AGENDA ESCAMBIA COUNTY PLANNING BOARD QUASI-JUDICIAL HEARING April 4, 2017–8:30 a.m. Escambia County Central Office Complex 3363 West Park Place, Room 104

- 1. Call to Order.
- 2. Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.
- 3. Proof of Publication and Waive the Reading of the Legal Advertisement.
- 4. Approval of Minutes.
 - A. **<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>**: That the Planning Board review and approve the Meeting Resume' Minutes of the March 7, 2017 Planning Board Rezoning Meeting.
- 5. Acceptance of Rezoning Planning Board Meeting Packet.
- 6. Quasi-judicial Process Explanation.
- 7. Public Hearings.

A. Case #: Z-2016-13
Applicant: Wiley C. "Buddy" Page, Agent for Shaun Romero, Owner
Address: 6013 Hilburn Road
Property 1.52 (+/-) acres
Size:
From: HDR, High Density Residential district (18 du/acre)
To: Com, Commercial district (25 du/acre, lodging unit density not limited by zoning)

B.Case #:Z-2017-02Applicant:Joseph Dhaiti, Agent for Katia Lamothe, OwnerAddress:1300 Block Blue Angel Parkway

Property Size:

From:	MDR, Medium Density Residential district (10 du/acre)
To:	HDMU, High Density Mixed-use district (25 du/acre)

C.	Applicant: Address:	Z-2017-03 Wiley C. "Buddy" Page, Agent for Ali Ramzan, Owner 101 Beverly Parkway 0.172 +/- acres
	From: To:	HDMU, High Density Mixed-use district (25 du/acre) HC/LI-NA, Heavy Commercial and Light Industrial district, prohibiting the subsequent establishment of any microbreweries, microdistilleries, micowineries, bars, nightclubs, or adult entertainment uses (25 du/acre, lodging unit density not limited by zoning)

D. That the Planning Board review and make recommendation to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) on the following Vested Rights case:

Case No.:	VRD-2017-01
Project Address:	9300 Blk Gibson Road
Property Reference No.:	24-3N-32-1301-001-001
Zoning District:	Agr
FLU Category:	AG
Vested Rights for:	Land Use
Applicant:	Constance Parker

8. Adjournment.



Planning Board-Rezoning

4. A.

Meeting Date: 04/04/2017

Agenda Item:

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: That the Planning Board review and approve the Meeting Resume' Minutes of the March 7, 2017 Planning Board Rezoning Meeting.

Attachments <u>Draft March 7, 2017 Planning Board Rezoning Meeting Minutes</u>



RESUMÉ OF THE ESCAMBIA COUNTY PLANNING BOARD QUASI-JUDICIAL REZONING March 7, 2017

CENTRAL OFFICE COMPLEX 3363 WEST PARK PLACE, BOARD CHAMBERS PENSACOLA, FLORIDA (8:35 A.M. – 8:37 A.M) (8:38 A.M. - 10:08 A.M.)

Present: Reid Rushing Jay Ingwell Timothy Pyle, Vice Chairman Edwin Howard Patty Hightower Eric Fears William Clay Stephen Opalenik

Absent: Wayne Briske, Chairman

Staff Present: Allyson Cain, Urban Planner, Planning & Zoning Andrew Holmer, Division Manager, Planning & Zoning Horace Jones, Director, Development Services John Fisher, Senior Urban Planner, Planning & Zoning Juan Lemos, Senior Planner, Planning & Zoning Kayla Meador, Sr Office Assistant Meredith Crawford, Assistant County Attorney

- 1. Call to Order.
- 2. Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag was given by Tim Pyle.
- 3. Proof of Publication and Waive the Reading of the Legal Advertisement.

Motion by Reid Rushing, Seconded by Jay Ingwell

Motion was made to accept the proof of publication and to waive the reading of the legal advertisement.

Vote: 6 - 0 Approved

Other: Wayne Briske (ABSENT)

- 4. Approval of Minutes.
 - A. **RECOMMENDATION:** That the Planning Board review and approve the Meeting Resume' Minutes of the February 7, 2017 Planning Board Rezoning Meeting.

Motion by Jay Ingwell, Seconded by Reid Rushing

Motion was made to correct minutes for Z-2016-16 to reflect the vote as 4-1, with Mr. Inwell as the opposing vote, and to approve as amended.

Vote: 6 - 0 Approved

Other: Wayne Briske (ABSENT)

5. Acceptance of Rezoning Planning Board Meeting Packet.

Motion by Reid Rushing, Seconded by Eric Fears

Motion was made to accept the March 7, 2017 Rezoning Planning Board Meeting packet.

Vote: 6 - 0 Approved

Other: Wayne Briske (ABSENT)

6. Quasi-judicial Process Explanation.

7. Public Hearings.

A. Case #: Z-2017-01 Applicant: Tom Hammond, Agent for Sterling Tree Service, Inc., Owner Address: 8600 Block Lillian Highway Property 1.43 (+/-) acres Size: From: HDMU, High Density Mixed-use district (25du/acre) To: HC/LI, Heavy Commercial and Light Industrial district (25 du/acre, lodging unit density not limited by zoning)

No planning board member acknowledged visiting the site.

No planning board member acknowledged any ex parte communication regarding this item.

No planning board member abstained from voting on this matter due to any conflict of interest.

Motion by Reid Rushing, Seconded by Eric Fears

Motion was made to accept Staff's Findings of Fact and to recommend approval to the BCC.

Vote: 6 - 0 Approved

Other: Wayne Briske (ABSENT)

B. <u>A Public Hearing Concerning the Review of Russo Subdivision, a Planned</u> <u>Unit Development</u>

That the Board review the development plan for Russo residential subdivision, a Planned Unit Development (PUD), and confirm consistency of the plan with Land Development Code (LDC) requirements prior to transmittal of a recommendation to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) to review and consider the plan for a final decision.

Motion by Edwin Howard, Seconded by Reid Rushing

Motion was made to recommend approval to the BCC. **Vote:** 5 - 1 Approved

Voted No: Jay Ingwell Other: Wayne Briske (ABSENT)

8. Adjournment.

Planning Board-Rezor	ing 7. A.
Meeting Date:	04/04/2017
CASE :	Z-2016-13
APPLICANT:	Wiley C. "Buddy" Page, Agent for Shaun Romero, Owner
ADDRESS:	6013 Hilburn Road
PROPERTY REF. NO.:	29-1S-30-1504-000-000
FUTURE LAND USE:	MU-U, Mixed-Use Urban
DISTRICT:	3
OVERLAY DISTRICT:	Oakfield
BCC MEETING DATE:	05/04/2017

SUBMISSION DATA: REQUESTED REZONING:

FROM: HDR, High Density Residential district (18 du/acre)

TO: Com, Commercial district (25 du/acre, lodging unit density not limited by zoning)

RELEVANT AUTHORITY:

- (1) Escambia County Comprehensive Plan
- (2) Escambia County Land Development Code

(3) Board of County Commissioners of Brevard County v. Snyder, 627 So. 2d 469 (Fla. 1993)

(4) Resolution 96-34 (Quasi-judicial Proceedings)

(5) Resolution 96-13 (Ex-parte Communications)

APPROVAL CONDITIONS

Criterion a., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Consistent with Comprehensive Plan

Whether the proposed rezoning is consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan and not in conflict with any of the plan provisions.

CPP FLU 1.3.1 Future Land Use Categories. The Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U) Future Land Use (FLU) category is intended for an intense mix of residential and nonresidential uses while promoting compatible infill development and the separation of urban and suburban land uses within the category as a whole. Range of allowable uses include: Residential, Retail and Services, Professional Office, Light Industrial, Recreational Facilities, Public and Civic. The maximum residential density is 25 dwelling units per

acre.

FLU 1.5.1 New Development and Redevelopment in Built Areas. To promote the efficient use of existing public roads, utilities, and service infrastructure, the County will encourage the redevelopment in underutilized properties to maximize development densities and intensities located in the MU-S, MU-U, Commercial, and Industrial Future Land Use categories (with the exception of residential development).

FLU 2.1.1 Infrastructure Capacities. Urban uses will be concentrated in the urbanized areas with the most intense development permitted in the Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U) areas and areas with sufficient central water and sewer system capacity to accommodate higher density development. Land use densities may be increased through Comprehensive Plan amendments. This policy is intended to direct higher density urban uses to those areas with infrastructure capacities sufficient to meet demands and to those areas with capacities in excess of current or projected demand. Septic systems remain allowed through Florida Health Department permits where central sewer is not available.

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment to Commercial **is consistent** with the intent and purpose of Future Land Use category MU-U as stated in CPP FLU 1.3.1. The Future Land Use of Mixed-Use Urban allows for a mix of residential and retail services. The property **would promote** efficient use of existing public roads and an underutilized property that would conform with CPP FLU 1.5.1.

Per FLU 2.1.1 the uses will be concentrated in the urbanized areas with the most intense development permitted in the Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U) areas. Sufficient central water and sewer system capacity to accommodate higher density development will be reviewed during the site plan review process.

Criterion b., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Consistent with The Land Development Code

Whether the proposed amendment is in conflict with any portion of this Code, and is consistent with the stated purpose and intent of this Code.

Sec. 3-2.8 High Density Residential district (HDR).

(a) **Purpose.** The High Density Residential (HDR) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for residential uses at high densities within urban areas. The primary intent of the district is to provide for residential neighborhood development in an efficient urban pattern of well-connected streets and at greater dwelling unit density and diversity than the Medium Density Residential district. Residential uses within the HDR district include most forms of single-family, two-family and multi-family dwellings. Non-residential uses within the district are limited to those that are compatible with urban residential neighborhoods. (See Exhibit A for district provisions)

Sec. 3-2.9 High Density Mixed-use district (HDMU).

(a) Purpose. The High Density Mixed-use (HDMU) district establishes appropriate

areas and land use regulations for a complimentary mix of high density residential uses and compatible non-residential uses within urban areas. The primary intent of the district is to provide for a mix of neighborhood retail sales, services and professional offices with greater dwelling unit density and diversity than the Low Density Mixed-use district. Additionally, the HDMU district is intended to rely on urban street connectivity and encourage vertical mixes of commercial and residential uses within the same building to accommodate a physical pattern of development characteristic of village main streets and older neighborhood commercial areas. Residential uses within the district include all forms of single-family, two-family and multi-family dwellings.(See Exhibit B for district provisions)

Sec. 3-2.10 Commercial district (Com).

(a) Purpose. The Commercial (Com) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for general commercial activities, especially the retailing of commodities and services. The primary intent of the district is to allow more diverse and intense commercial uses than the neighborhood commercial allowed within the mixed-use districts. To maintain compatibility with surrounding uses, all commercial operations within the Commercial district are limited to the confines of buildings and not allowed to produce undesirable effects on surrounding property. To retain adequate area for commercial activities, new and expanded residential development within the district is limited, consistent with the Commercial (C) future land use category. (See Exhibit C for district provisions)

(f) Rezoning to Commercial. Commercial zoning may be established only within the Mixed-Use Suburban (MU-S), Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U) or Commercial (C) future land use categories. The district is appropriate to provide transitions between areas zoned or used as high density mixed-use and areas zoned or used as heavy commercial or industrial. Rezoning to Commercial is subject to the same location criteria as any new non-residential use proposed within the Commercial district.

FINDINGS

In the reviewing the intent and purpose of the existing zoning category of HDR and the proposed zoning category of Com, the permitted and conditional uses of HDR are significantly different from the permitted and conditional uses of the proposed zoning category of Com. For example, HDR zoning allows for High Density Residential uses ranging from group living to multi-family dwellings. Thus, it can be concluded that as stated in Sec. 3-2.8, HDR allows for primary residential use (see Exhibit A). In contrast, the proposed amendment to Commercial allows for primary commercial uses. The primary intent of the Commercial zoning district is to allow more diverse and intense commercial uses than the neighborhood commercial allowed within the mixed-use districts (see Exhibit C). The proposed zoning of Commercial would not provide the needed transition between areas zoned or used as high density mixed-use and areas zoned or used as heavy commercial or industrial. However, in reviewing the zoning categories of the Land Development Code (LDC), there is a transitional zoning category of High Density Mixed-Use (HDMU) that would allow for residential and neighborhood commercial uses of low intensity. (see Exhibit B). The primary intent and purpose of HDMU is to provide for a mix of neighborhood retail sales, services and professional offices with greater dwelling unit density and diversity. The HDMU zoning district is appropriate to provide transitions between areas zoned or used for medium or high density residential and areas zoned or used for commercial. To conclude, the proposed amendment to Commercial from the currently zoning of High Density Residential (HDR)s not consistent with the intent and purpose of the Land Development Code.

Criterion c., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Compatible with surrounding uses

Whether all land uses, development activities, and conditions allowed by the proposed zoning are compatible with the surrounding conforming uses, activities and conditions and are able to coexist in relative proximity to them in a stable fashion over time such that no use, activity, or condition negatively impacts another. The appropriateness of the rezoning is not limited to any specific use that may be proposed but is evident for all permitted uses of the requested zoning.

Sec. 3-1.6 Compatibility

(a) Generally. Zoning districts provide the primary means to establish and maintain the necessary balance between the needs and interests of different land uses, allowing neighboring uses to coexist successfully in a stable fashion over time, protecting the investments in each. Although zoning separates generally incompatible development, inclusion as a permitted use within a district does not alone ensure compatibility with other district uses.

(b) Location criteria. Location criteria are established within some zoning districts to promote compatibility among uses, especially new non-residential uses in relation to existing residential uses. Most criteria are designed to create smooth transitions of use intensity from large-scale concentrations of general commercial uses near major street intersections to small-scale dispersed neighborhood commercial uses in proximity to residential areas. Such transitions prevent the adverse impacts of continuous strip commercial development along major streets and avoid negative or blighting influences of some commercial uses on adjacent residential neighborhoods.

FINDINGS

Based upon a site plan review and the existing land use map, the subject property is adjacent to an existing residential development of townhomes known as Sundance Village and is an allowed used within the existing zoning category of HDR. Under the provision of compatibility as sited under Section 3-1.6 (a), zoning districts provide the primary means to establish and maintain the necessary balance between the needs and interests of different land uses. For the analysis of staff, there are no existing Com uses adjacent to and as well as the same side of the road of the subject property. The intense and invasive uses that are allowed in Commercial (see Exhibit C) would have an unduly **negative impact** (directly or indirectly) the existing adjacent residential uses. Staff does recognize the fact that there are surrounding Commercial uses within proximity of the subject property on the opposite side of the road, yet the fact remains

that if the subject property is rezoned, it **would be incompatible** due to the fact that the rezoned subject property would be adjacent to a residential development.

Also, under the provision of location criteria as sited under Section 3-1.6 (b), it appears the location criteria for Commercial zoning **can be achieved** due to the sites proximity of the subject parcel to the intersection of Creighton Rd at Hilburn Rd. Both are listed as arterial roads and both roads intersect within one-quarter mile of the subject property. (see Exhibit D)

The following language is from excerpt from the interoffice memorandum comments provided by the County's Transportation and Traffic Operations (TTO) Division staff:

December 6, 2016 memo: Burgess Rd is currently programmed for right-of-way acquisition in the FL-AL Long Range Transportation Plan. Existing right-of-way is sufficient for the proposed four lane expansion of Burgess Rd.

March 20, 2017 memo: Currently, there is a Roadway Improvement Project programmed in the County's Capital Improvement Program and the State of Florida's Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) 5-Year Work Program along SR742 (Burgess Road) from US29 to Hilburn Road within the vicinity of the subject parcel. The project is expected to widen the existing two-way, two-lane roadway to a 4-lane roadway. The right-of-way acquisition phase (currently on-going) is programmed through Fiscal Year 2018, with the County expected to fund \$1M towards the right-of-way phase.

The Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) currently lists the aforementioned project as the #2 Non-Strategic Intermodal System capacity project for the TPO 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP). The TPO's LRTP Cost Feasible Plan programs the construction phase (\$18M) during the Fiscal Year 2021-2025 time period.

Per the TPO's Congestion Management Process Plan, Burgess Road is currently functioning within its allowable capacity for traffic volumes between the segment of CR95A (Old Palafox) and Hilburn Road. The maximum level-of-service (LOS) for the roadway segment is LOS D (14,800 trips/day), and currently the roadway segment is functioning at a LOS D (8,000 trips/day) and is expected to remain at a LOS D and exceed 9,700 trips/day by Year 2024.

Note: TTO's review is solely based off the application submittal packet, so the comments above hold no bearing on future TTO comments during the Development Review process.

Criterion d., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Changed conditions

Whether the area to which the proposed rezoning would apply has changed, or is changing, to such a degree that it is in the public interest to encourage new uses, density, or intensity in the area through rezoning.

FINDINGS

Staff found **changed** conditions that would impact the amendment or property. Staff found case Z-2004-42 at 190 Creighton Road, approved 12/09/2004 for rezoning from R-4 to C-1. Staff also found case Z-2005-58 at 473 Allen Court, approved 01/05/2006 for rezoning from R-4 to C-1. Both case were approved under the previously adopted Land Development Code. (See Exhibit D for the location map of the above rezoning cases)

Criterion e., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Development patterns

Whether the proposed rezoning would contribute to or result in a logical and orderly development pattern.

FINDINGS

Based upon the literal review of the existing land uses and zoning categories surrounding the subject properties (see Exhibit D), there appears to be a development pattern in the area. The site is surrounded by Commercial zoning to the north and east of the subject property, yet are located across the roadway. The approval of the rezoning could contribute to the establishment of Commercial uses that would compliment the other Commercial zoned properties in the area, yet most of the Commercial zoned property in the area are vacant with no Commercial uses currently established. Staff found that within the 500' impact radius, it appears only two of the Commercial zoned properties in the area that are zoned Commercial are vacant or have an established residential use. Similarly, the surrounded residential zonings of HDR have established residential uses or are vacant as well. The zoning category of HDMU could provide a more logical and orderly development pattern that would result in the area.

Criterion (f) LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Effect on natural environment

Whether the proposed rezoning would increase the probability of any significant adverse impacts on the natural environment.

FINDINGS

According to the National Wetland Inventory, wetlands and hydric soils **were not** indicated on the subject property. When applicable, further review during the Site Plan Review process will be necessary to determine if there would be any significant adverse impact on the natural environment.

<u>Z-2016-13</u>
<u>Exhibit A</u>
<u>Exhibit B</u>
Exhibit C
Exhibit D

E.

Z-2016-13

Pages 1 to 4

			Pages 1 to 4
	Page 1		Page 2
	- 2 -	1	
	ESCAMBIA COUNTY PLANNING BOARD	2	PLANNING BOARD
	QUASI-JUDICIAL HEARING	3	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
		4	WAYNE BRISKE JAY INGWELL Chair District 1
		5	TIMOTHY PYLE ALVIN WINGATE
	CASE #: Z-2016-13	6 7	District 2 District 3 ERIC FEARS REID RUSHING
	Applicant: Wiley C. "Buddy" Page, Agent for Shaun		ERIC FEARS REID RUSHING District 4 Vice Chair
	Romero, Owner	8	EDWIN HOWARD
	Address: 6013 Hilburn Road	9	At-Large
	Property 1.52 (+/-) acres Size :	10	PATTY HIGHTOWER STEPHEN OPALENIK School Board Navy
	From: HDR, High Density Residential district 18	12	PLANNING BOARD STAFF PRESENT:
	(du/acre)	13	MEREDITH CRAWFORD, ESQUIRE
	To: Com, Commercial district (25 du/acre,	14 15	Assistant County Attorney
	lodging unit density not limited by zoning)		HORACE JONES, DIRECTOR
	zonnig)	16	KAYLA MEADOR CALEB MacCARTEE
		17	JOHN FISHER
		18	ALLYSON CAIN
	A hearing was hold in the above studed	19	
	A hearing was held in the above-styled cause before the Escambia County Planning Board on the	19	FOR THE APPLICANT:
	7th day of February 2017, commencing at approximately	20	CLARK, PARTINGTON
	10:40 a.m., at the Escambia County Central Office	21	BY: JESSE W. RIGBY, ESQUIRE
	Complex, 3363 West Park Place, Room 104, Governmental Center, Pensacola, Florida, reported by David A. Deik,	22	125 West Romana Street, Suite 800 Pensacola, Florida 32502
	CP, CPE, Professional Reporter.	23	
	, , , 1	24 25	
	Page 3		Page 4
1	Page 3	1	
1 2		1 2	Page 4 PROCEEDINGS
1 2 3	Page 3		PROCEEDINGS
2		2	P R O C E E D I N G S THE CHAIRMAN: We will immediately move
2 3 4	INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE	2 3	PROCEEDINGS
2 3 4 5	INDEX	2 3 4	P R O C E E D I N G S THE CHAIRMAN: We will immediately move into our next case, which is Case Z-2016-13.
2 3 4	INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE PROCEEDINGS4	2 3 4 5	P R O C E E D I N G S THE CHAIRMAN: We will immediately move into our next case, which is Case Z-2016-13. Mr. Page, Buddy Page is the agent for
2 3 4 5 6	INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE	2 3 4 5 6	P R O C E E D I N G S THE CHAIRMAN: We will immediately move into our next case, which is Case Z-2016-13. Mr. Page, Buddy Page is the agent for Shaun Romero, the owner, 6013 Hilburn Road, 1.52
2 3 4 5 6 7	INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE PROCEEDINGS4	2 3 4 5 6 7	P R O C E E D I N G S THE CHAIRMAN: We will immediately move into our next case, which is Case Z-2016-13. Mr. Page, Buddy Page is the agent for Shaun Romero, the owner, 6013 Hilburn Road, 1.52 plus or minus acres, from HDR, high density
2 3 4 5 6	INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE PROCEEDINGS4	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	P R O C E E D I N G S THE CHAIRMAN: We will immediately move into our next case, which is Case Z-2016-13. Mr. Page, Buddy Page is the agent for Shaun Romero, the owner, 6013 Hilburn Road, 1.52 plus or minus acres, from HDR, high density residential district, 18 raw units per acres to commercial district, 25 dwelling units per acre, lodging unit density not limited by zoning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE PROCEEDINGS4	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRMAN: We will immediately move into our next case, which is Case Z-2016-13. Mr. Page, Buddy Page is the agent for Shaun Romero, the owner, 6013 Hilburn Road, 1.52 plus or minus acres, from HDR, high density residential district, 18 raw units per acres to commercial district, 25 dwelling units per acre, lodging unit density not limited by zoning. Members of the Board, again I'll ask you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE PROCEEDINGS4	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRMAN: We will immediately move into our next case, which is Case Z-2016-13. Mr. Page, Buddy Page is the agent for Shaun Romero, the owner, 6013 Hilburn Road, 1.52 plus or minus acres, from HDR, high density residential district, 18 raw units per acres to commercial district, 25 dwelling units per acre, lodging unit density not limited by zoning. Members of the Board, again I'll ask you on this case, have there been any ex parte
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE PROCEEDINGS4	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRMAN: We will immediately move into our next case, which is Case Z-2016-13. Mr. Page, Buddy Page is the agent for Shaun Romero, the owner, 6013 Hilburn Road, 1.52 plus or minus acres, from HDR, high density residential district, 18 raw units per acres to commercial district, 25 dwelling units per acre, lodging unit density not limited by zoning. Members of the Board, again I'll ask you on this case, have there been any ex parte communications between you, the applicant,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE PROCEEDINGS4	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRMAN: We will immediately move into our next case, which is Case Z-2016-13. Mr. Page, Buddy Page is the agent for Shaun Romero, the owner, 6013 Hilburn Road, 1.52 plus or minus acres, from HDR, high density residential district, 18 raw units per acres to commercial district, 25 dwelling units per acre, lodging unit density not limited by zoning. Members of the Board, again I'll ask you on this case, have there been any ex parte communications between you, the applicant, applicant's agent, attorneys, witnesses, fellow
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE PROCEEDINGS4	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRMAN: We will immediately move into our next case, which is Case Z-2016-13. Mr. Page, Buddy Page is the agent for Shaun Romero, the owner, 6013 Hilburn Road, 1.52 plus or minus acres, from HDR, high density residential district, 18 raw units per acres to commercial district, 25 dwelling units per acre, lodging unit density not limited by zoning. Members of the Board, again I'll ask you on this case, have there been any ex parte communications between you, the applicant, applicant's agent, attorneys, witnesses, fellow Planning Board members, or anyone from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE PROCEEDINGS4	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRMAN: We will immediately move into our next case, which is Case Z-2016-13. Mr. Page, Buddy Page is the agent for Shaun Romero, the owner, 6013 Hilburn Road, 1.52 plus or minus acres, from HDR, high density residential district, 18 raw units per acres to commercial district, 25 dwelling units per acre, lodging unit density not limited by zoning. Members of the Board, again I'll ask you on this case, have there been any ex parte communications between you, the applicant, applicant's agent, attorneys, witnesses, fellow Planning Board members, or anyone from the public?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE PROCEEDINGS4	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRMAN: We will immediately move into our next case, which is Case Z-2016-13. Mr. Page, Buddy Page is the agent for Shaun Romero, the owner, 6013 Hilburn Road, 1.52 plus or minus acres, from HDR, high density residential district, 18 raw units per acres to commercial district, 25 dwelling units per acre, lodging unit density not limited by zoning. Members of the Board, again I'll ask you on this case, have there been any ex parte communications between you, the applicant, applicant's agent, attorneys, witnesses, fellow Planning Board members, or anyone from the public? Also, please disclose if you have visited
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE PROCEEDINGS4	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRMAN: We will immediately move into our next case, which is Case Z-2016-13. Mr. Page, Buddy Page is the agent for Shaun Romero, the owner, 6013 Hilburn Road, 1.52 plus or minus acres, from HDR, high density residential district, 18 raw units per acres to commercial district, 25 dwelling units per acre, lodging unit density not limited by zoning. Members of the Board, again I'll ask you on this case, have there been any ex parte communications between you, the applicant, applicant's agent, attorneys, witnesses, fellow Planning Board members, or anyone from the public? Also, please disclose if you have visited the subject property or you are a relative or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE PROCEEDINGS4	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRMAN: We will immediately move into our next case, which is Case Z-2016-13. Mr. Page, Buddy Page is the agent for Shaun Romero, the owner, 6013 Hilburn Road, 1.52 plus or minus acres, from HDR, high density residential district, 18 raw units per acres to commercial district, 25 dwelling units per acre, lodging unit density not limited by zoning. Members of the Board, again I'll ask you on this case, have there been any ex parte communications between you, the applicant, applicant's agent, attorneys, witnesses, fellow Planning Board members, or anyone from the public? Also, please disclose if you have visited the subject property or you are a relative or business associate of any of the parties.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE PROCEEDINGS4	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRMAN: We will immediately move into our next case, which is Case Z-2016-13. Mr. Page, Buddy Page is the agent for Shaun Romero, the owner, 6013 Hilburn Road, 1.52 plus or minus acres, from HDR, high density residential district, 18 raw units per acres to commercial district, 25 dwelling units per acre, lodging unit density not limited by zoning. Members of the Board, again I'll ask you on this case, have there been any ex parte communications between you, the applicant, applicant's agent, attorneys, witnesses, fellow Planning Board members, or anyone from the public? Also, please disclose if you have visited the subject property or you are a relative or business associate of any of the parties. And at this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE PROCEEDINGS4	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRMAN: We will immediately move into our next case, which is Case Z-2016-13. Mr. Page, Buddy Page is the agent for Shaun Romero, the owner, 6013 Hilburn Road, 1.52 plus or minus acres, from HDR, high density residential district, 18 raw units per acres to commercial district, 25 dwelling units per acre, lodging unit density not limited by zoning. Members of the Board, again I'll ask you on this case, have there been any ex parte communications between you, the applicant, applicant's agent, attorneys, witnesses, fellow Planning Board members, or anyone from the public? Also, please disclose if you have visited the subject property or you are a relative or business associate of any of the parties. And at this. MR. OPALENIK: No to all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE PROCEEDINGS4	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRMAN: We will immediately move into our next case, which is Case Z-2016-13. Mr. Page, Buddy Page is the agent for Shaun Romero, the owner, 6013 Hilburn Road, 1.52 plus or minus acres, from HDR, high density residential district, 18 raw units per acres to commercial district, 25 dwelling units per acre, lodging unit density not limited by zoning. Members of the Board, again I'll ask you on this case, have there been any ex parte communications between you, the applicant, applicant's agent, attorneys, witnesses, fellow Planning Board members, or anyone from the public? Also, please disclose if you have visited the subject property or you are a relative or business associate of any of the parties. And at this. MR. OPALENIK: No to all. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE PROCEEDINGS4	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRMAN: We will immediately move into our next case, which is Case Z-2016-13. Mr. Page, Buddy Page is the agent for Shaun Romero, the owner, 6013 Hilburn Road, 1.52 plus or minus acres, from HDR, high density residential district, 18 raw units per acres to commercial district, 25 dwelling units per acre, lodging unit density not limited by zoning. Members of the Board, again I'll ask you on this case, have there been any ex parte communications between you, the applicant, applicant's agent, attorneys, witnesses, fellow Planning Board members, or anyone from the public? Also, please disclose if you have visited the subject property or you are a relative or business associate of any of the parties. And at this. MR. OPALENIK: No to all. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you, sir. MS. HIGHTOWER: No to all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE PROCEEDINGS4	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRMAN: We will immediately move into our next case, which is Case Z-2016-13. Mr. Page, Buddy Page is the agent for Shaun Romero, the owner, 6013 Hilburn Road, 1.52 plus or minus acres, from HDR, high density residential district, 18 raw units per acres to commercial district, 25 dwelling units per acre, lodging unit density not limited by zoning. Members of the Board, again I'll ask you on this case, have there been any ex parte communications between you, the applicant, applicant's agent, attorneys, witnesses, fellow Planning Board members, or anyone from the public? Also, please disclose if you have visited the subject property or you are a relative or business associate of any of the parties. And at this. MR. OPALENIK: No to all. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you, sir. MS. HIGHTOWER: No to all. MR. HOWARD: No to all, except I think I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE PROCEEDINGS4	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRMAN: We will immediately move into our next case, which is Case Z-2016-13. Mr. Page, Buddy Page is the agent for Shaun Romero, the owner, 6013 Hilburn Road, 1.52 plus or minus acres, from HDR, high density residential district, 18 raw units per acres to commercial district, 25 dwelling units per acre, lodging unit density not limited by zoning. Members of the Board, again I'll ask you on this case, have there been any ex parte communications between you, the applicant, applicant's agent, attorneys, witnesses, fellow Planning Board members, or anyone from the public? Also, please disclose if you have visited the subject property or you are a relative or business associate of any of the parties. And at this. MR. OPALENIK: No to all. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you, sir. MS. HIGHTOWER: No to all.

			Pages 5 to 8
	Page 5		Page 6
1	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you.	1	the beginning?
2	Chairman, no to all.	2	MR. MacCARTEE: I do not believe I was in
3	MR. FEARS: No to all.	3	the room at the time.
4	MR. INGWELL: No to all.	4	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We'll have you sworn
5	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Wingate.	5	in.
6	MR. WINGATE: No communication.	6	(Caleb MacCartee was duly sworn.)
7	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you, sir.	7	THE CHAIRMAN: You'll be doing the staff?
8	Mr. Rushing?	8	MR. MacCARTEE: Yes.
9	MR. RUSHING: No to all.	9	This case was sent back to the Planning
10	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Staff, was	10	Board. It was heard here on December 6, 2016,
11	notice of the hearing sent to all interested	11	and approved by the Board.
12	parties?	12	And it was sent back from the BCC for a
13	MS. MEADOR: Yes, sir.	13	public comment period. We can go through the
14	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. And was it also	14	maps and the staff findings, but everything is
15	correctly posted on the subject property?	15	consistent with the Land Development Code.
16	MS. MEADOR: Yes, sir.	16	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Did we miss the
17	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.	17	public comment?
18	Mr. Page, I see you there. Sir, if	18	MR. JONES: No. What happened what
19	there's no objections, can the staff present the	19	happened was, the Commissioner he was
20	maps and photography?	20	concerned, and he wanted to make sure again that
21	All right. Thank you.	21	the public that the public, if they want to
22	Will you be presenting?	22	speak on this case, that they be heard for the
23	MR. MacCARTEE: Yes. Caleb MacCartee,	23	record, so that's why it was sent back. It was
24	urban planner.	24	remanded back. Did the analysis. Staff
25	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Were you sworn in at	25	findings will not change.
	Page 7		Page 8
1	Now, of course, if you want to make your	1	Planning Board bases its decisions on the
2	findings, you can again, but it was basically to	2	approval conditions and exceptions described in
3	hear additional public.	3	Section 2-7.2 of the Escambia County Land
4	MS. CRAWFORD: There were two additional	4	Development Code. If we could please bring
5	witnesses that appeared at the Board of County	5	those up on the screen, the approval conditions.
6	Commissioners meeting that were not present at	6	During our deliberations, the Planning

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Planning Board to speak.

presented.

there.

and proceed from that.

And so based on those two witnesses

requesting to be heard at the Board of County

complete rehearing, unless the Planning Board

Otherwise, again, you could adopt the

I do not know if the two individuals are

present or if there are other citizens present.

All right. Caleb, well, let me handle

For members of the public who wish to

this portion of it, and then we'll go from

speak on this matter, please note that the

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.

prior evidence in that first hearing into this

comment portion but not necessarily for a

wishes for all of the information to be

Commissioners, they remanded back for the public

During our deliberations, the Planning Board does not consider general statements of support or opposition. Accordingly, please limit your testimony to one of the conditions shown on the board included in Section 2-7.2.

Also please note that only those individuals who are present today can give testimony on the record before the Planning Board and will be allowed to speak at the subsequent hearing before the BCC.

16 Toni Pettigrew. 17 Good morning, ma'am. If you'll please be 18 sworn in, and then state your name and address 19 for the record. 20 (Toni Pettigrew was duly sworn.) 21 MS. PETTIGREW: Toni Pettigrew, 6220 22 Confederate Drive. 23 Can y'all hear me? 24 I'm a concerned owner, homeowner. I've 25 been in my home for 12 years. We've made a lot

Pages 9 to 12

	Page 9		Page 10
1	of improvements, as all of our neighbors have,	1	Last but not least, the intrusion of
2	and so I this is my first time doing this	2	nonresidential uses. Approval of this proposal
3	kind of thing, but I did some research, so I	3	would open the door for increased unknown
4	made a little list. And I'll read it to you, if	4	commercial activity.
5	that's okay.	5	This is my retirement home. I'm 71. My
6	After consulting with the Escambia	6	husband is 73. I speak for other neighbors.
7	Property Appraiser, the Escambia Transportation	7	One lady is 89. She's been in her home 60
8	and Traffic Operations and the Florida DOT, I	8	years. She's widowed now. She's raised four
9	have come to these conclusions for denying the	9	kids there.
10	rezoning of Hilburn Road Case Z-2016-13:	10	The general consensus of my neighbors is
11	One, property value impacts. Some renters	11	that they do not want this rezoning to occur,
12	are not as careful maintaining property as most	12	mainly because we do not know what's going to
13	homeowners are, due to lack of pride of	13	happen next week, next year, two years from now.
14	ownership.	14	And I am aware of the big, major plans
15	Number two, nuisance-based impact.	15	that is in play. I did speak to Rick Branton,
16	Rezoning would adversely impact the quality of	16	the project manager for this number 218429, and
17	life in the Oakfield Acres area, with increased	17	he informed me that the planning has been done
18	traffic which could potentially cause more	18	and completed, but no permits have been drawn.
19	accidents.	19	Nothing has gone past that.
20	Other problems would include increased	20	And this has been like over a ten-year
21	noise, lights, fumes, and pollution. Flooding	21	period as well, you know, so he said the
22	is already an issue on Burgess Road.	22	stopping of the rezoning of this area would not
23	Cutting down more trees to make way for	23	affect this major development. And he also
24	more developments would increase potential for	24	stated that there's no money right now to go
25	more flooding.	25	forward with this major development.
	Page 11		Page 12
1	So I rest my case. I hope y'all	1	the flood zone area. We'll be looking at
1 2	So I rest my case. I hope y'all understand that there's plenty of people who	2	the flood zone area. We'll be looking at traffic. We'll be looking at roadway
	So I rest my case. I hope y'all understand that there's plenty of people who couldn't be here today because they're either		the flood zone area. We'll be looking at traffic. We'll be looking at roadway connections, all of those things. That is
2	So I rest my case. I hope y'all understand that there's plenty of people who couldn't be here today because they're either elderly or they're working and they're trying to	2 3 4	the flood zone area. We'll be looking at traffic. We'll be looking at roadway connections, all of those things. That is called the site plan review process.
2 3 4 5	So I rest my case. I hope y'all understand that there's plenty of people who couldn't be here today because they're either elderly or they're working and they're trying to get their kids through high school and college.	2 3 4 5	the flood zone area. We'll be looking at traffic. We'll be looking at roadway connections, all of those things. That is called the site plan review process. And once they submit if it is approved,
2 3 4 5 6	So I rest my case. I hope y'all understand that there's plenty of people who couldn't be here today because they're either elderly or they're working and they're trying to get their kids through high school and college. So thank you for your consideration and	2 3 4 5 6	the flood zone area. We'll be looking at traffic. We'll be looking at roadway connections, all of those things. That is called the site plan review process. And once they submit if it is approved, a plan, we will be looking at it to make sure
2 3 4 5 6 7	So I rest my case. I hope y'all understand that there's plenty of people who couldn't be here today because they're either elderly or they're working and they're trying to get their kids through high school and college. So thank you for your consideration and your time.	2 3 4 5 6 7	the flood zone area. We'll be looking at traffic. We'll be looking at roadway connections, all of those things. That is called the site plan review process. And once they submit if it is approved, a plan, we will be looking at it to make sure that that project complies with all of the Land
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So I rest my case. I hope y'all understand that there's plenty of people who couldn't be here today because they're either elderly or they're working and they're trying to get their kids through high school and college. So thank you for your consideration and your time. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Ms. Pettigrew.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the flood zone area. We'll be looking at traffic. We'll be looking at roadway connections, all of those things. That is called the site plan review process. And once they submit if it is approved, a plan, we will be looking at it to make sure that that project complies with all of the Land Development Code requirements and any other
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	So I rest my case. I hope y'all understand that there's plenty of people who couldn't be here today because they're either elderly or they're working and they're trying to get their kids through high school and college. So thank you for your consideration and your time. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Ms. Pettigrew. At this time I'd like to just Horace,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the flood zone area. We'll be looking at traffic. We'll be looking at roadway connections, all of those things. That is called the site plan review process. And once they submit if it is approved, a plan, we will be looking at it to make sure that that project complies with all of the Land Development Code requirements and any other and any other regulations concerning Escambia
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	So I rest my case. I hope y'all understand that there's plenty of people who couldn't be here today because they're either elderly or they're working and they're trying to get their kids through high school and college. So thank you for your consideration and your time. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Ms. Pettigrew. At this time I'd like to just Horace, if you would just maybe address what happens	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the flood zone area. We'll be looking at traffic. We'll be looking at roadway connections, all of those things. That is called the site plan review process. And once they submit if it is approved, a plan, we will be looking at it to make sure that that project complies with all of the Land Development Code requirements and any other and any other regulations concerning Escambia County.
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	So I rest my case. I hope y'all understand that there's plenty of people who couldn't be here today because they're either elderly or they're working and they're trying to get their kids through high school and college. So thank you for your consideration and your time. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Ms. Pettigrew. At this time I'd like to just Horace, if you would just maybe address what happens through the DRC process if the rezoning is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the flood zone area. We'll be looking at traffic. We'll be looking at roadway connections, all of those things. That is called the site plan review process. And once they submit if it is approved, a plan, we will be looking at it to make sure that that project complies with all of the Land Development Code requirements and any other and any other regulations concerning Escambia County. As I said, stormwater, wetlands, traffic,
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So I rest my case. I hope y'all understand that there's plenty of people who couldn't be here today because they're either elderly or they're working and they're trying to get their kids through high school and college. So thank you for your consideration and your time. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Ms. Pettigrew. At this time I'd like to just Horace, if you would just maybe address what happens through the DRC process if the rezoning is recommended for approval again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the flood zone area. We'll be looking at traffic. We'll be looking at roadway connections, all of those things. That is called the site plan review process. And once they submit if it is approved, a plan, we will be looking at it to make sure that that project complies with all of the Land Development Code requirements and any other and any other regulations concerning Escambia County. As I said, stormwater, wetlands, traffic, any any of those things that we were
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So I rest my case. I hope y'all understand that there's plenty of people who couldn't be here today because they're either elderly or they're working and they're trying to get their kids through high school and college. So thank you for your consideration and your time. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Ms. Pettigrew. At this time I'd like to just Horace, if you would just maybe address what happens through the DRC process if the rezoning is recommended for approval again. MR. JONES: Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the flood zone area. We'll be looking at traffic. We'll be looking at roadway connections, all of those things. That is called the site plan review process. And once they submit if it is approved, a plan, we will be looking at it to make sure that that project complies with all of the Land Development Code requirements and any other and any other regulations concerning Escambia County. As I said, stormwater, wetlands, traffic, any any of those things that we were flooding. We would definitely be looking at
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So I rest my case. I hope y'all understand that there's plenty of people who couldn't be here today because they're either elderly or they're working and they're trying to get their kids through high school and college. So thank you for your consideration and your time. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Ms. Pettigrew. At this time I'd like to just Horace, if you would just maybe address what happens through the DRC process if the rezoning is recommended for approval again. MR. JONES: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Because some of the things	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the flood zone area. We'll be looking at traffic. We'll be looking at roadway connections, all of those things. That is called the site plan review process. And once they submit if it is approved, a plan, we will be looking at it to make sure that that project complies with all of the Land Development Code requirements and any other and any other regulations concerning Escambia County. As I said, stormwater, wetlands, traffic, any any of those things that we were flooding. We would definitely be looking at those areas to make sure that the project meets
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So I rest my case. I hope y'all understand that there's plenty of people who couldn't be here today because they're either elderly or they're working and they're trying to get their kids through high school and college. So thank you for your consideration and your time. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Ms. Pettigrew. At this time I'd like to just Horace, if you would just maybe address what happens through the DRC process if the rezoning is recommended for approval again. MR. JONES: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Because some of the things that Ms. Pettigrew brought up are caught in that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the flood zone area. We'll be looking at traffic. We'll be looking at roadway connections, all of those things. That is called the site plan review process. And once they submit if it is approved, a plan, we will be looking at it to make sure that that project complies with all of the Land Development Code requirements and any other and any other regulations concerning Escambia County. As I said, stormwater, wetlands, traffic, any any of those things that we were flooding. We would definitely be looking at those areas to make sure that the project meets all the regulations. So this is just the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So I rest my case. I hope y'all understand that there's plenty of people who couldn't be here today because they're either elderly or they're working and they're trying to get their kids through high school and college. So thank you for your consideration and your time. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Ms. Pettigrew. At this time I'd like to just Horace, if you would just maybe address what happens through the DRC process if the rezoning is recommended for approval again. MR. JONES: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Because some of the things that Ms. Pettigrew brought up are caught in that process, so if you would.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the flood zone area. We'll be looking at traffic. We'll be looking at roadway connections, all of those things. That is called the site plan review process. And once they submit if it is approved, a plan, we will be looking at it to make sure that that project complies with all of the Land Development Code requirements and any other and any other regulations concerning Escambia County. As I said, stormwater, wetlands, traffic, any any of those things that we were flooding. We would definitely be looking at those areas to make sure that the project meets all the regulations. So this is just the first this is the 30,000 foot level at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So I rest my case. I hope y'all understand that there's plenty of people who couldn't be here today because they're either elderly or they're working and they're trying to get their kids through high school and college. So thank you for your consideration and your time. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Ms. Pettigrew. At this time I'd like to just Horace, if you would just maybe address what happens through the DRC process if the rezoning is recommended for approval again. MR. JONES: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Because some of the things that Ms. Pettigrew brought up are caught in that process, so if you would. MR. JONES: Absolutely. Absolutely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the flood zone area. We'll be looking at traffic. We'll be looking at roadway connections, all of those things. That is called the site plan review process. And once they submit if it is approved, a plan, we will be looking at it to make sure that that project complies with all of the Land Development Code requirements and any other and any other regulations concerning Escambia County. As I said, stormwater, wetlands, traffic, any any of those things that we were flooding. We would definitely be looking at those areas to make sure that the project meets all the regulations. So this is just the first this is the 30,000 foot level at this at this point in time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So I rest my case. I hope y'all understand that there's plenty of people who couldn't be here today because they're either elderly or they're working and they're trying to get their kids through high school and college. So thank you for your consideration and your time. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Ms. Pettigrew. At this time I'd like to just Horace, if you would just maybe address what happens through the DRC process if the rezoning is recommended for approval again. MR. JONES: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Because some of the things that Ms. Pettigrew brought up are caught in that process, so if you would. MR. JONES: Absolutely. Absolutely. Again, we do want to thank her for coming and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the flood zone area. We'll be looking at traffic. We'll be looking at roadway connections, all of those things. That is called the site plan review process. And once they submit if it is approved, a plan, we will be looking at it to make sure that that project complies with all of the Land Development Code requirements and any other and any other regulations concerning Escambia County. As I said, stormwater, wetlands, traffic, any any of those things that we were flooding. We would definitely be looking at those areas to make sure that the project meets all the regulations. So this is just the first this is the 30,000 foot level at this at this point in time. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. And I think I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So I rest my case. I hope y'all understand that there's plenty of people who couldn't be here today because they're either elderly or they're working and they're trying to get their kids through high school and college. So thank you for your consideration and your time. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Ms. Pettigrew. At this time I'd like to just Horace, if you would just maybe address what happens through the DRC process if the rezoning is recommended for approval again. MR. JONES: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Because some of the things that Ms. Pettigrew brought up are caught in that process, so if you would. MR. JONES: Absolutely. Absolutely. Again, we do want to thank her for coming and speaking to address her concerns, yet with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the flood zone area. We'll be looking at traffic. We'll be looking at roadway connections, all of those things. That is called the site plan review process. And once they submit if it is approved, a plan, we will be looking at it to make sure that that project complies with all of the Land Development Code requirements and any other and any other regulations concerning Escambia County. As I said, stormwater, wetlands, traffic, any any of those things that we were flooding. We would definitely be looking at those areas to make sure that the project meets all the regulations. So this is just the first this is the 30,000 foot level at this at this point in time. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. And I think I know this is all very confusing. It confuses me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So I rest my case. I hope y'all understand that there's plenty of people who couldn't be here today because they're either elderly or they're working and they're trying to get their kids through high school and college. So thank you for your consideration and your time. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Ms. Pettigrew. At this time I'd like to just Horace, if you would just maybe address what happens through the DRC process if the rezoning is recommended for approval again. MR. JONES: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Because some of the things that Ms. Pettigrew brought up are caught in that process, so if you would. MR. JONES: Absolutely. Absolutely. Again, we do want to thank her for coming and speaking to address her concerns, yet with the understanding that if the Planning Board does	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the flood zone area. We'll be looking at traffic. We'll be looking at roadway connections, all of those things. That is called the site plan review process. And once they submit if it is approved, a plan, we will be looking at it to make sure that that project complies with all of the Land Development Code requirements and any other and any other regulations concerning Escambia County. As I said, stormwater, wetlands, traffic, any any of those things that we were flooding. We would definitely be looking at those areas to make sure that the project meets all the regulations. So this is just the first this is the 30,000 foot level at this at this point in time. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. And I think I know this is all very confusing. It confuses me sometimes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So I rest my case. I hope y'all understand that there's plenty of people who couldn't be here today because they're either elderly or they're working and they're trying to get their kids through high school and college. So thank you for your consideration and your time. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Ms. Pettigrew. At this time I'd like to just Horace, if you would just maybe address what happens through the DRC process if the rezoning is recommended for approval again. MR. JONES: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Because some of the things that Ms. Pettigrew brought up are caught in that process, so if you would. MR. JONES: Absolutely. Absolutely. Again, we do want to thank her for coming and speaking to address her concerns, yet with the understanding that if the Planning Board does recommend for this to be approved, and the BCC	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the flood zone area. We'll be looking at traffic. We'll be looking at roadway connections, all of those things. That is called the site plan review process. And once they submit if it is approved, a plan, we will be looking at it to make sure that that project complies with all of the Land Development Code requirements and any other and any other regulations concerning Escambia County. As I said, stormwater, wetlands, traffic, any any of those things that we were flooding. We would definitely be looking at those areas to make sure that the project meets all the regulations. So this is just the first this is the 30,000 foot level at this at this point in time. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. And I think I know this is all very confusing. It confuses me sometimes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So I rest my case. I hope y'all understand that there's plenty of people who couldn't be here today because they're either elderly or they're working and they're trying to get their kids through high school and college. So thank you for your consideration and your time. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Ms. Pettigrew. At this time I'd like to just Horace, if you would just maybe address what happens through the DRC process if the rezoning is recommended for approval again. MR. JONES: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Because some of the things that Ms. Pettigrew brought up are caught in that process, so if you would. MR. JONES: Absolutely. Absolutely. Again, we do want to thank her for coming and speaking to address her concerns, yet with the understanding that if the Planning Board does recommend for this to be approved, and the BCC approves of this, there's another process for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the flood zone area. We'll be looking at traffic. We'll be looking at roadway connections, all of those things. That is called the site plan review process. And once they submit if it is approved, a plan, we will be looking at it to make sure that that project complies with all of the Land Development Code requirements and any other and any other regulations concerning Escambia County. As I said, stormwater, wetlands, traffic, any any of those things that we were flooding. We would definitely be looking at those areas to make sure that the project meets all the regulations. So this is just the first this is the 30,000 foot level at this at this point in time. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. And I think I know this is all very confusing. It confuses me sometimes. These criteria that are on the board are all that we are allowed to consider when it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So I rest my case. I hope y'all understand that there's plenty of people who couldn't be here today because they're either elderly or they're working and they're trying to get their kids through high school and college. So thank you for your consideration and your time. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Ms. Pettigrew. At this time I'd like to just Horace, if you would just maybe address what happens through the DRC process if the rezoning is recommended for approval again. MR. JONES: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Because some of the things that Ms. Pettigrew brought up are caught in that process, so if you would. MR. JONES: Absolutely. Absolutely. Again, we do want to thank her for coming and speaking to address her concerns, yet with the understanding that if the Planning Board does recommend for this to be approved, and the BCC approves of this, there's another process for development that must occur.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the flood zone area. We'll be looking at traffic. We'll be looking at roadway connections, all of those things. That is called the site plan review process. And once they submit if it is approved, a plan, we will be looking at it to make sure that that project complies with all of the Land Development Code requirements and any other and any other regulations concerning Escambia County. As I said, stormwater, wetlands, traffic, any any of those things that we were flooding. We would definitely be looking at those areas to make sure that the project meets all the regulations. So this is just the first this is the 30,000 foot level at this at this point in time. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. And I think I know this is all very confusing. It confuses me sometimes. These criteria that are on the board are all that we are allowed to consider when it comes to a rezoning request.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So I rest my case. I hope y'all understand that there's plenty of people who couldn't be here today because they're either elderly or they're working and they're trying to get their kids through high school and college. So thank you for your consideration and your time. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Ms. Pettigrew. At this time I'd like to just Horace, if you would just maybe address what happens through the DRC process if the rezoning is recommended for approval again. MR. JONES: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Because some of the things that Ms. Pettigrew brought up are caught in that process, so if you would. MR. JONES: Absolutely. Absolutely. Again, we do want to thank her for coming and speaking to address her concerns, yet with the understanding that if the Planning Board does recommend for this to be approved, and the BCC approves of this, there's another process for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the flood zone area. We'll be looking at traffic. We'll be looking at roadway connections, all of those things. That is called the site plan review process. And once they submit if it is approved, a plan, we will be looking at it to make sure that that project complies with all of the Land Development Code requirements and any other and any other regulations concerning Escambia County. As I said, stormwater, wetlands, traffic, any any of those things that we were flooding. We would definitely be looking at those areas to make sure that the project meets all the regulations. So this is just the first this is the 30,000 foot level at this at this point in time. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. And I think I know this is all very confusing. It confuses me sometimes. These criteria that are on the board are all that we are allowed to consider when it

