146,136.00			
2. Assessed or classified use value,* if applicable	1,146,1	36.00	1,146,136.00	1,146,136.00
3. Exempt value,* enter "0" if none		0.00	0.00	0.00
4. Taxable value,* required	1,146,1		1,146,136.00	1,146,136.00
*All values entered should be county taxable values. School	ol and other ta	xing aut	hority values may differ. (Section	196.031(7), F.S.)
Reasons for Decision			Fill-in fields will expand or a	dd pages, as needed.
Findings of Fact				
The Petitioner was not present and did not present an	•		•	•
mineral rights that are being valued. The analysis is o	compliant with	h D.O.F	R. appraisal guidelines and pro	ocedures. It is a
credible and professionally thoughtful presentation.				
Conclusions of Law				
The P.A. presented a reasonable and credible valuat		•		
property type. The petitioner presented no evidence	or supportab	le reas	on to argue the P.A.'s value.	the Special
Magistrate upholds the value of the P.A.				
✓ Recommended Decision of Special Ma	gistrate	Findir	ng and conclusions above are	recommendations.
Steven.Marshall		Steven	.Marshall	11/07/2017
Signature, special magistrate		Print	name	Date
Lizabeth Carew		Lizabe	th Carew	11/13/2017
Signature, VAB clerk or special representative		Print	name	Date
If this is a recommended decision, the board will cons Address	sider the reco	ommen	ded decision on	at
If the line above is blank, the board does not yet know				
considered. To find the information, please call (850	) 595-3917	or visit o	our web site at http://escamb	aclerk.com/AxiaLive
Final Decision of the Value Adjustmen	t Board			
Signature, chair, value adjustment board		Print	name	Date of decision
Signature, VAB clerk or representative		Drint	name	Date mailed to parties
Cianataic. VAD Cicit Of ICDIC3CITALIVE		1 11111	HUHIC	July Highly IV Dallies



DR-485V R. 01/ 17 Rule 12D-16.0 02 F.A.C. Eff. 01/17

The actions below were taken on your petition.			
✓ These actions are a recommendation only, n	ot final 🔲 These	e actions are a final decision	of the VAB
If you are not satisfied after you are notified of the	ne final decision of	the VAB, you have the right	to file a lawsuit
in circuit court to further contest your assessment Florida Statutes.)	nt. (See sections 193.	155(8)(I), 194.036, 194.171(2), 19	6.151, and 197.2425,
Petition # 2017-0155	Parce	ID 169506714	
Petitioner name PAUL ARQUETTE/BREITBURN N	//ANAGEM <b></b> Prope	erty	
The petitioner is: ✓ taxpayer of record ☐ taxpay☐ other, explain:	ver's agent addre	ss , <sub>FL</sub>	
<b>Decision Summary</b> ✓ Denied your petition	☐ Granted your	petition	tition in part
Value	Value from	Before Board Action	After Board
Lines 1 and 4 must be completed	TRIM Notice	Value presented by property appraiser Rule 12D-9.025(10), F.A.C.	Action
1. Just value, required	13,444.00	13,444.00	13,444.00
2. Assessed or classified use value,* if applicable	13,444.00	13,444.00	13,444.00
3. Exempt value,* enter "0" if none	0.00	0.00	0.00
4. Taxable value,* required	13,444.00	13,444.00	13,444.00
*All values entered should be county taxable values. School	ol and other taxing aut	hority values may differ. (Section 1	196.031(7), F.S.)
Reasons for Decision		Fill-in fields will expand or add	d pages, as needed.
Findings of Fact			
(See Attached)			
Conclusions of Law			
The P.A. presented a professional & thoughtful appra	•		·
generally accepted appraisal standard for property supresented by the P.A.	ich as the subject.	i ne Special Magistrate upholds	s the value
presented by the F.A.			
✓ Recommended Decision of Special Ma	<b>igistrate</b> Findir	ng and conclusions above are r	ecommendations.
Steven.Marshall	Steven	.Marshall	11/07/2017
Signature, special magistrate	Print	name	Date
Lizabeth Carew	Lizabe	th Carew	11/13/2017
Signature, VAB clerk or special representative		name	Date
If this is a recommended decision, the board will cons	sider the recommen	ded decision on	at
If the line above is blank, the board does not yet know			
considered. To find the information, please call (850	) 595-3917 or visit (	our web site at http://escambia	clerk.com/AxiaLive
☐ Final Decision of the Value Adjustmen	t Board		
Signature, chair, value adjustment board	Print	name	Date of decision
e.g. state, order, raide adjustment bound			23.0 01 00000011
Signature, VAB clerk or representative	Print	name D	ate mailed to parties

Findings of Fact for Petition 2017-0155:

The Petitioner was not present and did not provide any evidence or arguments regarding the value of the subject mineral rights. The P.A. presented a professional and thoughtful DCF analysis. The analysis is compliant with D.O.R. procedures and appraisal methodology for the valuation of mineral rights.



DR-485V R. 01/ 17 Rule 12D-16.0 02 F.A.C. Eff. 01/17

The actions below were taken on your petition.			
	ot final	e actions are a final decision	n of the VAB
If you are not satisfied after you are notified of the	ne final decision of	the VAB, you have the righ	t to file a lawsuit
in circuit court to further contest your assessmer Florida Statutes.)	nt. (See sections 193.	155(8)(l), 194.036, 194.171(2), 19	96.151, and 197.2425,
Petition # 2017-0156	Parce	el ID 169506716	
Petitioner name PAUL ARQUETTE/BREITBURN N	//ANAGEM <b></b> Prope	erty	
The petitioner is: ✓ taxpayer of record ☐ taxpay	er's agent addre	ess , <sub>FL</sub>	
other, explain:		,	
<b>Decision Summary</b> ✓ Denied your petition	☐ Granted your	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	etition in part
Value	Value from	Before Board Action	After Board
Lines 1 and 4 must be completed	TRIM Notice	Value presented by property appraiser Rule 12D-9.025(10), F.A.C.	Action
1. Just value, required	16,970.00	16,970.00	16,970.00
2. Assessed or classified use value,* if applicable	16,970.00	16,970.00	16,970.00
3. Exempt value,* enter "0" if none	0.00	0.00	0.00
4. Taxable value,* required	16,970.00	16,970.00	16,970.00
*All values entered should be county taxable values. School	ol and other taxing aut	hority values may differ. (Section	196.031(7), F.S.)
Reasons for Decision		Fill-in fields will expand or ad	d pages, as needed.
Findings of Fact			
The Petitioner was not present and did not present an	ny data or evidence.	The P.A. presented a profes	sional & thoughtful
DCF analysis to value the subject mineral right intere	•		•
standards and D.O.R. appraisal guidelines.			
Conclusions of Law			
(See Attached)			
	<b>igistrate</b> Findir	ng and conclusions above are	recommendations.
Steven.Marshall	Steven	.Marshall	11/07/2017
Signature, special magistrate	Print	name	Date
Lizabeth Carew	Lizabe	th Carew	11/13/2017
Signature, VAB clerk or special representative	Print	name	Date
If this is a recommended decision, the board will cons	sider the recommen	ded decision on	at
If the line above is blank, the board does not yet know	w the date, time, and	d place when the recommende	d decision will be
considered. To find the information, please call (850			
☐ Final Decision of the Value Adjustmen	t Board		
Signature, chair, value adjustment board	Print	name	Date of decision
Signature, VAB clerk or representative	Print	name E	ate mailed to parties

Conclusions of Law for Petition 2017-0156:

The valuation analysis and presentation presented by the P.A. was thoughtful, convincing and credible. The analysis is compliant with appraisal standards. The Special Magistrate affirms the presumption of correctness remains with the Property Appraiser. finally, the Special Magistrate upholds the value presented by the P.A.



DR-485V R. 01/ 17 Rule 12D-16.0 02 F.A.C. Eff. 01/17

The actions below were taken on your petition.				
	ot final	] These	e actions are a final decisio	n of the VAB
If you are not satisfied after you are notified of the				
in circuit court to further contest your assessment Florida Statutes.)	nt. (See section	ons 193.	155(8)(l), 194.036, 194.171(2), 1 <sup>1</sup>	96.151, and 197.2425,
Petition # 2017-0157		Parce	I ID 169506718	
Petitioner name PAUL ARQUETTE/BREITBURN	MANAGEM <b>E</b>			
The petitioner is: ✓ taxpayer of record ☐ taxpay	_	addre	•	
other, explain:			, 1 🗅	
<b>Decision Summary</b> ✓ Denied your petition	Grante	d your	petition	etition in part
Value	Value fr	om	Before Board Action	After Board
Lines 1 and 4 must be completed	TRIM No	otice	Value presented by property appraiser Rule 12D-9.025(10), F.A.C.	Action
1. Just value, required	8,4	92.00	8,492.00	8,492.00
2. Assessed or classified use value,* if applicable	8,4	92.00	8,492.00	8,492.00
3. Exempt value,* enter "0" if none		0.00	0.00	0.00
4. Taxable value,* required	8,4	92.00	8,492.00	8,492.00
*All values entered should be county taxable values. School	ol and other ta	axing aut	hority values may differ. (Section	196.031(7), F.S.)
Reasons for Decision			Fill-in fields will expand or ac	ld pages, as needed.
Findings of Fact				
The Petitioner was not present and did not submit an	•			
presented a DCF valuation analysis of the subject mi	neral rights of	compliar	nt with generally accepted app	raisal standards
and D.O.R. appraisal standards and policy.				
Conclusions of Law				
The P.a. office provided a professionally and thought				
confirms the presumption of correctness remains with	n the P.a. an	d upnoi	ds the value submitted by the	P.A.
✓ Recommended Decision of Special Ma	agistrate	Findir	ng and conclusions above are	recommendations.
Steven.Marshall		Steven	.Marshall	11/07/2017
Signature, special magistrate			name	Date
Lizabeth Carew			th Carew	11/13/2017
Signature, VAB clerk or special representative			name	Date
If this is a recommended decision, the board will con- Address	sider the rec	ommen	ded decision on	at
If the line above is blank, the board does not yet know				
considered. To find the information, please call (850	)) 595-3917	or visit (	our web site at http://escambi	aclerk.com/AxiaLive
☐ Final Decision of the Value Adjustmen	t Board			
Signature, chair, value adjustment board		Print	name	Date of decision
Signature, VAB clerk or representative		Print	name [	Date mailed to parties



DR-485V R. 01/ 17 Rule 12D-16.0 02 F.A.C. Eff. 01/17

The actions below were taken on your petition.			
✓ These actions are a recommendation only, n	ot final	e actions are a final d	ecision of the VAB
If you are not satisfied after you are notified of the	ne final decision of	the VAB, you have th	ne right to file a lawsuit
in circuit court to further contest your assessment Florida Statutes.)	nt. (See sections 193.	155(8)(I), 194.036, 194.17	71(2), 196.151, and 197.2425,
Petition # 2017-0158	Parce	ID 169506720	
Petitioner name PAUL ARQUETTE/BREITBURN N	MANAGEM <b>⊑</b> Prope	erty	
The petitioner is: ✓ taxpayer of record ☐ taxpay	-	•	
other, explain:		, , , _	
<b>Decision Summary</b> ✓ Denied your petition	☐ Granted your		your petition in part
Value	Value from	Before Board Act	I Allei Dualu
Lines 1 and 4 must be completed	TRIM Notice	Value presented by property a Rule 12D-9.025(10), F./	
1. Just value, required	16,970.00	16,97	
2. Assessed or classified use value,* if applicable	16,970.00	16,97	70.00 16,970.00
3. Exempt value,* enter "0" if none	0.00		0.00
4. Taxable value,* required	16,970.00	16,97	70.00 16,970.00
*All values entered should be county taxable values. School	ol and other taxing aut	hority values may differ. (S	Section 196.031(7), F.S.)
Reasons for Decision		Fill-in fields will expar	nd or add pages, as needed.
E CE 1			
Findings of Fact			
· ·	ny evidence. The P.	A. presented a DCF va	luation of the subject
The Petitioner was not present and did not provide an mineral rights. The valuation was compliant with gen	•	•	_
The Petitioner was not present and did not provide as mineral rights. The valuation was compliant with gen	•	•	_
The Petitioner was not present and did not provide an	•	•	_
The Petitioner was not present and did not provide as mineral rights. The valuation was compliant with gen	erally accepted app	raisal standards and D.	O.R.appraisal guidelines.
The Petitioner was not present and did not provide as mineral rights. The valuation was compliant with gen Conclusions of Law	erally accepted app	raisal standards and D. de tion presented by the P	O.R.appraisal guidelines.
The Petitioner was not present and did not provide as mineral rights. The valuation was compliant with gen Conclusions of Law  The petition did not provide any evidence or arguments.	erally accepted app	raisal standards and D. de tion presented by the P	O.R.appraisal guidelines.
The Petitioner was not present and did not provide as mineral rights. The valuation was compliant with gen Conclusions of Law  The petition did not provide any evidence or arguments.	erally accepted appoints against the valuation mains with the P.A. a	raisal standards and D. tion presented by the P and upholds the value s	O.R.appraisal guidelines.
The Petitioner was not present and did not provide as mineral rights. The valuation was compliant with gen Conclusions of Law  The petition did not provide any evidence or argumer Magistrate affirms the presumption of correctness remains remains remains the presumption of correctness remain	erally accepted appoints against the valuation mains with the P.A. and against rate.	raisal standards and D. tion presented by the P and upholds the value s	O.R.appraisal guidelines.  A. The Special submitted.
The Petitioner was not present and did not provide as mineral rights. The valuation was compliant with gen Conclusions of Law  The petition did not provide any evidence or argumer Magistrate affirms the presumption of correctness remains the presumption of Special Magistrate affirms the presumptio	erally accepted appoints against the valuamains with the P.A. and against rate Finding	tion presented by the Pand upholds the value s	O.R.appraisal guidelines.  A. The Special submitted.  ve are recommendations.
The Petitioner was not present and did not provide as mineral rights. The valuation was compliant with gen Conclusions of Law  The petition did not provide any evidence or argumer Magistrate affirms the presumption of correctness removed in the presumption of Special Magistree Magistrate affirms the presumption of Special Magistree Magistree Decision of Special Ma	erally accepted appoints against the valuamains with the P.A. and against rate  Steven  Print	tion presented by the Pand upholds the value song and conclusions about.	O.R.appraisal guidelines.  A.A. The Special submitted.  ve are recommendations.  11/07/2017
The Petitioner was not present and did not provide as mineral rights. The valuation was compliant with gen Conclusions of Law  The petition did not provide any evidence or argumer Magistrate affirms the presumption of correctness remarks and the presumption of Special Magistree Steven. Marshall  Signature, special magistrate	erally accepted appoints against the valuation mains with the P.A. and against rate  Steven  Print Lizabe	tion presented by the Pand upholds the value song and conclusions about	O.R.appraisal guidelines.  A. The Special submitted.  ve are recommendations.  11/07/2017  Date
The Petitioner was not present and did not provide as mineral rights. The valuation was compliant with gen Conclusions of Law  The petition did not provide any evidence or argumer Magistrate affirms the presumption of correctness remarks affirms the presumption of Special Magistrate affirms the presumption of Special Magistrate affirms and Decision of Special Magistrate, special magistrate  Lizabeth Carew  Signature, VAB clerk or special representative  If this is a recommended decision, the board will constitute of the provide any evidence or argumer of the presumption of the	erally accepted appoints against the valuation mains with the P.A. and against rate  Steven  Print  Lizabe  Print	tion presented by the Pand upholds the value song and conclusions about Marshall name th Carew	O.R.appraisal guidelines.  O.A. The Special submitted.  ve are recommendations.  11/07/2017  Date  11/13/2017
The Petitioner was not present and did not provide as mineral rights. The valuation was compliant with gen Conclusions of Law  The petition did not provide any evidence or argumer Magistrate affirms the presumption of correctness remains the presumption of Special Magistrate affirms the presumption of Special Magistrate affirms the presumption of Special Magistrate Adress  Signature, special magistrate Lizabeth Carew  Signature, VAB clerk or special representative  If this is a recommended decision, the board will consumption above is blank, the board does not yet known.	nts against the valuamains with the P.A. and steven  Print Lizabe Print Sider the recommen with the date, time, and time, time, and	tion presented by the Pand upholds the value song and conclusions about Marshall name th Carew name ded decision on the place when the recompany and place when t	O.R.appraisal guidelines.  A. The Special submitted.  ve are recommendations.  11/07/2017  Date  11/13/2017  Date  at  mended decision will be
The Petitioner was not present and did not provide as mineral rights. The valuation was compliant with gen Conclusions of Law  The petition did not provide any evidence or argumer Magistrate affirms the presumption of correctness remarks affirms the presumption of Special Magistrate affirms the pr	nts against the valuamains with the P.A. and steven  Print Lizabe Print Sider the recommen with the date, time, and time, time, and	tion presented by the Pand upholds the value song and conclusions about Marshall name th Carew name ded decision on the place when the recompany and place when t	O.R.appraisal guidelines.  A. The Special submitted.  ve are recommendations.  11/07/2017  Date  11/13/2017  Date  at  mended decision will be
The Petitioner was not present and did not provide as mineral rights. The valuation was compliant with gen Conclusions of Law  The petition did not provide any evidence or argumer Magistrate affirms the presumption of correctness remarks affirms the presumption of Special Magistrate affirms the pr	erally accepted appoints against the valuation mains with the P.A. and against the P.A. and against the P.A. and against the Print Lizabe Print Sider the recommens with the date, time, and and 5595-3917 or visit of the print o	tion presented by the Pand upholds the value song and conclusions about Marshall name th Carew name ded decision on the place when the recompany and place when t	O.R.appraisal guidelines.  A. The Special submitted.  ve are recommendations.  11/07/2017  Date  11/13/2017  Date  at  mended decision will be
The Petitioner was not present and did not provide as mineral rights. The valuation was compliant with gen Conclusions of Law  The petition did not provide any evidence or argumer Magistrate affirms the presumption of correctness remains the presumption of Special Magistrate affirms the presumption of Special Magistrate affirms the presumption of Special Magistrate Adress  Signature, special magistrate Lizabeth Carew  Signature, VAB clerk or special representative  If this is a recommended decision, the board will consumption above is blank, the board does not yet known.	erally accepted appoints against the valuation mains with the P.A. and against the P.A. and against the P.A. and against the Print Lizabe Print Sider the recommens with the date, time, and and 5595-3917 or visit of the print o	tion presented by the Pand upholds the value song and conclusions about Marshall name th Carew name ded decision on the place when the recompany and place when t	O.R.appraisal guidelines.  A. The Special submitted.  ve are recommendations.  11/07/2017  Date  11/13/2017  Date  at  mended decision will be
The Petitioner was not present and did not provide as mineral rights. The valuation was compliant with gen Conclusions of Law  The petition did not provide any evidence or argumer Magistrate affirms the presumption of correctness remarks affirms the presumption of Special Magistrate affirms the pr	erally accepted appoints against the valuation mains with the P.A. and against the P.A. and against the P.A. and against the Print Lizabe Print Sider the recommens with the date, time, and and 5595-3917 or visit of the print o	tion presented by the Pand upholds the value song and conclusions about Marshall name th Carew name ded decision on the place when the recompany and place when t	O.R.appraisal guidelines.  A. The Special submitted.  ve are recommendations.  11/07/2017  Date  11/13/2017  Date  at  mended decision will be
The Petitioner was not present and did not provide as mineral rights. The valuation was compliant with gen Conclusions of Law  The petition did not provide any evidence or argumer Magistrate affirms the presumption of correctness remarks affirms the presumption of Special Magistrate affirms the pr	nts against the valuamains with the P.A. and steven Print Lizabe Print Sider the recomment w the date, time, and possible of the steven The steven of the st	tion presented by the Pand upholds the value song and conclusions about Marshall name th Carew name ded decision on the place when the recompany and place when t	O.R.appraisal guidelines.  A. The Special submitted.  ve are recommendations.  11/07/2017  Date  11/13/2017  Date  at  mended decision will be
The Petitioner was not present and did not provide as mineral rights. The valuation was compliant with gen Conclusions of Law  The petition did not provide any evidence or argumer Magistrate affirms the presumption of correctness remarks affirms the presumption of Special Magistrate affirms the pr	nts against the valuamains with the P.A. and steven Print Lizabe Print Sider the recomment w the date, time, and possible of the steven The steven of the st	tion presented by the Pand upholds the value song and conclusions about Marshall name th Carew name ded decision on diplace when the recompur web site at http://es	O.R.appraisal guidelines.  O.R.appraisal guidelines.  O.A. The Special submitted.  Ve are recommendations.  11/07/2017  Date  11/13/2017  Date  at  mended decision will be scambiaclerk.com/AxiaLive