Pages 13 to 16

			Pages 13 to 16
	Page 13		Page 14
1	of these criteria were met, and that is why the	1	that, unfortunately.
2	Board recommended approval.	2	MS. PETTIGREW: Okay.
3	Like Mr. Jones said, there are other	3	THE CHAIRMAN: He has to be here present
4	things that concern you, the traffic, and the	4	to do that.
5	water flows, and other things like that.	5	MS. PETTIGREW: He was going to agree
6	They're not part of this process.	6	anyway.
7	They're part of another public process,	7	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Okay.
8	which you have access to as well. So I just	8	Rhonda Robinson.
9	want to make sure you understand. We are	9	Good morning, ma'am. We'll have you sworn
10	actually not supposed to consider what project	10	in, and then state your name and address for the
11	may go there.	11	record, please.
12	We have to consider any project that would	12	(Rhonda Robinson was duly sworn.)
13	be legally allowed on the property, so I just	13	MS. ROBINSON: I'm to give you or the
14	want to make that clear because I know it's	14	Board my name?
15	very, very confusing, but this is kind of our	15	THE COURT REPORTER: Just state it.
16	Bible that's on the board right now. This is	16	MS. ROBINSON: Rhonda Robinson. My
17	what we have to consider.	17	address is 697 Shilo Drive, which is directly
18	And if it meets all of these criteria,	18	off of Hilburn and Bridgewood Estates.
19	then, you know, typically it will be recommended	19	I understand from Mr. Jones, I was one of
20	for approval.	20	the two that wanted to speak at the
21	So I know it's confusing, so	21	Commissioner's meeting. I was not aware that
22	Okay. Our next speaker is John Pettigrew.	22	you have to speak here first in order to voice
23	MS. PETTIGREW: I speak for my husband	23	your concerns.
24	John. He had a prior.	24	I do understand the criteria. I just
25	THE CHAIRMAN: No, ma'am. We can't do	25	wanted to speak on record that I will be at the
	Page 15		Domo 10
			Page 16
1	Commissioner's office meeting because my	1	Page 16
1	Commissioner's office meeting because my	1	hotel lodging, or it could be a Hilton Hotel.
2	concerns, too, do not meet this criteria, but I	2	hotel lodging, or it could be a Hilton Hotel. They don't legally have to say, "This is going
2 3	concerns, too, do not meet this criteria, but I do have concerns as to what's going to be put	2 3	hotel lodging, or it could be a Hilton Hotel. They don't legally have to say, "This is going to be this type of hotel" or "This is going to
2 3 4	concerns, too, do not meet this criteria, but I do have concerns as to what's going to be put there.	2 3 4	hotel lodging, or it could be a Hilton Hotel. They don't legally have to say, "This is going to be this type of hotel" or "This is going to be a four-star hotel, one star." Am I
2 3 4 5	concerns, too, do not meet this criteria, but I do have concerns as to what's going to be put there. I have questions that I understand don't	2 3 4 5	hotel lodging, or it could be a Hilton Hotel. They don't legally have to say, "This is going to be this type of hotel" or "This is going to be a four-star hotel, one star." Am I understanding that correctly?
2 3 4 5 6	concerns, too, do not meet this criteria, but I do have concerns as to what's going to be put there. I have questions that I understand don't fall into this criteria, but I have to publicly	2 3 4 5 6	hotel lodging, or it could be a Hilton Hotel. They don't legally have to say, "This is going to be this type of hotel" or "This is going to be a four-star hotel, one star." Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Horace, does that come out
2 3 4 5 6 7	concerns, too, do not meet this criteria, but I do have concerns as to what's going to be put there. I have questions that I understand don't fall into this criteria, but I have to publicly speak here in order to be able to voice my	2 3 4 5 6 7	hotel lodging, or it could be a Hilton Hotel. They don't legally have to say, "This is going to be this type of hotel" or "This is going to be a four-star hotel, one star." Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Horace, does that come out in the DRC process?
2 3 4 5 6	concerns, too, do not meet this criteria, but I do have concerns as to what's going to be put there. I have questions that I understand don't fall into this criteria, but I have to publicly speak here in order to be able to voice my concerns at the Commissioner's meeting.	2 3 4 5 6	hotel lodging, or it could be a Hilton Hotel. They don't legally have to say, "This is going to be this type of hotel" or "This is going to be a four-star hotel, one star." Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Horace, does that come out in the DRC process? MR. JONES: That comes that comes out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	concerns, too, do not meet this criteria, but I do have concerns as to what's going to be put there. I have questions that I understand don't fall into this criteria, but I have to publicly speak here in order to be able to voice my concerns at the Commissioner's meeting. Am I understanding that correctly?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	hotel lodging, or it could be a Hilton Hotel. They don't legally have to say, "This is going to be this type of hotel" or "This is going to be a four-star hotel, one star." Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Horace, does that come out in the DRC process? MR. JONES: That comes that comes out during the site plan review process. And I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 concerns, too, do not meet this criteria, but I do have concerns as to what's going to be put there. I have questions that I understand don't fall into this criteria, but I have to publicly speak here in order to be able to voice my concerns at the Commissioner's meeting. Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. And sometimes, if 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	hotel lodging, or it could be a Hilton Hotel. They don't legally have to say, "This is going to be this type of hotel" or "This is going to be a four-star hotel, one star." Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Horace, does that come out in the DRC process? MR. JONES: That comes that comes out during the site plan review process. And I strongly suggest that if you have your concerns,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	concerns, too, do not meet this criteria, but I do have concerns as to what's going to be put there. I have questions that I understand don't fall into this criteria, but I have to publicly speak here in order to be able to voice my concerns at the Commissioner's meeting. Am I understanding that correctly?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	hotel lodging, or it could be a Hilton Hotel. They don't legally have to say, "This is going to be this type of hotel" or "This is going to be a four-star hotel, one star." Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Horace, does that come out in the DRC process? MR. JONES: That comes that comes out during the site plan review process. And I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 concerns, too, do not meet this criteria, but I do have concerns as to what's going to be put there. I have questions that I understand don't fall into this criteria, but I have to publicly speak here in order to be able to voice my concerns at the Commissioner's meeting. Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. And sometimes, if your concerns are about what's going to go there, it may be compatible with surrounding 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	hotel lodging, or it could be a Hilton Hotel. They don't legally have to say, "This is going to be this type of hotel" or "This is going to be a four-star hotel, one star." Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Horace, does that come out in the DRC process? MR. JONES: That comes that comes out during the site plan review process. And I strongly suggest that if you have your concerns, that you state your concerns here because, yes, ma'am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 concerns, too, do not meet this criteria, but I do have concerns as to what's going to be put there. I have questions that I understand don't fall into this criteria, but I have to publicly speak here in order to be able to voice my concerns at the Commissioner's meeting. Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. And sometimes, if your concerns are about what's going to go 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	hotel lodging, or it could be a Hilton Hotel. They don't legally have to say, "This is going to be this type of hotel" or "This is going to be a four-star hotel, one star." Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Horace, does that come out in the DRC process? MR. JONES: That comes that comes out during the site plan review process. And I strongly suggest that if you have your concerns, that you state your concerns here because, yes,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 concerns, too, do not meet this criteria, but I do have concerns as to what's going to be put there. I have questions that I understand don't fall into this criteria, but I have to publicly speak here in order to be able to voice my concerns at the Commissioner's meeting. Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. And sometimes, if your concerns are about what's going to go there, it may be compatible with surrounding uses. You may feel like it's not compatible 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	hotel lodging, or it could be a Hilton Hotel. They don't legally have to say, "This is going to be this type of hotel" or "This is going to be a four-star hotel, one star." Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Horace, does that come out in the DRC process? MR. JONES: That comes that comes out during the site plan review process. And I strongly suggest that if you have your concerns, that you state your concerns here because, yes, ma'am MS. ROBINSON: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 concerns, too, do not meet this criteria, but I do have concerns as to what's going to be put there. I have questions that I understand don't fall into this criteria, but I have to publicly speak here in order to be able to voice my concerns at the Commissioner's meeting. Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. And sometimes, if your concerns are about what's going to go there, it may be compatible with surrounding uses. You may feel like it's not compatible with, you know, commercial next to a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	hotel lodging, or it could be a Hilton Hotel. They don't legally have to say, "This is going to be this type of hotel" or "This is going to be a four-star hotel, one star." Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Horace, does that come out in the DRC process? MR. JONES: That comes that comes out during the site plan review process. And I strongly suggest that if you have your concerns, that you state your concerns here because, yes, ma'am MS. ROBINSON: Okay. MR. JONES: because if you do not state
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 concerns, too, do not meet this criteria, but I do have concerns as to what's going to be put there. I have questions that I understand don't fall into this criteria, but I have to publicly speak here in order to be able to voice my concerns at the Commissioner's meeting. Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. And sometimes, if your concerns are about what's going to go there, it may be compatible with surrounding uses. You may feel like it's not compatible with, you know, commercial next to a residential, or something. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	hotel lodging, or it could be a Hilton Hotel. They don't legally have to say, "This is going to be this type of hotel" or "This is going to be a four-star hotel, one star." Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Horace, does that come out in the DRC process? MR. JONES: That comes that comes out during the site plan review process. And I strongly suggest that if you have your concerns, that you state your concerns here because, yes, ma'am MS. ROBINSON: Okay. MR. JONES: because if you do not state them here, this transcript goes up before the BCC. MS. ROBINSON: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 concerns, too, do not meet this criteria, but I do have concerns as to what's going to be put there. I have questions that I understand don't fall into this criteria, but I have to publicly speak here in order to be able to voice my concerns at the Commissioner's meeting. Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. And sometimes, if your concerns are about what's going to go there, it may be compatible with surrounding uses. You may feel like it's not compatible with, you know, commercial next to a residential, or something. MS. ROBINSON: And as a Realtor that's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	hotel lodging, or it could be a Hilton Hotel. They don't legally have to say, "This is going to be this type of hotel" or "This is going to be a four-star hotel, one star." Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Horace, does that come out in the DRC process? MR. JONES: That comes that comes out during the site plan review process. And I strongly suggest that if you have your concerns, that you state your concerns here because, yes, ma'am MS. ROBINSON: Okay. MR. JONES: because if you do not state them here, this transcript goes up before the BCC.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 concerns, too, do not meet this criteria, but I do have concerns as to what's going to be put there. I have questions that I understand don't fall into this criteria, but I have to publicly speak here in order to be able to voice my concerns at the Commissioner's meeting. Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. And sometimes, if your concerns are about what's going to go there, it may be compatible with surrounding uses. You may feel like it's not compatible with, you know, commercial next to a residential, or something. MS. ROBINSON: And as a Realtor that's representing Buddy, spoke to Dr. Scamanachi (phonetic) for me. And basically I didn't get an answer 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 hotel lodging, or it could be a Hilton Hotel. They don't legally have to say, "This is going to be this type of hotel" or "This is going to be a four-star hotel, one star." Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Horace, does that come out in the DRC process? MR. JONES: That comes that comes out during the site plan review process. And I strongly suggest that if you have your concerns, that you state your concerns here because, yes, ma'am MS. ROBINSON: Okay. MR. JONES: because if you do not state them here, this transcript goes up before the BCC. MS. ROBINSON: Okay. MR. JONES: And Ms. Rodgers, who is the county attorney, if if you make any new
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 concerns, too, do not meet this criteria, but I do have concerns as to what's going to be put there. I have questions that I understand don't fall into this criteria, but I have to publicly speak here in order to be able to voice my concerns at the Commissioner's meeting. Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. And sometimes, if your concerns are about what's going to go there, it may be compatible with surrounding uses. You may feel like it's not compatible with, you know, commercial next to a residential, or something. MS. ROBINSON: And as a Realtor that's representing Buddy, spoke to Dr. Scamanachi (phonetic) for me. And basically I didn't get an answer because from and tell me if I'm 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 hotel lodging, or it could be a Hilton Hotel. They don't legally have to say, "This is going to be this type of hotel" or "This is going to be a four-star hotel, one star." Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Horace, does that come out in the DRC process? MR. JONES: That comes that comes out during the site plan review process. And I strongly suggest that if you have your concerns, that you state your concerns here because, yes, ma'am MS. ROBINSON: Okay. MR. JONES: because if you do not state them here, this transcript goes up before the BCC. MS. ROBINSON: Okay. MR. JONES: And Ms. Rodgers, who is the county attorney, if if you make any new testimony, it it will not be she's not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 concerns, too, do not meet this criteria, but I do have concerns as to what's going to be put there. I have questions that I understand don't fall into this criteria, but I have to publicly speak here in order to be able to voice my concerns at the Commissioner's meeting. Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. And sometimes, if your concerns are about what's going to go there, it may be compatible with surrounding uses. You may feel like it's not compatible with, you know, commercial next to a residential, or something. MS. ROBINSON: And as a Realtor that's representing Buddy, spoke to Dr. Scamanachi (phonetic) for me. And basically I didn't get an answer because from and tell me if I'm understanding. I've spoken to a couple of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 hotel lodging, or it could be a Hilton Hotel. They don't legally have to say, "This is going to be this type of hotel" or "This is going to be a four-star hotel, one star." Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Horace, does that come out in the DRC process? MR. JONES: That comes that comes out during the site plan review process. And I strongly suggest that if you have your concerns, that you state your concerns here because, yes, ma'am MS. ROBINSON: Okay. MR. JONES: because if you do not state them here, this transcript goes up before the BCC. MS. ROBINSON: Okay. MR. JONES: And Ms. Rodgers, who is the county attorney, if if you make any new testimony, it it will not be she's not going to let that go forward, so please state
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 concerns, too, do not meet this criteria, but I do have concerns as to what's going to be put there. I have questions that I understand don't fall into this criteria, but I have to publicly speak here in order to be able to voice my concerns at the Commissioner's meeting. Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. And sometimes, if your concerns are about what's going to go there, it may be compatible with surrounding uses. You may feel like it's not compatible with, you know, commercial next to a residential, or something. MS. ROBINSON: And as a Realtor that's representing Buddy, spoke to Dr. Scamanachi (phonetic) for me. And basically I didn't get an answer because from and tell me if I'm understanding. I've spoken to a couple of people in the Zoning Department. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 hotel lodging, or it could be a Hilton Hotel. They don't legally have to say, "This is going to be this type of hotel" or "This is going to be a four-star hotel, one star." Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Horace, does that come out in the DRC process? MR. JONES: That comes that comes out during the site plan review process. And I strongly suggest that if you have your concerns, that you state your concerns here because, yes, ma'am MS. ROBINSON: Okay. MR. JONES: because if you do not state them here, this transcript goes up before the BCC. MS. ROBINSON: Okay. MR. JONES: And Ms. Rodgers, who is the county attorney, if if you make any new testimony, it it will not be she's not going to let that go forward, so please state your concern here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 concerns, too, do not meet this criteria, but I do have concerns as to what's going to be put there. I have questions that I understand don't fall into this criteria, but I have to publicly speak here in order to be able to voice my concerns at the Commissioner's meeting. Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. And sometimes, if your concerns are about what's going to go there, it may be compatible with surrounding uses. You may feel like it's not compatible with, you know, commercial next to a residential, or something. MS. ROBINSON: And as a Realtor that's representing Buddy, spoke to Dr. Scamanachi (phonetic) for me. And basically I didn't get an answer because from and tell me if I'm understanding. I've spoken to a couple of people in the Zoning Department. Legally they don't have to tell us what's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 hotel lodging, or it could be a Hilton Hotel. They don't legally have to say, "This is going to be this type of hotel" or "This is going to be a four-star hotel, one star." Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Horace, does that come out in the DRC process? MR. JONES: That comes that comes out during the site plan review process. And I strongly suggest that if you have your concerns, that you state your concerns here because, yes, ma'am MS. ROBINSON: Okay. MR. JONES: because if you do not state them here, this transcript goes up before the BCC. MS. ROBINSON: Okay. MR. JONES: And Ms. Rodgers, who is the county attorney, if if you make any new testimony, it it will not be she's not going to let that go forward, so please state your concern here. MS. ROBINSON: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 concerns, too, do not meet this criteria, but I do have concerns as to what's going to be put there. I have questions that I understand don't fall into this criteria, but I have to publicly speak here in order to be able to voice my concerns at the Commissioner's meeting. Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. And sometimes, if your concerns are about what's going to go there, it may be compatible with surrounding uses. You may feel like it's not compatible with, you know, commercial next to a residential, or something. MS. ROBINSON: And as a Realtor that's representing Buddy, spoke to Dr. Scamanachi (phonetic) for me. And basically I didn't get an answer because from and tell me if I'm understanding. I've spoken to a couple of people in the Zoning Department. Legally they don't have to tell us what's going to go there. There's like if there's a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 hotel lodging, or it could be a Hilton Hotel. They don't legally have to say, "This is going to be this type of hotel" or "This is going to be a four-star hotel, one star." Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Horace, does that come out in the DRC process? MR. JONES: That comes that comes out during the site plan review process. And I strongly suggest that if you have your concerns, that you state your concerns here because, yes, ma'am MS. ROBINSON: Okay. MR. JONES: because if you do not state them here, this transcript goes up before the BCC. MS. ROBINSON: Okay. MR. JONES: And Ms. Rodgers, who is the county attorney, if if you make any new testimony, it it will not be she's not going to let that go forward, so please state your concern here. MS. ROBINSON: Okay. MR. JONES: But to address, yes, what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 concerns, too, do not meet this criteria, but I do have concerns as to what's going to be put there. I have questions that I understand don't fall into this criteria, but I have to publicly speak here in order to be able to voice my concerns at the Commissioner's meeting. Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. And sometimes, if your concerns are about what's going to go there, it may be compatible with surrounding uses. You may feel like it's not compatible with, you know, commercial next to a residential, or something. MS. ROBINSON: And as a Realtor that's representing Buddy, spoke to Dr. Scamanachi (phonetic) for me. And basically I didn't get an answer because from and tell me if I'm understanding. I've spoken to a couple of people in the Zoning Department. Legally they don't have to tell us what's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 hotel lodging, or it could be a Hilton Hotel. They don't legally have to say, "This is going to be this type of hotel" or "This is going to be a four-star hotel, one star." Am I understanding that correctly? THE CHAIRMAN: Horace, does that come out in the DRC process? MR. JONES: That comes that comes out during the site plan review process. And I strongly suggest that if you have your concerns, that you state your concerns here because, yes, ma'am MS. ROBINSON: Okay. MR. JONES: because if you do not state them here, this transcript goes up before the BCC. MS. ROBINSON: Okay. MR. JONES: And Ms. Rodgers, who is the county attorney, if if you make any new testimony, it it will not be she's not going to let that go forward, so please state your concern here. MS. ROBINSON: Okay.

Pages 17 to 20

			14965 17 66 20
	Page 17		Page 18
1	they are there are currently there are	1	have to look at all that.
2	many intense uses with commercial, no doubt.	2	MS. ROBINSON: Okay. So my concerns
3	However, when you look at the size of the	3	are and I'm the homeowner's association for
4	lot	4	my subdivision I would like to know what can
5	MS. ROBINSON: You can't really see it	5	go there, if they can be a little bit more
6	from Hilburn	6	specific.
7	MR. JONES: Right. Right.	7	And we are having major issues with
8	MS. ROBINSON: and we're on Shilo,	8	Burgess Road as of now. And I want to know the
9	so	9	impact on the traffic. Are they going to be
10	MR. JONES: Right. Right. Right.	10	able to go in and out of Hilburn or is there a
11	But look at the size of the lot. And it's	11	way that you can go in Hilburn and still come
12	going to be constrained on what can go there,	12	out onto Burgess?
13	because we have to look at parking, which is a	13	MR. JONES: As far as far as the
14	requirement. They gotta maintain their own	14	traffic, again with the traffic, we we we
15	stormwater.	15	have transportation people that as a matter
16	So all of those things, whatever's	16	of fact, Mr. Davis, he he he is the
17	approved, they gotta come back through my	17	division manager for that area.
18	department. And the requirements are very, very	18	We have staff that sits on the site plan
19	stringent.	19	review project, and we're going to make sure
20	MS. ROBINSON: Okay.	20	that they read all the standards, so it's
21	MR. JONES: So so so it's only	21	really, really too early for us without a site
22	so much they can do with that size of a parcel.	22	plan, for us to know about the traffic impacts.
23	It's very constrained.	23	MS. ROBINSON: Okay.
24	MS. ROBINSON: Okay. Sorry	24	MR. JONES: But that definitely will be
25	MR. JONES: The location criteria. We	25	looked at and addressed, if it is approved going
	Page 19		Page 20
-		1	

	Page 19		Page 20
1	forward.	1	everything, because all of those is public. All
2	MS. ROBINSON: Okay. So I guess in a	2	of that is public information.
3	nutshell my my own concern I'm not for or	3	MS. ROBINSON: All right. That's all I
4	against I just don't feel like as a resident	4	wanted to state. That was my reason for being
5	we have enough information as to what's going to	5	here
6	be located in our community.	6	THE CHAIRMAN: Well, and the staff here is
7	So if I had to state the reason that I'm	7	very good, and they read my mind.
8	here today, it's to not get a vague answer of,	8	On the Board that we have up there now is
9	it may be this, it may be that. I want to know	9	a list of permitted uses within a commercial
10	what's in my neighborhood, so that would be my	10	district. Okay?
11	only thing.	11	And as I said, we as the Planning Board,
12	MR. JONES: And and and once and	12	we don't consider any specific, whether it's a
13	once, if it is approved I'll go back to	13	hotel, whatever. Anything that's listed here
14	that there would be there would be a	14	would be permitted in that approved district.
15	preapplication meeting. It's all public record.	15	Okay.
16	If you see any type of signs, you contact me	16	Now, like Mr. Jones has already said, they
17	immediately.	17	have to go through a lot more steps before they
18	MS. ROBINSON: Okay.	18	start turning shovels. They have to go to
19	MR. JONES: You watch. You stay. You're	19	the DRC, which includes the traffic and the
20	stay you're a homeowner, which you will	20	wetlands and the stormwater. There's a lot.
21	contact the Commission office and I'll be glad	21	Emergency access, all kinds of things that go
22	to you come and you can take a look at all of	22	through that, but and it is kind of almost
23	the plans	23	like the chicken before the egg. We have to
24	MS. ROBINSON: Okay.	24	approve something without knowing what's going
25	MR. JONES: all of the designs and	25	there either.

Pages 21 to 24

			Idgeb ZI CO ZI
	Page 21		Page 22
1	MS. ROBINSON: Gotcha.	1	THE CHAIRMAN: No. That was a
2	THE CHAIRMAN: So we have to go back to	2	different
3	this list and say, "Okay. Are these appropriate	3	MS. ROBINSON: Oh. I'm a teacher, and
4	uses, any one of them?"	4	I've got to get back to my classroom. I've got
5	MS. ROBINSON: Okay.	5	a temporary sub in my classroom, so I just
6	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. And that keeps us	6	wanted to make sure I didn't have to stay for
7	from having any kind of, you know, conception of	7	anything else. That was it.
8	what it may be ahead of time when we're making	8	THE CHAIRMAN: No. You're on the record
9	our decision.	9	now.
10	So I know it's confusing, but a lot of	10	MS. ROBINSON: Okay.
11	times we want to know what's going there, too,	11	THE CHAIRMAN: You'll be allowed to speak
12	but we're legally not allowed to even ask that	12	at the Board of County Commissioners.
13	of the applicant.	13	MS. ROBINSON: Okay.
14	MS. ROBINSON: Okay.	14	THE CHAIRMAN: Regardless of what the
15	THE CHAIRMAN: You know. So does that	15	Board recommends, you'll still have that right
16	make sense?	16	at this time.
17	MS. ROBINSON: It does.	17	MS. ROBINSON: Okay. Okay. All right.
18	THE CHAIRMAN: I know it's still	18	Thank you, guys, very much.
19	confusing, but	19	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. All right.
20	MS. ROBINSON: Now, I have one last	20	Okay.
21	question.	21	MS. HIGHTOWER: Mr. Chair, could I ask a
22	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.	22	question of Mr. Jones?
23	MS. ROBINSON: Do I have to stay for the	23	THE CHAIRMAN: Mm-hmm.
24	second part? Like with the first case you did,	24	MS. HIGHTOWER: When you do the when
25	like the first part. I'm learning all of this.	25	they do the transportation analysis, is it only
	Page 23		Page 24
1	what's happening right then? Because one of	1	the Board, that there's going to be a DOT
-	than is saine to become a high school scain in	2	will be widening and estually execting a new

	Page 23		Page 24
1	what's happening right then? Because one of	1	the Board, that there's going to be a DOT
2	them is going to become a high school again in	2	will be widening and actually creating a new
3	probably 2018, 2019. And will be more traffic	3	roadway, essentially a connection from Burgess
4	because it's going to be West Florida, where	4	and Creighton I believe it's actually on the
5	most of the students are in their own vehicles,	5	map you saw showed the zoning, that white area.
6	or so I just wondered about that.	6	Just to the north of it. That's the
7	MR. JONES: And David David can talk	7	actual that's DOT right-of-way, and they
8	more on that.	8	they they do intend to extend that all the
9	We will be looking at that specific	9	way down, have a four-lane from essentially
10	project, but definitely, if there's a long-term	10	Hilburn all the way back to US29. And that also
11	plan at transportation, I believe David will	11	will help the traffic flow for the new school,
12	talk to you about long-term projects, if you	12	so yes, ma'am.
13	know how it will impact a school that may come	13	MR. JONES: Thank you, David.
14	in that area, how that's going to impact that	14	THE CHAIRMAN: All right.
15	little roadway connection off of Burgess.	15	Dan Wendleton.
16	MR. FORTE: David Forte, Division Manager,	16	(Dan Wendleton was duly sworn.)
17	Escambia County Public Works.	17	THE CHAIRMAN: Good morning, sir. We'll
18	It really obviously, as Mr. Briske	18	have you sworn in, and then your name and
19	mentioned, that's more of a DRC type review.	19	address for the record, please.
20	We do look at the applications from a	20	MR. WENDLETON: Wendleton. Dan Wendleton.
21	from a long-term, how it may impact some	21	And I live at 6253 Appomattox Drive.
22	programmed projects that DOT or the county have	22	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir. Go ahead.
23	programmed.	23	MR. WENDLETON: Okay. I live
24	There actually is a program project. I	24	approximately three-quarters of a mile from the
25	believe we mentioned it in our memo to the to	25	tract in question here.

Pages 25 to 28

-			Pages 25 to 28
	Page 25		Page 26
1	And I my wife and I make use of the	1	findings of fact that were presented and
2	local convenience store.	2	previously voted on?
3	So my particular interest at this point is	3	(No response.)
4	that this area here is not, I don't think,	4	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. And the background
5	really suitable for further residential	5	on this was that it was presented to this Board,
6	development on that particular tract or the	6	recommended for approval to the Board of County
7	adjacent ones, but I do believe it would be a	7	Commission, and then the issue that some members
8	good place for suitable business, retail or	8	of the public hadn't spoken came up. Okay.
9	other use. So just like to say that I believe I	9	Nothing has changed since that time.
10	would want to see the change approved.	10	MS. CRAWFORD: And just for clarity of the
11	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you.	11	record, I would suggest that you adopt and
12	All right. That's the last person that	12	introduce all that prior testimony and evidence
13	has signed up to speak.	13	again into this hearing so that if the citizens
14	Is there anyone else in the public here	14	who spoke at the first time also want to come to
15	that wants to speak on this matter before we	15	the next PTC meeting, they wouldn't face the
16	close public comment portion?	16	same challenge, and then there wouldn't be a
17	(No response.)	17	question that only the three that spoke here
18	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Hearing none, I	18	today would be allowed.
19	will hereby closing the public comment portion	19	So just out of an abundance of caution. I
20	of the meeting.	20	don't know that it's necessary, but I don't
21	Mr. Page, would you like to address	21	think it would hurt for you to do that.
22	anything or add anything?	22	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So Board members, if
23	MR. PAGE: Nothing new, Mr. Chairman.	23	you're going to make a motion, let that include
24	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Staff, do we have	24	the prior record from the previous meeting and
25	anything else to present, other than the	25	all of the evidence and transcript and
	Page 27		Page 28
1	everything that came from that prior meeting.	1	recommended.
2	Chair will entertain a motion or	2	(Hearing concluded.)
3	discussion.	3	
4	MR. RUSHING: I'd like to make a motion to	4	
5	approve the 2016-13, along with all previous	5	
6	testimony as part of the fact findings of	6	
7	fact, and therefore I'd move to approve this	7	
8	this rezoning.	8	
9	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We have a	9	
10	motion, including all of the previous documents,	10	
11	exhibits and evidence, and speakers, and	11	
12	everything else.	12	
13	Do we have a second?	13	
14	MR. HOWARD: Second.	14	
15	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir.	15	
16	Any further discussion?	16	
17	(No response.)	17	
18	THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor say	18	
19	"aye."	19	
20	(Chorus of "ayes.")	20	
21	THE CHAIRMAN: Opposed?	21	
22	(No response.)	22	
23	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Motion carries,	23	
24	so it will be sent back to the Board of County	24	
25	Commission for their approval again as	25	
		1	

Page 29

		Page	29
	Page 29		
1			
2			
3	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER		
4			
5			
6	STATE OF FLORIDA)		
)		
7	COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA)		
8			
9	I, DAVID A. DEIK, CP, CPE, Professional Court		
10	Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did		
11 12	stenographically report the foregoing Planning Board proceedings; and that the transcript is a true record of		
13	the proceedings contained herein.		
14	I further certify that I am not a relative,		
15	employee, attorney, or counsel to any of the parties,		
16	nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'		
17	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I		
18	financially interested in the action.		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23	DAVID A. DEIK, CP, CPE		
24	Professional Court Reporter		
25	Toressional Court Reporter		

Planning Board-Rezoning

Meeting Date:	02/07/2017
CASE :	Z-2016-13
APPLICANT:	Wiley C. "Buddy" Page, Agent for Shaun Romero, Owner
ADDRESS:	6013 Hilburn Road
PROPERTY REF. NO.:	29-1S-30-1504-000-000
FUTURE LAND USE:	MU-U, Mixed-Use Urban
DISTRICT:	3
OVERLAY DISTRICT:	Oakfield
BCC MEETING DATE:	03/02/2017

SUBMISSION DATA: REQUESTED REZONING:

FROM: HDR, High Density Residential district (18 du/acre)

TO: Com, Commercial district (25 du/acre, lodging unit density not limited by zoning)

RELEVANT AUTHORITY:

- (1) Escambia County Comprehensive Plan
- (2) Escambia County Land Development Code

(3) Board of County Commissioners of Brevard County v. Snyder, 627 So. 2d 469 (Fla. 1993)

(4) Resolution 96-34 (Quasi-judicial Proceedings)

(5) Resolution 96-13 (Ex-parte Communications)

APPROVAL CONDITIONS

Criterion a., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Consistent with Comprehensive Plan

Whether the proposed rezoning is consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan and not in conflict with any of the plan provisions.

CPP FLU 1.3.1 Future Land Use Categories. The Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U) Future Land Use (FLU) category is intended for an intense mix of residential and nonresidential uses while promoting compatible infill development and the separation of urban and suburban land uses within the category as a whole. Range of allowable uses include: Residential, Retail and Services, Professional Office, Light Industrial, Recreational Facilities, Public and Civic. The maximum residential density is 25 dwelling units per acre.

FLU 1.5.1 New Development and Redevelopment in Built Areas. To promote the efficient use of existing public roads, utilities, and service infrastructure, the County will encourage the redevelopment in underutilized properties to maximize development densities and intensities located in the MU-S, MU-U, Commercial, and Industrial Future Land Use categories (with the exception of residential development).

FLU 2.1.1 Infrastructure Capacities. Urban uses will be concentrated in the urbanized areas with the most intense development permitted in the Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U) areas and areas with sufficient central water and sewer system capacity to accommodate higher density development. Land use densities may be increased through Comprehensive Plan amendments. This policy is intended to direct higher density urban uses to those areas with infrastructure capacities sufficient to meet demands and to those areas with capacities in excess of current or projected demand. Septic systems remain allowed through Florida Health Department permits where central sewer is not available.

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment to Commercial **is consistent** with the intent and purpose of Future Land Use category MU-U as stated in CPP FLU 1.3.1. The Future Land Use of Mixed-Use Urban allows for a mix of residential and retail services. The property **would promote** good efficient use of existing public roads and an underutilized property that would conform with CPP FLU 1.5.1.

Per FLU 2.1.1 the uses will be concentrated in the urbanized areas with the most intense development permitted in the Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U) areas.

Sufficient central water and sewer system capacity to accommodate higher density development will be reviewed during the site plan review process.

Criterion b., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Consistent with The Land Development Code

Whether the proposed amendment is in conflict with any portion of this Code, and is consistent with the stated purpose and intent of this Code.

Sec. 3-2.8 High Density Residential district (HDR).

(a) Purpose. The High Density Residential (HDR) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for residential uses at high densities within urban areas. The primary intent of the district is to provide for residential neighborhood development in an efficient urban pattern of well-connected streets and at greater dwelling unit density and diversity than the Medium Density Residential district. Residential uses within the HDR district include most forms of single-family, two-family and multi-family dwellings. Non-residential uses within the district are limited to those that are compatible with urban residential neighborhoods.

Sec. 3-2.10 Commercial district (Com).

(a) Purpose. The Commercial (Com) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for general commercial activities, especially the retailing of commodities and services. The primary intent of the district is to allow more diverse and intense commercial uses than the neighborhood commercial allowed within the mixed-use districts. To maintain compatibility with surrounding uses, all commercial operations within the Commercial district are limited to the confines of buildings and not allowed to produce undesirable effects on surrounding property. To retain adequate area for commercial activities, new and expanded residential development within the district is limited, consistent with the Commercial (C) future land use category.

(e) Location criteria. All new non-residential uses proposed within the Commercial district that are not part of a planned unit development or not identified as exempt by the district shall be on parcels that satisfy at least one of the following location criteria:

(1) Proximity to intersection. Along an arterial or collector street and within one-quarter mile of its intersection with an arterial street.

(2) Proximity to traffic generator. Along an arterial or collector street and within a one-quarter mile radius of an individual traffic generator of more than 600 daily trips, such as an apartment complex, military base, college campus, hospital, shopping mall or similar generator.

(3) Infill development. Along an arterial or collector street, in an area where already established non-residential uses are otherwise consistent with the Commercial district, and where the new use would constitute infill development of similar intensity as the conforming development on surrounding parcels. Additionally, the location would promote compact development and not contribute to or promote strip commercial development.

(4) Site design. Along an arterial or collector street, no more than one-half mile from its intersection with an arterial or collector street, not abutting a single-family residential zoning district (RR, LDR or MDR), and all of the following site design conditions:

a. Any Intrusion into a recorded subdivision is limited to a corner lot.
b. A system of service roads or shared access is provided to the maximum extent made feasible by lot area, shape, ownership patterns, and site and street characteristics.

c. Adverse impacts to any adjoining residential uses are minimized by placing the more intensive elements of the use, such as solid waste dumpsters and truck loading/unloading areas, furthest from the residential uses.

(5) Documented compatibility. A compatibility analysis prepared by the applicant provides competent substantial evidence of unique circumstances regarding the potential uses of parcel that were not anticipated by the alternative criteria, and the proposed use, or rezoning as applicable, will be able to achieve long-term compatibility with existing and potential uses. Additionally, the following conditions exist:

a. The parcel has not been rezoned by the landowner from the mixed-use, commercial, or industrial zoning assigned by the county.

b. If the parcel is within a county redevelopment district, the use will be consistent with the district's adopted redevelopment plan, as reviewed and recommended by the Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA).

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment **is not consistent** with the intent and purpose of the Land Development Code. The applicant did not provide a compatibility analysis.

The following language is from an excerpt from the interoffice memorandum comments provided by the County's Transportation and Traffic Operations (TTO) Division staff: Z-2016-13 – Burgess Rd is currently programmed for right-of-way acquisition in the FL-AL Long Range Transportation Plan. Existing right-of-way is sufficient for the proposed four lane expansion of Burgess Rd.

Criterion c., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Compatible with surrounding uses

Whether all land uses, development activities, and conditions allowed by the proposed zoning are compatible with the surrounding conforming uses, activities and conditions and are able to coexist in relative proximity to them in a stable fashion over time such that no use, activity, or condition negatively impacts another. The appropriateness of the rezoning is not limited to any specific use that may be proposed but is evident for all permitted uses of the requested zoning.

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment **is compatible** with surrounding existing uses in the area. Within the 500' radius impact area, staff observed properties with zoning districts MDR, HDR, and Com. These uses include vacant commercial, existing commercial, and single and multi-family residence in the area.

Criterion d., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Changed conditions

Whether the area to which the proposed rezoning would apply has changed, or is changing, to such a degree that it is in the public interest to encourage new uses, density, or intensity in the area through rezoning.

FINDINGS

Staff found **no changed** conditions that would impact the amendment or property. Staff found case Z-2004-42 at 190 Creighton Road, approved 12/09/2004 for rezoning from R-4 to C-1. Staff also found case Z-2005-58 at 473 Allen Court, approved 01/05/2006 for rezoning from R-4 to C-1.

Criterion e., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Development patterns

Whether the proposed rezoning would contribute to or result in a logical and orderly development pattern.

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment **would result** in a logical and orderly development pattern. The site is surrounded by Com zoning to the north and east of the subject property. The approval of the rezoning will contribute to the establishment of Commercial uses that would compliment the other Commercial zoned properties in the area.

Criterion (f) LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4) Effect on natural environment

Whether the proposed rezoning would increase the probability of any significant adverse impacts on the natural environment.

FINDINGS

According to the National Wetland Inventory, wetlands and hydric soils **were not** indicated on the subject property. When applicable, further review during the Site Plan Review process will be necessary to determine if there would be any significant adverse impact on the natural environment.