DR-485V R. 01/ 17 Rule 12D-16.0 02 F.A.C. Eff. 01/17

The actions below were taken on your petition.				
✓ These actions are a recommendation only, not liftyou are not satisfied after you are notified of the in circuit court to further contest your assessment.	ne final deci	sion of	the VAB, you have the rig	ht to file a lawsuit
Florida Statutes.)	· .			
Petition # 2017-0159		Parce	I ID 169506816	
Petitioner name PAUL ARQUETTE/BREITBURN Name The petitioner is:    ☐ taxpayer of record ☐ taxpay ☐ other, explain:		Prope addre		
<b>Decision Summary</b> ✓ Denied your petition	Grante	d your	petition Granted your	petition in part
Value Lines 1 and 4 must be completed	Value fr TRIM No		Before Board Action Value presented by property appraise Rule 12D-9.025(10), F.A.C.	After Board Action
1. Just value, required	42,2	23.00	42,223.00	42,223.00
2. Assessed or classified use value,* if applicable	42,2	23.00	42,223.00	42,223.00
3. Exempt value,* enter "0" if none		0.00	0.00	0.00
4. Taxable value,* required	42,2	23.00	42,223.00	42,223.00
*All values entered should be county taxable values. School	ol and other ta	xing aut	hority values may differ. (Section	n 196.031(7), F.S.)
Reasons for Decision			Fill-in fields will expand or a	dd pages, as needed.
Findings of Fact				
The petitioner was not present and did not submit any valuation of the subject mineral rights. The analysis pand D.O.R. appraisal policies and guidelines.	•		•	_
Conclusions of Law				
The analysis presented by the P.A. is credible. The $\ensuremath{\text{p}}$				
contrary. The Special Magistrate affirms the presump	otion of corre	ctness	remains with the P.a. The sp	ecial Magistrate
upholds the value opinion of the P.A.				
✓ Recommended Decision of Special Ma	gistrate	Findir	ng and conclusions above are	recommendations.
Steven.Marshall		Steven	.Marshall	11/07/2017
Signature, special magistrate		Print	name	Date
Lizabeth Carew		Lizabe	th Carew	11/13/2017
Signature, VAB clerk or special representative		Print	name	Date
If this is a recommended decision, the board will cons Address	sider the reco	ommen	ded decision on	at
If the line above is blank, the board does not yet known considered. To find the information, please call (850)				
☐ Final Decision of the Value Adjustmen	t Board			
	Ju. u			
Signature, chair, value adjustment board		Print	name	Date of decision
Signature, VAB clerk or representative		Print	name	Date mailed to parties



DR-485V R. 01/ 17 Rule 12D-16.0 02 F.A.C. Eff. 01/17

The actions below were taken on your petition.			
✓ These actions are a recommendation only, ne             ✓ These actions are a recommendation only, ne	ot final   Thes	e actions are a final decision	n of the VAB
If you are not satisfied after you are notified of the	ne final decision of	the VAB, you have the righ	t to file a lawsuit
in circuit court to further contest your assessmer Florida Statutes.)	nt. (See sections 193.	155(8)(I), 194.036, 194.171(2), 19	96.151, and 197.2425,
Petition # 2017-0160	Parce	el ID 169506818	
Petitioner name PAUL ARQUETTE/BREITBURN N	//ANAGEM <b>⊑</b> Prope	erty	
The petitioner is: ✓ taxpayer of record ☐ taxpay ☐ other, explain:		•	
<b>Decision Summary</b> ✓ Denied your petition	☐ Granted your	· · · ·	etition in part
Value	Value from	Before Board Action	After Board
Lines 1 and 4 must be completed	TRIM Notice	Value presented by property appraiser Rule 12D-9.025(10), F.A.C.	Action
1. Just value, required	21,397.00	21,397.00	21,397.00
2. Assessed or classified use value,* if applicable	21,397.00	21,397.00	21,397.00
3. Exempt value,* enter "0" if none	0.00	0.00	0.00
4. Taxable value,* required	21,397.00	21,397.00	21,397.00
*All values entered should be county taxable values. School	ol and other taxing au	thority values may differ. (Section	196.031(7), F.S.)
Reasons for Decision		Fill-in fields will expand or ad	d pages, as needed.
Findings of Fact			
The Petitioner was not present and did not present are subject mineral rights. the valuation analysis was corrappraisal guidelines and policies.			-
Conclusions of Law			
The Petitioner presented no evidence or arguments. remain with the P.A. The analysis by the P.A. is deel upheld.			
<b>✓</b> Recommended Decision of Special Ma	<b>igistrate</b> Findii	ng and conclusions above are	recommendations.
Steven.Marshall	Steven	.Marshall	11/07/2017
Signature, special magistrate	Prin	t name	Date
Lizabeth Carew	Lizabe	th Carew	11/13/2017
Signature, VAB clerk or special representative	Prin	t name	Date
If this is a recommended decision, the board will cons Address	sider the recommen	ded decision on	at
If the line above is blank, the board does not yet know considered. To find the information, please call (850)			
☐ Final Decision of the Value Adjustmen	t Board		
•			
Signature, chair, value adjustment board	Prin	t name	Date of decision
Signature, VAB clerk or representative	Prin	t name D	Pate mailed to parties



DR-485V R. 01/ 17 Rule 12D-16.0 02 F.A.C. Eff. 01/17

The actions below were taken on your petition.			
	ot final 🔲 These	e actions are a final decisio	n of the VAB
If you are not satisfied after you are notified of the in circuit court to further contest your assessment Florida Statutes.)			
Petition # 2017-0161	Parce	I ID 169506820	
Petitioner name PAUL ARQUETTE/BREITBURN No. The petitioner is:			
<b>Decision Summary</b> ✓ Denied your petition	☐ Granted your	petition	etition in part
Value Lines 1 and 4 must be completed	Value from TRIM Notice	Before Board Action Value presented by property appraiser Rule 12D-9.025(10), F.A.C.	After Board Action
1. Just value, required	42,223.00	42,223.00	42,223.00
2. Assessed or classified use value,* if applicable	42,223.00	42,223.00	42,223.00
3. Exempt value,* enter "0" if none	0.00	0.00	0.00
4. Taxable value,* required	42,223.00	42,223.00	42,223.00
*All values entered should be county taxable values. School	ol and other taxing aut	hority values may differ. (Section	196.031(7), F.S.)
Reasons for Decision Findings of Fact		Fill-in fields will expand or ac	ld pages, as needed.
The Petitioner was not present and did not submit an The P.A. presented a DCF of the subject mineral righ appraisal guidelines and procedures.  Conclusions of Law			
The petitioner was not present and presented nothing correctness. The P.A.'s valuation and analysis is deevalue of the P.A.		-	•
✓ Recommended Decision of Special Ma	<b>igistrate</b> Findir	ng and conclusions above are	recommendations.
Steven.Marshall	Steven	Marshall	11/07/2017
Signature, special magistrate	Print	name	Date
Lizabeth Carew	Lizabe	th Carew	11/13/2017
Signature, VAB clerk or special representative	Print	name	Date
If this is a recommended decision, the board will cons Address If the line above is blank, the board does not yet know			at ed decision will be
considered. To find the information, please call (850	) 595-3917 or visit o	our web site at http://escambi	aclerk.com/AxiaLive
☐ Final Decision of the Value Adjustmen	t Board		
-			
Signature, chair, value adjustment board	Print	name	Date of decision
Signature, VAB clerk or representative	Print	name [	Date mailed to parties



DR-485V R. 01/ 17 Rule 12D-16.0 02 F.A.C. Eff. 01/17

•			
✓ These actions are a recommendation only, no	ot final 🔲 These	e actions are a final decisior	of the VAB
If you are not satisfied after you are notified of the	e final decision of	the VAB, you have the right	t to file a lawsuit
in circuit court to further contest your assessment Florida Statutes.)	t. (See sections 193.)	155(8)(I), 194.036, 194.171(2), 19	6.151, and 197.2425,
Petition # 2017-0162	Parce	I ID 169508614	
Petitioner name PAUL ARQUETTE/BREITBURN M.	ANAGEM <b></b> Prope	rty	
The petitioner is: ✓ taxpayer of record ☐ taxpayer of record ☐ taxpayer	er's agent addre	ss , <sub>FL</sub>	
<b>Decision Summary</b> ✓ Denied your petition	☐ Granted your	petition	etition in part
Value	Value from	Before Board Action	After Board
Lines 1 and 4 must be completed	TRIM Notice	Value presented by property appraiser Rule 12D-9.025(10), F.A.C.	Action
1. Just value, required	6,270.00	6,270.00	6,270.00
2. Assessed or classified use value,* if applicable	6,270.00	6,270.00	6,270.00
3. Exempt value,* enter "0" if none	0.00	0.00	0.00
4. Taxable value,* required	6,270.00	6,270.00	6,270.00
*All values entered should be county taxable values. School	l and other taxing aut	hority values may differ. (Section	196.031(7), F.S.)
Reasons for Decision		Fill-in fields will expand or ad	d pages, as needed.
Findings of Fact			
(See Attached)			
(See Attached)			
(See Attached)  Conclusions of Law			
Conclusions of Law  No evidence or arguments were made by the petitione	·		
Conclusions of Law  No evidence or arguments were made by the petitione valuation that is credible. The special Magistrate awar	·		
Conclusions of Law  No evidence or arguments were made by the petitione	·		
Conclusions of Law  No evidence or arguments were made by the petitione valuation that is credible. The special Magistrate awar	rds the presumption		e Special
Conclusions of Law  No evidence or arguments were made by the petitione valuation that is credible. The special Magistrate away Magistrate upholds the value presented by the P.A.	rds the presumption	n of correctness to the P.A. Th	e Special
Conclusions of Law  No evidence or arguments were made by the petitione valuation that is credible. The special Magistrate away Magistrate upholds the value presented by the P.A.    Recommended Decision of Special Magistrate was presented by the P.A.	gistrate Findir	n of correctness to the P.A. The	recommendations.
Conclusions of Law  No evidence or arguments were made by the petitione valuation that is credible. The special Magistrate away Magistrate upholds the value presented by the P.A.    Recommended Decision of Special Magistree Ma	gistrate Findir Steven	n of correctness to the P.A. The	recommendations.
Conclusions of Law  No evidence or arguments were made by the petitione valuation that is credible. The special Magistrate away Magistrate upholds the value presented by the P.A.     Recommended Decision of Special Magistrate	gistrate Findir Steven. Print Lizabe	n of correctness to the P.A. The grand conclusions above are marshall name	recommendations.  11/07/2017  Date
Conclusions of Law  No evidence or arguments were made by the petitione valuation that is credible. The special Magistrate awar Magistrate upholds the value presented by the P.A.    Recommended Decision of Special Magistrate Magistrate, special magistrate   Lizabeth Carew	gistrate Findir Steven. Print Lizabe Print	n of correctness to the P.A. The ag and conclusions above are a Marshall name th Carew name	recommendations.  11/07/2017  Date  11/13/2017
Conclusions of Law  No evidence or arguments were made by the petitione valuation that is credible. The special Magistrate awar Magistrate upholds the value presented by the P.A.  Recommended Decision of Special Magistrate awar Magistrate upholds the value presented by the P.A.  Steven.Marshall  Signature, special magistrate  Lizabeth Carew  Signature, VAB clerk or special representative  If this is a recommended decision, the board will considerable and considerable are commended decision, the board will considerable and considerable and considerable are commended decision, the board will considerable and cons	gistrate Findir Steven. Print Lizabe Print ider the recommend of the date, time, and	n of correctness to the P.A. The grand conclusions above are a Marshall name th Carew name ded decision on	recommendations.  11/07/2017  Date  11/13/2017  Date  at  d decision will be
Conclusions of Law  No evidence or arguments were made by the petitione valuation that is credible. The special Magistrate awar Magistrate upholds the value presented by the P.A.  Recommended Decision of Special Magistrate awar Magistrate upholds the value presented by the P.A.  Steven.Marshall  Signature, special magistrate  Lizabeth Carew  Signature, VAB clerk or special representative  If this is a recommended decision, the board will considered.	gistrate Findir Steven. Print Lizabe Print ider the recommend of the date, time, and	n of correctness to the P.A. The grand conclusions above are a Marshall name th Carew name ded decision on	recommendations.  11/07/2017  Date  11/13/2017  Date  at  d decision will be
Conclusions of Law  No evidence or arguments were made by the petitione valuation that is credible. The special Magistrate awar Magistrate upholds the value presented by the P.A.    Recommended Decision of Special Magistrate upholds the value presented by the P.A.    Steven.Marshall	gistrate Finding Steven. Print Lizabe Print ider the recommend of the date, time, and 595-3917 or visit of the second of the second of the date.	n of correctness to the P.A. The grand conclusions above are a Marshall name th Carew name ded decision on	recommendations.  11/07/2017  Date  11/13/2017  Date  at  d decision will be
Conclusions of Law  No evidence or arguments were made by the petitione valuation that is credible. The special Magistrate awar Magistrate upholds the value presented by the P.A.  Recommended Decision of Special Magistrate awar Magistrate upholds the value presented by the P.A.  Steven.Marshall  Signature, special magistrate  Lizabeth Carew  Signature, VAB clerk or special representative  If this is a recommended decision, the board will considerable and considerable are commended decision, the board will considerable and considerable and considerable are commended decision, the board will considerable and cons	gistrate Finding Steven. Print Lizabe Print ider the recommend of the date, time, and 595-3917 or visit of the second of the second of the date.	n of correctness to the P.A. The grand conclusions above are a Marshall name th Carew name ded decision on	recommendations.  11/07/2017  Date  11/13/2017  Date  at  d decision will be
Conclusions of Law  No evidence or arguments were made by the petitione valuation that is credible. The special Magistrate awar Magistrate upholds the value presented by the P.A.  Recommended Decision of Special Magistrate upholds the value presented by the P.A.  Steven.Marshall  Signature, special magistrate  Lizabeth Carew  Signature, VAB clerk or special representative  If this is a recommended decision, the board will consinue Address  If the line above is blank, the board does not yet know considered. To find the information, please call (850)  Final Decision of the Value Adjustment	gistrate Finding Steven. Print Lizabe Print ider the recommend of the date, time, and 595-3917 or visit of	m of correctness to the P.A. The segment of correctness to the seg	recommendations.  11/07/2017 Date 11/13/2017 Date at d decision will be aclerk.com/AxiaLive
Conclusions of Law  No evidence or arguments were made by the petitione valuation that is credible. The special Magistrate awar Magistrate upholds the value presented by the P.A.    Recommended Decision of Special Magistrate upholds the value presented by the P.A.    Steven.Marshall	gistrate Finding Steven. Print Lizabe Print ider the recommend of the date, time, and 595-3917 or visit of	n of correctness to the P.A. The grand conclusions above are a Marshall name th Carew name ded decision on	recommendations.  11/07/2017  Date  11/13/2017  Date  at  d decision will be

Findings of Fact for Petition 2017-0162:

The Petitioner was not present and did not present any evidence or arguments as to the value of the subject mineral rights. The P.A. provided a professional & thoughtful DCF valuation of the subject property. The valuation is compliant with generally accepted appraisal standards and D.O.R. appraisal guidelines and procedures.



DR-485V R. 01/ 17 Rule 12D-16.0 02 F.A.C. Eff. 01/17

The actions below were taken on your petition.				
✓ These actions are a recommendation only, not like the second of t	ne final deci	sion of	the VAB, you have the rig	ht to file a lawsuit
Florida Statutes.)	it. (500 500iii	3113 170.	100(0)(1), 171.000, 171.171(2),	170.101, and 177.2120,
Petition # 2017-0163		Parce	I ID 169508616	
Petitioner name PAUL ARQUETTE/BREITBURN No. The petitioner is:		Prope addre	-	
<b>Decision Summary</b> ✓ Denied your petition	Grante	d your	petition Granted your	petition in part
Value Lines 1 and 4 must be completed	Value fr TRIM No		Before Board Action Value presented by property appraise Rule 12D-9.025(10), F.A.C.	After Board Action
1. Just value, required	7,4	60.00	7,460.00	7,460.00
2. Assessed or classified use value,* if applicable	7,4	60.00	7,460.00	7,460.00
3. Exempt value,* enter "0" if none		0.00	0.00	0.00
4. Taxable value,* required	7,4	60.00	7,460.00	7,460.00
*All values entered should be county taxable values. School	ol and other ta	ixing aut	hority values may differ. (Section	n 196.031(7), F.S.)
Reasons for Decision			Fill-in fields will expand or	add pages, as needed.
Findings of Fact				
The Petitioner was not present and did not present an professional DCF subject mineral rights. the P.a's an and D. O.R. appraisal guidelines and policy.	•	-	•	
Conclusions of Law				
The Petitioner did not attend the hearing and dd not p	provide any e	evidence	e or produce any arguments.	The P.A. provided
a credible opinion of value. The Special Magistrate at	firms the pre	esumptio	on of correctness remains wi	th the P.A. The
Special Magistrate upholds the value of the P.A.				
✓ Recommended Decision of Special Ma	gistrate	Findir	ng and conclusions above ar	e recommendations.
Steven.Marshall		Steven	.Marshall	11/07/2017
Signature, special magistrate		Print	name	Date
Lizabeth Carew		Lizabe	th Carew	11/13/2017
Signature, VAB clerk or special representative		Print	name	Date
If this is a recommended decision, the board will cons Address				at
If the line above is blank, the board does not yet known considered. To find the information, please call (850)				
☐ Final Decision of the Value Adjustmen	t Board			
Signature, chair, value adjustment board		Print	name	Date of decision
Signature, VAB clerk or representative		Print	name	Date mailed to parties



DR-485V R. 01/ 17 Rule 12D-16.0 02 F.A.C. Eff. 01/17

The actions below were taken on your petition.				
✓ These actions are a recommendation only, not	ot final	] These	e actions are a final decisi	on of the VAB
If you are not satisfied after you are notified of the in circuit court to further contest your assessment Florida Statutes.)				
Petition # 2017-0164		Parce	I ID 169503000	
Petitioner name PAUL ARQUETTE/BREITBURN No. The petitioner is: ✓ taxpayer of record ☐ taxpay ☐ other, explain:	_	Prope addre	•	
<b>Decision Summary</b> ✓ Denied your petition	Grante	d your	petition	petition in part
Value Lines 1 and 4 must be completed	Value fr TRIM No		Before Board Action Value presented by property apprais Rule 12D-9.025(10), F.A.C.	After Board Action
1. Just value, required	14,859,5	56.00	14,859,556.00	14,859,556.00
2. Assessed or classified use value,* if applicable	14,859,5	56.00	14,859,556.00	14,859,556.00
3. Exempt value,* enter "0" if none		0.00	0.00	0.00
4. Taxable value,* required	14,859,5	56.00	14,859,556.00	14,859,556.00
*All values entered should be county taxable values. School	ol and other ta	axing aut	hority values may differ. (Section	n 196.031(7), F.S.)
Reasons for Decision			Fill-in fields will expand or	add pages, as needed.
Findings of Fact				
(See Attached)				
Conclusions of Law				
The petitioner provided no rebuttal oral or written.	The P.A. prov	vided a	credible opinion of value. The	ne presumption of
correctness remains with the P.A. The Special Magis	strate uphold	s the va	alue presented by the P.A.	
✓ Recommended Decision of Special Ma	gistrate	Findir	ng and conclusions above ar	e recommendations.
Steven.Marshall		Steven	.Marshall	11/07/2017
Signature, special magistrate		Print	name	Date
Lizabeth Carew		Lizabe	th Carew	11/13/2017
Signature, VAB clerk or special representative		Print	name	Date
If this is a recommended decision, the board will cons	sider the rec	ommen	ded decision on	at
If the line above is blank, the board does not yet know considered. To find the information, please call (850				
☐ Final Decision of the Value Adjustmen	t Board			
Signature, chair, value adjustment board		Print	name	Date of decision
Signature, VAB clerk or representative		Print	name	Date mailed to parties

Findings of Fact for Petition 2017-0164:

The Petitioner was not present and did not provide any evidence or arguments to contest the value. The P.A. presented a DCF valuation of the subject mineral rights. The P.A.'s value was professional and compliant with generally accepted appraisal standards and d.O.R. appraisal guidelines and procedures.



DR-485V R. 01/ 17 Rule 12D-16.0 02 F.A.C. Eff. 01/17

The actions below were taken on your petition.					
☑ These actions are a recommendation only, not final ☐ These actions are a final decision of the VAB					
If you are not satisfied after you are notified of the	ne final decision of	the VAB, you have the righ	t to file a lawsuit		
in circuit court to further contest your assessment Florida Statutes.)	nt. (See sections 193.	155(8)(I), 194.036, 194.171(2), 19	96.151, and 197.2425,		
Petition # 2017-0165	Parce	el ID 169506814			
Petitioner name PAUL ARQUETTE/BREITBURN N	//ANAGEM <b></b> Prope	ertv			
The petitioner is: ✓ taxpayer of record ☐ taxpay ☐ other, explain:		•			
<b>Decision Summary</b> ✓ Denied your petition	Granted your	petition Granted your p	etition in part		
Value	Value from	Before Board Action	After Board		
Lines 1 and 4 must be completed	TRIM Notice	Value presented by property appraiser Rule 12D-9.025(10), F.A.C.	Action		
Just value, required	33,904.00	33,904.00	33,904.00		
2. Assessed or classified use value,* if applicable	33,904.00	33,904.00	33,904.00		
3. Exempt value,* enter "0" if none	0.00	0.00	0.00		
4. Taxable value,* required	33,904.00	33,904.00	33,904.00		
*All values entered should be county taxable values. School	ol and other taxing aut	hority values may differ. (Section	196.031(7), F.S.)		
Reasons for Decision		Fill-in fields will expand or ad	ld pages, as needed.		
Findings of Fact					
The Petitioner was not present and did not present a	ny evidence or arqu	ments to contest the value of t	he P.A. The P.A.		
presented a DCF valuation of the subject mineral righ					
appraisal standards and D.O.R. appraisal guidelines.					
Conclusions of Law					
The Petitioner provided no evidence or arguments to	contest the value.	The P.A. provided a credible o	pinion of value.		
The presumption of correctness remains with the P.A	. The Special Magi	strate upholds the opinion of v	alue of the P.A.		
	<b>ngistrate</b> Findii	ng and conclusions above are	recommendations.		
Steven.Marshall	Steven	.Marshall	11/07/2017		
Signature, special magistrate	Prin	name	Date		
Lizabeth Carew		th Carew	11/13/2017		
Signature, VAB clerk or special representative		name	Date		
If this is a recommended decision, the board will cons Address	sider the recommen	ded decision on	at		
If the line above is blank, the board does not yet know					
considered. To find the information, please call (850	) 595-3917 or visit (	our web site at http://escambia	acierk.com/AxiaLive		
☐ Final Decision of the Value Adjustmen	t Board				
-					
Signature, chair, value adjustment board	Print	name	Date of decision		
Signature, VAB clerk or representative	Print	name [	Date mailed to parties		