Attachments

<u>Z-2016-13</u>

Pages 1 to 4

			Pages 1 to 4
	Page 1		Page 2
		1 2	PLANNING BOARD
	ESCAMBIA COUNTY PLANNING BOARD QUASI-JUDICIAL HEARING	- 3	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
		4	WAYNE BRISKE
		5	Chair
	Case #: Z-2016-13	6	TIM TATE Vice Chair
	Applicant: Wiley C. "Buddy" Page, Agent for Shaun	7	REID RUSHING
	Romero, Owner Address: 6013 Hillburn Road	8	At-Large
	Property 1.52 (+/-) acres	9	JAY INGWELL District 1
	Size: From: HDR, High Density Residential district (18	10	EDWIN HOWARD At-Large
	From: HDR, High Density Residential district (18 du/acre)	11	Ť
	To: Com, Commercial district (25 du/acre,	12	TIMOTHY PYLE District 2
	lodging unit density not limited by zoning)	13	PATTY HIGHTOWER School Board
		14	STEPHANIE ORAM
	A second s	15 16	Navy
	A public hearing was held in the above-styled cause before the Escambia County Planning		PLANNING BOARD STAFF:
	Board on the 6th day of December 2016, commencing at	17	Meredith Crawford, Esq.
	11:30 a.m., at the Escambia County Central Office Complex, 3363 West Park Place, Room 104, Pensacola,	18 19	Assistant County Attorney Horace Jones, Director
	Florida, reported by David A. Deik, CP, CPE,		Caleb MacCartee
	Professional Reporter.	20	John Fisher Kayla Meador
		21 22	
		23 24	
		25	
	Page 3		Page 4
1	-	1	Page 4 PROCEEDINGS
2	Page 3	2	PROCEEDINGS
	-	2 3	P R O C E E D I N G S THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Our next
2 3 4	INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE	2 3 4	P R O C E E D I N G S THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Our next case is 2016-13, Buddy Page, agent for Shaun
2 3	INDEX	2 3	P R O C E E D I N G S THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Our next case is 2016-13, Buddy Page, agent for Shaun Romero, owner, 6013 Hillburn Road, 1.52 acres
2 3 4 5 6	INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE	2 3 4 5	P R O C E E D I N G S THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Our next case is 2016-13, Buddy Page, agent for Shaun
2 3 4 5	INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE PROCEEDINGS4	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Our next case is 2016-13, Buddy Page, agent for Shaun Romero, owner, 6013 Hillburn Road, 1.52 acres from HDR, high density residential to commercial district. On this case, members of the board, has
2 3 4 5 6	INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE PROCEEDINGS4 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER18	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Our next case is 2016-13, Buddy Page, agent for Shaun Romero, owner, 6013 Hillburn Road, 1.52 acres from HDR, high density residential to commercial district. On this case, members of the board, has there been any ex parte communication? Have you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE PROCEEDINGS4	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Our next case is 2016-13, Buddy Page, agent for Shaun Romero, owner, 6013 Hillburn Road, 1.52 acres from HDR, high density residential to commercial district. On this case, members of the board, has there been any ex parte communication? Have you visited the site? Have you had any discussions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	INDEX DESCRIPTION PAGE PROCEEDINGS4 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER18	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Our next case is 2016-13, Buddy Page, agent for Shaun Romero, owner, 6013 Hillburn Road, 1.52 acres from HDR, high density residential to commercial district. On this case, members of the board, has there been any ex parte communication? Have you visited the site? Have you had any discussions with the applicant, agents, attorneys,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	INDEX DESCRIPTION PROCEEDINGS PROCEEDINGS CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 18 INDEX OF WITNESSES NAME PAGE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Our next case is 2016-13, Buddy Page, agent for Shaun Romero, owner, 6013 Hillburn Road, 1.52 acres from HDR, high density residential to commercial district. On this case, members of the board, has there been any ex parte communication? Have you visited the site? Have you had any discussions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	INDEX DESCRIPTION PROCEEDINGS PROCEEDINGS CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 18 INDEX OF WITNESSES NAME PAGE BUDDY PAGE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Our next case is 2016-13, Buddy Page, agent for Shaun Romero, owner, 6013 Hillburn Road, 1.52 acres from HDR, high density residential to commercial district. On this case, members of the board, has there been any ex parte communication? Have you visited the site? Have you had any discussions with the applicant, agents, attorneys, witnesses, Planning Board members, or anyone from the general public? Also ask if you were a business, relative or associate of any of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	INDEX DESCRIPTION PROCEEDINGS PROCEEDINGS CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 18 INDEX OF WITNESSES NAME PAGE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Our next case is 2016-13, Buddy Page, agent for Shaun Romero, owner, 6013 Hillburn Road, 1.52 acres from HDR, high density residential to commercial district. On this case, members of the board, has there been any ex parte communication? Have you visited the site? Have you had any discussions with the applicant, agents, attorneys, witnesses, Planning Board members, or anyone from the general public? Also ask if you were a business, relative or associate of any of the parties.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	INDEX DESCRIPTION PROCEEDINGS PROCEEDINGS CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 18 INDEX OF WITNESSES NAME PAGE BUDDY PAGE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Our next case is 2016-13, Buddy Page, agent for Shaun Romero, owner, 6013 Hillburn Road, 1.52 acres from HDR, high density residential to commercial district. On this case, members of the board, has there been any ex parte communication? Have you visited the site? Have you had any discussions with the applicant, agents, attorneys, witnesses, Planning Board members, or anyone from the general public? Also ask if you were a business, relative or associate of any of the parties. And starting with Ms. Oram.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	INDEX DESCRIPTION PROCEEDINGS PROCEEDINGS CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 18 INDEX OF WITNESSES NAME PAGE BUDDY PAGE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Our next case is 2016-13, Buddy Page, agent for Shaun Romero, owner, 6013 Hillburn Road, 1.52 acres from HDR, high density residential to commercial district. On this case, members of the board, has there been any ex parte communication? Have you visited the site? Have you had any discussions with the applicant, agents, attorneys, witnesses, Planning Board members, or anyone from the general public? Also ask if you were a business, relative or associate of any of the parties. And starting with Ms. Oram. MS. ORAM: No to all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	INDEX DESCRIPTION PROCEEDINGS PROCEEDINGS CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 18 INDEX OF WITNESSES NAME PAGE BUDDY PAGE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Our next case is 2016-13, Buddy Page, agent for Shaun Romero, owner, 6013 Hillburn Road, 1.52 acres from HDR, high density residential to commercial district. On this case, members of the board, has there been any ex parte communication? Have you visited the site? Have you had any discussions with the applicant, agents, attorneys, witnesses, Planning Board members, or anyone from the general public? Also ask if you were a business, relative or associate of any of the parties. And starting with Ms. Oram.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	INDEX DESCRIPTION PROCEEDINGS PROCEEDINGS CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 18 INDEX OF WITNESSES NAME PAGE BUDDY PAGE	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Our next case is 2016-13, Buddy Page, agent for Shaun Romero, owner, 6013 Hillburn Road, 1.52 acres from HDR, high density residential to commercial district. On this case, members of the board, has there been any ex parte communication? Have you visited the site? Have you had any discussions with the applicant, agents, attorneys, witnesses, Planning Board members, or anyone from the general public? Also ask if you were a business, relative or associate of any of the parties. And starting with Ms. Oram. MS. ORAM: No to all. MS. HIGHTOWER: No to all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	INDEX DESCRIPTION PROCEEDINGS PROCEEDINGS CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 18 INDEX OF WITNESSES NAME PAGE BUDDY PAGE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Our next case is 2016-13, Buddy Page, agent for Shaun Romero, owner, 6013 Hillburn Road, 1.52 acres from HDR, high density residential to commercial district. On this case, members of the board, has there been any ex parte communication? Have you visited the site? Have you had any discussions with the applicant, agents, attorneys, witnesses, Planning Board members, or anyone from the general public? Also ask if you were a business, relative or associate of any of the parties. And starting with Ms. Oram. MS. ORAM: No to all. MR. PYLE: No to all. Familiar with the site. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Howard, I believe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	INDEX DESCRIPTION PROCEEDINGS PROCEEDINGS CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 18 INDEX OF WITNESSES NAME PAGE BUDDY PAGE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Our next case is 2016-13, Buddy Page, agent for Shaun Romero, owner, 6013 Hillburn Road, 1.52 acres from HDR, high density residential to commercial district. On this case, members of the board, has there been any ex parte communication? Have you visited the site? Have you had any discussions with the applicant, agents, attorneys, witnesses, Planning Board members, or anyone from the general public? Also ask if you were a business, relative or associate of any of the parties. And starting with Ms. Oram. MS. ORAM: No to all. MR. PYLE: No to all. Familiar with the site. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Howard, I believe you have to leave the meeting now?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	INDEX DESCRIPTION PROCEEDINGS PROCEEDINGS CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 18 INDEX OF WITNESSES NAME PAGE BUDDY PAGE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Our next case is 2016-13, Buddy Page, agent for Shaun Romero, owner, 6013 Hillburn Road, 1.52 acres from HDR, high density residential to commercial district. On this case, members of the board, has there been any ex parte communication? Have you visited the site? Have you had any discussions with the applicant, agents, attorneys, witnesses, Planning Board members, or anyone from the general public? Also ask if you were a business, relative or associate of any of the parties. And starting with Ms. Oram. MS. ORAM: No to all. MR. PYLE: No to all. Familiar with the site. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Howard, I believe you have to leave the meeting now? MR. HOWARD: I do, yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	INDEX DESCRIPTION PROCEEDINGS PROCEEDINGS CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 18 INDEX OF WITNESSES NAME PAGE BUDDY PAGE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PROCEEDINGS THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Our next case is 2016-13, Buddy Page, agent for Shaun Romero, owner, 6013 Hillburn Road, 1.52 acres from HDR, high density residential to commercial district. On this case, members of the board, has there been any ex parte communication? Have you visited the site? Have you had any discussions with the applicant, agents, attorneys, witnesses, Planning Board members, or anyone from the general public? Also ask if you were a business, relative or associate of any of the parties. And starting with Ms. Oram. MS. ORAM: No to all. MR. PYLE: No to all. Familiar with the site. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Howard, I believe you have to leave the meeting now?

Pages 5 to 8

		_	Pages 5 to 8
	Page 5		Page 6
1	participating in this one.	1	aerial photography of the site.
2	(Mr. Howard left the meeting.)	2	Also located in Oak Hill Community
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: The Chairman has no ex	3	Redevelopment Agency overlay. Here's our notice
4	parte communication.	4	of public hearing. Looking onto the site,
5	MR. TATE: No ex parte communication.	5	looking northwest from the site.
6	MR. INGWELL: No to all.	6	And here is the intersection at Creighton
7	MR. RUSHING: And no to all.	7	along Hillburn Road looking north. This is
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Thank you.	8	looking east from the site across Hillburn,
9	Staff, was notice published to all	9	looking southeast from the site across Hillburn,
10	interested parties?	10	and looking south along Hillburn.
11	MS. CRAWFORD: Yes, sir.	11	MR. TATE: I have a matter for the record,
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. And was it	12	just as a point of fact. Oak Hill Redevelopment
13	properly posted on the subject property?	13	site. Is that the proposed Oak Hill
14	MS. CRAWFORD: Yes, sir.	14	Redevelopment?
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Thank you.	15	MR. MacCARTEE: It is a district, but
16	Mr. Page, any objection to the pictures	16	there's no performance standards for that right
17	and everything?	17	now. It's undefined.
18	MR. PAGE: No.	18	MR. TATE: Okay.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Let's go with the	19	MR. MacCARTEE: Here's our 2500-foot
20	maps and photography.	20	radius map from Escambia County Property
21	MR. MacCARTEE: Caleb MacCartee, urban	21	Appraisers, and our mailing list.
22	planner for the county.	22	And that concludes the slide and
23	We are Location map showing the subject	23	photographs for this case.
24	parcel. Here is our 500-foot zoning map, our	24	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Any questions on
25	future land use map, FLU, existing land use,	25	the maps or photography?
	Page 7		Page 8
1	(No response.)	1	please.
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, Mr. Page.	2	MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, this application
3	You were previously sworn in. Please	3	seeks to change the referenced parcel of
4	state your name and address for the record on	4	property over to a commercial classification,
5	this case.	5	basically to match the commercial that's located
6		6	and zoned to the east and the parcel to the
-			and zoned to the east and the parter to the

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BUDDY PAGE

testified further as follows:

Hamilton Lane, Pace, Florida.

MR. PAGE: I have.

MR. PAGE: I do.

- - -

findings of fact?

previously duly sworn, was examined and

you received the hearing package and the

that you have the burden of providing

substantial competent evidence that this

proposed rezoning is consistent with the comp

plan and furthers the goals and objectives and

with any portion of the Land Development Code?

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, sir. Go ahead,

policies of that plan, and is not in conflict

MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, Buddy Page, 5337

THE CHAIRPERSON: Sir, on this case, have

THE CHAIRPERSON: And do you understand

north. I've gone through the staff's findings of fact. We could adopt all of those as our own. And for public clarification, on the location criteria, the findings for that initially from the staff states that the proposed amendment is not consistent with the intent and purpose. The applicant did not provide a compatibility analysis. It picks out one of those criteria in order to make it compliant.

That certainly was an error, Mr. Chairman. I have those two pages with me. I previously submitted those to the staff for their review. And basically, it's an aerial photograph showing the distance from the site to the westernmost -southwesterly most corner of the University Plaza campus, if you will, of being less than the quarter mile. And I've also attached with that a copy of

WIERZBICKI COURT REPORTING

Pages 9 to 12

Page 9Page 101the FDO traffic counts in that area, measured in1that we received?2April 1964, which shows the capacity or the2MR. MacCARTEE: They were not in the3counts in that general area of 9500 vehicles per3packet originally, but they were submitted as a4day.4late edition. And we reviewed them. So they5So Mr. Chairman, if I could submit these5were not submitted in the initial application6to the board for the board's consideration. As6package. And there's not7I indicated, we had previously submitted the7MR. TATE: Not in our package. We would8corrected copy of that to staff.89THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Page, did you say9THE CHAIRPERSON: Right. Okay.101964? I believe?10Based on your review of them, though, will11MR. PAGE: Staft.12oriteria?12THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah.12oriteria?13MS. CRAWFORD: I heard '64.13MR. MacCARTEE: Yes. Even with the14MR. PAGE: Like to update that just16those are traffic count numbers.17THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.17In addition, to meet the location18MR. PAGE: Like to update that just18oriteria, we would say that Hillburn and the19slightly.19intersection of Burgess would meet the20THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if we could20consistent prior to the applicant relying on24
2 April 1964, which shows the capacity or the counts in that general area of 9500 vehicles per day. 2 MR. MacCARTEE: They were not in the packet originally, but they were submitted as a late cdition. And we reviewed them. So they were not submitted in the initial application package. And there's not - 5 So Mr. Chairman, if I could submit these 5 6 to the board for the board's consideration. As 6 7 I indicated, we had previously submitted the 7 8 corrected copy of that to staff. 9 9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Page, did you say 9 10 1964? I believe? 10 11 MR. PAGE: Yeah. Where did I get that 12 12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. 12 13 MS. CRAWFORD: I heard '64. 13 14 MR. PAGE: Yeah. Where did I get that 14 15 from? I'm not sure, but 15 16 The traffic count was in April of 2014. 16 17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. 17 18 MR. PAGE: Like to update that just 18 19 slightly. 19 20 THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if we could 20 <td< td=""></td<>
2 April 1964, which shows the capacity or the 2 MR. MacCARTEE: They were not in the packet originally, but they were submitted as a late cdition. And we reviewed them. So they were not submitted in the initial application 3 So Mr. Chairman, if I could submit these 5 6 to the board for the board's consideration. As 6 7 1 indicated, we had previously submitted the 7 8 corrected copy of that to staff. 6 9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Page, did you say 9 10 1964? I believe? 10 11 MR. PAGE: Yeah. 12 12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. 12 13 MS. CRAWFORD: I heard '64. 13 14 MR. PAGE: Yeah. Where did 1 get that 14 15 from? I'm not sure, but 15 16 The traffic count was in April of 2014. 16 17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. 17 18 MR. PAGE: Like to update that just 18 19 slightly. 19 20 THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if we could 20 21 was that one. 21 22 <td< td=""></td<>
3 counts in that general area of 9500 vehicles per 3 packet originally, but they were submitted as a 4 day. 4 5 So Mr. Chairman, if I could submit these 5 6 to the board for the board's consideration. As 6 7 I indicated, we had previously submitted the 7 9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Page, did you say 9 10 1964? I believe? 10 11 MR. PAGE: '64? 11 12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. 12 13 MS. CRAWFORD: I heard '64. 13 14 MR. PAGE: Yeah. Where did I get that 14 15 from? I'm not sue, but 15 16 The traffic count was in April of 2014. 16 17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Kay. 17 18 MR. PAGE: Like to update that just 18 19 slightly. 19 20 THE CHAIRPERSON: J don't know if we could 20 21 use that one. 21 22 MR. PACE: Let me ask. He's indicated he 24 23 those for the record? 23 </td
4 day. 4 5 So Mr. Chairman, if I could submit these 5 6 to the board for the board's consideration. As 6 7 I indicated, we had previously submitted the 7 7 I indicated, we had previously submitted the 7 8 7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Page, did you say 9 9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Page, did you say 9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Right. Okay. 10 19647 1 believe? 10 Based on your review of them, though, will 11 MR. PAGE: Yeah. Where did 1 get that 14 that then meet the requirement for that 12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. 12 most ure, but 15 16 The traffic count was in April of 2014. 16 information that we reviewed that Mr. Page had 13 MR. PAGE: Like to update that just 18 criteria, we would say that Hillburn and the 19 stightly. 19 19 intersection of Burgess would meet the 20 THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if we could 20 Intersection of Burgess would meet the 21 use that one. 21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. 17
5 So Mr. Chairman, if I could submit these 5 were not submitted in the initial application 6 to the board for the board's consideration. As 6 package. And there's not 7 I indicated, we had previously submitted the 7 MR. TATE: Not in our package. We would 9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Page, did you say 9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Right. Okay. 10 19647 1 believe? 10 Based on your review of them, though, will 11 MR. PAGE: '64? 11 that then meet the requirement for that 12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. 12 criteria? 13 MS. CRAWFORD: 1 heard '64. 13 MR. MacCARTEE: Yes. Even with the 14 MR. PAGE: teah. Where did J get that 14 information that we reviewed that Mr. Page had 15 from? I'm not sure, but 15 those are traffic count numbers. 16 The traffic count was in April of 2014. 16 those are traffic count numbers. 17 THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if we could 20 locational criteria for the rezoning. 20 THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if we could 20 locational criteria for the rezoning. 21 use that one. 21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. 22 MR. PACE: Do we need a motion to submit 22
6 to the board for the board's consideration. As 6 package. And there's not 7 I indicated, we had previously submitted the 7 MR. TATE: Not in our package. We would need to accept them. 9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Page, did you say 9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Kight. Okay. 10 1964? I believe? 10 Based on your review of them, though, will that then meet the requirement for that criteria? 13 MR. CAXWFORD: I heard '64. 13 MR. MacCARTEE: Yes. Even with the information that we reviewed that Mr. Page had submitted with his documentation, it appears those are traffic count numbers. 16 The traffic count was in April of 2014. 16 17 THE CHAIRPERSON: J don't know if we could 20 18 MR. PAGE: Like to update that just 18 19 slightly. 19 20 THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if we could 20 10 Use that one. 21 22 MR. PAGE: Do we need a motion to submit 22 23 those for the record? 23 24 MR. TATE: Let me ask. He's indicated he 24 25 submitted to staff. Were they in the packet 25 26 MR.
7 I indicated, we had previously submitted the 7 Indicated, we had previously submitted the 8 9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Page, did you say 9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Right. Okay. 9 10 19647 1 believe? 10 Based on your review of them, though, will 11 MR. PAGE: '64? 11 that then meet the requirement for that 12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. 12 eriteria? 13 MS. CRAWFORD: I heard '64. 13 MR. MacCARTEE: Yes. Even with the 14 MR. PAGE: Vieh. Where did J get that 14 information that we reviewed that Mr. Page had 15 from? I'm not sure, but 15 submitted with his documentation, it appears 16 The traffic count was in April of 2014. 16 those are traffic count numbers. 17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. 17 In addition, to meet the location 20 THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if we could 20 Iccational criteria for the rezoning. 21 use that one. 21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Vay.
8 corrected copy of that to staff. 8 need to accept them. 9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Page, did you say 9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Right. Okay. 10 1964? I believe? 10 Based on your review of them, though, will 11 MR. PAGE: Veah. 12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. 12 12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. 12 criteria? 13 MS. CRAWFORD: I heard '64. 13 MR. MacCARTEE: Yes. Even with the information that we reviewed that Mr. Page had submitted with his documentation, it appears 16 The traffic count was in April of 2014. 16 those are traffic count numbers. 17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. 17 In addition, to meet the location 18 MR. PAGE: Like to update that just 18 criteria, we would say that Hillburn and the 19 slightly. 19 locational criteria for the reconing. 21 use that one. 21 THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if we could 20 22 MR. PYLE: Do we need a motion to submit 22 MR. MacCARTEE: So it was said it was not consistent prior to the applicant relying on 23 those for the record? 23 consistent prior to the applicant relying
9THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Page, did you say 109THE CHAIRPERSON: Right. Okay.1019647 I believe?10Based on your review of them, though, will11MR. PAGE: '64?11that then meet the requirement for that12THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah.12oriteria?13MS. CRAWFORD: I heard '64.13MR. MacCARTEE: Yes. Even with the14MR. PAGE: Yeah. Where did I get that14information that we reviewed that Mr. Page had15from? I'm not sure, but15submitted with his documentation, it appears16The traffic count was in April of 2014.16those are traffic count numbers.17THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.17In addition, to meet the location18MR. PAGE: Like to update that just18criteria, we would say that Hillburn and the19slightly.19intersection of Burgess would meet the20THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if we could20locational criteria for the rezoning.21use that one.21THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.22MR. PYLE: Do we need a motion to submit22MR. MacCARTEE: So it was said it was not23those for the record?23consistent prior to the applicant relying on24MR. TATE: Let me ask. He's indicated he24that compatibility analysis, but it wasn't25submitted to staff. Were they in the packet25actually submitted.2MR. MacCARTEE: That was submitted. We2Page number two is taken from the FDOT <tr<< td=""></tr<<>
10 1964? I believe? 10 Based on your review of them, though, will 11 MR. PAGE: '64? 11 that then meet the requirement for that 12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. 12 criteria? 13 MS. CRAWFORD: I heard '64. 13 MR. MacCARTEE: Yes. Even with the 14 MR. PAGE: Yeah. Where did I get that 14 information that we reviewed that Mr. Page had 15 from? I'm not sure, but 15 submitted with his documentation, it appears 16 The traffic count was in April of 2014. 16 those are traffic count numbers. 17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. 17 In addition, to meet the location 18 MR. PAGE: Like to update that just 18 criteria, we would say that Hillburn and the 19 slightly. 19 intersection of Burgess would meet the 20 THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if we could 20 locational criteria for the rezoning. 21 use that one. 21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. 23 23 those for the record? 23 consistent prior to the applicant relying on 24 MR. TATE: Let me ask. He's indicated he 24
11MR. PAGE: '64?11that then meet the requirement for that12THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah.12that then meet the requirement for that13MS. CRAWFORD: I heard '64.13MR. MacCARTEE: Yes. Even with the14MR. PAGE: Yeah. Where did J get that14information that we reviewed that Mr. Page had15from? I'm not sure, but15submitted with his documentation, it appears16The traffic count was in April of 2014.16those are traffic count numbers.17THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.17In addition, to meet the location18MR. PAGE: Like to update that just18criteria, we would say that Hillburn and the19slightly.19intersection of Burgess would meet the20THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if we could20locational criteria for the rezoning.21use that one.21THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.22MR. PYLE: Do we need a motion to submit22MR. MacCARTEE: So it was said it was not23those for the record?23consistent prior to the applicant relying on24MR. TATE: Let me ask. He's indicated he24that compatibility analysis, but it wasn't25submitted to staff. Were they in the packet25actually submitted.2MR. MacCARTEE: That was submitted. We2Page number two is taken from the FDOT3reviewed it. And either way, it will meet that3a number of counter sites located down on the4THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.1Fage nu
12THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah.1213MS. CRAWFORD: I heard '64.13MR. MacCARTEE: Yes. Even with the14MR. PAGE: Yeah. Where did J get that14information that we reviewed that Mr. Page had15from? Tm not sure, but15submitted with his documentation, it appears16The traffic count was in April of 2014.16those are traffic count numbers.17THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.17In addition, to meet the location18MR. PAGE: Like to update that just18criteria, we would say that Hillburn and the19slightly.19intersection of Burgess would meet the20THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if we could20locational criteria for the rezoning.21use that one.21THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.22MR. PYLE: Do we need a motion to submit22MR. MacCARTEE: So it was said it was not23those for the record?23consistent prior to the applicant relying on24MR. TATE: Let me ask. He's indicated he24that compatibility analysis, but it wasn't25submitted to staff. Were they in the packet25Page 111THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.1feet or so.2MR. MacCARTEE: That was submitted. We2Page number two is taken from the FDOT3reviewed it. And either way, it will meet that3a number of counter sites located down on the4criteria.4a number of counter sites located down on the5THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So upon
13MS. CRAWFORD: I heard '64.13MR. MacCARTEE: Yes. Even with the14MR. PAGE: Yeah. Where did I get that14information that we reviewed that Mr. Page had15from? Tm not sure, but15submitted with his documentation, it appears16The traffic count was in April of 2014.16those are traffic count numbers.17THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.17In addition, to meet the location18MR. PAGE: Like to update that just18criteria, we would say that Hillburn and the19slightly.19intersection of Burgess would meet the20THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if we could20locational criteria for the rezoning.21use that one.21THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.22MR. PYLE: Do we need a motion to submit22MR. MacCARTEE: So it was said it was not23those for the record?23consistent prior to the applicant relying on24MR. TATE: Let me ask. He's indicated he24that compatibility analysis, but it wasn't25submitted to staff. Were they in the packet25actually submitted.Page 111THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.12MR. MacCARTEE: That was submitted. We2Page number two is taken from the FDOT3reviewed it. And either way, it will meet that3traffic counter sites located down on the4criteria.4a number of counter sites located down on the5THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So upon accepting5left-h
14MR, PAGE: Yeah. Where did J get that14Information that we reviewed that Mr. Page had15from? I'm not sure, but15submitted with his documentation, it appears16The traffic count was in April of 2014.16those are traffic count numbers.17THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.17In addition, to meet the location18MR. PAGE: Like to update that just18criteria, we would say that Hillburn and the19slightly.19intersection of Burgess would meet the20THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if we could20locational criteria for the rezoning.21use that one.21THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.23those for the record?23consistent prior to the applicant relying on24MR. TATE: Let me ask. He's indicated he24that compatibility analysis, but it wasn't25submitted to staff. Were they in the packet25actually submitted.26MR. MacCARTEE: That was submitted. We2Page number two is taken from the FDOT2THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.1feet or so.2MR. MacCARTEE: That was submitted. We2Page number two is taken from the FDOT3reviewed it. And either way, it will meet that4a number of counter sites located down on the4criteria.4a number of secambia County. And it has5THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So upon accepting5left-hand side. And the one we're relying on is6this into evidence, the staff can change their6<
15from? I'm not sure, but15submitted with his documentation, it appears16The traffic count was in April of 2014.16those are traffic count numbers.17THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.17In addition, to meet the location18MR. PAGE: Like to update that just18criteria, we would say that Hillburn and the19slightly.19intersection of Burgess would meet the20THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if we could20locational criteria for the rezoning.21use that one.21THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.22MR. PYLE: Do we need a motion to submit22MR. MacCARTEE: So it was said it was not23those for the record?23consistent prior to the applicant relying on24MR. TATE: Let me ask. He's indicated he24that compatibility analysis, but it wasn't25submitted to staff. Were they in the packet25actually submitted.Page 111THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.2MR. MacCARTEE: That was submitted. We22MR. MacCARTEE: That was submitted. We23reviewed it. And either way, it will meet that44criteria.45THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So upon accepting56this into evidence, the staff can change their6
16The traffic count was in April of 2014.16those are traffic count numbers.17THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.17In addition, to meet the location18MR. PAGE: Like to update that just18criteria, we would say that Hillburn and the19slightly.19intersection of Burgess would meet the20THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if we could20locational criteria for the rezoning.21use that one.21THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.22MR. PYLE: Do we need a motion to submit22MR. MacCARTEE: So it was said it was not23those for the record?23consistent prior to the applicant relying on24MR. TATE: Let me ask. He's indicated he24that compatibility analysis, but it wasn't25submitted to staff. Were they in the packet25actually submitted.Page 111THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.12MR. MacCARTEE: That was submitted. We2Page number two is taken from the FDOT3reviewed it. And either way, it will meet that3traffic counts for Escambia County. And it has4criteria.4a number of counter sites located down on the5THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So upon accepting5left-hand side. And the one we're relying on is6this into evidence, the staff can change their6counter number 5182, Burgess Road, showing
17THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.17In addition, to meet the location18MR, PAGE: Like to update that just18criteria, we would say that Hillburn and the19slightly.19intersection of Burgess would meet the20THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if we could20locational criteria for the rezoning.21use that one.21THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.22MR. PYLE: Do we need a motion to submit22MR. MacCARTEE: So it was said it was not23those for the record?23consistent prior to the applicant relying on24MR, TATE: Let me ask. He's indicated he24that compatibility analysis, but it wasn't25submitted to staff. Were they in the packet25actually submitted.Page 111THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.12MR. MacCARTEE: That was submitted. We2Page number two is taken from the FDOT3reviewed it. And either way, it will meet that3traffic counts for Escambia County. And it has4criteria.4a number of counter sites located down on the5THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So upon accepting5left-hand side. And the one we're relying on is6this into evidence, the staff can change their6counter number 5182, Burgess Road, showing
18 MR. PAGE: Like to update that just 18 criteria, we would say that Hillburn and the 19 slightly, 19 intersection of Burgess would meet the 20 THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if we could 20 locational criteria for the rezoning. 21 use that one. 21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. 22 MR. PYLE: Do we need a motion to submit 22 MR. MacCARTEE: So it was said it was not 23 those for the record? 23 consistent prior to the applicant relying on 24 MR. TATE: Let me ask. He's indicated he 24 that compatibility analysis, but it wasn't 25 submitted to staff. Were they in the packet 25 actually submitted. Page 11 1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. 1 2 MR. MacCARTEE: That was submitted. We 2 Page number two is taken from the FDOT 3 reviewed it. And either way, it will meet that 3 a number of counter sites located down on the 4 criteria. 4 a number of counter sites located down on the 1 5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So upon accepting 5 left-hand side. And the one we're relying on is 1
19 slightly. 19 intersection of Burgess would meet the 20 THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if we could 20 locational criteria for the rezoning. 21 use that one. 21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. 22 MR. PYLE: Do we need a motion to submit 22 MR. MacCARTEE: So it was said it was not 23 those for the record? 23 consistent prior to the applicant relying on 24 MR. TATE: Let me ask. He's indicated he 24 that compatibility analysis, but it wasn't 25 submitted to staff. Were they in the packet 25 actually submitted. Page 11 1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. 1 2 MR. MacCARTEE: That was submitted. We 2 Page number two is taken from the FDOT 3 reviewed it. And either way, it will meet that 3 a number of counter sites located down on the 4 criteria. 4 a number of counter sites located down on the 1 5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So upon accepting 5 left-hand side. And the one we're relying on is 6 this into evidence, the staff can change their 6 counter number 5182, Burgess Road, showing </td
20THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if we could use that one.20Iocational criteria for the rezoning.21use that one.21THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.22MR. PYLE: Do we need a motion to submit22MR. MacCARTEE: So it was said it was not23those for the record?23consistent prior to the applicant relying on24MR. TATE: Let me ask. He's indicated he24that compatibility analysis, but it wasn't25submitted to staff. Were they in the packet25actually submitted.Page 111THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.12MR. MacCARTEE: That was submitted. We2Page number two is taken from the FDOT3reviewed it. And either way, it will meet that3raffic counts for Escambia County. And it has4criteria.4a number of counter sites located down on the5THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So upon accepting5left-hand side. And the one we're relying on is6this into evidence, the staff can change their6counter number 5182, Burgess Road, showing
21use that one.21THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.22MR. PYLE: Do we need a motion to submit22MR. MacCARTEE: So it was said it was not23those for the record?23consistent prior to the applicant relying on24MR. TATE: Let me ask. He's indicated he24that compatibility analysis, but it wasn't25submitted to staff. Were they in the packet25actually submitted.1THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.1feet or so.2MR. MacCARTEE: That was submitted. We2Page number two is taken from the FDOT3reviewed it. And either way, it will meet that3traffic counts for Escambia County. And it has4criteria.4a number of counter sites located down on the5THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So upon accepting5left-hand side. And the one we're relying on is6this into evidence, the staff can change their6counter number 5182, Burgess Road, showing
22 MR. PYLE: Do we need a motion to submit 22 MR. MacCARTEE: So it was said it was not consistent prior to the applicant relying on 23 those for the record? 23 consistent prior to the applicant relying on 24 MR. TATE: Let me ask. He's indicated he submitted to staff. Were they in the packet 25 submitted to staff. Were they in the packet 25 25 submitted to staff. Were they in the packet 25 actually submitted. Page 11 1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. 1 feet or so. 2 MR. MacCARTEE: That was submitted. We 2 Page number two is taken from the FDOT 3 reviewed it. And either way, it will meet that 3 traffic counts for Escambia County. And it has 4 criteria. 4 a number of counter sites located down on the 5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So upon accepting 5 left-hand side. And the one we're relying on is 6 this into evidence, the staff can change their 6 counter number 5182, Burgess Road, showing
23 those for the record? 23 consistent prior to the applicant relying on 24 MR. TATE: Let me ask. He's indicated he 24 that compatibility analysis, but it wasn't 25 submitted to staff. Were they in the packet 25 actually submitted. Page 11 1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. 1 feet or so. 2 MR. MacCARTEE: That was submitted. We 2 Page number two is taken from the FDOT 3 reviewed it. And either way, it will meet that 4 criteria. 4 a number of counter sites located down on the 5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So upon accepting 5 left-hand side. And the one we're relying on is 6 this into evidence, the staff can change their 6 counter number 5182, Burgess Road, showing
24 MR. TATE: Let me ask. He's indicated he submitted to staff. Were they in the packet 24 that compatibility analysis, but it wasn't actually submitted. 25 submitted to staff. Were they in the packet 25 actually submitted. 1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. 1 feet or so. 2 MR. MacCARTEE: That was submitted. We 2 Page number two is taken from the FDOT 3 reviewed it. And either way, it will meet that 3 traffic counts for Escambia County. And it has 4 criteria. 4 a number of counter sites located down on the 5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So upon accepting 5 left-hand side. And the one we're relying on is 6 this into evidence, the staff can change their 6 counter number 5182, Burgess Road, showing
25 submitted to staff. Were they in the packet 25 actually submitted. Page 11 Page 11 Page 11 1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. 1 feet or so. 2 MR. MacCARTEE: That was submitted. We 2 Page number two is taken from the FDOT 3 reviewed it. And either way, it will meet that 3 traffic counts for Escambia County. And it has 4 criteria. 4 a number of counter sites located down on the 5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So upon accepting 5 left-hand side. And the one we're relying on is 6 this into evidence, the staff can change their 6 counter number 5182, Burgess Road, showing
Page 11 Page 11 1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. 1 feet or so. 2 MR. MacCARTEE: That was submitted. We 2 Page number two is taken from the FDOT 3 reviewed it. And either way, it will meet that 3 traffic counts for Escambia County. And it has 4 criteria. 4 a number of counter sites located down on the 5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So upon accepting 5 left-hand side. And the one we're relying on is 6 this into evidence, the staff can change their 6 counter number 5182, Burgess Road, showing
1THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.1feet or so.2MR. MacCARTEE: That was submitted. We2Page number two is taken from the FDOT3reviewed it. And either way, it will meet that3traffic counts for Escambia County. And it has4criteria.4a number of counter sites located down on the5THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So upon accepting5left-hand side. And the one we're relying on is6this into evidence, the staff can change their6counter number 5182, Burgess Road, showing
1THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.1feet or so.2MR. MacCARTEE: That was submitted. We2Page number two is taken from the FDOT3reviewed it. And either way, it will meet that3traffic counts for Escambia County. And it has4criteria.4a number of counter sites located down on the5THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So upon accepting5left-hand side. And the one we're relying on is6this into evidence, the staff can change their6counter number 5182, Burgess Road, showing
2MR. MacCARTEE: That was submitted. We2Page number two is taken from the FDOT3reviewed it. And either way, it will meet that3traffic counts for Escambia County. And it has4criteria.4a number of counter sites located down on the5THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So upon accepting5left-hand side. And the one we're relying on is6this into evidence, the staff can change their6counter number 5182, Burgess Road, showing
3reviewed it. And either way, it will meet that3traffic counts for Escambia County. And it has4criteria.4a number of counter sites located down on the5THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So upon accepting5left-hand side. And the one we're relying on is6this into evidence, the staff can change their6counter number 5182, Burgess Road, showing
4criteria.4a number of counter sites located down on the5THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So upon accepting5left-hand side. And the one we're relying on is6this into evidence, the staff can change their6counter number 5182, Burgess Road, showing
5THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So upon accepting5left-hand side. And the one we're relying on is6this into evidence, the staff can change their6counter number 5182, Burgess Road, showing
6 this into evidence, the staff can change their 6 counter number 5182, Burgess Road, showing
7 findings, then? 7 direction one east of 4700 trips per day, west
8 MR. MacCARTEE: Okay. 8 4800 trips per day, total of about 9500.
9 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Mr. Page, 9 There was another map, just to give you an
10 would you describe exactly what the documents 10 idea of what the 90
11 are that you have and where they came from, 11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Let's stay on this one
12 please? 12 for just a second
13 MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, the documents 13 MR. PAGE: Okay.
14 consist of two pages. One is an aerial 14 THE CHAIRPERSON: so I can get it
15 pbotograph taken from Google maps, basically 15 brought into evidence before we go to the other
16 measuring the distance from the northeasterly 16 map.
$f = -$ measuring the should entry $f \pm 0$ IIIaU.
a sector se
17 corner of the property to the southwesterly 17 MR. PAGE: Sure.
17corner of the property to the southwesterly17MR. PAGE: Sure.18corner of the university property.18THE CHAIRPERSON: What is the source of
17corner of the property to the southwesterly17MR. PAGE: Sure.18corner of the university property.18THE CHAIRPERSON: What is the source of19It's showing on here 1336 feet, only19where you pulled this map from, Mr. Page?
17corner of the property to the southwesterly17MR. PAGE: Sure.18corner of the university property.18THE CHAIRPERSON: What is the source of19It's showing on here 1336 feet, only19where you pulled this map from, Mr. Page?20because when you barely move the mouse, the feet20MR. PAGE: The actual chart itself came
17corner of the property to the southwesterly17MR. PAGE: Sure.18corner of the university property.18THE CHAIRPERSON: What is the source of19It's showing on here 1336 feet, only19where you pulled this map from, Mr. Page?20because when you barely move the mouse, the feet20MR. PAGE: The actual chart itself came21jump so high and low, it was difficult for me to21from the FDOT 2015 average annual daily traffic
17corner of the property to the southwesterly17MR. PAGE: Sure.18corner of the university property.18THE CHAIRPERSON: What is the source of19It's showing on here 1336 feet, only19where you pulled this map from, Mr. Page?20because when you barely move the mouse, the feet20MR. PAGE: The actual chart itself came21jump so high and low, it was difficult for me to21from the FDOT 2015 average annual daily traffic22get it in there.22count report for district.
17corner of the property to the southwesterly17MR. PAGE: Sure.18corner of the university property.18THE CHAIRPERSON: What is the source of19It's showing on here 1336 feet, only19where you pulled this map from, Mr. Page?20because when you barely move the mouse, the feet20MR. PAGE: The actual chart itself came21jump so high and low, it was difficult for me to21from the FDOT 2015 average annual daily traffic22get it in there.22count report for district.23But if you take a look at the aerial,23THE CHAIRPERSON: You personally pulle
17corner of the property to the southwesterly17MR. PAGE: Sure.18corner of the university property.18THE CHAIRPERSON: What is the source of19It's showing on here 1336 feet, only19where you pulled this map from, Mr. Page?20because when you barely move the mouse, the feet20MR. PAGE: The actual chart itself came21jump so high and low, it was difficult for me to21from the FDOT 2015 average annual daily traffic22get it in there.22count report for district.23But if you take a look at the aerial,23THE CHAIRPERSON: You personally pulle

.