DR-485V R. 01/ 17 Rule 12D-16.0 02 F.A.C. Eff. 01/17

The actions below were taken on your petition.			
	ot final 🔲 These	e actions are a final decision	n of the VAB
If you are not satisfied after you are notified of the in circuit court to further contest your assessment Florida Statutes.)			
Petition # 2017-0166	Parce	ID 169508618	
Petitioner name PAUL ARQUETTE/BREITBURN No. The petitioner is:		•	
<b>Decision Summary</b> ✓ Denied your petition	☐ Granted your	petition	etition in part
Value Lines 1 and 4 must be completed	Value from TRIM Notice	Before Board Action Value presented by property appraiser Rule 12D-9.025(10), F.A.C.	After Board Action
1. Just value, required	3,859.00	3,859.00	3,859.00
2. Assessed or classified use value,* if applicable	3,859.00	3,859.00	3,859.00
3. Exempt value,* enter "0" if none	0.00	0.00	0.00
4. Taxable value,* required	3,859.00	3,859.00	3,859.00
*All values entered should be county taxable values. School	ol and other taxing aut	hority values may differ. (Section	196.031(7), F.S.)
Reasons for Decision		Fill-in fields will expand or ac	ld pages, as needed.
Findings of Fact			
(See Attached)			
Conclusions of Law			
The petitioner did not provide any evidence to challer	nge the assessment.	. The P.A provided a credible	opinion of value.
The presumption of correctness remains with the P.A	. The Special Magis	strate upholds the value of the	P.A.
✓ Recommended Decision of Special Ma	<b>igistrate</b> Findir	ng and conclusions above are	recommendations.
Steven.Marshall	Steven	.Marshall	11/07/2017
Signature, special magistrate	Print	name	Date
Lizabeth Carew	Lizabe	th Carew	11/13/2017
Signature, VAB clerk or special representative	Print	name	Date
If this is a recommended decision, the board will cons Address			at
If the line above is blank, the board does not yet know considered. To find the information, please call (850)			
☐ Final Decision of the Value Adjustmen	t Board		
Signature, chair, value adjustment board	Print	name	Date of decision
Signature, VAB clerk or representative	Print	name [	Date mailed to parties

Findings of Fact for Petition 2017-0166:

The Petitioner was not present and did not present any evidence or arguments against the value provided by the P.a. The P.A. provided a DCF valuation analysis of the mineral rights comprising the subject property. The P.a's valuation is deemed compliant with generally accepted valuation standards and D.O.r. appraisal guidelines.

It is noted the "TRIM" notice of value which is the correct value of this parcel is \$3,859. The data booklet provided by the P.a. to the Special Magistrate has a typo-- it listed the value at \$3,762-- a difference of \$97.00. The Trim value is deemed correct.



DR-485V R. 01/ 17 Rule 12D-16.0 02 F.A.C. Eff. 01/17

The actions below were taken on your petition.				
	ot final	] These	e actions are a final decisi	on of the VAB
If you are not satisfied after you are notified of the in circuit court to further contest your assessment Florida Statutes.)				
Petition # 2017-0167		Parce	ID 169508620	
Petitioner name PAUL ARQUETTE/BREITBURN No. The petitioner is:	_	Prope addre	,	
<b>Decision Summary</b> ✓ Denied your petition	☐ Grante	d your	petition    Granted your	petition in part
Value Lines 1 and 4 must be completed	Value fr TRIM No	_	Before Board Action Value presented by property apprais Rule 12D-9.025(10), F.A.C.	After Board Action
1. Just value, required	7,4	60.00	7,460.00	7,460.00
2. Assessed or classified use value,* if applicable	7,4	60.00	7,460.00	7,460.00
3. Exempt value,* enter "0" if none		0.00	0.00	0.00
4. Taxable value,* required	7,4	60.00	7,460.00	7,460.00
*All values entered should be county taxable values. School	ol and other ta	ixing aut	hority values may differ. (Section	n 196.031(7), F.S.)
Reasons for Decision			Fill-in fields will expand or	add pages, as needed.
Findings of Fact				
(See Attached)				
Conclusions of Law				
(See Attached)				
☑ Recommended Decision of Special Ma	gistrate	Findir	ng and conclusions above ar	e recommendations.
Steven.Marshall		Steven	.Marshall	11/07/2017
Signature, special magistrate		Print	name	Date
Lizabeth Carew		Lizabe	th Carew	11/13/2017
Signature, VAB clerk or special representative		Print	name	Date
If this is a recommended decision, the board will cons Address If the line above is blank, the board does not yet known				at
considered. To find the information, please call (850				
☐ Final Decision of the Value Adjustmen	t Board			
Signature, chair, value adjustment board		Print	name	Date of decision
Signature, VAB clerk or representative		Print	name	Date mailed to parties

### Findings of Fact for Petition 2017-0167:

The Petitioner was not present and did not present any evidence or arguments against the P.A. and the P.A.'s opinion of market value. The P.A. provided a professional analysis -- a DCF valuation of the subject mineral rights. The P.A.'s valuation is compliant with generally accepted appraisal standards and the appraisal guidelines and policies of the D.O.R.

### **Conclusions of Law for Petition 2017-0167:**

The petitioner provided no evidence or arguments against the P.A. The presumption of correctness remains with the P.A. The P.A. provided a credible analysis and valuation of the subject property. The presumption of correctness remains with the P.A. The Special Magistrate upholds the value presented by the P.A.



DR-485V R. 01/ 17 Rule 12D-16.0 02 F.A.C. Eff. 01/17

The actions below were taken on your petition.				
✓ These actions are a recommendation only, n	ot final	] These	e actions are a final decis	on of the VAB
If you are not satisfied after you are notified of the in circuit court to further contest your assessment Florida Statutes.)				
Petition # 2017-0108		Parce	I ID 02006952	
Petitioner name BEALLS DEPARTMENT STORES The petitioner is: ☐ taxpayer of record ✓ taxpay ☐ other, explain:		Prope addre		
<b>Decision Summary</b> ✓ Denied your petition	Grante	ed your	petition	petition in part
Value Lines 1 and 4 must be completed	Value fi TRIM No	_	Before Board Action Value presented by property apprais Rule 12D-9.025(10), F.A.C.	After Board Action
1. Just value, required	424,4	179.00	424,479.00	424,479.00
2. Assessed or classified use value,* if applicable	424,4	179.00	424,479.00	424,479.00
3. Exempt value,* enter "0" if none	25,0	00.00	25,000.00	25,000.00
4. Taxable value,* required	399,4	79.00	399,479.00	399,479.00
*All values entered should be county taxable values. School	ol and other ta	axing aut	hority values may differ. (Section	on 196.031(7), F.S.)
Reasons for Decision			Fill-in fields will expand or	add pages, as needed.
Findings of Fact				
(See Attached)				
Conclusions of Law				
No data provided by the petitioner (not present). The	cost Approa	ach ana	lysis gives strong credibility	to the P.A. The P.A.
provided a credible indication of value. The value is	affirmed as o	correct a	and upheld by the Special M	agistrate.
✓ Recommended Decision of Special Ma	gistrate	Findir	ng and conclusions above a	e recommendations.
Steven.Marshall		Steven	.Marshall	11/07/2017
Signature, special magistrate		Print	name	Date
Lizabeth Carew		Lizabe	th Carew	11/13/2017
Signature, VAB clerk or special representative		Print	name	Date
If this is a recommended decision, the board will cons Address	sider the rec	ommen	ded decision on	at
If the line above is blank, the board does not yet know considered. To find the information, please call (850				
☐ Final Decision of the Value Adjustmen	t Board			
	<u> </u>			
Signature, chair, value adjustment board		Print	name	Date of decision
Signature, VAB clerk or representative		Print	name	Date mailed to parties

Findings of Fact for Petition 2017-0108:

The petitioner was a "no show". The subject property is the Bealls Department Store tangible Account. The P.A. completed the DOR prescribed methodology-- the Cost Approach. The reconciled value considered the actual cost of the subject personalty. depreciation was taken from D.O.R. manuels-- correctly. The P.A.'s analysis is well done and professionally presented. The value indication by the Cost Market is given substantial weight and emphasis. It is a credible indication of value.



DR-485V R. 01/ 17 Rule 12D-16.0 02 F.A.C. Eff. 01/17

The actions below were taken on your petition.					
	ot final	] These	e actions are a final decisi	on of the VAB	
If you are not satisfied after you are notified of the in circuit court to further contest your assessment Florida Statutes.)					
Petition # 2017-0117		Parce	ID 032138200		
Petitioner name CHRISTOPHER M BATES INC The petitioner is: ☐ taxpayer of record ✓ taxpay ☐ other, explain:	/er's agent	Prope addre	* / I/ I IN DAVIO I IVV I		
<b>Decision Summary</b> ✓ Denied your petition	Grante	ed your	petition	petition in part	
Value Lines 1 and 4 must be completed	Value fi TRIM No	_	Before Board Action Value presented by property appraise Rule 12D-9.025(10), F.A.C.	After Board Action	
1. Just value, required	12,936,8	37.00	12,936,837.00	12,936,837.00	
2. Assessed or classified use value,* if applicable	12,936,8	37.00	12,936,837.00	12,936,837.00	
3. Exempt value,* enter "0" if none		0.00	0.00	0.00	
4. Taxable value,* required	12,936,8		12,936,837.00	12,936,837.00	
*All values entered should be county taxable values. School	ol and other ta	axing aut	hority values may differ. (Section	n 196.031(7), F.S.)	
Reasons for Decision			Fill-in fields will expand or a	add pages, as needed.	
Findings of Fact					
(See Attached)					
Conclusions of Law					
(See Attached)					
✓ Recommended Decision of Special Ma	gistrate	Findir	ng and conclusions above ar	e recommendations.	
Steven.Marshall		Steven	.Marshall	11/07/2017	
Signature, special magistrate		Print	name	Date	
Lizabeth Carew	Lizabeth Carew Lizabeth Carew 11/13/2017				
Signature, VAB clerk or special representative		Print	name	Date	
If this is a recommended decision, the board will cons Address If the line above is blank, the board does not yet known				at led decision will be	
considered. To find the information, please call (850					
☐ Final Decision of the Value Adjustmen	t Board				
Signature, chair, value adjustment board		Print	name	Date of decision	
Signature, VAB clerk or representative		Print	name	Date mailed to parties	

### Findings of Fact for Petition 2017-0117:

The subject property is a Lifestyle Center with Toys R Us, Academy Sports, Burlington and 15 retail/restaurants. the property opened in 2013. The property is the former University Mall that was demolished and re-positioned in the market. It is noted permits were pulled for interior build-out totaling \$9,665,299. This represents 75% of the P.A.'s estimate of market value. That means the land, site improvements ans building shell contribute a total of \$3,271,538-- not plausible. The P.A. presented three credible approaches to value--\$18,300,000-\$19,800,000. Sales were located in the same competitive sub-market as the subject property. The Income approach (direct cap) relied upon a conservative rent estimate (likely well below market). the market value via the Income Approach was rounded down by use of a GRM. The Income Approach value could easily have been 10-20% higher.