Pages 13 to 16

		·····	
	Page 13		Page 14
1	THE CHAIRPERSON: printed it out?	1	
2	MR. PAGE: 1 did.	2	TOMMY BROWN
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. All right.	3	previously sworn, was examined and testified
4	MR. JONES: Mr. Chairman, I think Caleb	4	further as follows:
5	stated that he he presented this to traffic	5	
6	engineer.	6	MR. BROWN: Yeah, I saw these this
7	Since we are not the experts on on	7	morning. And I do recognize them as official
8	those type of documents, I would like for Mr.	8	counts from FDOT. This is what we use when we
9	Tommy Brown, who normally looks at these reports	9	do any kind of operations assessment, so, yes.
10	to validate that that is a that that was his	10	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you, sir.
11	reviewing. I don't want to say it is or isn't.	11	All right. Thank you.
12	Typical, generally speaking.	12	Chair will entertain motion to accept Mr.
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Let's validate	13	Page's documents as presented into evidence.
14	that, and then we'll have the board make a	14	
15	motion to accept it into evidence.	15	MR. TATE: Move to accept.
16	MR. BROWN: Good morning, sir.	16	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Tate, thank you.
17	THE CHAIRPERSON: Your name and position.	17	MR. PYLE: Second.
18	•	18	THE CHAIRPERSON: Second, Mr. Pyle.
19	MR. BROWN: Tommy Brown, transportation planner with Escambia County Transportation and	1	Any further discussion on this?
20		19	(No response.)
20	Traffic Operation.	20	THE CHAIRPERSON: All those in favor say
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. You are	21	"aye."
22	previously sworn in, so you're still under oath.	22	(Chorus of ayes.)
23 24	MR. BROWN: Yes, sir.	23	THE CHAIRPERSON: Please label them as
24 25		24	Applicant's Exhibit 1.
20		25	All right. Now that we have that into
		1	
			ĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸ
	Page 15		Page 16
1	evidence, did you say that the staff is going to	1	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you, sir.
2	evidence, did you say that the staff is going to amend their findings so that it is consistent?	2	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, hearing that,
	evidence, did you say that the staff is going to amend their findings so that it is consistent? MR. MacCARTEE: Ycs.	1	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, hearing that, then, we would again suggest that the applicant
2 3 4	evidence, did you say that the staff is going to amend their findings so that it is consistent? MR. MacCARTEE: Ycs. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So we now have	2 3 4	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, hearing that, then, we would again suggest that the applicant here would accept all of the findings of fact
2 3 4 5	evidence, did you say that the staff is going to amend their findings so that it is consistent? MR. MacCARTEE: Ycs.	2 3 4 5	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, hearing that, then, we would again suggest that the applicant here would accept all of the findings of fact from the staff as amended this morning.
2 3 4 5 6	evidence, did you say that the staff is going to amend their findings so that it is consistent? MR. MacCARTEE: Ycs. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So we now have all the criteria are consistent; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, hearing that, then, we would again suggest that the applicant here would accept all of the findings of fact
2 3 4 5 6 7	evidence, did you say that the staff is going to amend their findings so that it is consistent? MR. MacCARTEE: Ycs. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So we now have all the criteria are consistent; is that correct? MR. MacCARTEE: Ycs.	2 3 4 5	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, hearing that, then, we would again suggest that the applicant here would accept all of the findings of fact from the staff as amended this morning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	evidence, did you say that the staff is going to amend their findings so that it is consistent? MR. MacCARTEE: Ycs. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So we now have all the criteria are consistent; is that correct? MR. MacCARTEE: Ycs. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. All right. Go	2 3 4 5 6	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, hearing that, then, we would again suggest that the applicant here would accept all of the findings of fact from the staff as amended this morning. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Thank you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	evidence, did you say that the staff is going to amend their findings so that it is consistent? MR. MacCARTEE: Ycs. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So we now have all the criteria are consistent; is that correct? MR. MacCARTEE: Ycs. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. All right. Go ahead, Mr. Page. You had another document you	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, hearing that, then, we would again suggest that the applicant here would accept all of the findings of fact from the staff as amended this morning. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Thank you, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	evidence, did you say that the staff is going to amend their findings so that it is consistent? MR. MacCARTEE: Ycs. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So we now have all the criteria are consistent; is that correct? MR. MacCARTEE: Ycs. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. All right. Go ahead, Mr. Page. You had another document you wanted to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, hearing that, then, we would again suggest that the applicant here would accept all of the findings of fact from the staff as amended this morning. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Thank you, sir. Don't have anyone signed up to speak, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	evidence, did you say that the staff is going to amend their findings so that it is consistent? MR. MacCARTEE: Ycs. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So we now have all the criteria are consistent; is that correct? MR. MacCARTEE: Ycs. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. All right. Go ahead, Mr. Page. You had another document you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, hearing that, then, we would again suggest that the applicant here would accept all of the findings of fact from the staff as amended this morning. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Thank you, sir. Don't have anyone signed up to speak, but I will ask: Is there anyone from the public
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	evidence, did you say that the staff is going to amend their findings so that it is consistent? MR. MacCARTEE: Ycs. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So we now have all the criteria are consistent; is that correct? MR. MacCARTEE: Ycs. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. All right. Go ahead, Mr. Page. You had another document you wanted to MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, only if there were any questions regarding that, I did have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, hearing that, then, we would again suggest that the applicant here would accept all of the findings of fact from the staff as amended this morning. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Thank you, sir. Don't have anyone signed up to speak, but I will ask: Is there anyone from the public that wants to speak on this case?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	evidence, did you say that the staff is going to amend their findings so that it is consistent? MR. MacCARTEE: Ycs. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So we now have all the criteria are consistent; is that correct? MR. MacCARTEE: Ycs. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. All right. Go ahead, Mr. Page. You had another document you wanted to MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, only if there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, hearing that, then, we would again suggest that the applicant here would accept all of the findings of fact from the staff as amended this morning. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Thank you, sir. Don't have anyone signed up to speak, but I will ask: Is there anyone from the public that wants to speak on this case? (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	evidence, did you say that the staff is going to amend their findings so that it is consistent? MR. MacCARTEE: Ycs. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So we now have all the criteria are consistent; is that correct? MR. MacCARTEE: Ycs. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. All right. Go ahead, Mr. Page. You had another document you wanted to MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, only if there were any questions regarding that, I did have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, hearing that, then, we would again suggest that the applicant here would accept all of the findings of fact from the staff as amended this morning. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Thank you, sir. Don't have anyone signed up to speak, but I will ask: Is there anyone from the public that wants to speak on this case? (No response.) THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Hearing none,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	evidence, did you say that the staff is going to amend their findings so that it is consistent? MR. MacCARTEE: Ycs. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So we now have all the criteria are consistent; is that correct? MR. MacCARTEE: Yes. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. All right. Go ahead, Mr. Page. You had another document you wanted to MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, only if there were any questions regarding that, I did have one other document that had some additional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, hearing that, then, we would again suggest that the applicant here would accept all of the findings of fact from the staff as amended this morning. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Thank you, sir. Don't have anyone signed up to speak, but I will ask: Is there anyone from the public that wants to speak on this case? (No response.) THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Hearing none, then we will close the public comment portion of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	evidence, did you say that the staff is going to amend their findings so that it is consistent? MR. MacCARTEE: Yes. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So we now have all the criteria are consistent; is that correct? MR. MacCARTEE: Yes. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. All right. Go ahead, Mr. Page. You had another document you wanted to MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, only if there were any questions regarding that, I did have one other document that had some additional counts on it, but I think at this point it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, hearing that, then, we would again suggest that the applicant here would accept all of the findings of fact from the staff as amended this morning. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Thank you, sir. Don't have anyone signed up to speak, but I will ask: Is there anyone from the public that wants to speak on this case? (No response.) THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Hearing none, then we will close the public comment portion of the meeting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 evidence, did you say that the staff is going to amend their findings so that it is consistent? MR. MacCARTEE: Yes. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So we now have all the criteria are consistent; is that correct? MR. MacCARTEE: Yes. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. All right. Go ahead, Mr. Page. You had another document you wanted to MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, only if there were any questions regarding that, I did have one other document that had some additional counts on it, but I think at this point it's probably moot. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, hearing that, then, we would again suggest that the applicant here would accept all of the findings of fact from the staff as amended this morning. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Thank you, sir. Don't have anyone signed up to speak, but I will ask: Is there anyone from the public that wants to speak on this case? (No response.) THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Hearing none, then we will close the public comment portion of the meeting. Board members, sometimes when we have all the criteria met, we accept the staff's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	evidence, did you say that the staff is going to amend their findings so that it is consistent? MR. MacCARTEE: Yes. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So we now have all the criteria are consistent; is that correct? MR. MacCARTEE: Yes. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. All right. Go ahead, Mr. Page. You had another document you wanted to MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, only if there were any questions regarding that, I did have one other document that had some additional counts on it, but I think at this point it's probably moot. THE CHAIRPERSON: It will be accepted in.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, hearing that, then, we would again suggest that the applicant here would accept all of the findings of fact from the staff as amended this morning. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Thank you, sir. Don't have anyone signed up to speak, but I will ask: Is there anyone from the public that wants to speak on this case? (No response.) THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Hearing none, then we will close the public comment portion of the meeting. Board members, sometimes when we have all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	evidence, did you say that the staff is going to amend their findings so that it is consistent? MR. MacCARTEE: Ycs. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So we now have all the criteria are consistent; is that correct? MR. MacCARTEE: Ycs. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. All right. Go ahead, Mr. Page. You had another document you wanted to MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, only if there were any questions regarding that, I did have one other document that had some additional counts on it, but I think at this point it's probably moot. THE CHAIRPERSON: It will be accepted in. And they're going to amend the findings.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, hearing that, then, we would again suggest that the applicant here would accept all of the findings of fact from the staff as amended this morning. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Thank you, sir. Don't have anyone signed up to speak, but I will ask: Is there anyone from the public that wants to speak on this case? (No response.) THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Hearing none, then we will close the public comment portion of the meeting. Board members, sometimes when we have all the criteria met, we accept the staff's findings. We can do that in this case, if you'd
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	evidence, did you say that the staff is going to amend their findings so that it is consistent? MR. MacCARTEE: Ycs. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So we now have all the criteria are consistent; is that correct? MR. MacCARTEE: Ycs. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. All right. Go ahead, Mr. Page. You had another document you wanted to MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, only if there were any questions regarding that, I did have one other document that had some additional counts on it, but I think at this point it's probably moot. THE CHAIRPERSON: It will be accepted in. And they're going to amend the findings. MR. PAGE: There's no need to.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, hearing that, then, we would again suggest that the applicant here would accept all of the findings of fact from the staff as amended this morning. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Thank you, sir. Don't have anyone signed up to speak, but I will ask: Is there anyone from the public that wants to speak on this case? (No response.) THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Hearing none, then we will close the public comment portion of the meeting. Board members, sometimes when we have all the criteria met, we accept the staff's findings. We can do that in this case, if you'd like to move forward.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 evidence, did you say that the staff is going to amend their findings so that it is consistent? MR. MacCARTEE: Ycs. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So we now have all the criteria are consistent; is that correct? MR. MacCARTEE: Yes. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. All right. Go ahead, Mr. Page. You had another document you wanted to MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, only if there were any questions regarding that, I did have one other document that had some additional counts on it, but I think at this point it's probably moot. THE CHAIRPERSON: It will be accepted in. And they're going to amend the findings. MR. PAGE: There's no need to. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, hearing that, then, we would again suggest that the applicant here would accept all of the findings of fact from the staff as amended this morning. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Thank you, sir. Don't have anyone signed up to speak, but I will ask: Is there anyone from the public that wants to speak on this case? (No response.) THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Hearing none, then we will close the public comment portion of the meeting. Board members, sometimes when we have all the criteria met, we accept the staff's findings. We can do that in this case, if you'd like to move forward. MR. PYLE: I will move.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 evidence, did you say that the staff is going to amend their findings so that it is consistent? MR. MacCARTEE: Yes. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So we now have all the criteria are consistent; is that correct? MR. MacCARTEE: Yes. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. All right. Go ahead, Mr. Page. You had another document you wanted to MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, only if there were any questions regarding that, I did have one other document that had some additional counts on it, but I think at this point it's probably moot. THE CHAIRPERSON: It will be accepted in. And they're going to amend the findings. MR. PAGE: There's no need to. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. MR. PAGE: Tbat's all. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, hearing that, then, we would again suggest that the applicant here would accept all of the findings of fact from the staff as amended this morning. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Thank you, sir. Don't have anyone signed up to speak, but I will ask: Is there anyone from the public that wants to speak on this case? (No response.) THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Hearing none, then we will close the public comment portion of the meeting. Board members, sometimes when we have all the criteria met, we accept the staff's findings. We can do that in this case, if you'd like to move forward. MR. PYLE: I will move. THE CHAIRPERSON: The Chair will entertain a motion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	evidence, did you say that the staff is going to amend their findings so that it is consistent? MR. MacCARTEE: Yes. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So we now have all the criteria are consistent; is that correct? MR. MacCARTEE: Yes. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. All right. Go ahead, Mr. Page. You had another document you wanted to MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, only if there were any questions regarding that, I did have one other document that had some additional counts on it, but I think at this point it's probably moot. THE CHAIRPERSON: It will be accepted in. And they're going to amend the findings. MR. PAGE: There's no need to. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. MR. PAGE: Tbat's all. THE CHAIRPERSON: Anything else?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, hearing that, then, we would again suggest that the applicant here would accept all of the findings of fact from the staff as amended this morning. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Thank you, sir. Don't have anyone signed up to speak, but I will ask: Is there anyone from the public that wants to speak on this case? (No response.) THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Hearing none, then we will close the public comment portion of the meeting. Board members, sometimes when we have all the criteria met, we accept the staff's findings. We can do that in this case, if you'd like to move forward. MR. PYLE: I will move. THE CHAIRPERSON: The Chair will entertain a motion. MR. PYLE: I will move we proceed with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	evidence, did you say that the staff is going to amend their findings so that it is consistent? MR. MacCARTEE: Yes. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So we now have all the criteria are consistent; is that correct? MR. MacCARTEE: Yes. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. All right. Go ahead, Mr. Page. You had another document you wanted to MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, only if there were any questions regarding that, I did have one other document that had some additional counts on it, but I think at this point it's probably moot. THE CHAIRPERSON: It will be accepted in. And they're going to amend the findings. MR. PAGE: There's no need to. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. MR. PAGE: Tbat's all. THE CHAIRPERSON: Anything else? MR. MacCARTEE: We would just like to go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, hearing that, then, we would again suggest that the applicant here would accept all of the findings of fact from the staff as amended this morning. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Thank you, sir. Don't have anyone signed up to speak, but I will ask: Is there anyone from the public that wants to speak on this case? (No response.) THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Hearing none, then we will close the public comment portion of the meeting. Board members, sometimes when we have all the criteria met, we accept the staff's findings. We can do that in this case, if you'd like to move forward. MR. PYLE: I will move. THE CHAIRPERSON: The Chair will entertain a motion. MR. PYLE: I will move we proceed with Z-2016-13 staff findings for approval.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 evidence, did you say that the staff is going to amend their findings so that it is consistent? MR. MacCARTEE: Yes. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So we now have all the criteria are consistent; is that correct? MR. MacCARTEE: Yes. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. All right. Go ahead, Mr. Page. You had another document you wanted to MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, only if there were any questions regarding that, I did have one other document that had some additional counts on it, but I think at this point it's probably moot. THE CHAIRPERSON: It will be accepted in. And they're going to amend the findings. MR. PAGE: There's no need to. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. MR. PAGE: Thea's all. THE CHAIRPERSON: Anything else? MR. MacCARTEE: We would just like to go on the record that staff is going to amend their 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. PAGE: Mr. Chairman, hearing that, then, we would again suggest that the applicant here would accept all of the findings of fact from the staff as amended this morning. THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Thank you, sir. Don't have anyone signed up to speak, but I will ask: Is there anyone from the public that wants to speak on this case? (No response.) THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Hearing none, then we will close the public comment portion of the meeting. Board members, sometimes when we have all the criteria met, we accept the staff's findings. We can do that in this case, if you'd like to move forward. MR. PYLE: I will move. THE CHAIRPERSON: The Chair will entertain a motion. MR. PYLE: I will move we proceed with

Pages 17 to 18

	Page 17		Page 18
1	THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Thank you,	1	
2	sir.	2	
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: Second, as amended;	3	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
4	correct?	4	
5	MR. TATE: Yes, second.	6	STATE OF FLORIDA)
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: Seconded.	Ŭ)
7	Any further discussion?	7	COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA)
8	(No response.)	8	
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: All those in favor, say	9	I, DAVID A. DEIK, CP, CPE, Professional Court
10	"aye."	10	Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did
11	(Chorus of ayes.)	11 12	stenographically report the foregoing Escambia County
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: Opposed?	13	Planning Board proceedings; and that the transcript is a true record of the proceedings contained herein.
13	(No response.)	14	I further certify that I am not a relative,
14 15	THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Motion	15	employee, attorney, or counsel to any of the parties,
15 16	carried. All right. Thank you, Mr. Page.	16	nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
10	(Hearing concluded at 11:36 a.m.)	17	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
18		18	financially interested in the action.
18 19		19 20	
20		21	
20		22	
21		23	s/ David A. Deik
23			
24		24	DAVID A. DEIK, CP, CPE
25		25	Professional Court Reporter
20		25	

Planning Board-Rezoning

7. D.

Meeting Date:	12/06/2016
CASE :	Z-2016-13
APPLICANT:	Wiley C. "Buddy" Page, Agent for Shaun Romero, Owner
ADDRESS:	6013 Hilburn Road
PROPERTY REF. NO.:	29-1S-30-1504-000-000
FUTURE LAND USE:	MU-U, Mixed-Use Urban
DISTRICT:	3
OVERLAY DISTRICT:	Oakfield
BCC MEETING DATE:	01/05/2017

SUBMISSION DATA: REQUESTED REZONING:

FROM: HDR, High Density Residential district (18 du/acre)

TO: Com, Commercial district (25 du/acre, lodging unit density not limited by zoning)

RELEVANT AUTHORITY:

- (1) Escambia County Comprehensive Plan
- (2) Escambia County Land Development Code

(3) Board of County Commissioners of Brevard County v. Snyder, 627 So. 2d 469 (Fla. 1993)

(4) Resolution 96-34 (Quasi-judicial Proceedings)

(5) Resolution 96-13 (Ex-parte Communications)

APPROVAL CONDITIONS

Criterion a., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Consistent with Comprehensive Plan

Whether the proposed rezoning is consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan and not in conflict with any of the plan provisions.

CPP FLU 1.3.1 Future Land Use Categories. The Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U) Future Land Use (FLU) category is intended for an intense mix of residential and nonresidential uses while promoting compatible infill development and the separation of urban and suburban land uses within the category as a whole. Range of allowable uses include: Residential, Retail and Services, Professional Office, Light Industrial, Recreational Facilities, Public and Civic. The maximum residential density is 25 dwelling units per acre.

FLU 1.5.1 New Development and Redevelopment in Built Areas. To promote the efficient use of existing public roads, utilities, and service infrastructure, the County will encourage the redevelopment in underutilized properties to maximize development densities and intensities located in the MU-S, MU-U, Commercial, and Industrial Future Land Use categories (with the exception of residential development).

FLU 2.1.1 Infrastructure Capacities. Urban uses will be concentrated in the urbanized areas with the most intense development permitted in the Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U) areas and areas with sufficient central water and sewer system capacity to accommodate higher density development. Land use densities may be increased through Comprehensive Plan amendments. This policy is intended to direct higher density urban uses to those areas with infrastructure capacities sufficient to meet demands and to those areas with capacities in excess of current or projected demand. Septic systems remain allowed through Florida Health Department permits where central sewer is not available.

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment to Commercial **is consistent** with the intent and purpose of Future Land Use category MU-U as stated in CPP FLU 1.3.1. The Future Land Use of Mixed-Use Urban allows for a mix of residential and retail services. The property **would promote** good efficient use of existing public roads and an underutilized property that would conform with CPP FLU 1.5.1.

Per FLU 2.1.1 the uses will be concentrated in the urbanized areas with the most intense development permitted in the Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U) areas.

Sufficient central water and sewer system capacity to accommodate higher density development will be reviewed during the site plan review process.

Criterion b., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Consistent with The Land Development Code

Whether the proposed amendment is in conflict with any portion of this Code, and is consistent with the stated purpose and intent of this Code.

Sec. 3-2.8 High Density Residential district (HDR).

(a) Purpose. The High Density Residential (HDR) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for residential uses at high densities within urban areas. The primary intent of the district is to provide for residential neighborhood development in an efficient urban pattern of well-connected streets and at greater dwelling unit density and diversity than the Medium Density Residential district. Residential uses within the HDR district include most forms of single-family, two-family and multi-family dwellings. Non-residential uses within the district are limited to those that are compatible with urban residential neighborhoods.

Sec. 3-2.10 Commercial district (Com).

(a) Purpose. The Commercial (Com) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for general commercial activities, especially the retailing of commodities and services. The primary intent of the district is to allow more diverse and intense commercial uses than the neighborhood commercial allowed within the mixed-use districts. To maintain compatibility with surrounding uses, all commercial operations within the Commercial district are limited to the confines of buildings and not allowed to produce undesirable effects on surrounding property. To retain adequate area for commercial activities, new and expanded residential development within the district is limited, consistent with the Commercial (C) future land use category.

(e) Location criteria. All new non-residential uses proposed within the Commercial district that are not part of a planned unit development or not identified as exempt by the district shall be on parcels that satisfy at least one of the following location criteria:

(1) Proximity to intersection. Along an arterial or collector street and within one-quarter mile of its intersection with an arterial street.

(2) Proximity to traffic generator. Along an arterial or collector street and within a one-quarter mile radius of an individual traffic generator of more than 600 daily trips, such as an apartment complex, military base, college campus, hospital, shopping mall or similar generator.
(3) Infill development. Along an arterial or collector street, in an area where already established non-residential uses are otherwise consistent with the Commercial district, and where the new use would constitute infill development of similar intensity as the conforming development on surrounding parcels. Additionally, the location would promote compact development and not contribute to or promote strip commercial development.

(4) Site design. Along an arterial or collector street, no more than one-half mile from its intersection with an arterial or collector street, not abutting a single-family residential zoning district (RR, LDR or MDR), and all of the following site design conditions:

a. Any Intrusion into a recorded subdivision is limited to a corner lot.
b. A system of service roads or shared access is provided to the maximum extent made feasible by lot area, shape, ownership patterns, and site and street characteristics.

c. Adverse impacts to any adjoining residential uses are minimized by placing the more intensive elements of the use, such as solid waste dumpsters and truck loading/unloading areas, furthest from the residential uses.

(5) Documented compatibility. A compatibility analysis prepared by the applicant provides competent substantial evidence of unique circumstances regarding the potential uses of parcel that were not anticipated by the alternative criteria, and the proposed use, or rezoning as applicable, will be able to achieve long-term compatibility with existing and potential uses. Additionally, the following conditions exist:

a. The parcel has not been rezoned by the landowner from the mixed-use, commercial, or industrial zoning assigned by the county.

b. If the parcel is within a county redevelopment district, the use will be consistent with the district's adopted redevelopment plan, as reviewed and recommended by the Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA).

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment **is not consistent** with the intent and purpose of the Land Development Code. The applicant did not provide a compatibility analysis.

The following language is from an excerpt from the interoffice memorandum comments provided by the County's Transportation and Traffic Operations (TTO) Division staff: Z-2016-13 – Burgess Rd is currently programmed for right-of-way acquisition in the FL-AL Long Range Transportation Plan. Existing right-of-way is sufficient for the proposed four lane expansion of Burgess Rd.

Criterion c., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Compatible with surrounding uses

Whether all land uses, development activities, and conditions allowed by the proposed zoning are compatible with the surrounding conforming uses, activities and conditions and are able to coexist in relative proximity to them in a stable fashion over time such that no use, activity, or condition negatively impacts another. The appropriateness of the rezoning is not limited to any specific use that may be proposed but is evident for all permitted uses of the requested zoning.

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment **is compatible** with surrounding existing uses in the area. Within the 500' radius impact area, staff observed properties with zoning districts MDR, HDR, and Com. These uses include vacant commercial, existing commercial, and single and multi-family residence in the area.

Criterion d., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Changed conditions

Whether the area to which the proposed rezoning would apply has changed, or is changing, to such a degree that it is in the public interest to encourage new uses, density, or intensity in the area through rezoning.

FINDINGS

Staff found **no changed** conditions that would impact the amendment or property. Staff found case Z-2004-42 at 190 Creighton Road, approved 12/09/2004 for rezoning from R-4 to C-1. Staff also found case Z-2005-58 at 473 Allen Court, approved 01/05/2006 for rezoning from R-4 to C-1.

Criterion e., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Development patterns

Whether the proposed rezoning would contribute to or result in a logical and orderly development pattern.

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment **would result** in a logical and orderly development pattern. The site is surrounded by Com zoning to the north and east of the subject property. The approval of the rezoning will contribute to the establishment of Commercial uses that would compliment the other Commercial zoned properties in the area.

Criterion (f) LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4) Effect on natural environment

Whether the proposed rezoning would increase the probability of any significant adverse impacts on the natural environment.

FINDINGS

According to the National Wetland Inventory, wetlands and hydric soils **were not** indicated on the subject property. When applicable, further review during the Site Plan Review process will be necessary to determine if there would be any significant adverse impact on the natural environment.

Attachments

<u>Z-2016-13</u>



























Wiley C. "Buddy" Page, MPA, APA PROFESSIONAL GROWTH MANAGEMENT SERVICES. LLC 5337 Hamilton Lane • Pace, Florida 32571 CELL (850) 232-9853 budpage I©att net

October 27, 2016 VIA HAND DELIVERY

Mr. Horace Jones, Director Development Services Department 1363 Park Place Central Complex Pensacola, Florida 32505

RE: Rezoning Application Request 6013 Hilburn Road Property Parcel 29-1S-30-1504-000-000 Request: HDR to Com,

Dear Mr. Jones:

Please find our attached application package requesting Planning Board consideration to change the referenced property zoning category from HDR to Com commercial. The property is located at the southwest corner of Hilburn Road and Creighton Road just west of I-110 and University Mall.

Creighton Road serves as an east west traffic corridor for traffic leaving the University Mall area and all west bound traffic passes in front of this property. FDOT has counted some 9,500 trips per day at this property (see attached FDOT map) in large part due to the close proximity to the mall, suggesting that it meets location criteria requirements.

This request is consistent with provisions within the Comprehensive Plan and the Land Development Code. The existing FLU of Mixed Use Urban allows Commercial zoning.

The requested Commercial category will be compatible with surrounding existing zoning including Commercial to the east and north and west HDR on both the north and south sides of the property.

No changed conditions were observed in the area, and the property is largely high and dry suggesting development will have little or no effect on the natural environment. Existing development patterns contain a mixture or zoning categories and uses suggesting the requested Commercial classification will be consistent with existing development patterns.

Please contact me if you require additional information.

Sincerely yours,

ZONING . LAND USE . LITIGATION SUPPORT

4. <u>Please complete the following Forms: Concurrency Determination Acknowledgement</u> and Affidavit of Owner/Limited Power of Attorney (if applicable).

CONCURRENCY DETERMINATION ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Property Reference N	umber(s):	2915301504000000				
Property Address:	6013	Hilburn	Road	Pensacola	FL.	

I/We acknowledge and agree that no future development for which concurrency of required facilities and services must be certified shall be approved for the subject parcel(s) without the issuance of a certificate of concurrency for the development based on the actual densities and intensities proposed in the future development's permit application.

I/We also acknowledge and agree that approval of a zoning district amendment (rezoning) or Future Land Use Map amendment does not certify, vest, or otherwise guarantee that concurrency of required facilities and services is, or will be, available for any future development of the subject parcels.

I/We further acknowledge and agree that no development for which concurrency must be certified shall be approved unless at least one of the following minimum conditions of the Comprehensive Plan will be met for each facility and service of the County's concurrency management system prior to development approval:

- a. The necessary facilities or services are in place at the time a development permit is issued.
- b. A development permit is issued subject to the condition that the necessary facilities and services will be in place and available to serve the new development at the time of the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.
- c. For parks and recreation facilities and roads, the necessary facilities are under construction at the time the development permit is issued.
- d. For parks and recreation facilities, the necessary facilities are the subject of a binding executed contract for the construction of the facilities at the time the development permit is issued and the agreement requires that facility construction must commence within one year of the issuance of the development permit.
- e. The necessary facilities and services are guaranteed in an enforceable development agreement. An enforceable development agreement may include, but is not limited to, development agreements pursuant to Section 163.3220, F.S., or as amended, or an agreement or development order issued pursuant to Chapter 380, F.S., or as amended. For wastewater, solid waste, potable water, and stormwater facilities, any such agreement will guarantee the necessary facilities and services to be in place and available to serve the new development at the time of the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.
- f. For roads, the necessary facilities needed to serve the development are included in the first three years of the applicable Five-Year Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Work Program or are in place or under actual construction no more than three years after the issuance of a County development order or permit.

I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE THAT I HAVE READ, UNDERSTAND AND AGREE WITH THE ABOVE STATEMENT ON THIS ______ /2 th _____ DAY OF _____ August ____, YEAR OF 2016

0

Signature of Property Owner

8-12-16

Printed Name of Property Owner

Printed Name of Property Owner

Date

Signature of Property Owner

• 5 •

AFFIDAVIT OF OWNER AND LIMITED POWER OF ATTORNEY (if applicable)

As owner of the property located at 6013 Hilburn Rd. Pensacola _ Florida, property reference number(s) 29/530 - 1504-000-000 Ihereby designate Wiley Page (Professional for the sole purpose of completing this application and making Management Growth a presentation to the Planning Board and the Board of County Commissioners to request a rezoning on the above referenced property. This Limited Power of Attorney is granted on this 12th day of_ the year of, 2016, and is effective until the Board of County Commissioners or the Board of Adjustment has rendered a decision on this request and any appeal period has expired. The owner reserves the right to rescind this Limited Power of Attorney at any time with a written, notarized notice to the Development Services Bureau. Agent Name: Email tice Address: ton Phone: 8-12-16 omer ature of Property Owner Printed Name of Property Owner Date Signature of Property Owner Printed Name of Property Owner Date STATE OF COUNTY OF The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this _____ 12 day of 20 16 Sharm Nomen by Personally Known □ OR Produced Identification□. Type of Identification Produced: _ -JAMESW. SCHMING SE Printed Name of Notary Signature of Notar JAMES W. SCHWING, SR. NOTARY PUBLIC LA BAR ROLL NO. 11860 (Notar NOTARY I.D. NO. 35913 JAMES W. SCHWING, SR. NOTARY PUBLIC LA BAR ROLL NO. 11860 NOTARY I.D. NO. 35913

5. Submittal Requirements

- A. _____ Completed application: All applicable areas of the application shall be filled in and submitted to the Planning and Zoning Department, 3363 West Park Place, Pensacola, FL 32505.
- B. _____ Application Fees: To view fees visit the website: <u>http://myescambia.com/business/ds/planning-board</u> or contact us at 595-3547

Note: Fees include all notices and advertisements required for the public hearing and a \$5 technical fee. Payments must be submitted prior to 3 pm of the closing date of acceptance of application. Please make checks payable to Escambia County. MasterCard and Visa are also accepted.

- C. _____ Legal Proof of Ownership (ex: copy of Tax Notice or Warranty Deed) <u>AND</u> a Certified Boundary Survey (Include Corporation/LLC documentation if applicable.)
- D. _____Compatibility Analysis (if applicable): If the subject property does not meet the roadway requirements of Locational Criteria, a compatibility analysis prepared by the applicant is required to provide substantial evidence of unique circumstances regarding the parcel or use that were not anticipated by the alternative criteria. (See "Documented Compatibility" within the request zoning district of the LDC.)
- E. _____ Signed and Notarized Affidavit of Owner/Limited Power of Attorney AND Concurrency Determination Acknowledgement (pages 4 and 5).

By my signature, I hereby certify that:

- I am duly qualified as owner(s) or authorized agent to make such application, this application is of my own choosing, and staff has explained all procedures relating to this request; and
- 2) All information given is accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief, and I understand that deliberate misrepresentation of such information will be grounds for denial or reversal of this application and/or revocation of any approval based upon this application; and
- I understand that there are no guarantees as to the outcome of this request, and that the application fee is non-refundable; and
- 4) I authorize County staff to enter upon the property referenced herein at any reasonable time for purposes of site inspection and authorize placement of a public notice sign(s) on the property referenced herein at a location(s) to be determined by County staff; and
- 5) I ampuare that Public Hearing notices (legal ad and/or postcards) for the request shall be provided by the Development Services Bureau.

Signature of Owner/Agent	Printed Name Owner/Agent	Date 8-12-16
Signature of Owner	Printed Name of Owner	Date
STATE OFCOUNTY was acknowledged before me thisY Personally Known I I OR Produced Identificat	day of agt 20 16, by	The foregoing instrument Them Minner
CANTANES W SCHONE SR.	JAD may W SC or your	8
Signature of Not#OTARY PUBLIC LA BAR ROLL NO. 11860 NOTARY I.D. NO. 35913	Printed Name of Notary	(notary seal)

43 of 85

ACCOUNT NUMBER 03-2080-600		See Bel	ow 06	201020 4	504-000-000	1 .
2015 Real Estate 0118947.0000		See Bel		291530-1	504-000-000	OFFIC
8 - 043529 / 004772 1-103858 3 ROMERO SHAUN PO BOX 9822 NEW IBERIA LA 70562-8823	2		6013 HILE BEG AT N 29 MIN 00 LI HILBUF See Tax F	BURN RD NE COR OF LT 3 S 8 SEC W 830 FT TO RN RD S 4 DEG 27 I Roll for extra legal.	88 DEG W R/W MIN 00	☐ (850) 438 Ext. 32
լուրդուրդուրդություն	աիդրդորդո		TANCO			
KING AUTHORITY M	ILLAGE RATE	AD VALOREN ASSESSED VALU		INTE TAXABLE AMOUNT	TAXES LEVIED)
DUNTY	6.6165	123,500		123,500	817.14	7
JBLIC SCHOOLS / Local Board	2.1140	123,500		123,500	261.08	4
State Law	4.9990	123,500		123,500 -	617.38	
ATER MANAGEMENT	0.0378 0.6850	123,500 123,500		123,500 123,500	4.67 84.60	-
S.T.U. LIBRARY	0.3590	123,500		123,500	44.34	RETAIN PORT
						FOI
			÷			RECO
					3	
ESCAMBIA COUNTY TAX COLL	ECTOR * P.O.	BOX 1312 * PENSAC	DLA, FL 32591-1312	1.5	5	5
	OTAL MILLAGE			VALOREM TAXES	1829.21)
CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT				RATE PJ 8-8-	амоинт 5 12.13	PLEA PAY O AMOL SHOW YELLO
RE UESTIONS ON ITEMS IN TH	• * ₂₂	a serie ang	50) 595-4960	PJ 8-8-	12.13	PAY C AMOL SHOW YELLO SHAD
	HIS SECTIO	a serie ang	50) 595-4960 NON-AD VALOREN	A ASSESSMENTS PAY ONE	12.13 .12.13 See reverse side for	PAY C AMOL SHOW YELLC SHAD ARE
RE	HIS SECTION	N ONLY, CALL (8	50) 595-4960 NON-AD VALOREN 1841.34	A ASSESSMENTS PAY ONE AMOUNT	12.13 12.13 12.13 See reverse side for important information	PAY C AMOL SHOW YELLC SHAD ARE
RE JESTIONS ON ITEMS IN TH MBINED TAXES AND ASSESSMENT MOV 30 2015 Dec 31	HIS SECTION	a serie ang	50) 595-4960 NON-AD VALOREN	A ASSESSMENTS PAY ONE	12.13 .12.13 See reverse side for	AMOL SHAD ARE
RE JESTIONS ON ITEMS IN TH IBINED TAXES AND ASSESSMENT MOV 30 2015 Dec 31	HIS SECTION s	N ONLY, CALL (8	50) 595-4960 NON-AD VALOREN 1841.34 Feb 29 2016	MASSESSMENTS PAY ONE AMOUNT Mar 31 2016	12.13 12.13 12.13 See reverse side for important information Apr 30 2016	AMOL SHAD ARE
RE JESTIONS ON ITEMS IN TH IBINED TAXES AND ASSESSMENT MOV 30 2015 Dec 31	HIS SECTION s	N ONLY, CALL (8	50) 595-4960 NON-AD VALOREN 1841.34 Feb 29 2016	MASSESSMENTS PAY ONE AMOUNT Mar 31 2016	12.13 12.13 12.13 See reverse side for important information Apr 30 2016	AMOL SHAD ARE
RE JESTIONS ON ITEMS IN TH IBINED TAXES AND ASSESSMENT MOV 30 2015 \$ 1767.69 Dec 31 \$ 17	HIS SECTION s	N ONLY, CALL (8	50) 595-4960 NON-AD VALOREN 1841.34 Feb 29 2016	MASSESSMENTS PAY ONE AMOUNT Mar 31 2016	12.13 12.13 12.13 See reverse side for important information Apr 30 2016	AMOL SHAD ARE
RE JESTIONS ON ITEMS IN TH IBINED TAXES AND ASSESSMENT MOV 30 2015 Dec 31	HIS SECTION s	N ONLY, CALL (8	50) 595-4960 NON-AD VALOREN 1841.34 Feb 29 2016	MASSESSMENTS PAY ONE AMOUNT Mar 31 2016	12.13 12.13 12.13 See reverse side for important information Apr 30 2016	AMOL SHAD ARE
RE JESTIONS ON ITEMS IN TH IBINED TAXES AND ASSESSMENT MOV 30 2015 \$ 1767.69 Dec 31 \$ 17	HIS SECTION s	N ONLY, CALL (8	50) 595-4960 NON-AD VALOREN 1841.34 Feb 29 2016	MASSESSMENTS PAY ONE AMOUNT Mar 31 2016	12.13 12.13 12.13 See reverse side for important information Apr 30 2016	AMOL SHAD ARE
RE JESTIONS ON ITEMS IN TH IBINED TAXES AND ASSESSMENT MOV 30 2015 \$ 1767.69 Dec 31 \$ 17	HIS SECTION s	N ONLY, CALL (8	50) 595-4960 NON-AD VALOREN 1841.34 Feb 29 2016	MASSESSMENTS PAY ONE AMOUNT Mar 31 2016	12.13 12.13 12.13 See reverse side for important information Apr 30 2016	AMOL SHAD ARE
RE JESTIONS ON ITEMS IN TH ABINED TAXES AND ASSESSMENT MOV 30 2015 \$ 1767.69 Dec 31 \$ 17	HIS SECTION s	N ONLY, CALL (8	50) 595-4960 NON-AD VALOREN 1841.34 Feb 29 2016	MASSESSMENTS PAY ONE AMOUNT Mar 31 2016	12.13 12.13 12.13 See reverse side for important information Apr 30 2016	PAY C AMOL SHOW YELLC SHAD ARE
RE JESTIONS ON ITEMS IN TH IBINED TAXES AND ASSESSMENT ADV 30 2015 \$ 1767.69 Dec 31 \$ 17	HIS SECTION s	N ONLY, CALL (8	50) 595-4960 NON-AD VALOREN 1841.34 Feb 29 2016	MASSESSMENTS PAY ONE AMOUNT Mar 31 2016	12.13 12.13 See reverse side for important information Apr 30 2016 \$ 1896.58	AMOL SHAD ARE
RE JESTIONS ON ITEMS IN TH MBINED TAXES AND ASSESSMENT \$1767.69 Dec 31 \$1767.69 \$17	HIS SECTION s	N ONLY, CALL (8	50) 595-4960 NON-AD VALOREN 1841.34 Feb 29 2016	MASSESSMENTS PAY ONE AMOUNT Mar 31 2016	12.13 12.13 12.13 See reverse side for important information Apr 30 2016	AMOL SHAD ARE
RE JESTIONS ON ITEMS IN TH MBINED TAXES AND ASSESSMENT \$1767.69 Dec 31 \$17	HIS SECTION s	N ONLY, CALL (8	50) 595-4960 NON-AD VALOREN 1841.34 Feb 29 2016	MASSESSMENTS PAY ONE AMOUNT Mar 31 2016	12.13 12.13 See reverse side for important information Apr 30 2016 \$ 1896.58	AMOL SHAD ARE
RE JESTIONS ON ITEMS IN TH ABINED TAXES AND ASSESSMENT \$1767.69 Dec 31 \$1767.69 \$17	HIS SECTION s	N ONLY, CALL (8	50) 595-4960 NON-AD VALOREN 1841.34 Feb 29 2016	MASSESSMENTS PAY ONE AMOUNT Mar 31 2016	12.13 12.13 See reverse side for important information Apr 30 2016 \$ 1896.58	AMOL SHAD ARE
RE JESTIONS ON ITEMS IN TH IBINED TAXES AND ASSESSMENT ADV 30 2015 \$ 1767.69 Dec 31 \$ 17	HIS SECTION s	N ONLY, CALL (8	50) 595-4960 NON-AD VALOREN 1841.34 Feb 29 2016	MASSESSMENTS PAY ONE AMOUNT Mar 31 2016	12.13 12.13 See reverse side for important information Apr 30 2016 \$ 1896.58	AMOL SHAD ARE
RE JESTIONS ON ITEMS IN TH IBINED TAXES AND ASSESSMENT ADV 30 2015 \$ 1767.69 Dec 31 \$ 17	HIS SECTION s	N ONLY, CALL (8	50) 595-4960 NON-AD VALOREN 1841.34 Feb 29 2016	MASSESSMENTS PAY ONE AMOUNT Mar 31 2016	12.13 12.13 See reverse side for important information Apr 30 2016 \$ 1896.58	AMOU SHOW YELL SHAE ARE
RE INSERTIONS ON ITEMS IN TH INSINED TAXES AND ASSESSMENT Arov 30 2015 \$ 1767.69 Dec 31 \$ 17	HIS SECTION s	N ONLY, CALL (8	50) 595-4960 NON-AD VALOREN 1841.34 Feb 29 2016	MASSESSMENTS PAY ONE AMOUNT Mar 31 2016	12.13 12.13 See reverse side for important information Apr 30 2016 \$ 1896.58	AMOU SHOW YELL SHAE ARE
RE JESTIONS ON ITEMS IN TH MBINED TAXES AND ASSESSMENT 00v 30 2015 Dec 31 \$ 1767.69 Dec 31 \$ 17	HIS SECTION s	N ONLY, CALL (8	50) 595-4960 NON-AD VALOREN 1841.34 Feb 29 2016	MASSESSMENTS PAY ONE AMOUNT Mar 31 2016	12.13 12.13 See reverse side for important information Apr 30 2016 \$ 1896.58	AMOL SHAD ARE



	REVISIONS	FIELD BOOK	DATE		SUI
CIDA	1.) BOUNDARY & IMPROVEMENTS	176/32	10/18/16	Station of the second	I HEREBY CER LAND SURVEYE THAT THIS SU FLORIDA ADMINI
	ENCROACHMENTS: FENCES		بېلې مړينې د روسه		PROFESSIONAL S
				and and the second second	

POINT OF COMMENCEMENT 1' TIRON FIPE AT THE NORTHEAST COMMEN LOT 3, SECTION 299 TOWNSHIP 1 SOUTH, FANGE 30 WEST ESCAMBLA CONTRY, FLORED 199) POINT OF COMMENCEMENT USA BOOK 4473, PAGE 0199) POINT OF COMMENCEMENT NOT FOUND NOT FOUND POINT OF COMMENCEMENT NOT FOUND POINT OF COMMENCEMENT POINT OF COMMENT POINT OF COMMENT POIN	CLIENT ROMERO	SOURCE OF INFORMATION: Plat = (P) Papropriate land area. Public Records of said County. SOURCE OF INFORMATION: FIELD EVIDENCE PLAT RECORDED IN:
RED LIGHT POLE OVER ROAD 2 ' CURE GUTTER POINT OF BEGINNING (0.R. BOOK 4473, PAGE 0199) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	BEARING BASIS SOUTH PROPERTY LINE S88°29'00"W (D)	d unless shown on drawing. structures were not located unless otherwise noted. ed and Actual unless otherwise noted: $Deed = (D)$; Actual Field Measurement = (F) ; Plat Dited States standards. The accuracy shown meet the standards required in the appperformed by this firm and lands shown hereon were not abstracted by this firm for osubject to setbacks, easements, zoning, and restrictions that may be found in the Publ
THE UNDERSIGNED CLIENT(S) ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT AND ACCEPTANCE OF THIS SURVEY: SHAUN M. ROMERO THE PURPOSE OF THIS SURVEY IS FOR TITLE TRANSACTION AND ITS ACCOMPANYING MORTGAGE. THIS MAP IS CERTIFIED AS MEETING THE FLORIDA MINIMUM TECHNICAL STANDARDS TO THE FOLLOWING AND IS FOR THE BENEFIT OF ONLY THE FOLLOWING LISTED CLIENT(S), AGENT(S) SHAUN M. ROMERO SIGNATURE AND THE ORIGINAL RAISED SEAL OF A FLORIDA LICENSED SURVEYOR AND MAPP TO THE SURVEY SHOWN HEREON IS A TRUE AND CORRECT REPRESENTATION OF THE ERTIFY: THAT THE SURVEY SHOWN HEREON IS A TRUE AND CORRECT REPRESENTATION OF THE		GENERAL NOTES: 1. Fence locations as drawn are not to scale. 2. Jurisdiction (Wetlands) boundary lines not located un 3. Footings, foundations, or any other subsurface stru 4. All bearings and/or angles and distances are Deed a 5. All measurements were made in accordance with Un 6. No Title Search of the Public Records has been perf or right-of-ways. The parcel shown hereon may be sul
EYED; THAT THIS SURVEY WAS COMPLETED UNDER MY RESPONSIBLE DIRECTION AND SUPERVISION; SURVEY MEETS OR EXCEEDS THE MINIMUM TECHNICAL STANDARDS AS SET FORTH BY RULE 61G17 UNISTRATIVE CODE: THAT THIS SUPPEY ALSO COMPLEES WITH CHARTERS 177 AND 479 FLORIDA STATUTES	SCALE: FIELD DATE ORDER NO:_ FIELD BOOK	<u>8: 08/02/06</u> 382-06-1



BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

- TO: Andrew Holmer, Development Services Manager Development Services Department
- FROM: Tommy Brown, Transportation Planner Transportation & Traffic Operations Division
- THRU: David Forte, Division Manager Transportation & Traffic Operations Division
- DATE: November 9, 2016
- RE: Transportation & Traffic Operations (TTO) Comments

TTO Staff has reviewed the agenda for the upcoming Planning Board meeting scheduled for December 6, 2016. Please see staff comments below:

• Z-2016-13 – Burgess Rd is currently programmed for right-of-way acquisition in the FL-AL Long Range Transportation Plan. Existing right-of-way is sufficient for the proposed four lane expansion of Burgess Rd.

Please note that TTO's review is solely based off the application submittal packet, so the comments above hold no bearing on future TTO comments during the Development Review process.

cc: Horace Jones, Development Services Department Director Joy Blackmon, P.E., Public Works Department Director Colby Brown, P.E., Public Works Department Deputy Director COOGLE SHARON BROWN 50% 1142 HARRISON AVE GULF BREEZE, FL 32563

SIMON PROPERTY GROUP L P PO BOX 6120 INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46206

ESCAMBIA COUNTY 221 PALAFOX PL STE 420 PENSACOLA, FL 32502

MILLER GERALD M & CLARA 102 GETTYSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

PERRY RICHARD L PO BOX 9183 PENSACOLA, FL 32513

MANN DOUGLAS L 109 GETTYSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

FUSSELL CAROL JEAN 110 SAVANNAH ST PENSACOLA, FL 32503

MILLER MATTHEW L 111 SOUTHERN ST PENSACOLA, FL 32503

PARSLEY RUSSEL E & MARY E 112 SOUTHERN ST PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BUSCH GEORGE R & 113 SOUTHERN ST PENSACOLA, FL 32503 RIVERWALK CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION INC 4400 BAYOU BLVD # 35 PENSACOLA, FL 32503 SCOTT CITY LLC 905 LARGO DR GULF BREEZE, FL 32561

T L C PROPERTIES INC 1401 N TARRAGONA ST PENSACOLA, FL 32501

CRISTOFOLETTI VICTOR 105 GETTYSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

KOVTUN VICTOR & GALINA 107 SAVANNAH ST PENSACOLA, FL 32503

HAUSFELD DAMON J & TRACY M 6306 ANTIETAM DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

REINEKE MERCEDES O 110 SOUTHERN ST PENSACOLA, FL 32503

SHEFFIELD GLENN H & CYNTHIA G 112 GETTYSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

ESTHER EMPORIUM REAL ESTATE LLC 406 LA RUA LNDG PENSACOLA, FL 32501

SHANNON CARLOS R & JUDY C 114 GETTYSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503 AMMONS WILLIAM S & TATUM 89 MEIGS DR SHALIMAR, FL 32579

BRENTWOOD HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION 8680 SCENIC HWY BOX 18 PENSACOLA, FL 32514 ROBIN'S RIDGE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION 4400 BAYOU BLVD STE 35 PENSACOLA, FL 32503 MARTI DEBBIE C 106 SAVANNAH ST PENSACOLA, FL 32503

EVANS CLINIECE ELAINE 108 GETTSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

MOORE DEBRA GALE & 110 GETTYSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

LOWERY JIMMY C & CLARICE 104 SOUTHERN ST PENSACOLA, FL 32503

WILLIAMS BELIE B & 505 JAMES RIVER RD GULF BREEZE, FL 32561

HARRIS JAMES W & RACHEL S 113 SAVANNAH ST PENSACOLA, FL 32503

WARE JOHN C & NADINE S 114 SAVANNAH ST PENSACOLA, FL 32503 RICKMON JUANITA C TRUSTEE FOR RICKMON JAMES & 114 SOUTHERN ST PENSACOLA, FL 32503 KIGHT JAMES & DALE S 116 GETTYSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BENTON VIVIAN LEE 528 EVENTIDE DR GULF BREEZE, FL 32561

FERGUSON BURR JR & 778 STAPLEFORD TRL PRATTVILLE, AL 36066

PALMER TROY L 240 STARS HOLLOW DRIVE FRANKLIN, NC 28734

HASKINS BONNIE R 1416 AGGIE WAY PENSACOLA, FL 32504

KENNEY CHRISTOPHER D & 1421 AGGIE WAY PENSACOLA, FL 32504

GREENE PAULA S 1428 AGGIE WAY PENSACOLA, FL 32504

GULLEY CINDY 169 HILBURN CT PENSACOLA, FL 32504

CORDOVA COMMUNITY FACILITIES CORP 205 BROOKS ST STE 201 FORT WALTON BEACH, FL 32548 MIKHCHI MEHDI H 6230 N PALAFOX ST PENSACOLA, FL 32503

LUKOWSKI JOHN H & CAROL S 116 SAVANNAH ST PENSACOLA, FL 32503

MILLER ANTHONY C & JANET M 1400 AGGIE WAY PENSACOLA, FL 32504

HUNT TOD & ELIZABETH 1405 AGGIE WAY PENSACOLA, FL 32504

ROY VIRGINIA L 1411 AGGIE WAY PENSACOLA, FL 32504

YOST GEORGE H II 1417 AGGIE WAY PENSACOLA, FL 32504

STRAIN GORDON G 1424 AGGIE WAY PENSACOLA, FL 32504

ROBBELOTH CHRISTOPHER M 1429 AGGIE WAY PENSACOLA, FL 32504

MORRIS ROBERT C 173 HILBURN COURT PENSACOLA, FL 32504

ROBINSON WILLIE P & LINDA D 201 GETTYSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503-7526 WILLIAMS PATRICIA T 115 SAVANNAH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

HOWELL ADRIAN & JULIA 117 GETTYSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

MEAGHER ROBERT J 5711 HOLMES DR FARMINGTON, NM 87401

COWAN GABRIELA A 15 MILLARD CT STERLING, VA 20165

CHARLEY CAROLYN A 1412 AGGIE WAY PENSACOLA, FL 32504

TSAO LAURIE CAO HONG 11005 SALFORD DR LAS VEGAS, NV 89144

ANDERSON CHARLES A 1425 AGGIE WAY PENSACOLA, FL 32504

HUANG LARRY 2512 ROSEDOWN DR CANTONMENT, FL 32533

FLORIDA STATE OF DEPT OF TRANSPORTATION PO BOX 607 CHIPLEY, FL 32428 BARNES DOROTHY L 201 SOUTHERN ST PENSACOLA, FL 32503 PHILLIPS MIKE 202 SOUTHERN DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

CHAPPELL ROBERT F & 204 ROYAL LN PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BARNETTE THOMAS H & 305 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

B&E HOLDINGS LLC 9030 WOODRUN DR PENSACOLA, FL 32514

BRUNSON ED JR 312 E BURGESS PENSACOLA, FL 32503

MARTIN PAUL ERIK 6930 MARSHWOOD CT COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80918

NESTEL ANDREA L 321 HILBURN PL PENSACOLA, FL 32504

YOKOFICH TRENTEN J 329 HILLBURN PL PENSACOLA, FL 32504

GREGORY DAVID A & CHERYL L 333 HILBURN PL PENSACOLA, FL 32504

MILES DAVID SCOTT 337 HILBURN PL PENSACOLA, FL 32504 JERNIGAN JOHN C TRUSTEE 203 GETTYSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

HWANG BRIAN & 204 SOUTHERN DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

COREY CINDIE A 186 CARTER AVE SE ATLANTA, GA 30317

MCLEOD RICHARD D 311 BURGESS RD PENSACOLA, FL 32503

US BANK TRUST NA TRUSTEE FOR 13801 WIRELESS WAY OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73134

BELVIN ERIC D 5418 CLOVER BASIN DR LONGMONT, CO 80503

THOMAS ROBERT B LIFE EST 324 HILBURN PL PENSACOLA, FL 32504

GALITO ANNA G 330 HILBURN PL PENSACOLA, FL 32504

CREIGHTON NEVA W & 334 GETTYSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

JULIEN ARSENIO JAHMAAL 1215 BENNING PL PENSACOLA, FL 32506 CALHOUN JAMES SR & CARRIE D 204 GETTYSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

PATE JERRY TURF SUPPLY INC 301 SCHUBERT AVE PENSACOLA, FL 32504

LOUHIER ROBERT H JR & KATHERINE S 309 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BURNS DIANE M 311 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

MATHISEN GLEN & JOANNE & 2695 DANFORTH TERRACE WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33414

CUSHMAN ROBERT T JR & 318 HILBURN PL PENSACOLA, FL 32504

EHMANN GEORGE 66 MELODY LANE WESTBURY, NY 11590

WILLIAMS CAROLYN F 330 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32504

MEISSNER ANDREA SUSAN 20891 MORINGSIDE DR TRABUCO CANTON, CA 92679

AUTHEMENT JOHN KEVIN 341 HILBURN PL PENSACOLA, FL 32504 MCMILLAN ANGELA 1009 CREIGHTON RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

WHITSON JASON R 350 HILBURN PL PENSACOLA, FL 32504

WHITE SHARMAYNE DENISE PO BOX 2594 PENSACOLA, FL 32513

STEELE MICHAEL J 371 GETTYSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

CLOUD GERALD F & VICTORIA H 381 E BURGESS RD PENSACOLA, FL 32503

LYNCH CHARLES & MARY 386 GETTYSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

KING ALAN D & 395 TREE SWALLOW DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

GRIFFIN EARL JR 399 TREE SWALLOW DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

SCHWARTZ HARRY H 1/4 INT & 1125 N SPRING ST PENSACOLA, FL 32501

JAQUISH DAN 5720 NORTH W ST PENSACOLA, FL 32505 ROBERSON SHARON 344 HILBURN PL PENSACOLA, FL 32504

SIEGLER LEON J & 350 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

WALDROP ROBERT J 361 GETTYSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

ARNOLD EULA ELIZABETH 374 GETTYSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

TURNER RAMONIA 381 GETTYSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

DOUGLAS DIANA MARIE 391 TREE SWALLOW DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

NEAL BRIAN B & TAHYA L 460 LONG ACRE DR BOSSIER CITY, LA 71111-8229

TCIP D LLC 1401 E BELMONT ST PENSACOLA, FL 32501

WARD LAWRENCE R 14670 HWY 84 EVERGREEN, AL 36401

FRARY KEVIN M & MICHELE L 400 SHARPSBURG LOOP PENSACOLA, FL 32503 CROSBY THOMAS R 350 E BURGESS RD PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BROWN JOSEPH W & ESMER L 351 GETTYSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

STOKES CLARENCE H & ROSE M 364 GETTYSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

CROWE EDWARD H & LINDA V 380 E BURGESS RD PENSACOLA, FL 32503

TUGGLE DOROTHY M 4627 CALLE VENTOSO PENSACOLA, FL 32514

MURPHY CHRISTOPHER A 392 TREE SWALLOW DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

NCWPCS MPL 30 YEAR SITES TOWER HOLDINGS LLC 909 CHESTNUT ST RM 36 M 01 SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101 MERKERSON ALTON E & CYNTHIA 603 HILL RD CLARKSVILLE, TN 37040

TWIN LAKES ESTATES 3028 HWY 297A CANTONMENT, FL 32533

GREGORY EARL A JR LIFE EST & 401 SCHUBERT DR PENSACOLA, FL 32504 BOOTH ANNETTE C 401 TWIN LAKES DR PENSACOLA, FL 32504

BARNES DONNIE G TRUSTEE FOR 7388 SHELBY LN PENSACOLA, FL 32526-9136

COX KENNETH D & 406 TREE SWALLOW DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BOOKER PARHAM N JR 9752 QUAIL HOLLOW CT PENSACOLA, FL 32514

RENEAU KIM V 413 SHARPSBURG LOOP PENSACOLA, FL 32503

KING REGINALD & 414 TREE SWALLOW LN PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BAUTER LARRY L & EDNA E 417 TWIN LAKES DR PENSACOLA, FL 32504

ABRAHAM JOY & 419 SHARPSBURG LOOP PENSACOLA, FL 32503

COFFIELD BARRY L 421 TWIN LAKES DR PENSACOLA, FL 32504

PORTER MANUEL 425 TREE SWALLOW DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503 SEELY RICHARD H & 402 TREE SWALLOW DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

TAYLOR ROBERT G 409 TWIN LAKES DR PENSACOLA, FL 32504

FOXWORTH LAWRENCE R 406 TWIN LAKES DR PENSACOLA, FL 32504

GUIDRY JOHN M 410 TWIN LAKES DR PENSACOLA, FL 32504

STREETER DEREK L & 413 TREE SWALLOW DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

MARTIN HOYT W AND RUTH C 416 E SCHUBERT DR PENSACOLA, FL 32504

FOUNTAIN ORDIERES A 418 TREE SWALLOW DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

LEFMANN SHERRI 420 SHARPSBURG LOOP PENSACOLA, FL 32514

UC CHIEN THANG & 422 TREE SWALLOW DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

OWEN VICKI K 425 TWIN LAKES DR PENSACOLA, FL 32504 GILBERT BRITTANY A 403 TREE SWALLOW DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

LEE EDDIE JR & MARSHA R 406 SHARPSBURG LOOP PENSACOLA, FL 32503

COURSEN CYNTHIA L FOUNTAIN 407 SHARPSBURG LOOP PENSACOLA, FL 32503

LEE MICHAEL A 2/3 INT & 413 SCHUBERT DR PENSACOLA, FL 32504-6960

JONES LAWRENCE C JR 413 TWIN LAKES DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

COOK BRANDON 417 TREE SWALLOW DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

ADCOX ANDREW S & 2145 HARBORVIEW DR SUMTER, SC 29153

GILMORE LONNIE H & 421 TREE SWALLOW DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

LUNT CLARK P 606 N MACDILL AVE TAMPA, FL 33609

RICKARD MICHAEL T 426 E BURGESS RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504 MCGLONE KEVIN T & JEANETTE C 4502 MARGARITAS WAY ABILENE, TX 79606-6501

STEWART BARBARA J & 2745 CHICKERING RD PENSACOLA, FL 32514

FRANKLIN FRED D 430 ALLEN CT PENSACOLA, FL 32514

WALDEN MICHAEL W 4714 BRIDGEDALE RD PENSACOLA, FL 32505

JENKINS MCKINLEY C 433 TREE SWALLOW DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

MCCLAIN PAUL L 6354 GAINES CT PENSACOLA, FL 32503

SALTER KENNETH M & 437 TWIN LAKES DR PENSACOLA, FL 32504

ARDT DIANA L 439 HILBURN LN PENSACOLA, FL 32503

TRUONG ERIC & 1318 COUNTRYRIDGE PL ORLANDO, FL 32835-8019

AUSTIN WILLIAM B 441 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503 FOX MATTHEW D 426 TREE SWALLOW DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

AMOLE ADESIJI A & 429 TREE SWALLOW DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

SMITH STEVEN D & 430 EAST BURGESS ROAD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

LIN CHIA TE & HYECHIN S 433 SHARPSBURG LOOP PENSACOLA, FL 32503

KEENAN JAMES P & DIANA C TRUSTEES 433 TWIN LAKES DR PENSACOLA, FL 32504

DALRYMPLE BOBBY 436 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

SCHENCK REBECCA S HOFFMAN 6308 JASON DR MILTON, FL 32570

ROUTZAHN NANCY L TRUSTEE 439 SHARPSBURG LOOP PENSACOLA, FL 32503

ALLEN BERRY R 441 ALLEN CT PENSACOLA, FL 32504

FILS GEORGIA M 441 TWIN LAKES DR PENSACOLA, FL 32504 DULION LEON V III & NELL B 427 SHARPSBURG LOOP PENSACOLA, FL 32503

PIERCE ROSEANNA 5536 TUCKER CIR MILTON, FL 32571-1397

SLAY TERRI G 431 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

GALECKI BENJAMIN E 433 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

SLADE JAMES B IV 3125 WATERVIEW DR MILTON, FL 32583

UMSTEAD MICHAEL W 437 HILBURN LN PENSACOLA, FL 32504

BOBE BALDWIN 3323 BAYOU BLVD PENSACOLA, FL 32503

SARDAM MOSHE 440 E BURGESS RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

HAMMOND JULIUS L & LARISSA 441 HILBURN LN PENSACOLA, FL 32504

BROOKS JANICE MARIE 911 J E BOYD LN PENSACOLA, FL 32534 U AND A REAL ESTATE LLC 6400 LONG ST #31 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

VESTER JOHN G JR 445 SHARPSBURG LOOP PENSACOLA, FL 32503

REID DANIEL D & LORNA M 293 STORER AVE AKRON, OH 44302

GIGGEY SARAH E 450 E BURGESS RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

SOCHER YOTAM 452 E BURGESS RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

CHARLES BENJAMIN J 457 SHARPSBURG LP PENSACOLA, FL 32503

MCLENDON CAROLYN C PO BOX 10362 PENSACOLA, FL 32524-0362

HUBBARD ROBERT L & KATHY K 1401 E GADSDEN ST PENSACOLA, FL 32501

ONDERDONK KIRK N 464 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

CRANE CLEO D & 468 ALLEN CT PENSACOLA, FL 32504 MAINA WILSON M 444 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

PENSACOLA HOMES FOR LESS LLC 6400 LONG STREET SUITE 31 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

BARNHART DAVID 9337 PLUMIERA PL PENSACOLA, FL 32526

SUNBELT HOTEL ENTERPRISES INC 345 PARK AVE NEW YORK, NY 10154

WALDEN MICHAEL W & 455 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

HOLYFIELD YOKO Y 458 E BURGESS RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

NGUYEN PAUL PO BOX 11245 PENSACOLA, FL 32524

BARRETT MICHAEL S & JENNIFER L 5730 SPARKELBERRY LN PENSACOLA, FL 32526

CARR DAVID 5402 BLUE DOG RD PANAMA CITY, FL 32404

BEASLEY JAMES B D & 468 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503 LEE THOMAS & DEBRA K 445 HILBURN LN PENSACOLA, FL 32504

MEDVE MARTIN S 913 GULF BREEZE PKWY ST # 23 GULF BREEZE, FL 32561

GENDREAU KWAI CHUN 449 HILBURN LN PENSACOLA, FL 32504

MCCANTS EDNA 451 SHARPSBURG LOOP PENSACOLA, FL 32503

YCY HOLDINGS LLC 6400 LONG ST STE 31 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

LEWIS ADRIANA M 458 SHARPSBURG LOOP PENSACOLA, FL 32503

HARRIS DONALD W JR 462 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

OUSLEY TERRY L 464 SHARPSBURG LOOP PENSACOLA, FL 32503

TUGWELL VIRGINIA JOHNSON 466 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

OBERTO JENNA 469 SHARPSBURG LOOP PENSACOLA, FL 32503 WEYELS MARTHA E 472 ALLEN CT PENSACOLA, FL 32504

COX JASON 475 SHARPSBURG LOOP PENSACOLA, FL 32503

CLARK JOHN D 481 SHARPSBURG LOOP PENSACOLA, FL 32503

SWINNEY JAMES R & DARYL LEE 5977 BORN DR PENSACOLA, FL 32504

COSTELLO WILL G & SUSAN M 809 N BRINTON AVE DIXON, IL 61021

NAGEM JOEY 3321 HOLT CIR PENSACOLA, FL 32526

MANZANET JOHN 3361 TOMPKINS ST PENSACOLA, FL 32504

KOPEC JOHN A 281 W WIEUCA RD NE ATLANTA, GA 30342

BROWN SCOTT A & LINDA WADE 2332 ARRIVISTE CIR PENSACOLA, FL 32504

BILES LINDA L 501 E BURGESS RD # C4 PENSACOLA, FL 32504 CIRCLE K STORES INC STE M 25 WEST CEDAR ST PENSACOLA, FL 32502

HUGGINS DENNIS & LINDA 480 SHARPSBURG LOOP PENSACOLA, FL 32503

GIROD CHRISTOPHER B 485 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

T B B G INVESTORS INC PO BOX 472 CENTURY, FL 32535

SHAO JANE Y J TRUSTEE 6021 CHAPMAN CIR PENSACOLA, FL 32504

SHAO SHANE & 6051 OTTER POINTE RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

HUANG SYOU-YEN & 501 E BURGESS RD B5 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

HERR MARSHALL F JR 501 E BURGESS RD # C10 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

FADDIS THORUNN H & 1071 KELTON BLVD GULF BREEZE, FL 32563

ROSAS MORGAN J & KELLY 114 MCBRIDE LN CEDAR PARK, TX 78613 PATTON CHRISTOPHER D & TAMI G 457 NIMITZ RD KAILUA, HI 96734

APPLE TEN HOSPITALITY OWNERSHIP INC

814 E MAIN ST

RICHMOND, VA 23219

NF II/CI PENSACOLA LLC

3424 PEACHTREE ROAD NE 2000 MONARCH TOWER

ATLANTA, GA 30326

CARLTON LOREN DANIEL &

500 SHILOH DR

PENSACOLA, FL 32503

COLLEY KALAY 501 E BURGESS RD A3 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

PUENTE EDUARDO & XIMENA 3550 CARABELA LN PACE, FL 32571

KHULLAR HELENE M & 1404 RIDGEWOOD DR LILLIAN, AL 36549

THOMAS LORI D TRUSTEE FOR THOMAS LORI D 2685 E LONGHORN PL CHANDLER, AZ 85286 BONNER DARRYL D & LYNDA G 4513 SEA VISTA CT GULF BREEZE, FL 32563

MCCALLUM JANA 3663 BEHRMAN PL APT 13 NEW ORLEANS, LA 70114 MCGEE MANIE PO BOX 506 DEMOPOLIS, AL 36732

BONNER MARCUS R & 4513 SEA VISTA CT GULF BREEZE, FL 32563

DOWNEY DAVID J 696 RIVER ST HAVERHILL, MA 1832

ERSKINE AARON M 5611 JONES ST MILTON, FL 32570-2324

COSTELLO PAUL & 3341 DUNNING DR PACE, FL 32571

TANAKA GENZO & MIKIKO 11773 GRAZING BUCK LN TALLAHASSEE, FL 32317-8175

BAILOR SHAWN L 501 E BURGESS RD # G-10 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

MCCRANEY JOHN M & 501 E BURGESS RD # G2 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

RHODES JEANNETTE T 501 E BURGESS RD # G5 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

JOSEY MATTHEW & 501 E BURGESS RD # G8 PENSACOLA, FL 32504 CAGLE JAMES M TRUSTEE PO BOX 10161 PENSACOLA, FL 32524-0161

SEAGO CATHY A 9244 BELL RIDGE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32514

ATRIAL SYSTEMS LLC 3063 PELICAN LN PENSACOLA, FL 32514

ALLSOP GARY R 501 EAST BURGESS RD # D8 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

COMBS MARY L 4913 PENNECOTT WAY WESLEY CHAPEL, FL 33544-1803

EDDINS WILLIAM E & 4100 N CAMBRIDGE WAY MILTON, FL 32571-7368

GOLANKA STANLEY R & 2017 HESPERIA WAY PENSACOLA, FL 32505

HAGE MICHAEL C 5650 SWEET BIRCH LN MILTON, FL 32583

STOLIC ELSIE A 380 ROBIN HOOD DR YARDLEY, PA 19067

PACE GLORIA J 501 E BURGESS RD # G9 PENSACOLA, FL 32504 FORTI JOHN G & BRENDA B PO BOX 477 DALEVILLE, AL 36322

SEAGO CATHY J 9244 BELL RIDGE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32526

ECHOLS DENNIS J JR 1020 BUSHWOOD DR CANTONMENT, FL 32533-6404

MOORMAN STARLON R III 3548 RIDDICK DR PENSACOLA, FL 32504-4450

AMERINE DENVER 501 E BURGESS RD E7 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

DEANGELO JOHN & SUSAN 11029 RETRATO CT SAN DIEGO, CA 92124

NGUYEN LUYEN D & JENNY T 1515 STONECLIFF DR MONROEVILLE, PA 15146

OWENS GARY M & 501 E BURGESS RD G4 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

HAGLER WILLIAM R 6305 PEACHTREE DUNWOODY RD ATLANTA, GA 30328-4535

DE BARROS ANA AMARA 501 E BURGESS RD APT H-10 PENSACOLA, FL 32504 ROSAS JOSHUA DANIEL 501 E BURGESS RD APT H11 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

ROSAS MORGAN & PAULINE G 1014 CORONADO DR GULF BREEZE, FL 32563

ZARAHAN EDWARD A TRUSTEE FOR PO BOX 17105 PENSACOLA, FL 32527-7105

PARKER RONALD L LIFE EST & 511 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

LINDHEIM BRUCE L 522 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

HARPER DARRELL D & 534 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

WARDEN STEVEN M 541 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

GOLDHAGEN MARK & BETH 552 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

KOTCH NICHOLAS M 1245 COTTONWOOD AVE STATE COLLEGE, PA 16801

FLEMING RYAN & KARI M 7856 FARR ST DANIEL ISLAND, SC 29492 MASCARENHAS LUCIENE SABINO DE ARAUJO 501 E BURGESS RD H12 PENSACOLA, FL 32507 BURGESS MARK A & TRINA GAY 2116 BROAD ACRES CT VIRGINIA BEACH, VA 23453

WESTERN GATE PROPERTIES INC 2268 LAVISTA AVE PENSACOLA, FL 32504

JESSOP CHRISTOPHER 516 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

MANECKA TOMASZ & DOROTA 523 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

SUKHERA IRFAN H 535 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BARNES SCOTT C 546 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

ORTIZ JUAN A & GAYLE 553 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

SMITH AARON & ERIN CALLAN 20000 OUTPOST POINT DR TAMPA, FL 33647-3560

WOS PROPERTIES LLC PO BOX 422 MILTON, FL 32572 DENNIS ARNOLD G 426 MILESTONE BLVD CANTONMENT, FL 32533

EDDINS WILLIAM E 4100 N CAMBRIDGE WAY PACE, FL 32571

SURGICAL SPECIALISTS LLC 5147 NORTH 9TH AVE STE 103 PENSACOLA, FL 32503

ADDIGA SRINIVAS R 517 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BRUKE LESLIE & 41 VIA DE LUNA DR PENSACOLA BEACH, FL 32561

CHEN ANDY & 540 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

VAN DEN BERG MONICA 547 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

SIMMONS MARROLYN LYNESSA 1933 STONEBRIAR DR APT 211 WESLEY CHAPEL, FL 33544

LEWIS JEANICE & 570 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

CBCC LLC 1800 MCFARLAND BLVD STE 110 TUSCALOOSA, AL 35406 THOMAS CRAIG A 581 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

HORTON FLOYD & DOROTHY C 5975 BORN DR PENSACOLA, FL 32504

FITZI III LLC 1682 VIA DELUNA DR PENSACOLA BEACH, FL 32561

TUCKER THERESA 5983 BORN DR PENSACOLA, FL 32504

NGUYEN TRA & 6055 BORN CT PENSACOLA, FL 32504

WILLS LOUIS E 6000 SARAH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32504

MOULTRY CORNEILUS & 6002 SARAH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32505

PENSACOLA HOMES 4 U LLC 6400 LONG STREET # 31 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

HEMPHILL BRUCE I 3901 NORTH 12TH AVE PENSACOLA, FL 32503

FULFORD RICHARD C & SHARON A 402 BEAR DR GULF BREEZE, FL 32561 PIPER DIANNE H 3828 GLEN PARK DR LITHONIA, GA 30038

BEHN RICHARD 5976 BORN DR PENSACOLA, FL 32504

MITCHELL DAVID B & BONNIE S 16787 PERDIDO KEY DR # E904 PENSACOLA, FL 32507

UNIVERSITY OFFICE LIMITED CO 600 UNIVERSITY OFFICE BLVD STE 10A PENSACOLA, FL 32504

BRANTLEY DONALD S & 4139 LYRIC LN PENSACOLA, FL 32514

WEAD ERIC D 6001 BORN CT PENSACOLA, FL 32504

ROBINS NEST #1 LLC 117 MONARCH LN PENSACOLA, FL 32503

FYM OF FL LLC 3179 LAKE SUZANNE RD CANTONMENT, FL 32533

AMSOUTH BANK OF FLORIDA 70 N BAYLEN ST PENSACOLA, FL 32501

DYER DWIGHT D & MARY M 13824 E BELLEWOOD DR AURORA, CO 80015-1180 GULF COAST CHRISTIAN INC 590 E BURGESS RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

SANDERFER DARSHELL 5978 BORN DR PENSACOLA, FL 32504

B & W LLC 15009 PLUM DR URBANDALE, IA 50323

THORSEN CLARA E 1801 FOULIS DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

OAK KNOLLS ESTATES HOMEOWNERS ASSN INC 7788 LAWTON ST PENSACOLA, FL 32514-6188 FAIRMAN PHILLIP W & 6001 SARAH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

HORNE WILFORD M 6004 SARAH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

WILLS SUSAN 309 SOUTH 61ST AVE PENSACOLA, FL 32506

SHANNON SALLY ANN & 361 BROADTURN RD SCARBOROUGH, ME 4074

WRIGHT MARY CHRISTINE LIFE EST PO BOX 10817 PENSACOLA, FL 32524 CHRISTIAN MILDRED L 601 E BURGESS RD # A6 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

BOZEMAN JEREMY E 8904 BELLINGTON RD PENSACOLA, FL 32534

DRAKE GLEN T & 2730 PEBBLE BEACH DR NAVARRE, FL 32566

PRESLEY SARAH ANNE & 601 E BURGESS RD # B8 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

CANTON RENEA B 3529 RIVIERA-DU-CHIENE MOBILE, AL 36693

MORRIS KAREN MCAFERTY 455 HERON VILLA LN PENSACOLA, FL 32506

SCOFIELD CAROLE D 412 LANDING BLVD INVERNESS, FL 34450-8003

WEBER JAMES M 601 E BURGESS RD # D7 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

CLARK ROBERT T & GLENDA 1111 PARK LN GULF BREEZE, FL 32563

ADAMS RICK M 4885 ASTER ST DAYS CREEK, OR 97428 FILLMORE WARREN M & PO BOX 397 ORANGE BEACH, AL 36561

CELIBERTI FAMILY HOLDINGS LLLP 2219 S CENTRAL AVE FLAGLER BEACH, FL 32136

ZITNIAK CHARLES P & HANNA K 601 E BURGESS RD # B5 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

FLYNN MICHAEL C 3730 LONGSHIP PL ROCKY POINT, FL 33607

MIR SAIRA & 510 SCENIC CT CRESTVIEW, FL 32539

SMITH H NEAL & PEGGY C 5754 VENTURA LN PENSACOLA, FL 32526

CYN SOLUTIONS LLC 109 N PALAFOX ST PENSACOLA, FL 32502

DYE KEN & LYNDA 7 RAINBOW FALLS DR ORMOND BEACH, FL 32174

KRAMMEL MAX 601 E BURGESS RD UNIT E-4 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

PYLE SISTERS TRUST 159 CANOPY COVE FREEPORT, FL 32439 ROGERS W DERALD 601 E BURGESS RD # A8 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

STRADER RUTH E 601 E BURGESS RD # B3 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

MCDONALD RAYMOND A 601 E BURGESS RD #B7 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

SOMMERS RICHARD L & PO BOX 5072 ENGLEWOOD, FL 34224

SMITH BARON P 190 DANVILLE ST CANTONMENT, FL 32533

SCHEIBE MELISSA ANN 601 E BURGESS RD D-2 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

WENZE IRA H II 601 E BURGESS RD # D6 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

BUMGARNER NANETTE S 110 DRUMMOND PL NEW BERN, NC 28562

CHAMBERLAIN ETHEL 601 E BURGESS RD # F1 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

HOUSTON JENNIFER L 1114 WEYBRIDGE CIR PELHAM, AL 35124 SCUDDER MICHAEL JAY PO BOX 42000 MEMPHIS, TN 38174

LEMONS SHARON K 601 E BURGESS RD G1 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

KARRER ALLAN E 398 CITATION DR CANTONMENT, FL 32533

TURNER TOMMY R 601 E BURGESS RD #G7 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

EUMONT ELLEN E 601 E BURGESS RD UNIT #H-2 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

MOORE LAURA KRISTIE 2140 MARIA CIRCLE PENSACOLA, FL 32514

ST CROIX JOSEPH P 601 E BURGESS RD UNIT H-8 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

MANCUSO MICHAEL T 601 E BURGESS RD # I11 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

LOWE SHERI A 9105 ARBOR GLEN LANE CHARLOTTE, NC 28210-7988

GLENN JILL A & 601 E BURGESS RD # 17 PENSACOLA, FL 32504 CLAGUE GREGORY Q & NANCY E 325 HENDRIE BLVD ROYAL OAK, MI 48067

DAVIS SPENCER L 601 E BUGESS RD G2 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

DIETRICH THOMAS F 5696 ABBINGTON LANE MILTON, FL 32583

SMK INVESTMENT PROPERTIES LLC 7512 LAKESIDE DR MILTON, FL 32583

FLAA STEPHEN J & 1 LISA LN CHERRY HILL, NJ 8003

SLAUGHTER CYNTHIA 1306 PRESTWICK CT CHESAPEAKE, VA 23320

WALLEY MARISSA C 4814 RIBAULT LN MILTON, FL 32570

MESSER CHRISTOPHER L 9543 HUMMINGBIRD BLVD PENSACOLA, FL 32514

HAMLETT CAROL 601 E BURGESS RD APT I5 PENSACOLA, FL 32504-6205

FOSTER MARISA L & 3213 S LITUANICA AVE 1F CHICAGO, IL 60608 TAUZIAC CHRISTIAN & 16 HILLBROOK WAY PENSACOLA, FL 32503

DAVIS GLORIA L 601 E BURGESS RD # G3 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

DOLIHITE CHRISTOPHER R 2049 CAHABA CREST DR BIRMINGHAM, AL 32542

MORRIS JOHN S JR & 8853 MARSH ELDER DR PENSACOLA, FL 32526

JOLLY KATHERINE A 601 E BURGESS RD # H4 PENSACOLA, FL 32504-6358

BOSSO STEPHEN C 1200 W GIMBLE ST PENSACOLA, FL 32501

ISAKOVIC ABDEL 4950 NW 140TH ST CHIEFLAND, FL 32626

BLANCHARD DARRELL V & 9542 YARROW CIR PENSACOLA, FL 32514

WATSON SAMUEL M & BRENDA D 601 E BURGESS RD # I6 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

PARKER BRENDA JOYCE 601 E BURGESS RD # 19 PENSACOLA, FL 32504
MCBRIER MICHAEL M PO BOX 15048 PENSACOLA, FL 32514

GARCIA REBECCA P 952 SW CAMPUS DR APT 49B1 FEDERAL WAY, WA 98023

PILEGGI ANTHONY J 601 E BURGESS RD # J5 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

HARGRAVE DAVID & MARY 601 E BURGESS RD # J9 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

WEATHERALL CYNTHIA 601 E BURGESS RD K12 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

WARWICK ANN M 601 E BURGESS RD K2 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

LAUDERDALE ROBERT III 601 E BURGESS RD # K5 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

CARPENTER MARSHALL O III 3140 SONYA ST PACE, FL 32571-9553

DEVITO JOSEFINA 6011 BORN CT PENSACOLA, FL 32504

BOGGS PATRICIA A 6015 BORN CT PENSACOLA, FL 32504 KAHALLEY BARBARA J 601 E BURGESS RD # J-10 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

HARRIS RUSSELL W 601 E BURGERS RD # J3 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

LYONS CAROL F 3110 HYDE PARK PL PENSACOLA, FL 32503

EVELETH WILLIAM L & 601 E BURGESS RD # K1 PENSACOLA, FL 32504-6371

WHITED GREGORY A & 35372 BLACKBERRY LN SLIDELL, LA 70460

KNOCK EVAN & 1717 E STRONG ST PENSACOLA, FL 32501-3459

MILLER DAVID E & TERESA F 1355-A BAKALANE CT PENSACOLA, FL 32504

FORTUNATO MARGARET A 601 E BURGESS RD # K9 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

CARPENTIER SHAUN C 6045 HILBURN RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

ALFORD STEPHANIE LYNN 50% INT 5617 JONES ST MILTON, FL 32570 STILLMAN STUART H & 1035 CORONADO DR GULF BREEZE, FL 32563

HARRIS DAVID L INITIAL TRUSTEE & 1030 CAMBRIDGE DR ONSTED, MI 49265

POPE TANYA L 601 E BURGESS RD # J8 PENSACOLA, FL 32503

WILSON NICHOLAS A 1469 WILKES AVE BILOXI, MS 39530

POTTER JENNIFER F 503 PREAKNESS PLACE SEFFNER, FL 33584

HENRY RENEE S 601 E BURGESS ROAD UNIT K4 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

KNOWLES PERRY & KIM 471 WESTGATE WAY UNIT 8 MARY ESTHER, FL 32569

TRAN VIET T & 601 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

ROMERO SHAUN 105 DARBY LANE NEW IBERIA, LA 70560

TUGWELL WILTON E 33 1/3 INT 305 GREEN OAK RIDGE MARIETTA, GA 30068 LEE ALICIA A 6041 HILBURN RD PENSACOLA, FL 32514

TAN ANGKIM L 1941 CORAL ISLAND ROAD PENSACOLA, FL 32506

SAMUEL WONDWOSSEN 6059 HILBURN RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

DORE CHELSEA M 6063 HILBURN RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

CASSIDY JOHN T LIFE EST 6069 HILBURN RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

JENNETTE DIANE L 1235 CHISOLM TRL PENSACOLA, FL 32514

CARLISLE ROBERT J & 3318 HUNTINGTON CIR NACOGDOCHES, TX 75965

SHAFFER JOHN M IV & TANYA L 2690 SEMORAN DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

JOLLY IRA JR & LELIA M 6091 HILBURN RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

PHILLIPPE BUSINESS ENTERPRISES LLC 2874 GREYSTONE DRIVE PACE, FL 32571 FULL QUIVER INVESTMENTS LP PO BOX 240613 BALLWIN, MO 63024

PHAM DOMINIC V & 6053 HILBURN RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

NESBITT MARCHE C 606 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

PENNINGTON CYNTHIA A 5935 KEYSTONE RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

FARMER MARISIA A GRAYSON 607 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

KONRAD KERRY IRENE BAKER 117 ENGLAND PL HENDERSONVILLE, TN 37075-3269

JEFCOAT DEBBE K 6081 HILBURN RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

SWAYNE LAURA ANNE 6087 HILBURN RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

WHITE PAMELA 6095 HILBURN RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

CONTINENTAL DEVELOPMENT CO PO BOX 110 TUSCON, AZ 85702 HALL HAROLD E 6047 HILBURN RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

JAMES MICKEY R 6057 HILBURN RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

ELIMELECH MAOR 6400 LONG ST APT 31 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

ANDERSON WADE M 1881 WINDHIP DR CORDOVA, TN 38016

CURRY KEVIN S 6071 HILBURN RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

BOYER CHARLES L 6077 HILBURN RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

CARTER BRADFORD J & 2354 TALL OAK DR CANTONMENT, FL 32533

WILLIS JOHN A 6309 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

NEWTON EDINA O 6097 HILBURN RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

LEE ANNE MARIE 6115 HILBURN RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504-6218 LOUISIANA SOUTHERN TIMBER LANDS INC 5 WEEKEWACHEE CIR DESTIN, FL 32541 M & R RIVER CORPORATION 3838 N PALAFOX ST PENSACOLA, FL 32505

RHODES DANIEL R 6103 HILBURN RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

DAILY TIMOTHY & BETHANY A 6105 SARAH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

ALLISON EDGAR L III 6108 VILLAGE OAKS DR PENSACOLA, FL 32504

SHAFFER PATRICK & LINDA S 3072 WHISPERING CREST DR HENDERSON, NV 89052

TAVARES MICHAEL C & 6119 HILBURN RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

BERRIOS LUIS M & BETTY 663 SMITHERS DR REYNOLDSBURG, OH 43068

LUALLEN BRYAN W 619 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

DELARGE DAVID & ETHEL A 6201 SARAH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503 WJJ LLC 301 SCHUBERT DRIVE PENSACOLA, FL 32504

PATE JERRY TURF & 301 SCHUBERT DR PENSACOLA, FL 32504-6958

POTTS CHARLES E & DONNA F 6104 SARAH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

DUERKSEN JAMES F & DRUANNE 6107 HILBURN RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

PORTER VON 6109 HILBURN RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

BRISTER BRANDON D 6113 HILBURN RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

PEREIRA DAMIANDRO C & CHERISH D 612 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

TREDWAY MONTE R 6150 VILLAGE OAKS DR PENSACOLA, FL 32504

MCCORVEY EDWARD A & ANNIE E 6200 SARAH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

HAYES KYLE G 6201 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503 RCG PENSACOLA LLC PO BOX 53483 ATLANTA, GA 30355

SEPE ROBERT J & KIMBERLEY L 6102 SARAH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

JERRALDS JEROME N & 6105 HILBURN RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

CAPSTONE ADAPTIVE LEARNING AND THERAPY CENTERS INC 2912 NORTH E ST PENSACOLA, FL 32501 PAREKH PRADEEP M 754 BOULDER CREEK DR PENSACOLA, FL 32514

WORLOW WESLEY W 6637 AEGEAN DR MILTON, FL 32583

PHAM THANH VAN & 6121 HILBURN RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

NORRIS NORWOOD & CELESTE 3852 LAKE LYNN DR GRETNA, LA 70056

PRESLEY LOLA R 6200 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

DEBELLEVUE BILLIE 1711 N 19TH AVE PENSACOLA, FL 32503 MOBLEY DOYLE C LIFE EST 6202 SARAH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

WU CHUNTA 6203 SARAH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

ROSEMORE ANTHONY A & SANDY L 6204 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

WISE GARY M & 5745 MIFFLIN RD PENSACOLA, FL 32526

HENDERSON CURTIS J & BRENDYL J PO BOX 10326 PENSACOLA, FL 32524

CUPP MARJORIE D 6208 SARAH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

PORTER HENRY O III & 6209 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

JOHNSON FRED D & MICHELLE 6212 CONFEDERATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

WHALEN MARJORIE A LIFE EST 6213 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

ALBERDA MARK D & 6215 SARAH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503 WEEKS DONALD W & 6202 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

MEADOR HARRY K 6203 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BROWN DAVID M 6205 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BRYANT ARCHIE L & ERBIE C 6206 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

MORRIS FRIEDA J LIFE EST 6207 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

JAMES DRUCILLA HENDERSON 6209 CONFEDERATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

GREEN MARY P 6210 SARAH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

DIGANGI JOSEPH A & 6213 CONFEDERATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

WOODS FREDDIE L & WANDA 6214 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

PELLET DAVID & GWENDOLYN D 6215 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503 CRUZ MIRIAM E 6203 CONFEDERATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

ROVIRA ANDRES U & 6204 CONFEDERATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

RENFRO DUSTIN 6206 CONFEDERATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BOCCHINO MARY ELLEN 6207 CONFEDERATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

COOPER JOSEPH D & MARIA C 6208 CONFEDERATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

CUNNINGHAM ANDREW J & 6209 SARAH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

FEIGENBAUM EDWARD H LIFE EST & 6211 SARAH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

ROBBINS WAYNE A & LINDA SUE 6213 SARAH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

STANLEY ANNE W LIFE EST & 6215 CONFEDERATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

DIXON TERRY G & JENNIFER S 6216 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503 HARRIS JERNIGAN JR 6217 SARAH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

GRUBBS RAYMOND D & 6219 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32514

FARLOW F M & 6220 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

WRIGHT CHARLES V TRUSTEE FOR 4904 RANDEE CIR PENSACOLA, FL 32506

GREENE LAWANDA L 6227 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

GREENE JAMES W & CATHERINE E 6230 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

MORRISON THOMAS F & ETSUKO 3379 HOLT CIR PENSACOLA, FL 32526

STOW MARY H TRUSTEE 6237 APPOMATTOX DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

GRAY MICHAEL A & JEAN A 6244 CONFEDERATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

HENDERSON ERIC F & TRACI E 6252 CONFEDERATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503 TAGHON JANICE DIANE MILLER 6217 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

FITZPATRICK THOMAS J 3728 DUNSTAN CT MOBILE, AL 36608

LEIGH ELSIE E LIFE EST 6222 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

GRIMES MARY ANN LIFE EST & 6226 CONFEDERATE DRIVE PENSACOLA, FL 32503

KRUMBEIN JENNIFER LYNN 913 N 8TH AVE PENSACOLA, FL 32501

ASARISI SALVATORE & SARAH N 6231 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

RITTENHOUSE DIANA A 6234 APPOMATTOX DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

ARMSTRONG BARBARA S 624 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BENNETT DAVID L 6247 CONFEDERATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

WENDLETON DAN S & 6253 APPOMATTOX DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BOSSA THOMAS J & PATRICIA J 6218 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

PETTIGREW ANTOINETTE 6220 CONFEDERATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BARRON CATHERINE V 6224 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BLACK BERT T & HELEN S 6226 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BROWN CHRISTOPHER J & 6229 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

CORBIN MARY JUDSON 6232 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

CROSBY JIMMIE S 6235 CONFEDERATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

KIDDER THOMAS M & CYNTHIA K 6242 APPOMATTOX DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

KOVELESKI GARY 625 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

HAIGLER SIBYL YVONNE 6257 CONFEDERATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503 KNIGHT JACQUELINE R 6266 APPOMATTOX DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

CAMPBELL JAMES L 6270 CONFEDERATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

HATCHER JAMES C 6284 CONFEDERATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

PERKO MICHAEL R & 630 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

SOLOMON MARY E 4/120 INT & 7810 CASTLEGATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32534

TOZOUR ALVERNA M 6308 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

GRISSETT BOBBY G & TINA L 6311 VICKSBURG DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

DAILEY WILLIAM 6317 CONFEDERATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

TON LAP 1000 NORTHGATE RD BOSSIER CITY, LA 71112

NOWLING JOSEPH E & VIRGINIA A 6346 APPOMATTOX DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503 DINGLE JEFFREY A LIFE EST 6268 APPOMATTOX DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

TREDWAY MONTE R SR & MIMIVA H 6273 APPOMATTOX DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

SIMMONS DAVID E & JESSIE A 6293 APPOMATTOX DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

MILLER BRUCE L TRUSTEE 5935 REYNOSA DR PENSACOLA, FL 32504

CANO JOSIAS & 6305 PARAKEET TRL PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BRENNAN BENJAMIN M 6309 PARAKEET TRL PENSACOLA, FL 32503

OWENS KATHLEEN A 6312 CONFEDERATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

ARNOLD TONETTE D 6327 MOCKINGBIRD LN PENSACOLA, FL 32503

SEDAKER WILLIE C LIFE EST & 9995 SHANGRI-LA WEST MILTON, FL 32583

WOLFE PHILIP K 6816 OLD BAGDAD HWY MILTON, FL 32583 MCMILLAN GWENDOLYN 6270 APPOMATTOX DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

ARANT SHANNON B 6283 CONFEDERATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BAILEY DONALD S 1/2 INT & 2251 BANQUOS TRL PENSACOLA, FL 32503

GAIDOSH STEPHEN 6396 APPOMATTOX DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

TIDWELL ROBERT T & JULIE 2481 UPPER BIG SPRINGS RD LAGRANGE, GA 30241

LEDOUX MICHAEL 631 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

LOWERY MARY A 6315 APPOMATTOX DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

FRYE EDWARD E SR & LILLIE M 6328 CONFEDERATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

MONTEITH OLEDA MAE 6342 CONFEDERATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

MCGRAW RONALD & BEVERLY R 6355 CONFEDERATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503 PARKER ROBERT C JR 1/6 INT & 5650 CAMBRIDGE WAY #7 CULVER CITY, CA 90230

KEGERREIS RIAN P 6363 APPOMATTOX DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BOCK GEORGE N III & 6370 CONFEDERATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

GORDON CHARLES L & GRETA V 6375 CONFEDERATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

NELSON RUTHVEN P JR 8005 TWIN OAKS DR MCKINNEY, TX 75070

GAIDOSH STEPHEN & 6396 APPOMATTOX DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

HOWELL BRANDON W & 6400 ANTIETAM DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BYRD ROBERT R & NICOLE D 6412 MONITOR CT PENSACOLA, FL 32503

SMITH PATRICIA A 6421 ANTIETAM DR PENSACOLA, FL 32505

LINDSEY DAVID L JR & CINDY L 6441 ANTIETAM DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503 ENNIS JAMES W 3621 WHISPERWOOD CIRCLE MELBOURNE, FL 32901

HEALY BETTY JO LIFE ESTATE 6366 APPOMATTOX DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

ROBINSON MARY LEE 6373 CONFEDERATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

HINDERER HELMUTH E 6377 APPOMATTOX DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

DANNELLEY HOWARD D & LINDA D 6390 APPOMATTOX DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

SOUZA RICHARD F 6396 MANASSAS CT PENSACOLA, FL 32503

ALMANASRAH IBTISAM 6406 ANTIETAM DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

COWAN TERESA M 6414 WHITE OAK DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

PRICE MICKEY & DEBRA 6424 MONITOR CT PENSACOLA, FL 32504

ALMANASRAH AHMAD & 6442 ANTIETAM DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503 WHEELER LORIANN N & 2243 CLIMBING IVY DR TAMPA, FL 33618

GUTENMANN RICHARD K 6368 APPOMATTOX DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BAKER JOHN J & 6374 APPOMATTOX DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BOYD JUDI C 6377 CONFEDERATE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

NICHOLS CHARLIE & JOYCE C 6390 MANASSAS CT PENSACOLA, FL 32503

FEESER DON C 60 BAYBRIDGE DR GULF BREEZE, FL 32561

VISSER ALAN 6410 APPOMATTOX DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

ROBINSON ANDREW J & 642 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

WRIGHT ROBERT & 6430 MONITOR CT PENSACOLA, FL 32503

STURGIS BETTY A 6448 MERRIMAC CT PENSACOLA, FL 32503 HARLEY SARAH E 6454 MERRIMAC CT PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BRASSOW ROBERT W & RUTH A 6466 MERRIMAC CT PENSACOLA, FL 32503

SANTINI MARCOS R 648 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503-7767

CONTRERAS DANILO A & LOURDES D 649 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

JONES JUDITH LORRAINE 6498 BULL RUN CT PENSACOLA, FL 32503

DAO TRANG NGUYEN 1013 BLACK WALNUT TRL PENSACOLA, FL 32514

NANKEY DEAN & 486 OLD 80 RD MUSCODA, WI 53573

LANGHAM JIMMIE D & 6533 WHITE OAK DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

WILLIAMS GEORGE E & 6537 WHITE OAK DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

SAMSHAL SCOTT W & LUCINDA H 6561 ANTIETAM DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503 LANE BENJAMIN C & 6460 MERRIMAC CT PENSACOLA, FL 32503

WILLETTE TERRY W SR & DEBORAH F 6472 ANTIETAM DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

CROSBY JIMMY L 6461 ANTIETAM DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

MULLINS STANFORD & 6490 BULL RUN CT PENSACOLA, FL 32503

YATES STEPHEN W & SHERRY D 6500 ANTIETAM DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

LATTIMER EVA LIFE EST & 6518 WHITE OAK DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BARROW LESTER & WANDA 6523 WHITE OAK DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BARNES JAMES M & 6534 WHITE OAK DR PENSACOLA, FL 32514

CARDONA RAUL 654 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

VILLAR REYES GABRIEL & 6571 ANTIETAM DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503 CROSBY JIM L 6461 ANTIETAM DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

GENTILE LEONARD J 6478 ANTIETAM DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

NCUBE MATOTENG M & CATHY C 6484 BULL RUN CT PENSACOLA, FL 32503

FAIRBANKS BRAD I & NANCY A 6496 BULL RUN CT PENSACOLA, FL 32503

RUIZ JUSTO T & LOURDES M 6510 ANTIETAM DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

RANDERSON MILDRED M 6521 ANTIETAM DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BONELLI SALVATORE A & PRISCILLA H 6532 WHITE OAK DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

WILLIAMS JUSTIN T 6536 WHITE OAK DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

CROSBY JAMES A LIFE EST & 6541 ANTIETAM DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

TIPPINS BEVERLY A 6581 ANTIETAM DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503 ECHAGARRUGA ALICIA 660 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

DIMICK MICHAEL E & MARIA C 6603 WHITE OAK DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

LACEY BRENT W 668 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

MCMULLEN JAMES A & CAROLYN E 6700 WHITE OAK DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503-7572