The Petitioner, not present, submitted an Income approach and Loopnet data. The petitioners Income Approach reflects a conservative rent estimate, a high cap rate and 10% for "cost of sale". This data was reviewed and deemed not credible.

#### Conclusions of Law for Petition 2017-0117:

The P.A. presented highly credible evidence applying three approaches to value the property. The sale and rent comps were in the same competitive market. The analysis provided was credible and properly calculated and presented . The 8 criteria of Fl. St. 193.011 were considered. The Special Magistrates believes the P.A.'s value is credible and well supported. The petitioner is denied a value reduction and the value by the P.A. is upheld.



DR-485V R. 01/ 17 Rule 12D-16.0 02 F.A.C. Eff. 01/17

The actions below were taken on your petition.	The actions below were taken on your petition.					
	ot final	] These	e actions are a final decisi	on of the VAB		
If you are not satisfied after you are notified of the final decision of the VAB, you have the right to file a lawsuit in circuit court to further contest your assessment. (See sections 193.155(8)(I), 194.036, 194.171(2), 196.151, and 197.2425, Florida Statutes.)						
Petition # 2017-0134			Parcel ID 01065800			
Petitioner name BI-LO HOLDINGS LLC  The petitioner is: ✓ taxpayer of record ☐ taxpayer's agent ☐ other, explain:		Property 7135 N 9TH AVE , FL				
<b>Decision Summary</b> ✓ Denied your petition	Grante	ed your	petition Granted your	petition in part		
Value Lines 1 and 4 must be completed	Value from TRIM Notice		Before Board Action Value presented by property apprais Rule 12D-9.025(10), F.A.C.	After Board Action		
1. Just value, required	415,1	21.00	415,121.00	415,121.00		
2. Assessed or classified use value,* if applicable	415,1	121.00	415,121.00	415,121.00		
3. Exempt value,* enter "0" if none	25,0	00.00	25,000.00	25,000.00		
4. Taxable value,* required	390,1	21.00	390,121.00	390,121.00		
*All values entered should be county taxable values. School	ol and other ta	axing aut	hority values may differ. (Section	n 196.031(7), F.S.)		
Reasons for Decision			Fill-in fields will expand or	add pages, as needed.		
Findings of Fact						
(See Attached)						
Conclusions of Law						
(See Attached)						
✓ Recommended Decision of Special Magistrate Finding and conclusions above are recommendations.						
Steven.Marshall	Steven.Marshall Steven.Marshall 11/15/2017			11/15/2017		
Signature, special magistrate	Print name			Date		
Lizabeth Carew	Lizabeth Carew			11/16/2017		
nature, VAB clerk or special representative Print name Date			Date			
If this is a recommended decision, the board will consider the recommended decision on  Address						
If the line above is blank, the board does not yet know the date, time, and place when the recommended decision will be considered. To find the information, please call (850) 595-3917 or visit our web site at http://escambiaclerk.com/AxiaLive						
☐ Final Decision of the Value Adjustment Board						
Signature, chair, value adjustment board	Print name Date of decision		Date of decision			
Signature, VAB clerk or representative	Print name Date mailed to parties					

#### Findings of Fact for Petition 2017-0134:

The P.A. office staff presented a detailed & complex analysis of the tangible personal property of the subject property. Actual cost data from the owner via DOR Form 405 was considered. Detailed information was provided on economic life and depreciation. The appraisal methodology used by the P.A. was deemed credible and reliable.

The Petitioner provided a report "For what It's Worth" a firm owned by Tammy Blackburn, ASA. Ms. Blackburn relied upon the "Super Market Valuation Model" methodology. Page 3 of the Blackburn report states "Disclaimer-- This valuation model is not an appraisal". Page 26 of the report, within her certification, Blackburn states she did not inspect the subject property. Nowhere in the Blackburn report does the report say it is a valuation model compliant with DOR rules and procedures. The Blackburn certification on page 26 does not mention criteria within the Florida Statutes (although copies of statues are found in the addenda of the report). The major difference in value between the P.A. and Blackburn is the estimate of useful life. The P.A. used tables prescribed by the DOR and Blackburn was consistently 20-40% lower on the useful life estimate.

#### **Conclusions of Law for Petition 2017-0134:**

Reference "Exhibit 1" in the petition file. The P.A. provided a detailed and complex accounting of the valuation of the subject property. The data, reasoning and analysis is deemed relevant and credible. The P.A. value appears consistent with actual cost data coupled with appropriate depreciation tables to provide a reasonable estimate of value. The Special Magistrate denies the Petitioners request for a reduction in value and upholds the value provided by the P.A.



DR-485V R. 01/ 17 Rule 12D-16.0 02 F.A.C. Eff. 01/17

The actions below were taken on your petition.	The actions below were taken on your petition.					
✓ These actions are a recommendation only, n	✓ These actions are a recommendation only, not final ☐ These actions are a final decision of the VAB					
If you are not satisfied after you are notified of the in circuit court to further contest your assessment Florida Statutes.)						
Petition # 2017-0138			Parcel ID 01066410			
Petitioner name BI-LO HOLDINGS LLC  The petitioner is:		Prope addre	- 10018 001NNLN101	D		
<b>Decision Summary</b> ✓ Denied your petition	Grante	ed your	petition	petition in part		
Value Lines 1 and 4 must be completed	Value from TRIM Notice		Before Board Action Value presented by property apprais Rule 12D-9.025(10), F.A.C.	After Board Action		
1. Just value, required	411,1	77.00	411,177.00	411,177.00		
2. Assessed or classified use value,* if applicable	411,1	177.00	411,177.00	411,177.00		
3. Exempt value,* enter "0" if none	25,0	00.00	25,000.00	25,000.00		
4. Taxable value,* required	386,1	77.00	386,177.00	386,177.00		
*All values entered should be county taxable values. School	ol and other ta	axing aut	hority values may differ. (Section	n 196.031(7), F.S.)		
Reasons for Decision			Fill-in fields will expand or	add pages, as needed.		
Findings of Fact						
(See Attached)						
Conclusions of Law						
(See Attached)						
Steven.Marshall		Steven	.Marshall	11/15/2017		
Signature, special magistrate	Print name			Date		
Lizabeth Carew	Lizabeth Carew			11/16/2017		
nature, VAB clerk or special representative Print name Date			Date			
If this is a recommended decision, the board will consider the recommended decision on  Address						
If the line above is blank, the board does not yet know the date, time, and place when the recommended decision will be considered. To find the information, please call (850) 595-3917 or visit our web site at http://escambiaclerk.com/AxiaLive						
☐ Final Decision of the Value Adjustment Board						
Signature, chair, value adjustment board		Print	name	Date of decision		
Signature, VAB clerk or representative		Print	name	Date mailed to parties		

#### Findings of Fact for Petition 2017-0138:

.A. office staff presented a detailed & complex analysis of the tangible personal property of the subject property. Actual cost data from the owner via DOR Form 405 was considered. Detailed information was provided on economic life and depreciation. The appraisal methodology used by the P.A. was deemed credible and reliable.

The Petitioner provided a report "For what It's Worth" a firm owned by Tammy Blackburn, ASA. Ms. Blackburn relied upon the "Super Market Valuation Model" methodology. Page 3 of the Blackburn report states "Disclaimer-- This valuation model is not an appraisal". Page 26 of the report, within her certification, Blackburn states she did not inspect the subject property. Nowhere in the Blackburn report does the report say it is a valuation model compliant with DOR rules and procedures. The Blackburn certification on page 26 does not mention criteria within the Florida Statutes (although copies of statues are found in the addenda of the report). The major difference in value between the P.A. and Blackburn is the estimate of useful life. The P.A. used tables prescribed by the DOR and Blackburn was consistently 20-40% lower on the useful life estimate.

#### Conclusions of Law for Petition 2017-0138:

reference "Exhibit 1" in the petition file. The P.A. provided a detailed and complex accounting of the valuation of the subject property. The data, reasoning and analysis is deemed relevant and credible. The P.A. value appears consistent with actual cost data coupled with appropriate depreciation tables to provide a reasonable estimate of value. The Special Magistrate denies the Petitioners request for a reduction in value and upholds the value provided by the P.A.



DR-485V R. 01/ 17 Rule 12D-16.0 02 F.A.C. Eff. 01/17

The actions below were taken on your petition.  These actions are a recommendation only, not like the second of th	ne final deci	sion of	the VAB, you have the rig	ht to file a lawsuit	
Petition # 2017-0139		Parce	I ID 01066411		
Petitioner name BI-LO HOLDINGS LLC  The petitioner is:		Property address 1550 S HIGHWAY 29 , FL			
<b>Decision Summary</b> ✓ Denied your petition	Grante	d your	petition	petition in part	
Value Lines 1 and 4 must be completed	Value from TRIM Notice		Before Board Action Value presented by property appraise Rule 12D-9.025(10), F.A.C.	After Board Action	
1. Just value, required	517,0	61.00	517,061.00	517,061.00	
2. Assessed or classified use value,* if applicable	517,0	61.00	517,061.00	517,061.00	
3. Exempt value,* enter "0" if none	25,0	00.00	25,000.00	25,000.00	
4. Taxable value,* required	492,0	61.00	492,061.00	492,061.00	
*All values entered should be county taxable values. School	ol and other ta	ixing aut	hority values may differ. (Section	n 196.031(7), F.S.)	
Reasons for Decision			Fill-in fields will expand or a	dd pages, as needed.	
Findings of Fact					
(See Attached)					
Conclusions of Law					
(See Attached)					
✓ Recommended Decision of Special Magistrate Finding and conclusions above are recommendations.					
Steven.Marshall	Steven.Marshall			11/15/2017	
Signature, special magistrate	Print name		Date		
Lizabeth Carew	Lizabeth Carew		11/16/2017		
Signature, VAB clerk or special representative Print name Date					
If this is a recommended decision, the board will consider the recommended decision on  Address					
If the line above is blank, the board does not yet know the date, time, and place when the recommended decision will be considered. To find the information, please call (850) 595-3917 or visit our web site at http://escambiaclerk.com/AxiaLive					
☐ Final Decision of the Value Adjustment Board					
Signature, chair, value adjustment board		Print	name	Date of decision	
Signature, VAB clerk or representative	Print name Date mailed to parties				

#### Findings of Fact for Petition 2017-0139:

The P.A. office staff presented a detailed & complex analysis of the tangible personal property of the subject property. Actual cost data from the owner via DOR Form 405 was considered. Detailed information was provided on economic life and depreciation. The appraisal methodology used by the P.A. was deemed credible and reliable.

The Petitioner provided a report "For what It's Worth" a firm owned by Tammy Blackburn, ASA. Ms. Blackburn relied upon the "Super Market Valuation Model" methodology. Page 3 of the Blackburn report states "Disclaimer-- This valuation model is not an appraisal". Page 26 of the report, within her certification, Blackburn states she did not inspect the subject property. Nowhere in the Blackburn report does the report say it is a valuation model compliant with DOR rules and procedures. The Blackburn certification on page 26 does not mention criteria within the Florida Statutes (although copies of statues are found in the addenda of the report). The major difference in value between the P.A. and Blackburn is the estimate of useful life. The P.A. used tables prescribed by the DOR and Blackburn was consistently 20-40% lower on the useful life estimate.

#### Conclusions of Law for Petition 2017-0139:

Reference "Exhibit 1" in the petition file. The P.A. provided a detailed and complex accounting of the valuation of the subject property. The data, reasoning and analysis is deemed relevant and credible. The P.A. value appears consistent with actual cost data coupled with appropriate depreciation tables to provide a reasonable estimate of value. The Special Magistrate denies the Petitioners request for a reduction in value and upholds the value provided by the P.A.



DR-485V R. 01/ 17 Rule 12D-16.0 02 F.A.C. Eff. 01/17

The actions below were taken on your petition.						
	✓ These actions are a recommendation only, not final ☐ These actions are a final decision of the VAB					
If you are not satisfied after you are notified of the final decision of the VAB, you have the right to file a lawsuit in circuit court to further contest your assessment. (See sections 193.155(8)(I), 194.036, 194.171(2), 196.151, and 197.2425, Florida Statutes.)						
Petition # 2017-0140			Parcel ID 01085474			
Petitioner name BI-LO HOLDINGS LLC  The petitioner is:		Property address 50 S BLUE ANGEL PKWY , FL				
<b>Decision Summary</b> ✓ Denied your petition	Grante	ed your	petition	petition in part		
Value Lines 1 and 4 must be completed	Value from TRIM Notice		Before Board Action Value presented by property apprais Rule 12D-9.025(10), F.A.C.	After Board Action		
1. Just value, required	544,6	57.00	544,657.00	544,657.00		
2. Assessed or classified use value,* if applicable	544,6	57.00	544,657.00	544,657.00		
3. Exempt value,* enter "0" if none	25,0	00.00	25,000.00	25,000.00		
4. Taxable value,* required	519,6	57.00	519,657.00	519,657.00		
*All values entered should be county taxable values. School	ol and other ta	axing aut	hority values may differ. (Section	on 196.031(7), F.S.)		
Reasons for Decision			Fill-in fields will expand or	add pages, as needed.		
Findings of Fact						
(See Attached)						
Conclusions of Law						
(See Attached)						
✓ Recommended Decision of Special Magistrate Finding and conclusions above are recommendations.						
Steven.Marshall		Steven	.Marshall	11/15/2017		
Signature, special magistrate	Print name			Date		
Lizabeth Carew	Lizabeth Carew			11/16/2017		
Signature, VAB clerk or special representative	clerk or special representative Print name Date					
If this is a recommended decision, the board will consider the recommended decision on  Address						
If the line above is blank, the board does not yet know the date, time, and place when the recommended decision will be considered. To find the information, please call (850) 595-3917 or visit our web site at http://escambiaclerk.com/AxiaLive						
☐ Final Decision of the Value Adjustment Board						
Signature, chair, value adjustment board		Print	name	Date of decision		
Signature, VAB clerk or representative		Print	name	Date mailed to parties		

#### Findings of Fact for Petition 2017-0140:

The P.A. office staff presented a detailed & complex analysis of the tangible personal property of the subject property. Actual cost data from the owner via DOR Form 405 was considered. Detailed information was provided on economic life and depreciation. The appraisal methodology used by the P.A. was deemed credible and reliable.

The Petitioner provided a report "For what It's Worth" a firm owned by Tammy Blackburn, ASA. Ms. Blackburn relied upon the "Super Market Valuation Model" methodology. Page 3 of the Blackburn report states "Disclaimer-- This valuation model is not an appraisal". Page 26 of the report, within her certification, Blackburn states she did not inspect the subject property. Nowhere in the Blackburn report does the report say it is a valuation model compliant with DOR rules and procedures. The Blackburn certification on page 26 does not mention criteria within the Florida Statutes (although copies of statues are found in the addenda of the report). The major difference in value between the P.A. and Blackburn is the estimate of useful life. The P.A. used tables prescribed by the DOR and Blackburn was consistently 20-40% lower on the useful life estimate.

#### Conclusions of Law for Petition 2017-0140:

Reference "Exhibit 1" in the petition file. The P.A. provided a detailed and complex accounting of the valuation of the subject property. The data, reasoning and analysis is deemed relevant and credible. The P.A. value appears consistent with actual cost data coupled with appropriate depreciation tables to provide a reasonable estimate of value. The Special Magistrate denies the Petitioners request for a reduction in value and upholds the value provided by the P.A.



DR-485V R. 01/ 17 Rule 12D-16.0 02 F.A.C. Eff. 01/17

The actions below were taken on your petition.						
✓ These actions are a recommendation only, not final ☐ These actions are a final decision of the VAB						
If you are not satisfied after you are notified of the final decision of the VAB, you have the right to file a lawsuit in circuit court to further contest your assessment. (See sections 193.155(8)(I), 194.036, 194.171(2), 196.151, and 197.2425, Florida Statutes.)						
Petition # 2017-0051			Parcel ID 132254500			
Petitioner name LADD WILHEMINIA S  The petitioner is:  ✓ taxpayer of record  ☐ taxpayer's agent ☐ other, explain:		Property address 2315 N TARRAGONA ST , FL				
<b>Decision Summary</b> ✓ Denied your petition	Grante	ed your	petition	petition in part		
Value Lines 1 and 4 must be completed	Value from TRIM Notice		Before Board Action Value presented by property apprain Rule 12D-9.025(10), F.A.C.	er After Board Action		
1. Just value, required	52,2	277.00	52,277.00	52,277.00		
2. Assessed or classified use value,* if applicable	52,2	277.00	52,277.00	52,277.00		
3. Exempt value,* enter "0" if none		0.00	0.00	0.00		
4. Taxable value,* required		277.00	52,277.00			
*All values entered should be county taxable values. School	ol and other ta	axing aut	hority values may differ. (Secti	on 196.031(7), F.S.)		
Reasons for Decision			Fill-in fields will expand or	add pages, as needed.		
Findings of Fact						
(See Attached)						
Conclusions of Law						
(See Attached)						
✓ Recommended Decision of Special Ma	gistrate	Findir	ng and conclusions above a	e recommendations.		
Steven.Marshall		Steven	.Marshall	11/15/2017		
Signature, special magistrate	Print name			Date		
Lizabeth Carew	Lizabeth Carew			11/16/2017		
gnature, VAB clerk or special representative Print name Date			Date			
If this is a recommended decision, the board will consider the recommended decision on at Address						
If the line above is blank, the board does not yet know the date, time, and place when the recommended decision will be considered. To find the information, please call (850) 595-3917 or visit our web site at http://escambiaclerk.com/AxiaLive						
☐ Final Decision of the Value Adjustment Board						
Signature, chair, value adjustment board		Print	name	Date of decision		
Signature, VAB clerk or representative		Print	name	Date mailed to parties		

#### Findings of Fact for Petition 2017-0051:

The subject property has a decade + long history of poor & improper construction as asserted by the Petitioner. The Petitioner asserts the sewer was not connected when the house was built. The Petitioner assets sewage has built up under the house for 10-12 years causing contamination & major health issues. New sewer lines were installed in 2015-2016 and reportedly that part of the problem has been resolved. Also, the Petitioner asserts a large Gulf Power pole installed across the street has also created an environmental hazard. The house has been vacant for several years. Photographs submitted by the Petitioner appear to show mold growing on the slab, door jam and other areas of the house. The Petitioner has connected the Pensacola Mayor, Escambia County Commissioners & other governmental officials. So far, the EUCA, Gulf Power and building department officials report no findings of contamination. They say the house was correctly built and issued a Certificate of Occupancy which demonstrates the house was built compliant with applicable building code..

#### **Conclusions of Law for Petition 2017-0051:**

Fl. St. 193.011 criteria to be specifically addressed by thee the Petitioner and the Property Appraiser consider "condition". the Petitioner testifies and submitted photos and evidence of construction issue-- possibly mold and more. The Property Appraiser has valued the property as if in "average" condition. the Special magistrate believes a field inspection is merited to determine the physical condition of the property. Today, November 15, 2017 the P.A. reported a field inspection of the interior/exterior of the subject property was made yesterday. The property is deemed to be in average condition.

Within the file a letters from Habitat For Humanity confirm the subject property was issued a "Certificate of Occupancy December 4, 1998.. Also, Escambia County, Department of Natural Resources issued a letter confirming soil samples were collected and that Laboratory tests do not suggest sewage contamination from am active leak near or under the foundation. Also, an email in the Petitioners packet from ECUA confirms a smoke and dye test was performed in the presence of Ms. Gadson.

There is no evidence to support the allegations and opinions of the Petitioner. The P.A. has appropriately appraised the subject property compliant DOR guidelines. The Petitioner is denied a request for a reduction of value. The Special Magistrate upholds the value of the P.A.