CORNERSTONE MORTGAGE SOLUTIONS LLC 6702 PLANTATION RD STE C PENSACOLA, FL 32504-6200 GUERNSEY & ASSOCIATES INC 6704 PLANTATION RD STE A PENSACOLA, FL 32504-6253

MOORE ARTHUR JR 6705 WHITE OAK DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

PLNTRD LLC 14 MARILYN CT PARK CITY, UT 84060

SIMPSON DAVID A & 3159 BELLE CHRISTIANE PL PENSACOLA, FL 32503

CHAPPELL BARRY K & RUTH ANN 6808 WHITE OAK DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503 BROWN JAMES C & CONCEPCION C 6600 WHITE OAK DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

LEWIS HENRY F & BARBARA P 6610 WHITE OAK DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

LANDRUM FAMILY PARTNERSHIP LTD 6723 PLANTATION RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

KEIEK PETER & ANN 7217 TWIN LAKES LN PENSACOLA, FL 32504

WEATHERLY GREGORY D II 6702 WHITE OAK DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

NFF WEST LLC 6704 A PLANTATION RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

OGBURN RICHARD H & CHERA B PO BOX 174 POINT CLEAR, AL 36564

SECURITY ENGINEERING OF PENSACOLA INCORPORATED 6708 PLANTATION RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504 MOSTERT GERALD H & 679 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

CARLSON FRED & CORINNE 6809 WHITE OAK DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503 BRE DDR BR TRADEWINDS FL LLC 3300 ENTERPRISE PARKWAY BEACHWOOD, OH 44122

PENDLEY JOHN D & KATHY J 667 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

PLANTATION ROAD TOWNOFFICE PO BOX 11543 PENSACOLA, FL 32524

MAYE KAY BECK 3715 HIDDEN OAK DR PENSACOLA, FL 32514

JONES VIVIAN C 6703 WHITE OAK DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

LITTLE RICHARD M 6704 WHITE OAK DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

MARKS AMIE BOYD TRUSTEE PO BOX 682 SHELBYVILLE, TN 37162

LOGAN DAMION N 673 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

OSBOURNE PAULETTE M 6807 WHITE OAK DR PENSACOLA, FL 32504

SMITH ROGER & 6810 WHITE OAK DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503 HADLEY SEAN 6811 WHITE OAK DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

JOHNSON BENNIE R & HAZEL F 6814 WHITE OAK DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

ROBINSON STEPHEN L 697 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

WILSON MAHLON 7077 KELVIN TER PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BRASWELL JERRY & 709 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

MILSOFT UTILITY SOLUTIONS INC PO BOX 5726 ABILENE, TX 79608

BRENDA BISCHOFF REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST 511 S 2ND ST PENSACOLA, FL 32507 CARLSON JAMES D & PATRICIA A 7100 PLANTATION RD, BLDG 21 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

BOCKWITH HOLDINGS INC 7100 PLANTATION ROAD SUITE 4 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

PATE NINA J & PO BOX 2977 MONROE, LA 71207 WHITE PAUL A LIFE EST 6812 WHITE OAK DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

LEFMANN GERALD L & 685 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

PS FLORIDA ONE INC 701 WESTERN AVENUE PS# 25852 GLENDALE, CA 91201-2397

GATES TAMARA L 7081 KELVIN TERR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

STARK LINDA J 7091 KELVIN TERRACE PENSACOLA, FL 32503

STONEGATE OFFICE OWNERS ASSOC INC PO BOX 12507 PENSACOLA, FL 32591 BEACH COMMUNITY BANK 17 SE EGLIN PKWY FORT WALTON BEACH, FL 32548

DUONG TUAN & 3344 TWO SISTERS WAY PENSACOLA, FL 32505

KOPKO ROBERT & ELENA A 1727 TURKEY OAK DR NAVARRE, FL 32566

WILSON CARY 7150 PLANTATION RD APT 412 PENSACOLA, FL 32504-6204 MCDONALD DAVID M & BONITA S 6813 WHITE OAK DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

ATKINSON THOMAS E & 691 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

CROFT DUSTIN P & 703 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

KLUGH GEORGE E & BETTY JO 7085 KELVIN TERR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

HAIRE CARL G 710 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

GARG NEELAM R 3041 LIANA LN PENSACOLA, FL 32505

LERMAN MARTIN I TRUSTEE OF 574 CAMPHOR WAY LEXINGTON, KY 40509

D & P INVESTMENT PROPERTIES LLC 6771 N PALAFOX ST PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BLACKMON KEVIN R 4920 RUGBY CT PENSACOLA, FL 32504

PREBLE DAVID A 7150 PLANTATION RD # 414 PENSACOLA, FL 32504 KALAMA WAYNE & JOANN 520 HIDDEN VALLEY DR AZUSA, CA 91702-1452

BOLAND BRUCE E 260 HATHAWAY DR KEWAUNEE, WI 54216

OLMSTEAD KIPPEN J & 1390 FORT PICKENS RD UNIT 248 PENSACOLA BEACH, FL 32561

LYNCH WILLIAM G 7150 PLANTATION RD # 113 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

LEATH JAMES E 5927 PRINCE RD PENSACOLA, FL 32503

ARTS ALECIA JANE E 7150 PLANTATION RD UNIT 126 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

HUGHES JONATHAN R 7150 PLANTATION RD UNIT 212 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

LAMB DONALD D & 7150 PLANTATION RD # 221 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

RODRIGUEZ JOVIAN & 3102 TURNERS MEADOW RD PENSACOLA, FL 32514

SQUIREK DON & LORI J 68 MIDWAY RD NW MARIETTA, GA 30064 DEIDRICK MAUREEN 7150 PLANTATION RD # 422 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

MURPHY SUZANNE S PENDERGRASS 7150 PLANTATION PL # 426 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

SQUIREK DONALD 68 MIDWAY RD NW MARIETTA, GA 30064

BOLES KALA R 5881 PROVIDENCE LOOP PENSACOLA, FL 32526

SHIPMAN LORI LLC 381 MIRABELLE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32514

TUCKER JESSICA DIANA 7150 PLANTATION RD #128 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

MCLAUGHLIN ROBERT W JR & 7150 PLANTATION PLACE # 213 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

CAMPBELL ELENA B 6125 ENCLAVE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32504

PRICE GENA S 7150 PLANTATION RD #225 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

LEWIS JOE COMPANY INVESTMENTS 1209 MANHATTAN AVE SUITE 19 MANHATTAN BEACH, CA 90266 NOLTE RONALD E 1 BALMORAL DR NICEVILLE, FL 32578

ROY SHAWN K 7150 PLANTATION RD APT 427 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

STEVISON JAMES C 7150 PLANTATION RD APT 112 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

HOBSON WOODROW JR & PATSY PO BOX 20815 TUSCALOOSA, AL 35402

KRISMAN PAIGE 7150 PLANTATION RD UNIT 125 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

SMITH SEAN 1102 E JORDAN ST PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BOWEN DONALD G 7150 PLANTATION RD #214 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

HUGGINS BRENDA A 13 MEADOW VIEW DR JASPER, AL 35501

DORSEY THOMAS D 1433 PLAYERS CLUB CIR GULF BREEZE, FL 32561-3533

RODRIGUEZ AMANDA 2705 HILLCREST AVE PENSACOLA, FL 32526 GREEN BRYANT 4722 HWY 76 COTTONTOWN, TN 37048

ALLAIRE PARRIS P 7150 PLANTATION RD # 321 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

BURTT MICHAEL S 656 W GARDEN ST PENSACOLA, FL 32502

FORSTER DENNIS A & 2319 MALYSA PL PENSACOLA, FL 32504

UNIVERSITY TOWN PLAZA LLC 180 E BROAD ST COLUMBUS, OH 43215

SEDLACEK RONALD S & MARCIA A 7229 TWIN LAKES LN PENSACOLA, FL 32504

AUDLEMAN WILDA S 7204 TWIN LAKES LN PENSACOLA, FL 32504

SCHAILL ANDREA M 7209 TWIN LAKES LN PENSACOLA, FL 32504

HAZEWINKEL EZEKIEL 104 E LAFAYETTE ST MARION, AL 36756

LISS KENNETH R & 7220 TWIN LAKES LN PENSACOLA, FL 32504 US BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION 4801 FREDERICA WEST B OWENSBORO, KY 42301

ARGONAUT PROPERTIES LLC 6304 SUMMER CIR PENSACOLA, FL 32504

KELLY JON 9494 HUMBLE WESTFIELD RD APT 2632 HUMBLE, TX 77338

MIDLAND IRA BENEFIT FOR 135 S LASALLE ST STE 4000 CHICAGO, IL 60603

SERITAGE SRC FINANCE LLC 3333 BEVERLY ROAD HOFFMAN ESTATES, IL 60179

L D C INC PO BOX 12204 PENSACOLA, FL 32591

THOMAS-COCHRAN ANGELA M 7205 TWIN LAKES LN PENSACOLA, FL 32504

YU MARC 721 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

JERNIGAN KENNETH E & CAROLE D 722 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

CROWN CASTLE GT COMPANY LLC 4017 WASHINGTON RD PMB 353 MCMURRAY, PA 15317 ANDERSON JOSEPH 7150 PLANTATION RD # 314 PENSACOLA, FL 32504

FERSON WILLIAM R & ANN 3213 INDIAN TRL FLOWER MOUND, TX 75022

FIRTH BRANDI R HALTOM 8233 E GRANADA RD SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85257

TRAN TUNG VAN & 716 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

ROBINS RIDGE DEVELOPMENT LLC 120 E MAIN ST SUITE A PENSACOLA, FL 32502

KAESTLE DANIEL W III 7200 TWIN LAKES LN PENSACOLA, FL 32504

METIVIER JAMES R & BARBARA E 7208 TWIN LAKES LN PENSACOLA, FL 32504

PENNEBAKER DAVID K & NAN T 7212 TWIN LAKES LN PENSACOLA, FL 32504

7220 PLANTATION RD LLC 7220 PLANTATION RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

GARCIA ROBERT 7224 TWIN LAKES LN PENSACOLA, FL 32504 OM HANUMAN HOSPITALITY INC 7226 PLANTATION RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

GOEHRING MICHAEL A & 7235 TWIN LAKES LN PENSACOLA, FL 32504

MCNAIR ANDREW 2650 PLEASANT VALLEY DR CANTONMENT, FL 32533

HOPKINS ELBERT W JR & 4324 CALM TER PENSACOLA, FL 32503

PUTMAN TERRY A 7250 HILBURN RD # 2-A PENSACOLA, FL 32504

BAERRESEN JON H 5501 SUNKIST CIR MILTON, FL 32571

WALDROUP JAMES M & THELMA J 521 WILLIAMS DITCH RD CANTONMENT, FL 32533-8260

AWW PENN LLC 45 BROADWAY STE 3010 NEW YORK, NY 10006

LOTHER DEREK J & STACY E 720 FARMINGTON RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

LEMON RAYMOND C 4369 PRIVATE POINTE DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503 BAISDEN RICHARD L 7228 TWIN LAKES LN PENSACOLA, FL 32504

HANSEN STEVE 7240 HILBURN RD A PENSACOLA, FL 32504

PHAM MAI TUY 10706 CROSSCUT DR PENSACOLA, FL 32506

BATTEN THOMAS D & 7240 TWIN LAKES LN PENSACOLA, FL 32504

SAWYER CHRISTOPHER 7250 HILBURN RD UNIT 2B PENSACOLA, FL 32504

JAMES KENNETH A & CHERYL M 391 KAPOK CT LONGWOOD, FL 32779

WILLIAMS CLAUDE L & ESTHER J 727 SHILOH DR PENSACOLA, FL 32503

BUSH DEWEY M & DORIS 7304 HILBURN RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

ZAYAS AGRIPINO & MARIA E 2215 VENETIAN WAY OWENSBORO, KY 42301-4228

BOLEY WILLIE MAE 1017 JORDAN LOOP OKEECHOBEE, FL 34974 HICKS FRANK J & PAMELA J 7232 TWIN LAKES LN PENSACOLA, FL 32504

SMITH PHILLIP Z & 7240B HILBURN RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

BLUE SKY PROPERTIES OF PENSACOLA LLC 4261 N GOLDEN RIDGE LN TUCSON, AZ 85718 LOPEZ ALFRED & PO BOX 31254 CLARKSVILLE, TN 37040-0021

SEABROOK HALEY MINIA 7250 HILBURN RD # 2C PENSACOLA, FL 32504

WALDROUP JAMES M II & PATRICIA L 511 WILLIAMS DITCH RD CANTONMENT, FL 32533

MONDELLO STEPHANIE A & 7300 HILBURN RD PENSACOLA, FL 32504

FENIK LUKASZ & 90 SW 3RD ST APT 2509 MIAMI, FL 33130

BORN COURT OWNERS ASSO INC 6910 WEATHERWOOD DR PENSACOLA, FL 32506

COOK BROWN ENTERPRISES INC PO BOX 6006 PENSACOLA, FL 32503 JERNIGAN LEONARD CONTRACTORS INC 8680 SCENIC HWY # 18 PENSACOLA, FL 32514

Mailing List Map



2016



Development Services Department 3363 West Park Place, Pensacola, FL 32505 (850) 595-3475 - Phone (850) 595-3481 - FAX www.myescambia.com

Escambia County Planning Board Public Hearing Speaker Request Form

	Please Print Clea	<u>irly</u>
Meeting Date: 12-6-14 Rezoning Quasi-judicial Hearing Rezoning Case #: 2016-13 In Favor Against	OR	Regular Planning Board Meeting Agenda Item Number/Description:
*Name: BUDD-/ PAGE		
*Address: 5337 Hanittan		ty, State, Zip: Pace 32511
Email Address: Wappip 10 0	off. will	Phone: 1232 (232
How did you hear about the rezoning case:	Mail Inf	ernet 🔲 Sign
Please indicate if you: would like to be notified of any further act do not wish to speak but would like to be		e public hearing item. Turther action related to the public hearing item.
All items with an asterisk * are required		

Chamber Rules

1. All who wish to speak will be heard.

- 2. You must sign up to speak. This form must be filled out and given to the Clerk in order to be heard.
- 3. When the Chairman calls you to speak, come to the podium, adjust the microphone so you can be heard, then state your NAME and ADDRESS for the record.
- 4. Please keep your remarks BRIEF and FACTUAL.
- 5. Everyone will be granted uniform time to speak (normally 3 5 minutes).
- Should there be a need for information to be presented to the Board, please provide 13 copies for distribution. The Board will determine whether to accept the information into evidence. Once accepted, copies are given to the Clerk for Board distribution.
- 7. During quasi-judicial hearings (i.e., rezonings), conduct is very formal and regulated by Supreme Court decisions. Verbal reaction or applause is not appropriate.

01/2016

1plicants Ex hib, 7

Total distance: 1,336.09 ft (407.24 m)

Measure distance

Imagery @2016 DigitalGlobe, U.S. Geological Survey, USDA Farm Service Agency, Map data @2016 Google 500 ft



Google Maps

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 2015 ANNUAL AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC REPORT - REPORT TYPE: DISTRICT

ESCAMBIA COUNTY: 48

SITE T	SITE FYPE ====	DESCRIPTION SR 296 (BRENT LN) - 150 FT W OF SR 291 (DAVIS HWY)	DIR === E	ECTION 1 17500	DIR === W	ECTION 2	AADT TWO-WAY 34500 C	"K" FCTR ===== 9.0	"D" FCTR ===== 55.5F	"T" FCTR ===== 2.1F
5166		SR 295(FAIRFIELD DR) - 600' W OF SR 95(US29) PAL	N	14000	S	15500	29500 C	9.0	55.5F	4.7F
5169		SR 30 (US98B) GARDEN ST - 550' W OF "E" ST	Е	7600	W	7100	14700 C	9.0	55.5F	3.2F
5171		SR 30(US98B)GARDEN ST - 275' W OF DE VILLIERS ST	Е	13000	W	10500	23500 C	9.0	55.5F	3.3A
5173		SR 30(US98B)GARDEN ST - 225' W OF BAYLEN ST	Е	12500	W	10500	23000 C	9.0	55.5F	3.2F
5177		SR 30(US98B) ALCANIZ ST - 125' S OF W D CHILDERS	Е	6400	W	4200	10600 C	9.0	55.5F	3.2F
5178		SR 30(US98) 950' W OF SR 295(NAVY BLVD)	Е	13500	W	13500	27000 C	9.0	55.5F	4.3F
5180		SR289(US98B) 9TH AVE - 250' S OF SR10A(US90) CER	N	8400	S	7500	15900 C	9.0	55.5F	1.9F
5181		SR 742 (BURGESS RD) - 225' W OF SR 291 (DAVIS HW	Е	2300	W	1600	3900 C	9.0	55.5F	2.5A
5182		SR 742(BURGESS RD) 400' E OF C95A(OLD PALAFOX)	Е	4700	W	4800	9500 C	9.0	55.5F	2.7A
5184		SR 742 (BURGESS RD) - 400' W OF C95A(OLD PALAFOX	Е	3400	W	3900	7300 C	9.0	55.5F	4.5A
5185		"E" ST - 550' N OF US 90/SR 10A (CERVANTES ST)	N	0	S	0	7300 C	9.0	55.5F	6.0F
5186		12TH AVE - 150' NE OF SR 296 (BAYOU BLVD)	Е	13000	W	12500	25500 C	9.0	55.5F	6. 0 F
5187		12TH AVE - 325' SW OF SR 296(BAYOU BLVD)	Е	9600	W	10500	20100 C	9.0	55.5F	2.1A
5190		LEONARD ST - 250' W OF BAYLEN ST	Е	1500	W	1400	2900 C	9.0	55.5F	6.OF
5191		CROSS ST - 200' E OF SR 95 (US 29) PALAFOX ST	Е	1400	W	1400	2800 C	9.0	55.5F	6.OF

SITE TYPE : BLANK= PORTABLE; T= TELEMETERED "K" FACTOR : DEPARTMENT ADOPTED STANDARD K FACTOR BEGINING WITH COUNT YEAR 2011 AADT FLAGS : C= COMPUTED; E= MANUAL EST; F= FIRST YEAR EST; S= SECOND YEAR EST; T= THIRD YEAR EST; X= UNKNOWN "D/T" FLAGS : A= ACTUAL; F= FACTOR CATG; D= DIST FUNCL; P= PRIOR YEAR; S= STATEWIDE DEFAULT; W= ONE-WAY ROAD; X= CROSS REF 622UPD

16-MAR-2016 08:55:31

PAGE -22-

3_48_CAADT



Development Services Department 3363 West Park Place, Pensacola, FL 32505 (850) 595-3475 - Phone (850) 595-3481 - FAX www.myescambia.com

Escambia County Planning Board Public Hearing Speaker Request Form

<u>P</u>	lease Print Clea	irly
Meeting Date: 2-7-17		
Rezoning Quasi-judicial Hearing	OR	Regular Planning Board Meeting
Rezoning Case #: 2:016-13	on	Agenda Item Number/Description:
In Favor Against		
*Name: BUDDY PAGE		
*Address: 5337 Handlor	m. *Ci	ty, State, Zip: Pace, FC
Email Address: Dopage le at	f. net	Phone: 2329853
How did you hear about the rezoning case:	Mail 🗌 Int	ernet 🗌 Sign
Please indicate if you:		
would like to be notified of any further action		
do not wish to speak but would like to be no	otified of any f	urther action related to the public hearing item.
All items with an asterisk * are required.		

Chamber Rules

1. All who wish to speak will be heard.

- 2. You must sign up to speak. This form must be filled out and given to the Clerk in order to be heard.
- 3. When the Chairman calls you to speak, come to the podium, adjust the microphone so you can be heard, then state your NAME and ADDRESS for the record.
- 4. Please keep your remarks BRIEF and FACTUAL.
- 5. Everyone will be granted uniform time to speak (normally 3 5 minutes).
- 6. Should there be a need for information to be presented to the Board, please provide 13 copies for distribution. The Board will determine whether to accept the information into evidence. Once accepted, copies are given to the Clerk for Board distribution.
- 7. During quasi-judicial hearings (i.e., rezonings), conduct is very formal and regulated by Supreme Court decisions. Verbal reaction or applause is not appropriate.

01/2016



Development Services Department 3363 West Park Place, Pensacola, FL 32505 (850) 595-3475 - Phone (850) 595-3481 - FAX www.myescambia.com

Escambia County Planning Board Public Hearing Speaker Request Form

PI	e	а	S	е	P	ri	in	t	C	le	a	rl	y
									-		-		-

Meeting Date: <u>2-7-17</u>					
Rezoning Quasi-judicial Hearing	0.7	Regular Planning Board Meeting			
Rezoning Case #:	OR	Agenda Item Number/Description:			
In Favor Against					
*Name: TOWI PETTIGREW					
*Address: <u>6220</u> CONFERENTE	PR_*Cit	y, State, Zip: 105 FL 32503			
Email Address: TROPICALMOTIONE	Cox. N	ET Phone: 850 221-2659			
How did you hear about the rezoning case:	ail 🗌 Inte	ernet 🗌 Sign			
Please indicate if you: would like to be notified of any further action r do not wish to speak but would like to be notified					
All items with an asterisk * are required.					

Chamber Rules

1. All who wish to speak will be heard.

- 2. You must sign up to speak. This form must be filled out and given to the Clerk in order to be heard.
- 3. When the Chairman calls you to speak, come to the podium, adjust the microphone so you can be heard, then state your NAME and ADDRESS for the record.
- 4. Please keep your remarks BRIEF and FACTUAL.
- 5. Everyone will be granted uniform time to speak (normally 3 5 minutes).
- 6. Should there be a need for information to be presented to the Board, please provide 13 copies for distribution. The Board will determine whether to accept the information into evidence. Once accepted, copies are given to the Clerk for Board distribution.
- 7. During quasi-judicial hearings (i.e., rezonings), conduct is very formal and regulated by Supreme Court decisions. Verbal reaction or applause is not appropriate.

01/2016



Development Services Department 3363 West Park Place, Pensacola, FL 32505 (850) 595-3475 - Phone (850) 595-3481 - FAX www.myescambia.com

Escambia County Planning Board Public Hearing Speaker Request Form

Please Print Clearly

		V	ADDAY
Meeting Date: 2-7-17			hier
Rezoning Quasi-judicial Hearing	0.0	Regular Planning Board Meeting	
Rezoning Case #: Z-2016-13	OR	Agenda Item Number/Description:	
In Favor Against			
*Name: John Pettigp	ew		
*Address: 6220 Confedera	A DR+C	ity, State, Zip: Pensacola, H	-732503
Email Address: <u>Tropical mor</u>	-ion@l.	exide T Phone: 850 - 821	-2642
How did you hear about the rezoning case:	Mail 🗌 In	ternet 🔲 Sign	
Please indicate if you:			
would like to be notified of any further act			
do not wish to speak but would like to be	notified of any	further action related to the public heari	ng item.
All items with an estavial * are required			

All items with an asterisk * are required.

Chamber Rules

- 1. All who wish to speak will be heard.
- 2. You must sign up to speak. This form must be filled out and given to the Clerk in order to be heard.
- 3. When the Chairman calls you to speak, come to the podium, adjust the microphone so you can be heard, then state your NAME and ADDRESS for the record.
- 4. Please keep your remarks BRIEF and FACTUAL.
- 5. Everyone will be granted uniform time to speak (normally 3 5 minutes).
- 6. Should there be a need for information to be presented to the Board, please provide 13 copies for distribution. The Board will determine whether to accept the information into evidence. Once accepted, copies are given to the Clerk for Board distribution.
- 7. During quasi-judicial hearings (i.e., rezonings), conduct is very formal and regulated by Supreme Court decisions. Verbal reaction or applause is not appropriate.



**:

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA

Development Services Department 3363 West Park Place, Pensacola, FL 32505 (850) 595-3475 - Phone (850) 595-3481 - FAX www.myescambia.com

Escambia County Planning Board Public Hearing Speaker Request Form

Please Print Cle	arly				
Meeting Date:					
Rezoning Quasi-judicial Hearing	Regular Planning Board Meeting				
Rezoning Case #: $23016-13$ OR	Agenda Item Number/Description:				
In Eavor Against					
*Name: Shonda Robinson					
*Address: 607 5hiloh DRIVE *City, State, Zip: Perbacolo FI 32503					
Email Address: Mondardbinbon404aboo.com Phone: 8506074203					
How did you hear about the rezoning case: Mail In	iternet Sign				
Please indicate if you:					
would like to be notified of any further action related to the					
do not wish to speak but would like to be notified of any	further action related to the public hearing item.				
All items with an asterisk * are required.					

Chamber Rules

- 1. All who wish to speak will be heard.
- 2. You must sign up to speak. This form must be filled out and given to the Clerk in order to be heard.
- 3. When the Chairman calls you to speak, come to the podium, adjust the microphone so you can be heard, then state your NAME and ADDRESS for the record.
- 4. Please keep your remarks BRIEF and FACTUAL.
- 5. Everyone will be granted uniform time to speak (normally 3 5 minutes).
- 6. Should there be a need for information to be presented to the Board, please provide 13 copies for distribution. The Board will determine whether to accept the information into evidence. Once accepted, copies are given to the Clerk for Board distribution.
- 7. During quasi-judicial hearings (i.e., rezonings), conduct is very formal and regulated by Supreme Court decisions. Verbal reaction or applause is not appropriate.

01/2016



Development Services Department 3363 West Park Place, Pensacola, FL 32505 (850) 595-3475 - Phone (850) 595-3481 - FAX www.myescambia.com

Escambia County Planning Board Public Hearing Speaker Request Form

	Please Print Clearly				
	Meeting Date: 2/1/2017 Rezoning Quasi-judicial Hearing Rezoning Case #: 2 2016 - 13 Meeting Date: 2 2017 Rezoning Case #: 2 2016 - 13 Meeting Date: 2 2017 Regular Planning Board Meeting Agenda Item Number/Description:				
	*Name: Dan E. Wendberton				
	*Address: 1253 Apponentity Rd *City, State, Zip: Prus arola FL 32503				
	Email Address: WENdle ton 6253 Read (com Phone: 850 494 1262				
	How did you hear about the rezoning case: 🕅 Mail 🔲 Internet 🔲 Sign				
	Please indicate if you: would like to be notified of any further action related to the public hearing item. do not wish to speak but would like to be notified of any further action related to the public hearing item.				
**	All items with an asterisk * are required.				

Chamber Rules

- 1. All who wish to speak will be heard.
- 2. You must sign up to speak. This form must be filled out and given to the Clerk in order to be heard.
- 3. When the Chairman calls you to speak, come to the podium, adjust the microphone so you can be heard, then state your NAME and ADDRESS for the record.
- 4. Please keep your remarks BRIEF and FACTUAL.
- 5. Everyone will be granted uniform time to speak (normally 3 5 minutes).
- 6. Should there be a need for information to be presented to the Board, please provide 13 copies for distribution. The Board will determine whether to accept the information into evidence. Once accepted, copies are given to the Clerk for Board distribution.
- 7. During quasi-judicial hearings (i.e., rezonings), conduct is very formal and regulated by Supreme Court decisions. Verbal reaction or applause is not appropriate.

01/2016



Development Services Department 3363 West Park Place, Pensacola, FL 32505

(850) 595-3481 - FAX www.myescambia.com Escambia County Planning Board NOT Public Hearing Speaker Request Form

Please Print Clearly

Meeting Date: $2/7/2017$ Rezoning Quasi-judicial Hearing Rezoning Case #: $Z - 2016 - 13$ V In Favor Against	OR	Regular Planning Board Meeting Agenda Item Number/Description:				
*Name: <u>LINDA V. CROWE</u>						
*Address: 380 E. Burgess Rd *City, State, Zip: Pensacola, Fl 32503						
Email Address: 1vc171@att.net Phone: 850-384-0608						
How did you hear about the rezoning case:						
Please indicate if you: would like to be notified of any further action related to the public hearing item. <u>do not</u> wish to speak but would like to be notified of any further action related to the public hearing item.						
All items with an asterisk * are required.						

Chamber Rules

- 1. All who wish to speak will be heard.
- 2. You must sign up to speak. This form must be filled out and given to the Clerk in order to be heard.
- 3. When the Chairman calls you to speak, come to the podium, adjust the microphone so you can be heard, then state your NAME and ADDRESS for the record.
- 4. Please keep your remarks BRIEF and FACTUAL.
- 5. Everyone will be granted uniform time to speak (normally 3 5 minutes).
- 6. Should there be a need for information to be presented to the Board, please provide 13 copies for distribution. The Board will determine whether to accept the information into evidence. Once accepted, copies are given to the Clerk for Board distribution.
- 7. During quasi-judicial hearings (i.e., rezonings), conduct is very formal and regulated by Supreme Court decisions. Verbal reaction or applause is not appropriate.

01/2016



INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO: Andrew Holmer, Development Services Manager Development Services Department

- FROM: David Forte, Division Manager Transportation & Traffic Operations Division
- DATE: March 20, 2017

RE: Transportation & Traffic Operations (TTO) Comments – Z-2016-13

TTO Staff has reviewed the Rezoning Case (Z)-2016-13, 6013 Hilburn Road, agenda item for the upcoming Planning Board meeting scheduled for April 6, 2017. Please see the below comments.

Currently, there is a Roadway Improvement Project programmed in the County's Capital Improvement Program and the State of Florida's Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) 5-Year Work Program along SR742 (Burgess Road) from US29 to Hilburn Road within the vicinity of the subject parcel. The project is expected to widen the existing two-way, two-lane roadway to a 4-lane roadway. The right-of-way acquisition phase (currently on-going) is programmed through Fiscal Year 2018, with the County expected to fund \$1M towards the right-of-way phase.

The Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) currently lists the aforementioned project as the #2 Non-Strategic Intermodal System capacity project for the TPO 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP). The TPO's LRTP Cost Feasible Plan programs the construction phase (\$18M) during the Fiscal Year 2021-2025 time period.

Per the TPO's Congestion Management Process Plan, Burgess Road is currently functioning within its allowable capacity for traffic volumes between the segment of CR95A (Old Palafox) and Hilburn Road. The maximum level-of-service (LOS) for the roadway segment is LOS D (14,800 trips/day), and currently the roadway segment is functioning at a LOS D (8,000 trips/day) and is expected to remain at a LOS D and exceed 9,700 trips/day by Year 2024.

Note: TTO's review is solely based off the application submittal packet, so the comments above hold no bearing on future TTO comments during the Development Review process.

cc: Horace Jones, Development Services Department Director Joy Blackmon, P.E., Public Works Department Director Colby Brown, P.E., Public Works Department Deputy Director

Sec. 3-2.8 High Density Residential district (HDR).

- (a) **Purpose.** The High Density Residential (HDR) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for residential uses at high densities within urban areas. The primary intent of the district is to provide for residential neighborhood development in an efficient urban pattern of well-connected streets and at greater dwelling unit density and diversity than the Medium Density Residential district. Residential uses within the HDR district include most forms of single-family, two-family and multi-family dwellings. Non-residential uses within the district are limited to those that are compatible with urban residential neighborhoods.
- (b) Permitted uses. Permitted uses within the HDR district are limited to the following:

(1) Residential.

- **a.** Group living, excluding dormitories, fraternity and sorority houses, and residential facilities providing substance abuse treatment, post-incarceration reentry, or similar services.
- **b.** Manufactured (mobile) homes only within existing manufactured home parks or subdivisions. No new or expanded manufactured home parks or subdivisions.
- **c.** Single-family dwellings (other than manufactured homes), attached or detached, including townhouses and zero lot line subdivisions.
- d. Two-family and multi-family dwellings.

See also conditional uses in this district.

- (2) Retail sales. No retail sales, except as conditional uses in this district.
- (3) Retail services.
 - a. Boarding and rooming houses.
 - b. Child care facilities.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(4) Public and civic. Public utility structures, excluding telecommunications towers.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(5) Recreation and entertainment.

- **a.** Marina, private.
- **b.** Parks without permanent restrooms or outdoor event lighting.

See also conditional uses in this district.

- (6) Industrial and related. No industrial or related uses.
- (7) Agricultural and related. Agricultural production limited to food primarily for personal consumption by the producer, but no farm animals. See also conditional uses in this district.
- (8) Other uses. [Reserved].

(c) Conditional uses. Through the conditional use process prescribed in Chapter 2, the BOA may conditionally allow the following uses within the HDR district:

(1) Residential.

- a. Dormitories.
- **b.** Fraternity or sorority houses.
- c. Home occupations with non-resident employees.
- (2) Retail sales. Retail sales only within a predominantly residential multi-story building.
- (3) Retail services. Retail services only within a predominantly residential multistory building.

(4) Public and civic.

- **a.** Clubs, civic and fraternal.
- **b.** Educational facilities, excluding preschools or kindergartens independent of other elementary grades.
- **c.** Emergency service facilities, including law enforcement, fire fighting, and medical assistance.
- d. Hospitals.
- e. Offices for government agencies or public utilities, small scale (gross floor area 6000 sq.ft. or less per lot).
- f. Places of worship.
- **g.** Public utility structures exceeding the district structure height limit, excluding telecommunications towers.

(5) Recreation and entertainment.

- **a.** Golf courses, tennis centers, swimming pools and similar active outdoor recreational facilities, including associated country clubs.
- **b.** Parks with permanent restrooms or outdoor event lighting.
- (6) Agricultural and related. Horses or other domesticated *equines* kept on site, and stables for such animals, only as a private residential accessory with a minimum lot area of two acres and a maximum of one animal per acre.
- (d) Site and building requirements. The following site and building requirements apply to uses within the HDR district:
 - (1) Density. A maximum density of 18 dwelling units per acre.
 - (2) Floor area ratio. A maximum floor area ratio of 2.0 for all uses.
 - (3) Structure height. A maximum structure height of 120 feet above highest adjacent grade.
 - (4) Lot area. No minimum lot area unless prescribed by use.

- (5) Lot width. Except for cul-de-sac lots which shall provide a minimum lot width of 20 feet at the street right-of-way, the following minimum lot widths are required:
 - **a. Single-family detached.** Forty feet at the street right-of-way for single-family detached dwellings.
 - **b.** Two-family. Eighty feet at the street right-of-way for two-family dwellings.
 - **c. Multi-family and other.** Eighty feet at the street right-of-way for multi-family dwellings, boarding or rooming houses, and townhouse groups. No minimum lot width required by zoning for other uses.
- (6) Lot coverage. Minimum pervious lot coverage of 20 percent (80 percent maximum semi-impervious and impervious cover) for all uses.
- (7) Structure setbacks. For all principal structures, minimum setbacks are:
 - a. Front and rear. Twenty feet in the front and 15 feet in the rear.
 - b. Sides. Ten feet on each side of a group of attached townhouses. On each side of all other structures, 10 feet or 10 percent of the lot width at the street right-of-way, whichever is less, but at least five feet. For structures exceeding 35 feet above highest adjacent grade, an additional two feet for each additional 10 feet in height, but not required to exceed 15 feet.
 - c. Corner lots. Will have one front setback and one side setback.
- (8) Other requirements. Refer to chapters 4 and 5 for additional development regulations and standards.
- (e) Location criteria. All non-residential uses within the HDR district shall be located to avoid nuisance, hazard, and other adverse impacts to surrounding residential uses.
- (f) Rezoning to HDR. High Density Residential zoning may be established only within the Mixed-Use Suburban (MU-S) or Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U) future land use category. The district is suitable for urban areas with central water and sewer and developed street networks. The district is appropriate to provide transitions between areas zoned or used for medium density residential and areas zoned or used for high density mixed-use or commercial.

(Ord. No. 2015-56, § 2, 12-10-2015; Ord. No. 2016-31, § 1, 8-4-2016)

Sec. 3-2.9 High Density Mixed-use district (HDMU).

(a) **Purpose.** The High Density Mixed-use (HDMU) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for a complimentary mix of high density residential uses and compatible non-residential uses within urban areas. The primary intent of the district is to provide for a mix of neighborhood retail sales, services and professional offices with greater dwelling unit density and diversity than the Low Density Mixed-use district. Additionally, the HDMU district is intended to rely on urban street connectivity and encourage vertical mixes of commercial and residential uses within the same building to accommodate a physical pattern of development characteristic of village main streets and older neighborhood commercial areas. Residential uses within the district include all forms of single-family, two-family and multi-family dwellings.

- (5) Lot width. Except for cul-de-sac lots which shall provide a minimum lot width of 20 feet at the street right-of-way, the following minimum lot widths are required:
 - **a. Single-family detached.** Forty feet at the street right-of-way for single-family detached dwellings.
 - **b.** Two-family. Eighty feet at the street right-of-way for two-family dwellings.
 - **c. Multi-family and other.** Eighty feet at the street right-of-way for multi-family dwellings, boarding or rooming houses, and townhouse groups. No minimum lot width required by zoning for other uses.
- (6) Lot coverage. Minimum pervious lot coverage of 20 percent (80 percent maximum semi-impervious and impervious cover) for all uses.
- (7) Structure setbacks. For all principal structures, minimum setbacks are:
 - a. Front and rear. Twenty feet in the front and 15 feet in the rear.
 - b. Sides. Ten feet on each side of a group of attached townhouses. On each side of all other structures, 10 feet or 10 percent of the lot width at the street right-of-way, whichever is less, but at least five feet. For structures exceeding 35 feet above highest adjacent grade, an additional two feet for each additional 10 feet in height, but not required to exceed 15 feet.
 - c. Corner lots. Will have one front setback and one side setback.
- (8) Other requirements. Refer to chapters 4 and 5 for additional development regulations and standards.
- (e) Location criteria. All non-residential uses within the HDR district shall be located to avoid nuisance, hazard, and other adverse impacts to surrounding residential uses.
- (f) Rezoning to HDR. High Density Residential zoning may be established only within the Mixed-Use Suburban (MU-S) or Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U) future land use category. The district is suitable for urban areas with central water and sewer and developed street networks. The district is appropriate to provide transitions between areas zoned or used for medium density residential and areas zoned or used for high density mixed-use or commercial.

(Ord. No. 2015-56, § 2, 12-10-2015; Ord. No. 2016-31, § 1, 8-4-2016)

Sec. 3-2.9 High Density Mixed-use district (HDMU).

(a) **Purpose.** The High Density Mixed-use (HDMU) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for a complimentary mix of high density residential uses and compatible non-residential uses within urban areas. The primary intent of the district is to provide for a mix of neighborhood retail sales, services and professional offices with greater dwelling unit density and diversity than the Low Density Mixed-use district. Additionally, the HDMU district is intended to rely on urban street connectivity and encourage vertical mixes of commercial and residential uses within the same building to accommodate a physical pattern of development characteristic of village main streets and older neighborhood commercial areas. Residential uses within the district include all forms of single-family, two-family and multi-family dwellings.

- (b) Permitted uses. Permitted uses within the HDMU district are limited to the following:
 - (1) Residential. The following residential uses are allowed throughout the district, but if within a Commercial (C) future land use category they are permitted only if part of a predominantly commercial development.
 - **a.** Group living, excluding dormitories, fraternity and sorority houses, and residential facilities providing substance abuse treatment, post-incarceration reentry, or similar services.
 - **b.** Manufactured (mobile) homes, including manufactured home subdivisions, but excluding new or expanded manufactured home parks.
 - **c.** Single-family dwellings (other than manufactured homes), detached or attached, including townhouses and zero lot line subdivisions.
 - d. Two-family and multi-family dwellings.

See also conditional uses in this district.

- (2) Retail sales. Small-scale (gross floor area 6000 sq.ft. or less per lot) retail sales, including Low-THC marijuana dispensing facilities, sales of beer and wine, but excluding sales of liquor, automotive fuels, or motor vehicles, and excluding permanent outdoor storage, display, or sales. See also conditional uses in this district.
- (3) Retail services. The following small-scale (gross floor area 6000 sq.ft. or less per lot) retail services, excluding outdoor work or permanent outdoor storage:
 - **a.** Bed and breakfast inns.
 - **b.** Boarding and rooming houses.
 - c. Child care facilities.
 - **d.** Personal services, including those of beauty shops, health clubs, pet groomers, dry cleaners, and tattoo parlors.
 - e. Professional services, including those of realtors, bankers, accountants, engineers, architects, dentists, physicians, and attorneys.
 - **f.** Repair services, including appliance repair, furniture refinishing and upholstery, watch and jewelry repair, small engine and motor services, but excluding major motor vehicle or boat service or repair.
 - **g.** Restaurants, and brewpubs, including on-premises consumption of alcoholic beverages, but excluding drive-in or drive-through service and brewpubs with distribution of alcoholic beverages for off-site sales.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(4) Public and civic.

- **a.** Preschools and kindergartens.
- **b.** Emergency service facilities, including law enforcement, fire fighting, and medical assistance.
- **c.** Foster care facilities.

- d. Places of worship.
- e. Public utility structures, excluding telecommunications towers.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(5) Recreation and entertainment.

- **a.** Marinas, private only.
- **b.** Parks without permanent restrooms or outdoor event lighting.

See also conditional uses in this district.

- (6) Industrial and related. No industrial or related uses.
- (7) Agricultural and related. Agricultural production limited to food primarily for personal consumption by the producer, but no farm animals.
- (8) Other uses. [Reserved]
- (c) Conditional uses. Through the conditional use process prescribed in Chapter 2, the BOA may conditionally allow the following uses within the HDMU district:

(1) Residential.

- a. Dormitories.
- **b.** Fraternity and sorority houses.
- c. Manufactured (mobile) home parks.
- (2) Retail sales. Medium-scale (gross floor area greater than 6000 sq.ft. per lot, but no greater than 35,000 sq.ft.) retail sales, including sales of beer and wine and automotive fuels, but excluding sales of motor vehicles and liquor, and excluding permanent outdoor storage, display, or sales.

(3) Retail services.

- **a.** Medium-scale (gross floor area greater than 6000 sq. ft. per lot, but no greater than 35,000 sq. ft.) retail services, excluding motor vehicle service and repair.
- **b.** Restaurants and brewpubs with drive-in or drive-through service and brewpubs with the distribution of on-premises produced alcoholic beverages for off-site sales.
- **c.** Small-scale (gross floor area 6000 sq.ft. or less per lot) major motor vehicle service and repair, excluding painting or body work and outdoor work.

(4) Public and civic.

- **a.** Broadcast stations with satellite dishes and antennas, excluding towers.
- **b.** Cemeteries, including family cemeteries.
- c. Clubs, civic and fraternal.
- **d.** Community service facilities, including auditoriums, libraries, museums, and neighborhood centers.

- e. Cinerators.
- f. Educational facilities not among the permitted uses of the district.
- **g.** Funeral establishments.
- h. Hospitals.
- i. Offices for government agencies or public utilities.
- **j.** Public utility structures exceeding the district structure height limit and telecommunications towers of any height, excluding any industrial uses.
- **k.** Warehousing or maintenance facilities for government agencies or public utilities.

(5) Recreation and entertainment.

- a. Amusement arcade centers and bingo facilities.
- **b.** Golf courses, tennis centers, swimming pools and similar active outdoor recreational facilities, including associated country clubs.
- c. Parks with permanent restrooms or outdoor event lighting.
- (6) Industrial and related. Microbreweries, microdistilleries, and microwineries

(7) Agricultural and related.

- **a.** Horses or other domesticated *equines* kept on site, and stables for such animals, only as a private residential accessory with a minimum lot area of two acres and a maximum of one animal per acre.
- **b.** Veterinary clinics.

(8) Other uses.

- **a.** Self-storage facilities with a maximum lot area of one acre and outdoor storage limited to operable motor vehicles and boats. No vehicle rental.
- **b.** Structures of permitted uses exceeding the district structure height limit, excluding telecommunications towers.
- (d) Site and building requirements. The following site and building requirements apply to uses within the HDMU district:
 - (1) **Density.** A maximum density of 25 dwelling units per acre.
 - (2) Floor area ratio. A maximum floor area ratio of 1.0 within the Commercial (C) future land use category and 2.0 within Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U).
 - (3) Structure height. A maximum structure height of 150 feet above highest adjacent grade.
 - (4) Lot area. No minimum lot area unless prescribed by use.
 - (5) Lot width. Except for cul-de-sac lots which shall provide a minimum lot width of 20 feet at the street right-of-way, the following minimum lot widths are required:

- **a. Single-family detached.** Forty feet at the street right-of-way for single-family detached dwellings.
- **b.** Two-family. Eighty feet at the street right-of-way for two-family dwellings.
- **c. Multi-family and other.** Eighty feet at the street right-of-way for multi-family dwellings, boarding or rooming houses, or townhouse groups. No minimum lot width required by zoning for other uses.
- (6) Lot coverage. Minimum pervious lot coverage of 20 percent (80 percent maximum semi-impervious and impervious cover) for all uses.
- (7) Structure setbacks. For all principal structures, minimum setbacks are:
 - a. Front and rear. Twenty feet in the front and 15 feet in the rear.
 - b. Sides. Ten feet on each side of a group of attached townhouses. On each side of all other structures, 10 feet or 10 percent of the lot width at the street right-of-way, whichever is less, but at least five feet. For structures exceeding 35 feet above highest adjacent grade, an additional two feet for each additional 10 feet in height, but not required to exceed 15 feet.
 - c. Corner lots. Will have one front setback and one side setback.
- (8) Other requirements. Refer to chapters 4 and 5 for additional development regulations and standards.
- (e) Location criteria. All new non-residential uses proposed within the HDMU district that are not part of a predominantly residential development or a planned unit development, or are not identified as exempt by district regulations, shall be on parcels that satisfy at least one of the following location criteria:
 - (1) **Proximity to intersection.** Along an arterial or collector street and within 200 feet of an intersection with another arterial or collector.
 - (2) Proximity to traffic generator. Along an arterial or collector street and within a one-quarter mile radius of an individual traffic generator of more than 600 daily trips, such as an apartment complex, military base, college campus, hospital, shopping mall or similar generator.
 - (3) Infill development. Along an arterial or collector street, in an area where already established non-residential uses are otherwise consistent with the HDMU district, and where the new use would constitute infill development of similar intensity as the conforming development on surrounding parcels. Additionally, the location would promote compact development and not contribute to or promote strip commercial development.
 - (4) Site design. Along an arterial street and at the intersection with a local street that serves to connect the arterial street to another arterial, and all of the following site design conditions:
 - a. Any intrusion into a recorded residential subdivision is limited to a corner lot
 - **b.** Access and stormwater management is shared with adjoining uses or properties to the extent practicable.

- **c.** Adverse impacts to any adjoining residential uses are minimized by placing the more intensive elements of the use, such as solid waste dumpsters and truck loading/unloading areas, furthest from the residential uses.
- (5) Documented compatibility. A compatibility analysis prepared by the applicant provides competent substantial evidence of unique circumstances regarding the parcel or use that were not anticipated by the alternative criteria, and the proposed use will be able to achieve long-term compatibility with existing and potential uses. Additionally, the following conditions exist:
 - **a.** The parcel has not been rezoned by the landowner from the mixed-use, commercial, or industrial zoning assigned by the county.
 - **b.** If the parcel is within a county redevelopment district, the use will be consistent with the district's adopted redevelopment plan, as reviewed and recommended by the Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA).
- (f) Rezoning to HDMU. High Density Mixed-use zoning may be established only within the Mixed-Use Suburban (MU-S), Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U), or Commercial (C) future land use categories. The district is suitable for areas where the intermixing of uses has been the custom, where future uses are uncertain, and some redevelopment is probable. The district inappropriate to provide transitions between areas zoned or used for medium or high density residential and areas zoned or used for commercial. Rezoning to HDMU is subject to the same location criteria as any new non-residential use proposed within the HDMU district. (Ord. No. 2015-56, § 3, 12-10-2015; Ord. No. 2016-2 § 1, 1-7-2016; 2016-31 § 1, 8-4-2016; Ord. No. 2017-5, §,2, 1-5-2017)

Sec. 3-2.10 Commercial district (Com).

- (a) **Purpose.** The Commercial (Com) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for general commercial activities, especially the retailing of commodities and services. The primary intent of the district is to allow more diverse and intense commercial uses than the neighborhood commercial allowed within the mixed-use districts. To maintain compatibility with surrounding uses, all commercial operations within the Commercial district are limited to the confines of buildings and not allowed to produce undesirable effects on surrounding property. To retain adequate area for commercial activities, new and expanded residential development within the district is limited, consistent with the Commercial (C) future land use category.
- (b) Permitted uses. Permitted uses within the Commercial district are limited to the following:
 - (1) **Residential.** The following residential uses are allowed throughout the district, but if within the Commercial (C) future land use category they are permitted only if part of a predominantly commercial development:
 - **a.** Group living, excluding dormitories, fraternity and sorority houses, and residential facilities providing substance abuse treatment, post-incarceration reentry, or similar services.
 - **b.** Manufactured (mobile) homes, including new or expanded manufactured home parks or subdivisions.

- **c.** Adverse impacts to any adjoining residential uses are minimized by placing the more intensive elements of the use, such as solid waste dumpsters and truck loading/unloading areas, furthest from the residential uses.
- (5) Documented compatibility. A compatibility analysis prepared by the applicant provides competent substantial evidence of unique circumstances regarding the parcel or use that were not anticipated by the alternative criteria, and the proposed use will be able to achieve long-term compatibility with existing and potential uses. Additionally, the following conditions exist:
 - **a.** The parcel has not been rezoned by the landowner from the mixed-use, commercial, or industrial zoning assigned by the county.
 - **b.** If the parcel is within a county redevelopment district, the use will be consistent with the district's adopted redevelopment plan, as reviewed and recommended by the Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA).
- (f) Rezoning to HDMU. High Density Mixed-use zoning may be established only within the Mixed-Use Suburban (MU-S), Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U), or Commercial (C) future land use categories. The district is suitable for areas where the intermixing of uses has been the custom, where future uses are uncertain, and some redevelopment is probable. The district inappropriate to provide transitions between areas zoned or used for medium or high density residential and areas zoned or used for commercial. Rezoning to HDMU is subject to the same location criteria as any new non-residential use proposed within the HDMU district. (Ord. No. 2015-56, § 3, 12-10-2015; Ord. No. 2016-2 § 1, 1-7-2016; 2016-31 § 1, 8-4-2016; Ord. No. 2017-5, §,2, 1-5-2017)

Sec. 3-2.10 Commercial district (Com).

- (a) **Purpose.** The Commercial (Com) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for general commercial activities, especially the retailing of commodities and services. The primary intent of the district is to allow more diverse and intense commercial uses than the neighborhood commercial allowed within the mixed-use districts. To maintain compatibility with surrounding uses, all commercial operations within the Commercial district are limited to the confines of buildings and not allowed to produce undesirable effects on surrounding property. To retain adequate area for commercial activities, new and expanded residential development within the district is limited, consistent with the Commercial (C) future land use category.
- (b) Permitted uses. Permitted uses within the Commercial district are limited to the following:
 - (1) **Residential.** The following residential uses are allowed throughout the district, but if within the Commercial (C) future land use category they are permitted only if part of a predominantly commercial development:
 - **a.** Group living, excluding dormitories, fraternity and sorority houses, and residential facilities providing substance abuse treatment, post-incarceration reentry, or similar services.
 - **b.** Manufactured (mobile) homes, including new or expanded manufactured home parks or subdivisions.
- **c.** Single-family dwellings (other than manufactured homes), detached or attached, including townhouses and zero lot line subdivisions.
- **d.** Two-family and multi-family dwellings.

See also conditional uses in this district.

- (2) Retail sales. Retail sales, including Low-THC marijuana dispensing facilities, sales of alcoholic beverages and automotive fuels, but excluding motor vehicle sales and permanent outdoor storage. See also conditional uses in this district.
- (3) Retail services. The following retail services, excluding permanent outdoor storage:
 - a. Car washes, automatic or manual, full service or self-serve.
 - b. Child care facilities.
 - **c.** Hotels, motels and all other public lodging, including boarding and rooming houses.
 - **d.** Personal services, including those of beauty shops, health clubs, pet groomers, dry cleaners and tattoo parlors.
 - e. Professional services, including those of realtors, bankers, accountants, engineers, architects, dentists, physicians, and attorneys.
 - **f.** Repair services, including appliance repair, furniture refinishing and upholstery, watch and jewelry repair, small engine and motor services, but excluding major motor vehicle or boat service or repair, and outdoor work.
 - **g.** Restaurants and brewpubs, including on-premises consumption of alcoholic beverages, drive-in and drive-through service, and brewpubs with the distribution of on-premises produced alcoholic beverages for off-site sales. The parcel boundary of any restaurant or brewpub with drive-in or drive-through service shall be at least 200 feet from any LDR or MDR zoning district unless separated by a 50-foot or wider street right-of-way.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(4) Public and civic.

- a. Broadcast stations with satellite dishes and antennas, including towers.
- **b.** Cemeteries, including family cemeteries.
- **c.** Community service facilities, including auditoriums, libraries, museums, and neighborhood centers.
- **d.** Educational facilities, including preschools, K-12, colleges, and vocational schools.
- **e.** Emergency service facilities, including law enforcement, fire fighting, and medical assistance.
- **f.** Foster care facilities.
- g. Funeral establishments.
- h. Hospitals.
- i. Offices for government agencies or public utilities.

- j. Places of worship.
- **k.** Public utility structures, including telecommunications towers, but excluding any industrial uses.
- I. Warehousing or maintenance facilities for government agencies or for public utilities.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(5) Recreation and entertainment.

- a. Campgrounds and recreational vehicle parks on lots five acres or larger.
- **b.** Indoor recreation or entertainment facilities, including movie theaters, bowling alleys, skating rinks, arcade amusement centers, bingo facilities and shooting ranges, but excluding bars, nightclubs or adult entertainment facilities.
- **c.** Marinas, private and commercial.
- d. Parks without permanent restrooms or outdoor event lighting.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(6) Industrial and related.

- **a.** Printing, binding, lithography and publishing.
- **b.** Wholesale warehousing with gross floor area 10,000 sq.ft. or less per lot.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(7) Agricultural and related.

- **a.** Agricultural food production primarily for personal consumption by the producer, but no farm animals.
- **b.** Nurseries and garden centers, including adjoining outdoor storage or display of plants.
- c. Veterinary clinics.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(8) Other uses.

- **a.** Billboard structures.
- **b.** Outdoor storage if minor and customarily incidental to the allowed principal use, and if in the rear yard, covered, and screened from off-site view, unless otherwise noted.
- c. Parking garages and lots, commercial.
- d. Self-storage facilities, excluding vehicle rental.
- (c) Conditional uses. Through the conditional use process prescribed in Chapter 2, the BOA may conditionally allow the following uses within the Commercial district:

(1) Residential.

- **a.** Group living not among the permitted uses of the district.
- **b.** Home occupations with non-resident employees.

(2) Retail sales.

- **a.** Boat sales, new and used.
- b. Automobile sales, used autos only, excluding parcels fronting on any of the following streets: Sorrento Road/Gulf Beach Highway/Barrancas Avenue (SR 292); Blue Angel Parkway (SR 173); Pine Forest Road, south from Interstate 10 to State Road 173; Navy Boulevard (SR 295 and US 98); and Scenic Highway (SR 10A and US 90). Additionally, the parcel shall be no larger than one acre and provided with a permanent fence, wall, or other structural barrier of sufficient height and mass along all road frontage to prevent encroachment into the right-of way other that through approved site access.
- c. Automobile rental limited to the same restrictions as used automobile sales.
- **d.** Utility trailer, heavy truck (gross vehicle weight rating more than 8500 lbs), and recreational vehicle sales, rental, or service limited to the same restrictions as used automobile sales.
- (3) Retail services. Service and repair of motor vehicles, small scale (gross floor area 6000 sq. ft. or less per lot), excluding painting and body work and outdoor work and storage.

(4) Public and civic.

- a. Cemeteries, including family cemeteries.
- **b.** Clubs, civic and fraternal.
- c. Cinerators.
- d. Homeless shelters.

(5) Recreation and entertainment.

- a. Bars and nightclubs.
- **b.** Golf courses, tennis centers, swimming pools and similar active outdoor recreational facilities, including associated country clubs.
- c. Parks with permanent restrooms or outdoor event lighting.

(6) Industrial and related.

- (a) Borrow pits and reclamation activities 20 acres minimum and (subject to local permit and development review requirements per Escambia County Code of Ordinances, Part I, Chapter 42, article VIII, and land use and regulations in Part III, the Land Development Code, chapter 4. *Borrow pits are prohibited on land zoned GMD prior to the adoption of the Commercial (Com) zoning.
- (b) Microbreweries, microdistilleries, microwineries.

(7) Agricultural and related. Horses or other domesticated *equines* kept on site, and stables for such animals, only as a private residential accessory with a minimum lot area of two acres and a maximum of one animal per acre.

(8) Other uses.

- a. Outdoor sales not among the permitted uses of the district.
- **b.** Outdoor storage not among the permitted uses of the district, including outdoor storage of trailered boats and operable recreational vehicles, but no repair, overhaul, or salvage activities. All such storage shall be screened from residential uses and maintained to avoid nuisance conditions.
- c. Self-storage facilities, including vehicle rental as an accessory use.
- d. Structures of permitted uses exceeding the district structure height limit.
- (d) Site and building requirements. The following site and building requirements apply to uses within the Commercial district:
 - (1) **Density.** A maximum density of 25 dwelling units per acre throughout the district. Lodging unit density not limited by zoning.
 - (2) Floor area ratio. A maximum floor area ratio of 1.0 within the Commercial (C) future land use category and 2.0 within Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U).
 - (3) Structure height. A maximum structure height of 150 feet above adjacent grade.
 - (4) Lot area. No minimum lot area unless prescribed by use.
 - (5) Lot width. Except for cul-de-sac lots which shall provide a minimum lot width of 20 feet at the street right-of-way, the following minimum lot widths are required:
 - **a. Single-family detached.** Forty feet at the street right-of-way for single-family detached dwellings.
 - **b.** Two-family. Eighty feet at the street right-of-way for two-family dwellings.
 - **c. Multi-family and other.** Eighty feet at the street right-of-way for multi-family dwellings, boarding or rooming houses, or townhouse groups. No minimum lot width required by zoning for other uses.
 - (6) Lot coverage. Minimum pervious lot coverage of 15 percent (85 percent maximum semi-impervious and impervious cover) for all uses.
 - (7) Structure setback. For all principal structures, minimum setbacks are:
 - a. Front and rear. Fifteen feet in both front and rear.
 - **b. Sides.** Ten feet on each side, including any group of attached townhouses. For structures exceeding 35 feet above highest adjacent grade, an additional two feet for each additional 10 feet in height.
 - c. Corner lots. Will have one front setback and one side setback.
 - (8) Other requirements. Refer to chapters 4 and 5 for additional development regulations and standards.

- (e) Location criteria. All new non-residential uses proposed within the Commercial district that are not part of a planned unit development or not identified as exempt by the district shall be on parcels that satisfy at least one of the following location criteria:
 - (1) **Proximity to intersection.** Along an arterial or collector street and within onequarter mile of its intersection with an arterial street.
 - (2) Proximity to traffic generator. Along an arterial or collector street and within a one-quarter mile radius of an individual traffic generator of more than 600 daily trips, such as an apartment complex, military base, college campus, hospital, shopping mall or similar generator.
 - (3) Infill development. Along an arterial or collector street, in an area where already established non-residential uses are otherwise consistent with the Commercial district, and where the new use would constitute infill development of similar intensity as the conforming development on surrounding parcels. Additionally, the location would promote compact development and not contribute to or promote strip commercial development.
 - (4) Site design. Along an arterial or collector street, no more than one-half mile from its intersection with an arterial or collector street, not abutting a single-family residential zoning district (RR, LDR or MDR), and all of the following site design conditions:
 - **a.** Any Intrusion into a recorded subdivision is limited to a corner lot.
 - **b.** A system of service roads or shared access is provided to the maximum extent made feasible by lot area, shape, ownership patterns, and site and street characteristics.
 - **c.** Adverse impacts to any adjoining residential uses are minimized by placing the more intensive elements of the use, such as solid waste dumpsters and truck loading/unloading areas, furthest from the residential uses.
 - (5) Documented compatibility. A compatibility analysis prepared by the applicant provides competent substantial evidence of unique circumstances regarding the potential uses of parcel that were not anticipated by the alternative criteria, and the proposed use, or rezoning as applicable, will be able to achieve long-term compatibility with existing and potential uses. Additionally, the following conditions exist:
 - **a.** The parcel has not been rezoned by the landowner from the mixed-use, commercial, or industrial zoning assigned by the county.
 - **b.** If the parcel is within a county redevelopment district, the use will be consistent with the district's adopted redevelopment plan, as reviewed and recommended by the Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA).
- (f) Rezoning to Commercial. Commercial zoning may be established only within the Mixed-Use Suburban (MU-S), Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U) or Commercial (C) future land use categories. The district is appropriate to provide transitions between areas zoned or used as high density mixed-use and areas zoned or used as heavy

commercial or industrial. Rezoning to Commercial is subject to the same location criteria as any new non-residential use proposed within the Commercial district. (Ord. No. 2015-56, § 4, 12-10-2015; Ord. No. 2016-02, § 2, 1-7-2016; 2016-31 § 1, 8-4-2016; Ord. No. 2017-5, §,2, 1-5-2017)

Sec. 3-2.11 Heavy Commercial and Light Industrial district (HC/LI).

- (a) Purpose. The Heavy Commercial and Light Industrial (HC/LI) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for a complementary mix of industrial uses with a broad range of commercial activities. The primary intent of the district is to allow light manufacturing, large-scale wholesale and retail uses, major services, and other more intense uses than allowed in the Commercial district. The variety and intensity of non-residential uses within the HC/LI district is limited by their compatibility with surrounding uses. All commercial and industrial operations are limited to the confines of buildings and not allowed to produce undesirable effects on other property. To retain adequate area for commercial and industrial activities, other uses within the district are limited.
- (b) Permitted uses. Permitted uses within the HC/LI district are limited to the following:
 - (1) **Residential**. Any residential uses if outside of the Industrial (I) future land use category and part of a predominantly commercial development, excluding new or expanded manufactured (mobile) home parks and subdivisions. See also conditional uses in this district.
 - (2) Retail sales. Retail sales, including Low-THC marijuana dispensing facilities, sales of alcoholic beverages, sales of automotive fuels, and sales of new and used automobiles, motorcycles, boats, and manufactured (mobile) homes.

(3) Retail services.

- a. Car washes, automatic or manual, full service or self-serve.
- b. Child care facilities.
- **c.** Hotels, motels and all other public lodging, including boarding and rooming houses.
- **d.** Personal services, including those of beauty shops, health clubs, pet groomers, dry cleaners and tattoo parlors.
- e. Professional services, including those of realtors, bankers, accountants, engineers, architects, dentists, physicians, and attorneys.
- f. Rental of automobiles, trucks, utility trailers and recreational vehicles.
- **g.** Repair services, including appliance repair, furniture refinishing and upholstery, watch and jewelry repair, small engine and motor services, and major motor vehicle and boat service and repair, but excluding outdoor work or storage.
- h. Restaurants and brewpubs, including on-premises consumption of alcoholic beverages, drive-in and drive-through service, and brewpubs with the distribution of on-premises produced alcoholic beverages for off-site sales. The parcel boundary of any restaurant or brewpub with drive-in or drive-



Planning Board-Rezoning

Meeting Date: CASE : APPLICANT:	04/04/2017 Z-2017-02 Joseph Dhaiti, Agent for Katia Lamothe, Owner
ADDRESS:	1300 Block Blue Angel Parkway
PROPERTY REF. NO.:	12-2S-31-3404-000-000
FUTURE LAND USE:	MU-S, Mixed-Use Suburban
DISTRICT:	1
OVERLAY DISTRICT:	N/A
BCC MEETING DATE:	05/04/2017

SUBMISSION DATA: REQUESTED REZONING:

FROM: MDR, Medium Density Residential district (10 du/acre)

TO: HDMU, High Density Mixed-use district (25 du/acre)

RELEVANT AUTHORITY:

- (1) Escambia County Comprehensive Plan
- (2) Escambia County Land Development Code

(3) Board of County Commissioners of Brevard County v. Snyder, 627 So. 2d 469 (Fla. 1993)

(4) Resolution 96-34 (Quasi-judicial Proceedings)

(5) Resolution 96-13 (Ex-parte Communications)

APPROVAL CONDITIONS

Criterion a., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Consistent with Comprehensive Plan

Whether the proposed rezoning is consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan and not in conflict with any of the plan provisions

CPP FLU 1.3.1 Future Land Use Categories. The Mixed-Use Suburban (MU-S) Future Land Use (FLU) category is intended for a mix of residential and non-residential uses while promoting compatible infill development and the separation of urban and suburban land uses. Range of Allowable Uses: residential, retail sales & services, professional office, recreational facilities, public and civic, limited agriculture. The maximum residential density is 25 dwelling units per acre.

FLU 1.5.1 New Development and Redevelopment in Built Areas. To promote the efficient use of existing public roads, utilities, and service infrastructure, the County will encourage the redevelopment in underutilized properties to maximize development densities and intensities located in the MU-S, MU-U, Commercial, and Industrial Future Land Use categories (with the exception of residential development).

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment to HDMU **is consistent** with the intent and purpose of Future Land Use category MU-S as stated in CPP FLU 1.3.1 The Comprehensive Plan allows for retail sales & services, professional office, recreational facilities, public and civic, limited agriculture. The parcel will utilize the existing public road, utilities and infrastructure.

Criterion b., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Consistent with The Land Development Code

Whether the proposed amendment is in conflict with any portion of this Code, and is consistent with the stated purpose and intent of this Code.

Sec. 3-2.7 Medium Density Residential district (MDR).

(a) Purpose. The Medium Density Residential (MDR) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for residential uses at medium densities within suburban or urban areas. The primary intent of the district is to provide for residential neighborhood development in an efficient urban pattern of well-connected streets and at greater dwelling unit density than the Low Density Residential district. Residential uses within the MDR district are limited to single-family and two-family dwellings. The district allows non-residential uses that are compatible with suburban and urban residential neighborhoods.

Sec. 3-2.9 High Density Mixed-use district (HDMU).

(a) **Purpose.** The High Density Mixed-use (HDMU) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for a complimentary mix of high density residential uses and compatible non-residential uses within urban areas. The primary intent of the district is to provide for a mix of neighborhood retail sales, services and professional offices with greater dwelling unit density and diversity than the Low Density Mixed-use district. Additionally, the HDMU district is intended to rely on urban street connectivity and encourage vertical mixes of commercial and residential uses within the same building to accommodate a physical pattern of development characteristic of village main streets and older neighborhood commercial areas. Residential uses within the district include all forms of single-family, two-family and multi-family dwellings.

(b) Permitted uses. Permitted uses within the HDMU district are limited to the following:

(1) **Residential.** The following residential uses are allowed throughout the district, but if within a Commercial (C) future land use category they are permitted only if part of a predominantly commercial development.

a. Group living, excluding dormitories, fraternity and sorority houses, and residential facilities providing substance abuse treatment, post-incarceration reentry, or similar

services.

b. Manufactured (mobile) homes, including manufactured home subdivisions, but excluding new or expanded manufactured home parks.

c. Single-family dwellings (other than manufactured homes), detached or attached, including townhouses and zero lot line subdivisions.

d. Two-family and multi-family dwellings.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(2) Retail sales. Small-scale (gross floor area 6000 sq.ft. or less per lot) retail sales, including Low-THC marijuana dispensing facilities, sales of beer and wine, but excluding sales of liquor, automotive fuels, or motor vehicles, and excluding permanent outdoor storage, display, or sales. See also conditional uses in this district.

(3) Retail services. The following small-scale (gross floor area 6000 sq.ft. or less per lot) retail services, excluding outdoor work or permanent outdoor storage:

- a. Bed and breakfast inns.
- b. Boarding and rooming houses.
- c. Child care facilities.

d. Personal services, including those of beauty shops, health clubs, pet groomers, dry cleaners, and tattoo parlors.

e. Professional services, including those of realtors, bankers, accountants, engineers, architects, dentists, physicians, and attorneys.

f. Repair services, including appliance repair, furniture refinishing and upholstery, watch and jewelry repair, small engine and motor services, but excluding major motor vehicle or boat service or repair.

g. Restaurants, and brewpubs, including on-premises consumption of alcoholic beverages, but excluding drive-in or drive-through service and brewpubs with distribution of alcoholic beverages for off-site sales.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(4) Public and civic.

a. Preschools and kindergartens.

b. Emergency service facilities, including law enforcement, fire fighting, and medical assistance.

- c. Foster care facilities.
- d. Places of worship.
- e. Public utility structures, excluding telecommunications towers.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(5) Recreation and entertainment.

a. Marinas, private only.

b. Parks without permanent restrooms or outdoor event lighting.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(6) Industrial and related. No industrial or related uses.

(7) Agricultural and related. Agricultural production limited to food primarily for

personal consumption by the producer, but no farm animals.

(e) Location criteria. All new non-residential uses proposed within the HDMU district that are not part of a predominantly residential development or a planned unit development, or are not identified as exempt by district regulations, shall be on parcels that satisfy at least one of the following location criteria:

(1) Proximity to intersection. Along an arterial or collector street and within 200 feet of an intersection with another arterial or collector.

(2) Proximity to traffic generator. Along an arterial or collector street and within a one-quarter mile radius of an individual traffic generator of more than 600 daily trips, such as an apartment complex, military base, college campus, hospital, shopping mall or similar generator.

(3) Infill development. Along an arterial or collector street, in an area where already established non-residential uses are otherwise consistent with the HDMU district, and where the new use would constitute infill development of similar intensity as the conforming development on surrounding parcels. Additionally, the location would promote compact development and not contribute to or promote strip commercial development.

(4) Site design. Along an arterial street and at the intersection with a local street that serves to connect the arterial street to another arterial, and all of the following site design conditions:

a. Any intrusion into a recorded residential subdivision is limited to a corner lot

b. Access and stormwater management is shared with adjoining uses or properties to the extent practicable.

c. Adverse impacts to any adjoining residential uses are minimized by placing the more intensive elements of the use, such as solid waste dumpsters and truck loading/unloading areas, furthest from the residential uses.

(5) Documented compatibility. A compatibility analysis prepared by the applicant provides competent substantial evidence of unique circumstances regarding the parcel or use that were not anticipated by the alternative criteria, and the proposed use will be able to achieve long-term compatibility with existing and potential uses. Additionally, the following conditions exist:

a. The parcel has not been rezoned by the landowner from the mixed-use, commercial, or industrial zoning assigned by the county. b. If the parcel is within a county redevelopment district, the use will be consistent with the district's adopted redevelopment plan, as reviewed and recommended by the Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA).

(f) Rezoning to HDMU. High Density Mixed-use zoning may be established only within the Mixed-Use Suburban (MU-S), Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U), or Commercial (C) future land use categories. The district is suitable for areas where the intermixing of uses has been the custom, where future uses are uncertain, and some redevelopment is probable. The district is appropriate to provide transitions between areas zoned or used for medium or high density residential and areas zoned or used for commercial. Rezoning to HDMU is subject to the same location criteria as any new non-residential use proposed within the HDMU district.

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment **is not consistent** with the intent and purpose of the Land Development Code. The applicant did not submit a compatibility analysis regarding the potential uses of the parcel. The site **does not** meet the following required location criteria: proximity to intersection, proximity to traffic generator, and site design. The more intense uses of HDMU are not found on the surrounding parcels, therefore the new use would not consitute infill development.

Criterion c., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Compatible with surrounding uses

Whether all land uses, development activities, and conditions allowed by the proposed zoning are compatible with the surrounding conforming uses, activities and conditions and are able to coexist in relative proximity to them in a stable fashion over time such that no use, activity, or condition negatively impacts another. The appropriateness of the rezoning is not limited to any specific use that may be proposed but is evident for all permitted uses of the requested zoning.

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment **is not compatible** with surrounding existing uses in the area. Within the 500' radius impact area, staff observed properties with zoning districts HDMU, MDR, and LDR. There are 10 single-family residences, eight vacant residential properties, five vacant commercial properties, two churches, and one retail store. The proposed amendment **would result in a more intense use** of the subject property than the surrounding adjacent properties. Most parcels in the area are single-family residences or vacant. The allowable uses in the HDMU zoning appear to be incompatible with the current surrounding parcels in the immediate area.

Criterion d., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Changed conditions

Whether the area to which the proposed rezoning would apply has changed, or is changing, to such a degree that it is in the public interest to encourage new uses, density, or intensity in the area through rezoning.

FINDINGS

Staff found **no changed** conditions that would impact the amendment or property(s).

Criterion e., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Development patterns

Whether the proposed rezoning would contribute to or result in a logical and orderly development pattern.

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment **would not** result in a logical and orderly development pattern. The adjacent properties are residential. The nearest commercial is a small scale retail shop located at the intersection of two arterial streets located greater than 500' from the subject property.

Criterion (f) LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4) Effect on natural environment

Whether the proposed rezoning would increase the probability of any significant adverse impacts on the natural environment.

FINDINGS

According to the National Wetland Inventory, wetlands and hydric soils **were not** indicated on the subject property. When applicable, further review during the Site Plan Review process will be necessary to determine if there would be any significant adverse impact on the natural environment.

<u>Z-2017-02</u>

Attachments

Z-2017-02





























Escambia County Planning and Zoning

Development Services Department 3363 West Park Place Pensacola, FL 32505 Phone: (850) 595-3475 • Fax: (850) 595-3481 <u>http://myescambia.com/business/ds</u>

Rezoning Application FOR OFFICE USE ONLY - Case Number 2-2017-02 Accepted by: AL
1. <u>Contact Information:</u>
A. Property Owner/Applicant: Katia Lamothe
Mailing Address: 10420 GW 142nd AVE
Business Phone: Cell 305 302 - 4002
Email: Bhartija adj. com
(B.) Authorized Agent (if applicable):
Mailing Address: 10420 SW 142 AVE Miani F1.33186
Business Phone: 305) 307 - 4002 Cell:
Email: dhaitis@ aol.com
Note: Owner must complete the attached Agent Affidavit. If there is more than one owner, each owner must complete an Agent Affidavit. Application will be voided if changes to this application are found.
2. Property Information:
A. Existing Street Address: 1300 BUK Blue Angel PKWY
Der Parcel ID (s): 12-25-31-3404-000-000
(B.) Total acreage of the subject property: <u>Z 04</u>
C. Existing Zoning: MAR
Proposed Zoning: HDHU
FLU Category: $MU - S$
D. Is the subject property developed (if yes, explain):
E. Sanitary Sewer: Septic:

3. Amendment Request

A. Please provide a general description of the proposed zoning request, explaining why it is necessary and/or appropriate.

IN ORDER TO UTILIZE THIS LAND TO OPÉCATE À CONVINIENT STORE IT MUST BE KEZONED FROM MAR TO HOMU

- B. Rezoning Approval Conditions Please address *ALL* the following approval conditions for your rezoning request. (use supplement sheets as needed)
- 1. Consistent with Comprehensive Plan. The proposed rezoning is consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan and not in conflict with any of its provisions.

Yes, The comprehensive plan is to rezone from resadential to commercial as there are nts young on Within developme Zonmi Property 4 Miles Ut Rielpoment Includes Sub- divisions, stores No ceru Hattoms ഫർ

2. Consistent with LDC. The proposed rezoning is consistent with the stated purposes and intent of the LDC and not in conflict with any of its provisions.

Stated LOC is consistent with the this area is continining rode reveloped into a more commerical strict and will bring much need businesses owners in the area. dentra

- 3. Compatibility. All land uses, development activities, and conditions allowed by the proposed zoning are compatible with the surrounding conforming uses, activities and conditions and are able to coexist in relative proximity to them in a stable fashion over time such that no use, activity, or condition negatively impacts another. The appropriateness of the rezoning is not limited to any specific use that may be proposed but is evident for all permitted uses of the requested zoning. This condition shall not apply to any conditional uses of the proposed district or compatibility with nonconforming or unapproved uses, activities, or conditions. There are a G VIES Variety 01 Commercal distric 00:06 Store, Store mart with in 3 miles 20nml
- 4. Changed conditions. The area to which the proposed rezoning would apply has changed, or is changing, to such a degree that it is in the public interest to encourage new uses, density, or intensity in the area through rezoning.

5. Development patterns. The proposed rezoning would contribute to or result in a logical and orderly development pattern.

and there area being 13 Overe noed new Constructed homes the re-

6. Effect on natural environment. The proposed rezoning would not increase the probability of any significant adverse impacts on the natural environment.

Sinc H WILL not be Use 10-IGTVIAL PURPOSES.

4. <u>Please complete the following Forms: Concurrency Determination Acknowledgement</u> and Affidavit of Owner/Limited Power of Attorney (if applicable).

CONCURRENCY DETERMINATION ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Property Reference Number(s): 12 - 25 - 31 - 3404 -000 - 000 Property Address: 1300 BLK BLK Angel Parkway

I/We acknowledge and agree that no future development for which concurrency of required facilities and services must be certified shall be approved for the subject parcel(s) without the issuance of a certificate of concurrency for the development based on the actual densities and intensities proposed in the future development's permit application.

I/We also acknowledge and agree that approval of a zoning district amendment (rezoning) or Future Land Use Map amendment does not certify, vest, or otherwise guarantee that concurrency of required facilities and services is, or will be, available for any future development of the subject parcels.

I/We further acknowledge and agree that no development for which concurrency must be certified shall be approved unless at least one of the following minimum conditions of the Comprehensive Plan will be met for each facility and service of the County's concurrency management system prior to development approval:

- a. The necessary facilities or services are in place at the time a development permit is issued.
- b. A development permit is issued subject to the condition that the necessary facilities and services will be in place and available to serve the new development at the time of the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.
- c. For parks and recreation facilities and roads, the necessary facilities are under construction at the time the development permit is issued.
- d. For parks and recreation facilities, the necessary facilities are the subject of a binding executed contract for the construction of the facilities at the time the development permit is issued and the agreement requires that facility construction must commence within one year of the issuance of the development permit.
- e. The necessary facilities and services are guaranteed in an enforceable development agreement. An enforceable development agreement may include, but is not limited to, development agreements pursuant to Section 163.3220, F.S., or as amended, or an agreement or development order issued pursuant to Chapter 380, F.S., or as amended. For wastewater, solid waste, potable water, and stormwater facilities, any such agreement will guarantee the necessary facilities and services to be in place and available to serve the new development at the time of the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.
- f. For roads, the necessary facilities needed to serve the development are included in the first three years of the applicable Five-Year Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Work Program or are in place or under actual construction no more than three years after the issuance of a County development order or permit.

	OWNEDGE THAT I HA	VE READ, UNDERSTAND AND AGREE WIT	H THE ABOVE STATEMENT
I HEREBT ACKIN		DAY OF FEbruary	, YEAR OF <u>201</u>
ON THIS	6th	DAY OF PEDr Gor 9	

Signature of Property Owner

X

KATIA LANDTA

Printed Name of Property Owner

Date

Date

5. <u>Submittal Requirements</u>

- A. _____ Completed application: All applicable areas of the application shall be filled in and submitted to the Planning and Zoning Department, 3363 West Park Place, Pensacola, FL 32505.
- B. _____ Application Fee: \$1275.50 for one parcel

\$2122.50 - for two contiguous parcels

\$2122.50 + \$84.70 - for each additional parcel for more than two contiguous parcels

This fee includes all notices and advertisements required for the public hearing and a \$5 technical fee. Payments must be submitted prior to 3 pm of the closing date of acceptance of application. Please make checks payable to Escambia County. MasterCard and Visa are also accepted.

- C. Legal Proof of Ownership (ex: copy of Tax Notice or Warranty Deed) <u>AND</u> a Certified Boundary Survey (Include Corporation/LLC documentation if applicable.)
- D. _____ Compatibility Analysis (if applicable): If the subject property does not meet the roadway requirements of Locational Criteria, a compatibility analysis prepared by the applicant is required to provide substantial evidence of unique circumstances regarding the parcel or use that were not anticipated by the alternative criteria. (See "Documented Compatibility" within the request zoning district of the LDC.)
- E. _____ Signed and Notarized Affidavit of Owner/Limited Power of Attorney AND Concurrency Determination Acknowledgement (pages 4 and 5).

By my signature, I hereby certify that:

- 1) I am duly qualified as owner(s) or authorized agent to make such application, this application is of my own choosing, and staff has explained all procedures relating to this request; and
- 2) All information given is accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief, and I understand that deliberate misrepresentation of such information will be grounds for denial or reversal of this application and/or revocation of any approval based upon this application; and
- 3) I understand that there are no guarantees as to the outcome of this request, and that the application fee is non-refundable; and
- 4) I authorize County staff to enter upon the property referenced herein at any reasonable time for purposes of site inspection and authorize placement of a public notice sign(s) on the property referenced herein at a location(s) to be determined by County staff; and
- 5) I am aware that Public Hearing notices (legal ad and/or postcards) for the request shall be provided by the Development Services Burgay.

Signature of Owner/Agent

Signature of Owner

atia Lamothe

Printed Name Owner/Agent

Printed Name of Owner

Date

STATE OF New Jersey COUNTY OF K	Essex	The foregoing instrument
was acknowledged before methis day of	tebruary 2017 by	Katla Lamothe
Personally Known D OR Produced Identification	ype of Identification Produced:	LL=1=533513615150
Skendine (Ills	SHERRIANN AL	6
Signature of Notary	Printed Name of AME ALS	
	NOTARY PUBLIC OF NEW JERSEY MY COMMISSION EXPIRES MAY 5, 2020	
	MF COMMISSION -	- 49 of 28.

AFFIDAVIT OF OWNER AND LIMITED POWER OF ATTORNEY

(if applicable)

As owner of the property located at 1300 BLK BLAP , Florida, property reference number(s) 12 -DOD ווחוו3 I hereby designate Joseph Dharti SPOUSE for the sole purpose of completing this application and making a presentation to the Planning Board and the Board of County Commissioners to request a rezoning on the above referenced property. This Limited Power of Attorney is granted on this $\int_{0}^{\infty} day$ of February the year of, 2017, and is effective until the Board of County Commissioners or the Board of Adjustment has rendered a decision on this request and any appeal period has expired. The owner reserves the right to rescind this Limited Power of Attorney at any time with a written, notarized notice to the Development Services Bureau. Agent Name: Email: Address: Phone: Signature of Property Owner Printed Name of Property Owner Date Signature of Property Owner Printed Name of Property Owner Date STATE OF NEW JERSEY COUNTY OF

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this <u>6</u> day of <u>February</u> 20<u>17</u> by Katla Lamothe

Personally Known D OR Produced Identification Produced: FL bL: L533513615150

ANN

Printed Name of Notary SHERRIANN ALS NOTARY PUBLIC OF NEW JERSEY MY COMMISSION EXPIRES MAY 5, 2020



JANET HOLLEY, CFC ESCAMBIA COUNTY TAX COLLECTOR		NOTICE OF AL	VALOREM TAXE	S AND NON-AD VALOREM ASSESSMENTS	
ACCOUNT NUMBER	ESCROW CD	ASSESSED VALUE	MILLAGE CODE	PROPERTY REFERENCE NUMBER	
09-3826-000		SEE BELOW	06	122S31-3404-000-000	
2013 Delinquent Individual Tax Certificat *** PRIOR YEAR TAXES DUE *** LAMOTHE KATIA 10420 SW 142ND AVE MIAMI, FL 33186	e	BEG OF 5 730		F BLK 7 VAN KIRKS S/D RR AND PALAFOX ST N	OFFICE (850) 438-6500 Ext. 3252
PAYD	ELINQUENT		CASHIER'S CHE	ECK OR MONEY ORDER	

1

			REM TAXES					
TAXING AUTHORITY	MILLAGE RA	the second se	The second se		INT TAXABLE AMO	DUNT	TAXES LEVIED	Sue (5)
COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS	6.61	65 30),600		0 30,	,600	202.46	
BY LOCAL BOARD),600			,600	68.79	
BY STATE LAW	5.30),600			,600	162.46	
WATER MANAGEM SHERIFF	ENT 0.04 0.68		0,600	T SPICES		,600	1.22	RETAIN TH
M.S.T.U. LIBRARY	0.35),600),600			,600 ,600	20.96 10.99	PORTION
	0.02		,000			,000	10.55	FOR YOUR RECORDS
	TOTAL MIL	LAGE 15.	2575	AD \	ALOREM TAXES		\$466.88)
		NON-AD VALOR	EM ASSESS	MENTS				
LEVYING AUTHORITY					RATE		AMOUNT	Control -
FP FIRE PROTECT	ION	850-595-4960					11.06	
								PLEASE PAY ONE AMOUNT SHOWN IN YELLOW SHADED
								AREA
			NON	I-AD VALOREN	ASSESSMENTS		\$11.06)
COMBINED TAXES AND	ASSESSMENTS	\$477.94			PAY ONE AMOUNT		e reverse side for portant information)
Face: 537.42 Rate: 2.75%	Cert #5097 Bidder #86478	If Received By Please Pay	Apr 29, 2 \$572.00	2016	May 31, 2016 \$573.23		n 30, 2016 74.46	AMOUNT DUE IF PAID BY
JANET HOLLEY, C					XES AND NON-AD		ACCECCMENTS	
ACCOUNT	and the second se		ED VALUE	MILLAGE CO	and the second statement of the second		NCE NUMBER	
09-382	:6-000	SEE	ABOVE	06	122S31-3404-	000-000		
	lividual Tax Certificate							
***PRIOR YEAR T	AXES DUE	STREET, STREET			UE ANGEL PK COR OF BLK 7 VA	NUDVO	S/D OF	CURRENT
LAMOTHE KATIA 10420 SW 142ND A MIAMI, FL 33186	VE		S	51/2 OF LT 3 (ON RR AND PALA Legal on Tax Roll	AFOX ST		YEAR TAXES BECOME DELINQUEN APRIL 1
	PA	Y DELINQUENT TAX	ES BY CA	SH, CASHIE	R'S CHECK OR	MONEY	DRDER	
PAY IN U.S. FUNDS TO	ESCAMBIA COUNTY TAX	COLLECTOR • P.O. BO	X 1312 • PE	NSACOLA, FL	32591-1312	(850)	438-6500 Ext. 3252	
Face: 537.42 Rate: 2.75%	Cert #5097 Bidder #86478	If Received By Please Pay	Apr 29, \$572.00	2016	May 31, 2016 \$573.23		30, 2016 4.46	RETURN WIT
						and the second se		

DO NOT FOLD, STAPLE, OR MUTILATE



Janet Holley, CFC Escambia County Tax Collector PO Box 1312 Pensacola, FL 32591-1312 ectc@EscambiaTaxCollector.com www.EscambiaTaxCollector.com 850-438-6500, Ext. 3252

Cashier:	ARM		
Paid By: JOSEPH DHAITI			
Posted Date:	07/06/2016 11:54AM		
Received Via:	In Person		
Num. Items:	2		
Total Tendered:	\$1,367.18		
Receipt #:	130-16-00121493		
Location: Downtown			
Batch:	308971		
Drawer:	130		
Status:	Complete		

	Balance:			\$0.00
Cash	Cash Change			\$-33.10
Cash	Cash			\$1,400.28
Payment	Details			Paid
	Total:		\$1,367.18	\$1,367.18
	Bill Yr: 2013 Regular Due: 03/31/2014			
Real Estate	Bill Yr: 2012 Regular Due: 03/31/2013 Acc# 09-3826-000	07/06/2016	\$575.69	\$575.69
Real Estate	Acc# 09-3826-000	07/06/2016	\$791.49	\$791.49
Item	Details	Effective	Due	Paid
Receipt				

"Where service is a matter of pride."



NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION DIVISION 151 HIGHWAY 97-MOLINO, FL 32577 (850) 587-5404 (850) 587-2765 fax

DATE: August 5, 2013

SUBJECT: Site Specific Survey Applicant's Name: Joseph Lamothe Applicant's Address: 10420 SW 142 Ave Miami FL 33186 Site Address: 1300 Blue Angel Parkway Pensacola FL 32506 Property Reference Number: 12-2S-31-3404-000-000

Acres: 2.04 +/- in Escambia County

TO: Escambia County Development Services

A field inspection was made on this property and determined there are no jurisdictional wetlands according to Florida's procedure for determining jurisdictional wetlands in Northwest Florida.

This determination prepared for the Escambia County Neighborhood and Environmental Services Department does not bind DEP or its employees. Persons obtaining this determination are not entitled to rely upon if for purposes of compliance with DEP rules. A formal jurisdictional determination may be obtained by petitioning the DEP for a jurisdictional declaratory statement pursuant to F.A.C. Rule 62-312-040.

This property may include wetlands using U.S. Army Corps of Engineers method of determining jurisdictional wetlands, but no formal determination was made using the method.

This determination does not relieve you from the responsibility of obtaining a federal permit from U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, if applicable, and any permits that may be required from the Northwest Florida Water Management District.

Della Willion

Debbie Williams Environmental Technician


NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION DIVISION 151 HIGHWAY 97–MOLINO, FL 32577 (850) 587-5404 (850) 587-2765 fax

DATE: August 5, 2013

SUBJECT: Threatened and Endangered Species Applicants Name: Joseph Lamothe Applicants Address10420 SW 142 Ave Miami FL 33186 Site Address: 1300 Blue Angel Parkway Pensacola FL 32506 Property Reference Number: 12-2S-31-3404-000-000

Acres: 2.04 +/- in Escambia County

TO: Escambia County Development Services

According to the Soil Survey of Escambia County and cross-referenced with the 26 Ecological Communities of Florida, the threatened and endangered species (T&E) from the Federal and State list that may occur on this property are listed on the attachment(s).

Evidence of the following threatened and endangered species was observed:

No evidence was observed during the site check

The following threatened and endangered species were sighted:

None were sighted during the site check

Although during this inspection there were no T&E species observed or sighted, only prolonged trapping or surveillance can determine actual presence of a T&E species.

bhe William

Debbie Williams Environmental Technician

ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITY 5 MIXED HARDWOOD AND PINE ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA Federal (USFWS) and State Listed Species

.

2002 Soil Survey Soils

#11 - Hurricane, #24 - Poarch, #25 - Poarch, #26 - Poarch, #27 - Escambia #29 - Perdido, #30 - Perdido, #31 - Perdido, #35 - Lucy #36 - Lucy, #40 - Eunola, #41 - Malbis, #42 - Malbis, #43 - Albany #45 - Troup & Perdido, #47 - Hurricane & Albany, #48 - Plham-Yemassee
#52 - Robertsdale, #54 - Troup-Poarch, #55 - Troup-Poarch, #56 - Troup-Poarch #57 - Cowarts-Troup, #58 - Eunola, #59 - Notcher, #60 - Notcher
#61 - Notcher, #62 - Bama, #63 - Bama, #64 - Red Bay, #65 - Red Bay
#66 - Red Bay, #67 - Nothcer-Maubila, #68 - Notcher-Maubila, #69 - Nothcer - Maubila #70 - Izagora, #72 Yemassee, #74 Lucy, #78 - Emory

	Status	
	Federal	State
Birds		
Bald Eagle	Е	Е
(Haliaeetus leucocephalus)		
Artic Peregrine Falcon		Ε
(FAlco peregrinus tundris)		
Red-Cockaded Woodpercker	E	Т
(Picoides borealis)		
Southeastern Kestrel		Т
(Falco sparverius paulus)		
Mammals		-
Florida Black Bear		Т
(Ursus americanus floridanus)		
Dianta		
Plants Orange Azeles		E
Orange Azalea		E
(Rhododendron austrinum) Silky Camellia		Е
(Stewartia malacodendron)		L
Sweet Shrub		Е
(Calycanthus floridus)		-
Trailing Arbutus		Е
(Epigaea repens)		
(-1-8		
<u>Reptiles</u>		
Eastern Indigo Snake	Т	Т
(Drymarchon corais couperi)		

NOTE T = Threatened E = Endangered



This map was prepared by the Development Review Division. It is provided for informational purposes only. It is not intended for conveyance, nor is it a survey. Data not guaranteed suitable for any use other than that for which it was gathered.