# DECISION OF THE VALUE ADJUSTMENT BOARD EXEMPTION, CLASSIFICATION, ASSESSMENT DIFFERENCE TRANSFER, CHANGE OF OWNERSHIP OR CONTROL, OR QUALIFYING IMPROVEMENT PETITION

DR-485XC R. 01/17 Rule 12D-16.002 F.A.C. Eff. 01/17

The actions below were taken on your petition in Escambia County.						
✓ These actions are a recommendation only, not final ☐ These actions are a final decision of the VAB						
If you are not satisfied after you are notified of the final		3, you have the right to file	a lawsuit in circuit			
court to further contest your assessment. (See sections	3 193.155(8)(l), 194.036, 1	194.171(2), 196.151, and 197.242	5, Florida Statutes.)			
Petition # 2017-0102	Parcel	ID 132254500				
Petitioner name LADD WILHEMINIA S	Proper	ty 2315 N TARRAGONA	QT T			
The petitioner is: ✓ taxpayer of record ☐ taxpay	er's agent addres	s , FL	.01			
other, explain:		, 1 🗅				
<b>Decision Summary</b> Denied your petition	n 🔲 Granted you	r petition 🔽 Granted yo	ur petition in part			
		Value before Board				
Lines 1 and 4 must be completed	Value from	Action	Value after			
zines i ana i mast se completoa	TRIM Notice	Value presented by property apprai				
Just value, required	52,277.00	Rule 12D-9.025(10), F.A.C 52,277.				
Assessed or classified use value,* if applicable	52,277.00	52,277.				
3. Exempt value,* enter "0" if none	0.00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	00 25,000.00			
4. Taxable value,* required	52,277.00	52,277.				
*All values entered should be county taxable values. School						
	ason for Petition	unity values may unier. (Section	11 190.031(7), F.3.)			
		otally and permanently disa	ibled veteran			
		Jse classification, specify Jse exemption, specify				
Transfer of homestead assessment difference		Qualifying improvement				
☐ Change of ownership or control		Other, specify				
Reasons for Decision Fill-in fields will expand or add pages, as needed.						
Findings of Fact			-			
(See Attached)						
Conclusions of Law						
(See Attached)						
✓ Recommended Decision of Special Magistrate The finding and conclusions above are recommendations.						
	arry.Matthews		01/30/2018			
Signature, special magistrate	Print name		Date			
Lizabeth Carew	zabeth Carew		1/30/2018			
Signature, VAB clerk or special representative	Print r	name	Date			
If this is a recommended decision, the board will consider the recommended decision on 02/09/2018 at 09:00 ■ AM ☐ PM.						
Address 221 Palafox Place, Board Chambers, First Florida, Pensacola, FL 32502						
If the line above is blank, please call (850) 595-3917 or visit our web site at http://escambiaclerk.com/AxiaLive2017						
☐ Final Decision of the Value Adjustment Board						
Signature, chair, value adjustment board	Print r	name	Date of decision			
Signature VAB clerk or representative	Print name		Date mailed to parties			

Findings of Fact:

Page 2 of 2

This matter was remanded for the parties to continue negotiation on the homestead and value issues. The Property Appraiser has reinstated the Petitioner's homestead exemption.

On Petitioner's request for a continuation, it is denied. I do not have jurisdiction based on the issues presented by Petitioner. She appears to be requesting her property be condemned or other action unrelated to the Value Adjustment Board.

#### **Conclusions of Law:**

The decision is being issued in order that any right the Petitioner may have to bring an action in circuit court is not impaired.

Value Adjustment Board does not have jurisdiction for the relief requested.

Al-13583 4.

Value Adjustment Board Regular Meeting

Meeting Date: 02/09/2018

**Issue:** Approval of Minutes

From: Pam Childers, Clerk of the Circuit Court & Comptroller

Organization: Clerk & Comptroller's Office

#### Information

## **Recommendation:**

Approval of Minutes.

<u>Recommendation:</u> That the Board approve the Minutes of the Value Adjustment Board Organizational Meeting held August 24, 2017, as prepared by Lizabeth Carew, Administrative Specialist, Clerk & Comptroller's Office.

## **Background:**

The Value Adjustment Board held its Organizational Meeting on August 18, 2015.

## **Attachments**

20170824 VAB Organizational Meeting

# MINUTES OF THE ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING OF THE VALUE ADJUSTMENT BOARD HELD AUGUST 24, 2017

## BOARD CHAMBERS, FIRST FLOOR, ESCAMBIA COUNTY GOVERNMENTAL COMPLEX 221 PALAFOX PLACE, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

(9:02 a.m. – 9:15 a.m.)

Present: Steven L. Barry, Board of County Commissioners

Jeffrey W. Bergosh, Board of County Commissioners

Kevin Adams, School Board Member

Gerald W. Adcox, District School Board Appointee

Richie Faunce, Board of County Commissioners' Appointee

Suzanne Whibbs. Private Counsel

Lizabeth Carew, Administrative Specialist, Clerk & Comptroller's Office

#### AGENDA NUMBER

#### 1. Call to Order

The Meeting of the Value Adjustment Board was called to order at 9:02 a.m.

#### 2. Publication

The Meeting was advertised in the *Pensacola News Journal* on August 12 and 19, 2017, and was posted on the Escambia County Clerk of the Court and Comptroller's website.

#### 3. Election of Chair and Vice Chair

Motion made by Commissioner Bergosh, seconded by School Board Member Adams, and carried unanimously, rescinding the Board's action of January 17, 2017, electing Mr. Adcox as Chairman and Commissioner Bergosh as Vice Chairman.

Motion made by Mr. Faunce, seconded by Commissioner Barry, and carried unanimously, electing Commissioner Bergosh as Chairman and Mr. Adcox as Vice Chairman.

#### 4. Selection of Private Counsel

Motion made by Commissioner Barry, seconded by School Board Member Adams, and carried unanimously, selecting Suzanne N. Whibbs as Private Counsel for the 2017 tax year and authorizing the Chairman to execute a Contract for Services of Private Counsel, in accordance with Chapter 194.035 (1), Florida Statutes, effective August 24, 2017, through August 25, 2018.

#### MINUTES OF THE ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING OF THE VAB – Continued

#### AGENDA NUMBER – Continued

#### 5. Introduction and Contact Information

The contact information was provided for VAB Members, VAB Clerks, and Private Counsel, as follows:

## VALUE ADJUSTMENT BOARD, ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA

County Commissioner Steven L. Barry P.O. Box 1591 Pensacola, FL 32591 (850) 595-4950 district5@co.escambia.fl.us

County Commissioner Jeffrey W. Bergosh P.O. Box 1591 Pensacola, FL 32591 (850) 595-4910 district1@co.escambia.fl.us

School Board Member Kevin Adams (District 1) 75 North Pace Boulevard Pensacola, FL 32505 (850) 469-6137 kadams@escambia.k12.fl.us

Gerald W. Adcox (School Board's Citizen Appointee) 5603 North "W" Street Pensacola, FL 32505 (850) 439-9209 gerald@adcoximports.com

Richie Faunce (Board of County Commissioners' Citizen Appointee) 10311 Bowman Avenue Pensacola, FL 32534 <a href="mailto:rrfaunce@att.net">rrfaunce@att.net</a>

(Continued on Page 3)

#### MINUTES OF THE ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING OF THE VAB – Continued

#### <u>AGENDA NUMBER</u> – Continued

5. Continued...

#### **CLERK TO THE VALUE ADJUSTMENT BOARD**

Pam Childers Clerk of the Circuit Court & Comptroller 190 Governmental Center Pensacola, FL 32502 (850) 595-4310 pchilders@escambiaclerk.com

Lizabeth Carew, Administrative Specialist Clerk to the Value Adjustment Board 221 Palafox Place, Ste. 110 Pensacola, FL 32502 (850) 595-3917 lcarew@escambiaclerk.com

6. Florida Sunshine Law / Public Records Law / Voting Conflicts

The 2017 Government-In-The-Sunshine Manual is available online *at* http://www.myflsunshine.com/sun.nsf/sunmanual.

7. Florida Administrative Code Rules 12D-9, 12D-10, 12D-51.001, 12D-51.002, and 12D-51.003 and Florida Statute, Chapters 192 through 195

Chairman Bergosh advised that the following Florida Administrative Code Rules can be accessed on the Florida Department of Revenue's website via the following links:

- The Uniform Policies and Procedures Manual, containing Florida Administrative Code Rule Chapters 12D-9 and 12D-10, <a href="http://dor.myflorida.com/dor/property/vab/">http://dor.myflorida.com/dor/property/vab/</a>
- Classified Use Real Property Guidelines, Standard Assessment Procedures and Standard Measures of Value, Agricultural Guidelines, 1982, 12D-51.001, http://dor.myflorida.com/dor/property/rp/pdf/FLag.pdf
- Tangible Personal Property Appraisal Guidelines, 1997, 12D-51.002, <a href="http://dor.myflorida.com/dor/pdf/paguide.pdf">http://dor.myflorida.com/dor/pdf/paguide.pdf</a>
- Florida Real Property Appraisal Guidelines (FRPAG), 2002, 12D-51.003, <a href="http://dor.myflorida.com/dor/property/rp/pdf/FLrpg.pdf">http://dor.myflorida.com/dor/property/rp/pdf/FLrpg.pdf</a>
- Florida Statutes Chapters 192 through 195, <a href="http://www.leg.state.fl.us/Statutes/">http://www.leg.state.fl.us/Statutes/</a>

#### MINUTES OF THE ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING OF THE VAB - Continued

#### <u>AGENDA NUMBER</u> – Continued

## 8. Selection of Attorney Special Magistrate

Motion made by Mr. Adcox, seconded by Commissioner Barry, and carried unanimously, selecting Larry A. Matthews for Escambia County Attorney Special Magistrate for the 2017 tax year, and authorizing the Chairman to execute a Contract for Services of Special Magistrate, in accordance with Chapter 194.035(1), Florida Statutes.

## 9. Selection of Appraiser Special Magistrate

Motion made by School Board Member Adams, seconded by Mr. Faunce, and carried unanimously, selecting Steven L. Marshall for Escambia County Appraiser Special Magistrate for the 2017 tax year, and authorizing the Chairman to execute a Contract for Services of Special Magistrate, in accordance with Chapter 194.035(1), Florida Statutes.

#### 10. Filing Fee Resolution

Motion made by Commissioner Barry, seconded by School Board Member Adams, and carried unanimously, confirming, for the record, that Resolution R2015-1, which was adopted by the Value Adjustment Board (VAB) on August 18, 2015, remains in effect until repealed by the VAB, and provides that a petition filed pursuant to Section 194.013, Florida Statutes, and Rule Chapter 12D-9.013(k), F.A.C., shall be accompanied by a filing fee, to be paid to the Clerk of the Circuit Court, in the amount of \$15 for each separate parcel of property, real or personal, covered by the petition.

#### 11. Approval of Minutes

Motion made by Mr. Faunce, seconded by Mr. Adcox, and carried unanimously, approving the Minutes of the Regular Board Meeting of the Value Adjustment Board held January 17, 2017, as prepared by Lizabeth Carew, Administrative Specialist, Clerk & Comptroller's Office.

## 12. Adjournment

There being no further discussion to come before the Value Adjustment Board, Chairman Bergosh declared the Meeting adjourned at 9:15 a.m.