LEGAL DESCRIPTION: (O.R. BOOK 6654, PAGE 511)

BEGIN AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF BLOCK 7, VAN KIRKS SUBDIVISION OF THE SOUTH ½ OF GOVERNMENT LOT 3 ON RAILROAD AND PALAFOX STREET; NORTH 730 FEET FOR THE POINT OF BEGINNING; CONTINUE NORTH 220 FEET; EAST 500 FEET; SOUTH 200 FEET; WEST 500 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING. LESS O.R. BOOK 1569, PAGE 520, STATE ROAD 297 RIGHT-OF-WAY, O.R BOOK 1543, PAGE 353.

> LEGEND: (F) - FIELD (D) - DEED PG - PAGE R/W - RIGHT-OF-WAY

NOTES:

1. FIELD WORK FOR THIS SURVEY WAS COMPLETED ON 6-6-11.

2. ALL EASEMENTS AND RIGHTS-OF-WAY OF WHICH THE SURVEYOR HAS KNOWLEDGE HAVE BEEN SHOWN HEREON. THE SURVEYOR HAS MADE NO INVESTIGATION OR INDEPENDENT SEARCH FOR EASEMENTS OF RECORD, ENCUMBRANCES, RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS, OR OWNERSHIP TITLE EVIDENCE.

3. THE BEARINGS AS SHOWN HEREON ARE REFERENCED TO THE WEST PROPERTY LINE (BLUE ANGEL PARKWAY EASTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY) WHICH BEARS N 32°23'23" E.

4. SURVEY INFORMATION SHOWN HEREON IS BASED ON THE DEEDS OF RECORD IN O.R. BOOK 6654 AT PAGE 511; O.R. BOOK 1894 AT PAGE 879; O.R. BOOK 6347 AT PAGE 1224; O.R BOOK 6284 AT PAGE 1694; F.D.O.T. RIGHT-OF-WAY MAP SECTION 48190-2504 - SHEET 8 OF 19, DATED 3-31-77; F.D.O.T. RIGHT-OF-WAY MAP F.P. #446591 - SHEETS 1-3; SURVEY OF J.W. COOK DEPICTING HARLEY AND HOPKINS PARCELS - PORTIONS OF BLOCK 4 OF THE CITY OF MILLVIEW; THE PLAT OF McCORD AND VANKIRK ADDITION TO THE TOWN OF MILLVIEW, DATED NOVEMBER 27, 1874; ESCAMBIA COUNTY PROPERTY APPRAISER MAPS OF SECTION 12, TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 31 WEST DATED OCTOBER 1942, DECEMBER 1954, NOVEMBER 2001 AND CUFRENT INFORMATION.

5. SAND ROAD PROVIDES ACCESS TO ADJOINING HOMES.

The survey shown hereon is true and correct and in compliance with the Minimum Technical Standards set forth by the Florida Board of Professional Surveyors and Mappers in Chapter 5J-17.050, 5J-17.051 and 5J-17.052, Florida Administrative Code pursuant to Section 472.027, Florida Statutes.

ROB L. WORKING P.L.S. FLORIDA REGISTRATION NO. 5878







BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO: Andrew Holmer, Development Services Manager Development Services Department

- FROM: David Forte, Division Manager Transportation & Traffic Operations Division
- DATE: March 20, 2017

RE: Transportation & Traffic Operations (TTO) Comments – Z-2017-02

TTO Staff has reviewed the Rezoning Case (Z)-2017-02, 1300 Block of SR173 (Blue Angel Parkway), agenda item for the upcoming Planning Board meeting scheduled for April 6, 2017. Please see the below comments.

Currently, there are no Roadway Improvement Projects programmed in the County's Capital Improvement Program or the State of Florida's Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) 5-Year Work Program along Blue Angel Parkway within the vicinity of the subject parcel. However, per the Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) Congestion Management Process Plan, Blue Angel Parkway is currently exceeding its allowable capacity for traffic volumes between the segment of SR292 (Sorrento Road) and SR298 (Lillian Highway). The maximum level-of-service (LOS) for the roadway segment is LOS D (17,700 trips/day), and currently the roadway segment is functioning at a LOS F (18,100 trips/day) and is expected to exceed 22,000 trips/day by Year 2024.

The TPO 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) does include in the Needs Plan and Cost Feasible Plan identify the need for a 4 laning capacity project from US98 to Saufley Field Road, but the only expected phase to be completed detailed in the LRTP is the professional engineering phase between the Years 2026-2030.

Note: TTO's review is solely based off the application submittal packet, so the comments above hold no bearing on future TTO comments during the Development Review process.

cc: Horace Jones, Development Services Department Director Joy Blackmon, P.E., Public Works Department Director Colby Brown, P.E., Public Works Department Deputy Director

Planning Board-Rezon	ling	7. (
Meeting Date:	04/04/2017	
CASE :	Z-2017-03	
APPLICANT:	Wiley C. "Buddy" Page, Agent for Ali Ramzan, Own	er
ADDRESS:	101 Beverly Parkway	
PROPERTY REF. NO.:	46-1S-30-2001-024-018	
FUTURE LAND USE:	MU-U, Mixed-Use Urban	
DISTRICT:	3	
OVERLAY DISTRICT:	Palafox	
BCC MEETING DATE:	05/04/2017	

SUBMISSION DATA: REQUESTED REZONING:

FROM: HDMU, High Density Mixed-use district (25 du/acre)

TO: HC/LI-NA, Heavy Commercial and Light Industrial district, prohibiting the subsequent establishment of any microbreweries, microdistilleries, microwineries, bars, nightclubs, or adult entertainment uses (25 du/acre, lodging unit density not limited by zoning)

RELEVANT AUTHORITY:

- (1) Escambia County Comprehensive Plan
- (2) Escambia County Land Development Code
- (3) Board of County Commissioners of Brevard County v. Snyder, 627 So. 2d 469 (Fla. 1993)
- (4) Resolution 96-34 (Quasi-judicial Proceedings)
- (5) Resolution 96-13 (Ex-parte Communications)

APPROVAL CONDITIONS

Criterion a., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Consistent with Comprehensive Plan

Whether the proposed rezoning is consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan and not in conflict with any of the plan provisions.

Comprehensive Plan (CPP) FLU 1.3.1 Future Land Use Categories. MU-U, Mixed Use Urban is intended for an intense mix of residential and non-residential uses while promoting compatible infill development and the separation of urban and suburban land uses within the category as a whole.

CPP FLU 1.5.1 New Development and Redevelopment in Built Areas. To promote the efficient use of existing public roads, utilities and service infrastructure, the County will encourage redevelopment in underutilized properties to maximize development densities and intensities located in the Mixed Use-Suburban, Mixed Use-Urban, Commercial and Industrial Future Land Use districts categories (with the exception of residential development).

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment to HC/LI-NA **is consistent** with the intent and purpose of Future Land Use category MU-U as stated in CPP FLU 1.3.1. The Future Land Use allows for a mix of both residential and non-residential uses such a retail and services, professional office and light industrial. As stated in CPP FLU 1.5.1, if development occurs, the property will utilize the existing roadway, utilities and infrastructures and will encourage redevelopment of the underutilized property to maximize development densities and intensities located in the Mixed-Use Urban Future Land use categories.

Criterion b., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Consistent with The Land Development Code

Whether the proposed amendment is in conflict with any portion of this Code, and is consistent with the stated purpose and intent of this Code.

Sec. 3-3.6 Palafox Overlay (Pfox-OL).

(e) Non-residential site and building requirements. The following non-residential site and building requirements apply within the Pfox-OL district

- 1. **Structure height.** New or redeveloped buildings, or building additions, shall complement the existing pattern of building heights. No structure shall exceed 45 feet in height and any lower height required by the underlying zoning district shall govern.
- 2. **Materials and detailing.** New structures, additions and renovations shall be constructed to be long-lasting and use materials and detailing that maintain the distinct character and harmony of the Palafox Community Redevelopment District. Vinyl or metal siding is prohibited on the primary facades of buildings adjacent to public rights-of-way. All accessory structures shall use the same materials, color, and/or style of the primary façade if visible from a public way.
- 3. **Setbacks.** New construction shall be set back a distance similar to that of adjacent buildings unless customer parking is provided adjacent to the street in support of CPTED principles. Exceptions may be granted if the setback is pedestrian oriented and contributes to the quality and character of the streetscape.

4. Facades.

- a. **Front facades.** A front building facade more than 80 feet in width shall be divided into increments by changes in materials, bay windows, wall offsets, or similar methods.
- b. **Rear façade.** A minimum of 15 feet of a building's rear façade facing a public right of way, parking area, or open space shall consist of transparent materials, not including reflective glass.
- 5. **Natural features.** Natural features shall be protected and integrated into site design and development where possible. The applicant shall demonstrate how the development protects and incorporates existing vegetation.

- 6. **Landscaping.** Water conservation is encouraged through proper landscape plant selection, installation and maintenance practices. Native plant species are required. All non-residential development applications shall include a landscape plan as part of compliance review. The plan shall include the areas of natural vegetation to be protected, location and species of all plants to be installed, and an irrigation plan.
- 7. **Signs.** Site signage is limited to one freestanding monument sign per development parcel, scaled primarily for pedestrians, and not to exceed 100 square feet in area and 12 feet in height, except for multi-tenant development where the sign may be up to 300 square feet. Sign colors, materials, and lighting shall avoid adverse visual impacts on surrounding properties. Wall signs shall not obstruct design details, windows, or cornices of the buildings to which they are attached. For individual tenants in a multi-tenant development, wall signs shall not exceed 20 square feet per sign.
- 8. **Lighting.** Lighting in the overlay district should serve to illuminate facades, entrances and signage to provide an adequate level of personal safety while enhancing the aesthetic appeal of the buildings. Building and signage lighting must be indirect, with the light source hidden from direct pedestrian and motorist view.
- 9. **Parking.** Parking in the overlay district must adequately serve the users without detracting from the compact design that makes it a successful commercial center. Off-street parking must be located in the rear. If the lot orientation cannot accommodate adequate rear parking, parking on the side would then be permitted.
- 10. **Buffers and screening of outdoor storage.** All outside storage must be screened from public view. The screening must use the same materials, color, and/or style as the primary building for architectural compatibility with the primary building and the building it is adjacent to. If the outside storage area is separate from the building it serves the following shall apply:
- 11. **Type.** Only fences constructed of legitimate fencing materials (may or may not be opaque) or masonry, concrete or stucco walls may supplement buffers. Specifically, garage doors and sheets of roofing material do not qualify for fencing or wall materials.
 - a. **Screening of outdoor storage.** Opaque fencing shall mean chain link fence with slats, privacy wooden fence, or privacy PVC/vinyl fence. A six-foot concrete or stucco wall may also be used to screen outdoor storage.

LDC Section 3-2.11 Heavy commercial and light industrial district (HC/LI) Permitted uses. Permitted uses within the HC/LI district are limited to the following:

(1) **Residential.** Any residential uses if outside of the Industrial (I) future land use category and part of a predominantly commercial development, excluding new or expanded manufactured (mobile) home parks and subdivisions. See also conditional uses in this district.

(2) Retail sales. Retail sales, including Low-THC marijuana dispensing facilities, sales of alcoholic beverages, sales of automotive fuels, and sales of new and used automobiles, motorcycles, boats, and manufactured (mobile) homes.

(3) Retail services.

a.Car washes, automatic or manual, full service or self-serve.

b. Child care facilities.

c. Hotels, motels and all other public lodging, including boarding and rooming houses.

d. Personal services, including those of beauty shops, health clubs, pet groomers, dry cleaners and tattoo parlors.

e. Professional services, including those of realtors, bankers, accountants, engineers, architects, dentists, physicians, and attorneys.

f. Rental of automobiles, trucks, utility trailers and recreational vehicles.

g. Repair services, including appliance repair, furniture refinishing and upholstery, watch and jewelry repair, small engine and motor services, and major motor vehicle and boat service and repair, but excluding outdoor work or storage.

h. Restaurants and brewpubs, including on-premises consumption of alcoholic beverages, drive-in and drive-through service, and brewpubs with the distribution of on-premises produced alcoholic beverages for off-site sales. The parcel boundary of any restaurant or brewpub with drive-in or drive-through service shall be at least 200 feet from any LDR or MDR zoning district unless i. separated by a 50-foot or wider street right-of-way.

i. Taxi and limousine services. See also conditional uses in this district.

(4) Public and civic.

a. Broadcast stations with satellite dishes and antennas, including towers.

b. Cemeteries, including family cemeteries.

c. Community service facilities, including auditoriums, libraries, museums, and neighborhood centers.

d. Educational facilities, including preschools, K-12, colleges, and vocational schools.

e. Emergency service facilities, including law enforcement, fire fighting, and medical assistance.

f. Funeral establishments.

g. Homeless shelters.

h. Hospitals.

i. Offices for government agencies or public utilities.

j. Places of worship.

k. Public utility structures, including telecommunications towers, but excluding industrial uses not otherwise permitted. See also conditional uses in this district.

(5) Recreation and entertainment.

a. Commercial entertainment facilities, indoor or outdoor, including movie theatres, amusement parks, and stadiums, but excluding motorsports facilities. Carnival-type amusements shall be at least 500 feet from any residential district. Bars, nightclubs, and adult entertainment are prohibited in areas with the zoning designation HC/LI-NA or areas zoned ID-CP or ID-1 prior to adoption of HC/LI zoning.

b. Commercial recreation facilities, passive or active, including those for walking, hiking, bicycling, camping, recreational vehicles, swimming, skateboarding, bowling, court games, field sports, and golf, but excluding off-highway vehicle uses and outdoor shooting ranges. Campgrounds and recreational vehicle parks require a minimum lot area of five acres.

c. Marinas, private and commercial.

d. Parks, with or without permanent restrooms or outdoor event lighting.

See also conditional uses in this district

(6) Industrial and related.

a. Light industrial uses, including research and development, printing and binding, distribution and wholesale warehousing, and manufacturing, all completely within the

confines of buildings and without adverse off-site impacts.

b. Marinas, industrial.

c. Microbreweries, microdistilleries, and microwineries, except in areas with the zoning designation HC/LI-NA or areas zoned ID-CP or ID-1 prior to adoption of HC/LI zoning. See also conditional uses in this district.

(7) Agricultural and related.

a Food produced primarily for personal consumption by the producer, but no farm animals.

b. Nurseries and garden centers, including adjoining outdoor storage or display of plants. c. Veterinary clinics, excluding outside kennels.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(8) Other uses.

a. Billboards structures, excluding areas zoned ID-CP, GBD, or GID prior to adoption of HC/LI zoning.

b. Building or construction trades shops and warehouses, including on-site outside storage.

c. Bus leasing and rental facilities.

d. Deposit boxes for donation of used items when placed as an accessory structure on the site of a charitable organization.

e. Outdoor adjacent display of plants by garden shops and nurseries.

f. Outdoor sales.

g. Outdoor storage of trailered boats and operable recreational vehicles, excluding repair, overhaul or salvage activities.

h. Parking garages and lots, commercial.

i. Sales and outdoor display of prefabricated storage sheds.

j. Self-storage facilities, including vehicle rental as an accessory use.

HC/LI-NA designation. Any applicant for rezoning to the HC/LI zoning district may request a HC/LI-NA designation prohibiting the subsequent establishment of any microbreweries, microdistilleries, microwineries, bars, nightclubs, or adult entertainment uses on the rezoned property. The request shall be in the form of a notarized affidavit that acknowledges this use restriction and affirms that it is a voluntary request. Once approved according to the rezoning process of Chapter 2, the HC/LI-NA zoning designation and its prohibitions shall apply to the property, regardless of ownership, unless the parcel is rezoned.

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment to HC/LI-NA is not consistent with the intent and purpose of the Land Development Code. Heavy commercial/light industrial allows for a broad range of intense commercial and industrial uses, but prohibits the establishment of microbreweries, microdistilleries, bars, nightclubs, or adult entertainment uses. See the list of permitted uses above. The parcel does meets the locational criteria as stated in LDC 3-2.11(8)(e)(1), being addressed on Beverly Parkway, an arterial roadway and within one-quarter mile of its intersection with an arterial street. The proposed request to rezone to HC/LI-NA is inappropriate for the area and would consequently introduce large scale, more intense industrial uses.

David Forte, Division Manager in the Transportation and Traffic Division has provided review and comments applicable to this specific case, see Attachment A.

The parcel is within the Palafox Redevelopment area and must comply with the

requirements as stated in LDC 3-3.5, listed above. CRA department has not provided comments at this time.

Criterion c., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Compatible with surrounding uses

Whether all land uses, development activities, and conditions allowed by the proposed zoning are compatible with the surrounding conforming uses, activities and conditions and are able to coexist in relative proximity to them in a stable fashion over time such that no use, activity, or condition negatively impacts another. The appropriateness of the rezoning is not limited to any specific use that may be proposed but is evident for all permitted uses of the requested zoning.

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment **is not compatible** with surrounding existing uses in the area. Within the 500' radius impact area, properties were zoned HDMU, Com and HC/LI. As stated in LDC Section 3-2.11, the primary intent of the HC/LI-NA district is to allow wholesale, retail, light manufacturing, major services and more intense uses while prohibiting breweries, distilleries, wineries, bars, nightclubs, or adult entertainment uses. The parcels fronting Beverly Parkway are currently neighborhood commercial uses, and the parcels to the south, along Carolyn Way, are existing residential. The HC/LI district allows for a mix of industrial uses with a broad range of commercial activities. If the request is granted, it would allow light manufacturing, large-scale wholesale and other more intense uses than are allowed in the current zoning. It is recommended the parcel be considered for a less intense zoning designation such as Commercial, in order to create a smooth transition from HDMU. Commercial zoning designation would allow activities that are more compatible with the existing mixed-use neighborhood commercial uses. Certain types of uses will require conditional use approval such as used automobile sales.

Criterion d., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Changed conditions

Whether the area to which the proposed rezoning would apply has changed, or is changing, to such a degree that it is in the public interest to encourage new uses, density, or intensity in the area through rezoning.

FINDINGS

Staff found no changed conditions that would impact the amendment or property.

Criterion e., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Development patterns

Whether the proposed rezoning would contribute to or result in a logical and orderly development pattern.

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment **would not result** in a logical and orderly development pattern. The majority of uses along Beverly Parkway within the area of the subject property are a complimentary mix of residential and neighborhood retail sales and

services. Uses as listed for HC/LI district would be more intense than if the Commercial zoning district was assigned. When applicable, further review during the Site Plan Review process will be necessary to determine the new development complies with all applicable LDC regulations for buffering, parking, access and any other requirements of the code to ensure the activity will not adversely impact the existing neighborhood.

Criterion f., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4) Effect on natural environment

Whether the proposed rezoning would increase the probability of any significant adverse impacts on the natural environment.

FINDINGS

According to the National Wetland Inventory, wetlands and hydric soils **were not** indicated on the subject property. When applicable, further review during the Site Plan Review process will be necessary to determine if there would be any significant adverse impact on the natural environment.

Attachments

<u>Z-2017-03</u>

Z-2017-03



















Looking west along Beverly Pkwy from subject property

Uniperushy 2

LAS DAT

 $\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{R}}$

Looking across Beverly Pkwy from subject property





Another view looking north along Hansen Blvd

25



Another view of subject property across Hansen Blvd at Walgreens entrance

231

Wiley C. "Buddy" Page, MPA, APA PROFESSIONAL GROWTH MANAGEMENT SERVICES. LLC 5337 Hamilton Lane • Pace, Florida 32571 CELL (850) 232-9853 bud pagel@att.net

February 6. 2017 VIA HAND DELIVERY

Mr. Horace Jones, Director Development Services Department 3363 West Park Place Pensacola, Florida 32505

RE: 101 Beverly Parkway Rezoning Parcel No. 46-1S-30-2001-024-018

Dear Mr. Jones:

The attached application requests consideration to rezone the referenced property from **HD/MU to HD/LI**. This requested zoning category is consistent with the Future Land Use Map classification of Mixed Use Urban suggesting no changes will be required to the FLUM map. The owner is proposing to develop the property and relocate his existing nearby car sales lot to this site.

With regard to **LDC 3-2.11(e)** Locational Criteria requirements, the site is located within a 1/4 mile radius of a major roadway intersection, a college campus and a major drug store, each of which generate traffic trips at over 600 trips per day. As such, the proposed use meets the location criteria as being near an activity that generates more than the minimum required 600 vehicle trips per day.

Please contact me if you have any questions or need anything further.

copy: Mr. Ramzan Ali

Development Services Department

Escambia County, Florida

APPLICATION			
Please check application type:	Conditional Use Request for:		
Administrative Appeal	Variance Request for:		
Development Order Extension	Rezoning Request from: HOMU to: HOLI-NA		

.......

Name & address of current owner(s) as shown on public records of Escambia County, FL

Owner(s) Name: Ali Ramzan

Phone:

Address: POB 2112 Pensacola, Florida 32513

____ Email:____

Check here if the property owner(s) is authorizing an agent as the applicant and complete the Affidavit of Owner and Limited Power of Attorney form attached herein.

Property Address: 101 Beverly Parkway Pensacola, Florida 32505

Property Reference Number(s)/Legal Description: 46-1S-30-2001-024-018

By my signature, I hereby certify that:

- I am duly qualified as owner(s) or authorized agent to make such application, this application is of my own choosing, and staff has explained all procedures relating to this request; and
- All information given is accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief, and I understand that deliberate misrepresentation of such information will be grounds for denial or reversal of this application and/or revocation of any approval based upon this application; and
- I understand that there are no guarantees as to the outcome of this request, and that the application fee is non-refundable; and
- 4) I authorize County staff to enter upon the property referenced herein at any reasonable time for purposes of site inspection and authorize placement of a public notice sign(s) on the property referenced herein at a location(s) to be determined by County staff; and
- I am aware that Public Hearing notices (legal ad and/or postcards) for the request shall be provided by the Development Services Bureau.

C d	Ali Ramzan	10-29-15
Signature of Owner/Agent	Printed Name Owner/Agent	Date
Signature of Owner	Printed Name of Owner	Date
STATE OF Florida	COUNTY OF	bia
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before	e me this <u>29</u> day of <u>Octobe</u>	2015
by <u>HL KUMZAN</u> Personally Known OR Produced Identification	. Type of Identification Produced: Fla	D.L.
alarda Jekes		WANDA S SANDS iotary Public - State of Florida
Signature of Notary (notary seal must be affixed)		Commission # FF 217780 by Comm. Expires Apr 6, 2019
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY CASE	NUMBER: 2-2017-03	
Meeting Date(s): <u>PB . 4/4/17</u>	_Accepted/Verified by: A Cam	Date: 2/16/17
Fees Paid: \$ Receipt #:	Permit #:PRZ 17.02.00	2003

3363 West Park Place Pensacola, FL 32505 (850) 595-3475 * FAX: (850) 595-3481



AFFIDAVIT OF OWNER AND LIMITED POWER OF ATTORNEY

As owner of the property located at 101 Be	verly Parkway Pensacola, Floric	la 32505,
Florida, property reference number(s)46-19	5-30-2001-024-018	
I hereby designate Wiley C."Buddy" Page		for the sole purpose
of completing this application and making a	presentation to the:	
Planning Board and the Board of County referenced property.	Commissioners to request a rezo	oning on the above
Board of Adjustment to request a(n)	on the	above referenced property
This Limited Power of Attorney is granted o, and is effective until the Board		
rendered a decision on this request and any	appeal period has expired. The o	owner reserves the right to
rescind this Limited Power of Attorney at an	y time with a written, notarized no	tice to the Development
Services Bureau.		
Agent Name:Wiley C."Buddy" Page		page1@att.net
Address 5337 Hamilton Lane Pace, Flo	prida 32571 Phone:	850-232-9853
Signature of Property Owner	Ali Ramzan Printed Name of Property Owner	10-39-15 Date
Signature of Property Owner	Printed Name of Property Owner	Date
STATE OF <u>Florda</u> The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before r by <u>Ali Kamzan</u>	COUNTY OF $\underline{\mathcal{ESCal}}$ re this $\underline{\mathcal{A9}}$ day of $\underline{\mathcal{OCTO}}$.	ubia ber2015,
Personally Known OR Produced Identification	Type of Identification Produced:	(Notary Seal)
		WANDA S SANDS Notary Public - State of Florida Commission # FF 217780 My Comm. Expires Apr 6, 2019 Bonded through National Notary Assn.

3363 West Park Place Pensacola, FL 32505 (850) 595-3475 * FAX: (850) 595-3481



Development Services Department

Escambia County, Florida

FOR OFFICE USE.	
CASE #: 2-2017-03	

AFFIDAVIT OF ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

As applicant for rezoning of the property located at [0]. BEVER PARKWAY , Florida, property reference number(s)_ TRUST I affirm this to be a voluntary request and hereby acknowledge if this parcel is designated HC/LI-NA, then notwithstanding any other provision of LDC Chapter 3 Sec. 3-2.11, bars, nightclubs, and adult entertainment uses shall be prohibited uses for this parcel. Email: SUBMOLATAJO YAHOO. BM RE Phone: 850 - 637 - 8100 32513 RUST Applicant Nam Address: Printed Name of Applicant Date Signature of Applicant $\frac{2.17.}{Data}$ KAMZAN FOR Signature of Property Owner Printed Name of Property Owner COUNTY OF ESCAMPIC STATE OF lonid The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this ______day of _____ 20 ____, by Kamzan Personally Known Or Produced Identification . Type of Identification Produced: (Notary Seal) Signature of Notary Printed Name of Notary MARIE ELLIOTT Notary Public - State of Florida My Comm. Expires Mar 29, 2018 Commission # FF 095282

REZONING APPROVAL CONDITIONS

101 Beverly Parkway

1- Consistent with Comprehensive Plan. The proposed rezoning is consistent with the goals, objectives and policies of the Comprehensive Plan and not in conflict with any of its provisions.

RESPONSE- This 0.30 acre site is classified as Mixed Use-Urban MU-U on the adopted Future Land Use Map. This FLU category lists a number of zoning districts there under which are consistent and this list includes HD/MU which is the category requested by this application. This suggests consistency with the Goals, Objectives and Policies of the Comprehensive Plan.

2- Consistent with LDC. The proposed rezoning is consistent with the purposes and intent of the LDC and not in conflict with any of its provisions.

The Land Development Code contains the following:

Sec. 1-1.5 Purpose of LDC. (a) General. The Land Development Code implements the land use goals, objectives and policies of the Escambia County Comprehensive Plan through specific regulations that govern the use and development of land within the county. These regulations are necessary to protect the public health, safety and general welfare, private property rights, the natural environment, and the economic vitality of the county.

RESPONSE - This request is consistent with the stated primary intent in that the end result will result in an expanded opportunity for compatible commercial development in an efficient manner and pattern which is well connected to the area street network. The site has existing higher density nearby and fronts on Brent Lane/Beverly Parkway, a designated arterial roadway. **3-Compatibility**. All land uses, development activities, and conditions allowed by the proposed zoning are compatible with the surrounding conforming uses, activities and conditions and are able to coexist in relative proximity to them in a stable fashion over time such that no use, activity, or condition negatively impacts another. The appropriateness of the rezoning is not limited to any specific use that may be proposed but is evident for all permitted uses of the requested zoning. This condition shall not apply to any conditional uses of the proposed district or compatibility with nonconforming or unapproved uses, activities, or conditions.

RESPONSE: As shown on the attached maps, the site is adjacent to Walgreen's Drug Store to the east, a bank and funeral home to the north, retail units to the east and residential to the south. This category and surrounding zoning categories is a mirror to that found just west at the intersection of Beverly Parkway and Armenia Drive. This zoning at the northwest corner of this intersection was requested by Frontier Motors sometime back, and contains commercial and mixed use-urban as adjacent zoning categories. Historically, it has been told that this entire Beverly Parkway frontage commercial zoning was mistakenly applied to many lots on the south side of Michigan Avenue.

4- Changed conditions. The area to which the proposed rezoning would apply has changed, or is changing, to such a degree that it is in the public interest to encourage new uses, density, or intensity in the area through rezoning.

RESPONSE: The continuous expansion of the Pensacola Christian College campus is perhaps the closest area of change in the vicinity together with three new automotive dealership buildings currently under construction along Hwy. 29. Moreover, the FDOT traffic counts on Beverly Parkway show some 27,500 trips per day compared to Hwy 29/ Pensacola Blvd. at 25,500 and 28,000 trips per day. Projections then, show that traffic along Beverly Parkway will continue to increase as a important and growing arterial roadway.

5- Development patterns. The proposed rezoning would contribute to or result in a logical and orderly development pattern.

RESPONSE: If this request is granted, the permitted changes will be logical and orderly and consistent with those existing uses currently observed in the adjacent areas.

6- Effect on natural environment. The proposed rezoning would not increase the probability of any significant adverse impacts on the natural environment.

RESPONSE: The site is flat & high and dry. No wetlands were observed on the site or surrounding areas.
Recorded in Public Records 12/05/2014 at 10:51 AM OR Book 7268 Page 715, Instrument #2014090462, Pam Childers Clerk of the Circuit Court Escambia County, FL Recording \$27.00 Deed Stamps \$175.00

THIS INSTRUMENT PREPARED BY: Partnership Title Company, LLC 1015 North 12th Avenue Pensacola, FL 32501 FILE NO 14FL-3519

WARRANTY DEED TAX ID #46-1S-30-2001-024-018

STATE OF Florida

COUNTY OF Escambia

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS: That Warren Ingram, Grantor*, Address: 1205 Oak Ridge Trail, Cantonment, FL 32533, for and in consideration of Ten Dollars (\$10.00) and other good and valuable considerations, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, has bargained, sold, conveyed and granted unto: Ramzan Ali, Trustee of the Ramzan Ali Trust Dated July 21, 2008, which authorizes Trustee to receive, hold, mortgage, encumber, liquidate, and convey title to the real property described herein below, as amended by amendments to such Land Trust Agreement executed subsequent hereto, if any, Grantee*, Address: P.O. Box 2112, Pensacola, FL 32513, grantee's heirs, executors, administrators and assigns forever, the following described property, situate, lying and being in the County of Escambia, State of Florida, to wit:

SEE ATTACHED EXHIBIT "A" FOR LEGAL DESCRIPTION The above-described property is not the homestead of Grantor herein.

Subject to taxes for current year and to valid easements and restrictions of record affecting the above property, if any, which are not hereby reimposed. Subject also to oil, gas and mineral reservations of record. Said grantor does fully warrant the title to said land and will defend the same against the lawful claims of all persons whomsoever.

*Wherever used herein, the term "grantee/grantor" shall include the heirs, personal representatives, successors and/or assigns of the respective parties hereto, the use of singular member shall include the plural and the plural the singular, and the use of any gender shall include the genders.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, grantor has hereunto set grantor's hand and seal on this 4th day of December, 2014.

Warren Ingram

Signed, sealed and d in the presence of: Witness #1_Sign: Witness #1 Print Name: Carol Witness #2 Sign: Witness #2 Print Name: Jennifer

STATE OF Florida

COUNTY OF Escambia

THE FOREGOING INSTRUMENT was acknowledged before me this 4th ay of December, 2014, by Warren Ingram, who has provided his driver's license as identification, and who did take an path.

My Commission expires:

(Notary Seal)

Carol L. Euberka Commission No. EE159621 My Commission Expires MARCH 3, 2016

Notary Public Serial Number



Carol D. Eubanka mmission No. EE159621 tion Ext MARCH 3, 2016

Source: Escambia County Property Appraiser





101 Beverly Parkway



Site Information			
Feature	1		
Road Name	BEVERLY PKWY		
Site	485109		
Description	SR 296(BEVERLY PKWY) - 425' W OF SR 95 (US29) PALAFOX		
Section	48012000		
Milepoint	3.489		
AADT	27500		
Site Type	Portable		
Class Data	No		
K Factor	9		
D Factor	55.5		
T Factor	2.1		
TRAFFIC REPORTS (provided in 🖾 format)			
Escambia County	Annual Average Daily Traffic		
	Historical AADT Data		
	Synopsis 485109		

Print this window.

Close this window.

Development Services Department Escambia County, Florida



PLANNING BOARD REZONING PRE-APPLICATION SUMMARY FORM

46-15-30-2001-024-	018	Bolde	1 Page	
Property Reference Number		Name	1 -	
101 Béverly Pking Address	[]Owner	⊡rAgent	Referral Form Included? Y / N
MAPS PREPARED	PROPE	RTY INFOR	MATION	
🗹 Zoning	Current Zoning:	HUMU	Size of	Property: 17 +/-
FLU FLU	Future Land Use	е: <u>М∪-U</u>	Comm	issioner District:
Aerial	Overlay/AIPD:	NA	_Subdivision: <u>R</u>	rentwicel Park
Other: Relev Area D	Sanitary Sewer_	Septic 1	Fank	
Redevelopment Area*: <u>Fa</u>		ase contact the	 CRA at 595-3217 p	rior to application submittal.
<u>^</u>	COM	MENTS		
Desired Zoning:				
Is Locational Criteria applicable	ار مربع الم sc	o, is a comp	atibility analysi	s required?
Com Zommy does	1 1 00	E.		. 1.
.0		1	/	to plac pre-app
contenance, Applica	1		. •	NA for sale
of motor vehicles, (. / U		A	
	•		· · · ·	le of artige plantained
intersection.				
	<u> </u>			
 Applicant will contact state Applicant decided again Applicant was referred t BOA 	ist rezoning prope to another process □Other:	erty		
Staff present: A. Can				Date: <u>/25//7</u>
Applicant/Agent Name & S	ignature:	Pap-	1/25	/17
No comment made by any persons asso considered eiter as approval or rejection	ciated with the County of the proposed develo	during any pre- opment, develop	application conferent conferent plans, and/or	nce or discussion shall be outcome of any process.

3363 West Park Place Pensacola, FL 32505 (850) 595-3475 * FAX: (850) 595-3481



BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO: Andrew Holmer, Development Services Manager Development Services Department

- FROM: David Forte, Division Manager Transportation & Traffic Operations Division
- DATE: March 20, 2017

RE: Transportation & Traffic Operations (TTO) Comments – Z-2017-03

TTO Staff has reviewed the Rezoning Case (Z)-2017-03, 101 SR296 (Beverly Parkway), agenda item for the upcoming Planning Board meeting scheduled for April 6, 2017. Please see the below comments.

Currently, there are no Roadway Improvement Projects programmed in the County's Capital Improvement Program or the State of Florida's Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) 5-Year Work Program along Beverly Parkway within the vicinity of the subject parcel.

Per the Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) Congestion Management Process Plan, Beverly Parkway is currently functioning within its allowable capacity for traffic volumes between the segment of SR10A (Mobile Hwy) and SR95 (Palafox Hwy). The maximum level-of-service (LOS) for the roadway segment is LOS D (39,800 trips/day), and currently the roadway segment is functioning at a LOS C (30,000 trips/day) and is expected to exceed 36,000 trips/day by Year 2024.

The TPO 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) does not currently include a capacity project along Beverly Parkway within the general vicinity of the subject parcel.

Note: TTO's review is solely based off the application submittal packet, so the comments above hold no bearing on future TTO comments during the Development Review process.

cc: Horace Jones, Development Services Department Director Joy Blackmon, P.E., Public Works Department Director Colby Brown, P.E., Public Works Department Deputy Director



Planning Board-Rezoning

7. D.

Meeting Date: 04/04/2017Issue:A Public Hearing Concerning Vested Rights DeterminationOrganization:Development Services

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Planning Board review and make recommendation to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) on the following Vested Rights case:

Case No.:
Project Address:
Property Reference No.:
Zoning District:
FLU Category:
Vested Rights for:
Applicant:

VRD-2017-01

9300 Blk Gibson Road 24-3N-32-1301-001-001 Agr AG Land Use Constance Parker

BACKGROUND:

In 2002, the Applicant purchased a ten acre parcel of land that was a portion of an 85± acre lot-of-record. While the future land use and zoning both called for minimum 20 acre lots, the Applicant pulled a permit in 2003 and built a home on the west half of the ten acre parcel. The western five acre portion containing the home was sold in 2005 and that same year the Applicant became the sole owner of the remaining eastern five acres. The Applicant has since paid taxes on the five acres in question and states that she believed it to be a buildable lot.

In 2017, the Applicant approached Planning staff regarding permitting a home on the parcel and was told that the parcel is non-conforming with future land use and zoning and a building permit can not be issued. Staff reviewed with the Applicant the idea of seeking a future land use change along with a rezoning to make the parcel conforming. This option would prove difficult as either change would result in an isolated district and would be a considerable cost while providing no assurance of a positive outcome.

Faced with these options, the Applicant is requesting to be vested with development rights for the non-conforming five acre parcel.

CRITERIA FOR VESTED RIGHTS:

LDC 2-6.7 Vested Rights. It is the intent of the Land Development Code to provide a mechanism for the granting of an equitable vested right according to the provisions of this section when a landowner asserts that sufficient development activity, once lawful under applicable land use regulations but now contrary to their terms, has occurred so that the landowner is entitled to a development right An owner shall be entitled to a Determination of Vested Rights only if through substantial competent evidence it can be established that the proposed use of the property meets the concurrency provisions of Article 3, Chapter 5 at the time of vesting.

CRITERION 1:

LDC 2-6.7(1) The project was authorized pursuant to a County Development Order, or equivalent issued on or before the effective date of this code, or a pertinent amendment thereto, and the development has commenced and is continuing in good faith. In a claim based upon this criterion, the owner must produce evidence of actions and accomplishments that substantiate timely and lawful progression towards the completion of the intentions and plans documented in the original order, or equivalent. In a claim based upon this criterion, the rights to which the owner may be vested is a continuation of the original order, or equivalent.

FINDINGS:

Staff research has found no permit or other development approval for the parcel in question.

LDC 2-6.8(f) Limitation on vested rights. A determination of vested rights shall expire and be null and void unless construction of improvements, if any, are commenced pursuant to a development order within 18 months after the issuance of the determination of vested rights.

CRITERION 2:

LDC 2-6.7(2) The owner is determined to have acquired rights due to good faith reliance on an act of commission or omission of the County which has caused the owner to make such a substantial change in position or to incur such extensive obligations and expenses that it would be highly inequitable and unjust to destroy the rights acquired. In a claim based upon this criterion, the owner must document, and the County must verify, the obligations and expenses that are in jeopardy. The owner must produce evidence of actions and accomplishments that substantiate timely and lawful progression towards the completion of the intentions and plans that have been jeopardized. Evidence including, but not limited to, that which demonstrates that such activity has not progressed in such a manner may be sufficient to negate a finding of good faith on the part of the owner and therefore invalidate the claim to vested rights.

FINDINGS:

The Applicant contends that her expense in taxes and surveys for the parcel have

vested her with development rights. She also has stated her belief that since the County granted a permit on the western five acres, she believed the eastern five acres were buildable as well.

In a review of the permit issued for the western parcel, staff found mention that the permit was granted based on the Land Development Code family conveyance provision (Sec. 5-3.2(d)) which states:

Family conveyance exception. No building permit shall be denied where the property in question is to be used solely as a homestead by an owner-applicant who is the grandparent, parent, step-parent, adopted parent, sibling, child, step-child, adopted child, niece, nephew, aunt, uncle or grandchild of the person who conveyed the parcel to such applicant, notwithstanding the density or intensity of use assigned to the parcel by a particular zoning district. This exception shall apply only once to any owner-applicant.

Because this provision has already been applied to the parent ten acres, it is not available for the remaining parcel.

Attachments

VRD-2017-01

VRD-2017-01

9300 BLK GIBSON ROAD









February 28, 2017

To Escambia County

Re: Parcel # 24-3N-32-1301-001-001 (Gibson Rd. 5 Acre parcel)

To Whom It May Concern

In 2002 I purchased a 10 acre parcel of property from a Mr. Hall. Please see attached survey. I sold the house and 5 acres in 2005 and kept the other 5 acre parcel. On September 26th 2005 I gained full ownership of the remaining parcel and the deed is attached. Since 2005 I have paid property taxes, kept the land maintained, paid for new surveys and operated as though it was a buildable lot.

Connie Parker

Development Services Department



Escambia County, Florida

VESTED RIGHTS DETERMINATION APPLICATION

Name & address of current owner(s) as shown on public records of Escam	ibia County, FL
Name & address of current owner(s) as shown on public records of Escam Owner(s) Name: <u>COnstance</u> Packer	Phone: 850-572-5280
Address: 2362 Stallion rd. Cantonment FI 32533	Email: CONCRESECT. net

Check here if the property owner(s) is authorizing an agent as the applicant and complete the Affidavit of Owner and Limited Power of Attorney form attached herein.

Property Address:	Gibson	Rd.	mokno	FL	325	17	
		711 21	1 22 1				

Property Reference Number(s)/Legal Description: <u>24-3N-32-1301-001-001</u>

NOTE TO THE APPLICANT

THE BURDEN OF PROOF FALLS UPON THE APPLICANT to submit, as attachments to this application, any and all substantial competent evidence on which reliance is being made to seek a determination that certain actions by Escambia County have led to the creation of vested rights. This shall include but is not limited to maps, letters, memorandums, etc. Applications, which do not substantiate the claim against the criteria, will delay the process.

By my signature, I hereby certify that:

- 1) I am duly qualified as owner(s) or authorized agent to make such application, this application is of my own choosing, and staff has explained all procedures relating to this request; and
- 2) All information given is accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief, and I understand that deliberate misrepresentation of such information will be grounds for denial or reversal of this application and/or revocation of any approval based upon this application; and
- 3) I understand that there are no guarantees as to the outcome of this request, and that the application fee is non-refundable; and
- 4) I authorize County staff to enter upon the property referenced herein at any reasonable time for purposes of site inspection and authorize placement of a public notice sign(s) on the property referenced herein at a location(s) to be determined by County staff; and
- 5) I authorize placement of a public notice sign(s) on the property referenced herein at a location(s) to be determined by County staff.

Signature of Owner/Agent	Printed Name Owner/Agent	Date
"WA	Constance Perker	2-28-17
Signature of Owner	Printed Name of Owner	Date
STATE OF Florida	COUNTY OF <u>escambia</u>	
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before	me this _ 28 day of February	_20 17,
by Coustance Pauker		20 B
Personally Known 🗌 OR Produced Identification 🔽	Type of Identification Produced: DL # P626-	101-65-769-1
Jul Denie Halstad	Judy Denise Halstead	JUDY DENISE HALSTEAD
Signature of Notary (notary seal must be affixed)	Printed Name of Notary	Commission # FF 928313
		Expires October 18, 2019 Bonded Thru Tray Esin Insurance 800-385-7019
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY CASE I	NUMBER: VRD-2017-01	
Meeting Date(s): April 4, 2017	Accepted/Verified by: Deni Halled	Date: 2-28-17
Fees Paid: \$ 700.54 Receipt #: 6874	92 Permit #: PBA 1702 00005	5

3363 West Park Place Pensacola, FL 32505 (850) 595-3475 * FAX: (850) 595-3481 Recorded in Public Records 10/12/2005 at 10:16 AM OR Book 5751 Page 1818, Instrument #2005431542, Ernie Lee Magaha Clerk of the Circuit Court Escambia County, FL Recording \$18.50 Deed Stamps \$0.70

Connie Hatch 9350 Gibson rd Molino FL 32577 frepared by i

3.

• >

RECORDING REQUESTED BY: CONSTANCE P. HATCH AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO: 9350 GIBSON RD. MOLINO, FL. 32577

CONSIDERATION: \$ 0.00 PROPERTY TRANSFER TAX \$ 0.00 Assessor's parcel No.: _____

QUIT CLAIM DEED

Christopher L. Hatch, a married person for the consideration of \$0.00 dollars hereby remise, release and forever quitclaim to Constance P. Hatch a married person as grantee the real property located at 9350 Gibson Rd. Molino, Fl 32577. See attached legal Description described in Exhibit "A" to this Quit-Claim Deed.

26th day of September, 2005, in the county of Escambia, State of On this Florida I/We herewith sign this Quit Claim Deed.

State of Florida

County of Escambia

witteness

V. Ca vo ha deste

catherine Nobles

On this day the <u>A</u> day of <u>Sqreen bed</u> 2005, before me, the undersigned, a notary public in and for said county and state, personally appeared Christopher L. Hatch Personally known to me to be that person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same in his authorized capacity and that by his signature on the instrument the person executed the instrument

WITNESS my hand and official seal

Tel, ignature Of Notary

Commission #DD23)778 Expires: Jul 13, 2007 Bonded Thru Atlantis Bonding Co., Inc.

11

EXHIBIT "A"

The East 1/2 of the East 1/2 of the following; The North 1/2 of the Southwest 1/4, of the Northeast 1/4, of section 24, Township 3 North, Range 32 West, Escambia County, Florida, containing 5.0 Acres More or Less

26 Sept 05 Date

Christopher L. Hatch ersoeally Knowl to ree, Alto Leeledg Apphell, Accambia Court Conse dep. 7-13-07

t Co



9350 GIBSON RD.

NDRTHEAST LDRNER SWH NEHLSELTION 24

NORTHWEST LORNER SW14 NE114 SELTION Z4





Development Services Department Building Inspections Division

3363 West Park Place Pensacola, Florida, 32505 (850) 595-3550 Molino Office - (850) 587-5770

RECEIPT

Receipt No. : 687492

Application No. : PBA170200005

Project Name : VRD-2017-01

Date Issued. : 02/28/2017 Cashier ID : DAROSE

heck			
	2862	\$700.54	App ID : PBA170200005
		\$700.54	Total Check
		\$700.54	

	APPLICATION INFO				
Application #	Invoice #	Invoice Amt	Balance Job Address		
PBA170200005	780891	700.54	\$0.00 9300 BLK GIBSON RD, MOLINO, 32577		
Total Amount :		700.54	\$0.00 Balance Due on this/these Application(s) as of 2/28/2017		