AGENDA ESCAMBIA COUNTY PLANNING BOARD QUASI-JUDICIAL HEARING November 13, 2018–8:30 a.m. Escambia County Central Office Complex 3363 West Park Place, Room 104

- 1. Call to Order.
- 2. Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.
- 3. Proof of Publication and Waive the Reading of the Legal Advertisement.
- 4. Approval of Minutes.
 - A. **<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>**: That the Planning Board review and approve the Meeting Resume' Minutes of the October 1, 2018, Planning Board Rezoning Meeting.
- 5. Acceptance of Rezoning Planning Board Meeting Packet.
- 6. Quasi-judicial Process Explanation.
- 7. Public Hearings.

A. Case #: Z-2018-14
Applicant: Catalina Filip, Agent for Fil's Group, LLC., Owner
Address: 8925 Gulf Beach Highway
Property 2.79 +/- acres
Size:
From: MDR, Medium Density Residential district (10 du/acre)
To: HC/LI, Heavy Commercial and Light Industrial district (25 du/acre)

 B. Case #: Z-2018-15
 Applicant: Wiley C. "Buddy" Page, Agent for Gulf Beach Self Storage, Inc. Owner

	Address: 8939 Gulf Beach Highway Property 4.29 (+/-) acres Size:			
		C/LI, Heavy Commercial and Light Industrial district (25 du/acre) nd MDR, Medium Density Residential district (10 du/acre)		
	pi m	HC/LI-NA, Heavy Commercial and Light Industrial district, prohibiting the subsequent establishment of any microbreweries, microdistilleries, microwineries, bars, nightclubs, or adult entertainment uses (25 du/acre)		
C.	Case #:	Z-2018-16		
	Applicant: Address:	Wiley C. "Buddy" Page, Agent for James Campbell, Owner 1250 Fairchild Street		
	Property Size:	4 (+/-) acres		
	From:	HC/LI, Heavy Commercial and Light Industrial district (25 du/acre)		
	To:	HDR, High Density Residential district (18 du/acre)		
D.	Case #:	Z-2018-17		
	Applicant:	Wiley C. "Buddy" Page, agent for RNL Investment Group, LLC, Owner		
	Address:	8891 Burning Tree Rd		
	Property Size:	3.52 (+/-) acres and 7.56 (+/-) acres		
		Northern Portion:		
	From:	Rec, Recreation district (du density limited to vested development)		
	То:	MDR, Mediuim Density residential (10 du/acre) Southern Portion:		
	From:	Rec, Recreation district (du density limited to vested development)		
	То:	Com, Commercial district (25 du/acre)		
E.	Case #:	Z-2018-18		
	Applicant: Address:	Kshitij Patel, Agent for Michael and Tammy Matcke, Owners 5950 West Nine Mile Road		
		e: 0.97 (+/-) acres		
	From: To:	LDR, Low Density Residential district (four du/acre) HDMU, High Density Mixed-use district (25 du/acre)		

F. That the Planning Board review and make recommendation to the Board of County Commisioners (BCC) on the following Vested Rights case:

	, , ,
Case No.:	VRD-2018-01
Project Address:	3411 John Street
Property Reference No.:	16-2S-30-1001-330-004
Zoning District:	HDMU, High Density Mixed-use district (25 du/acre)
FLU Category:	MU-U, Mixed-Use Urban
Vested Rights for:	Allow a MH in HDMU zoning
Applicant:	Tanaya Rosa, Agent for Keith L. Davis, Owner

8. Adjournment.



Planning Board-Rezoning

Meeting Date: 11/08/2018

Agenda Item:

RECOMMENDATION: That the Planning Board review and approve the Meeting Resume' Minutes of the October 1, 2018, Planning Board Rezoning Meeting.

Attachments

Draft October 1, 2018 Planning Board Rezoning Meeting Minutes

4. A.



RESUMÉ OF THE ESCAMBIA COUNTY PLANNING BOARD QUASI-JUDICIAL REZONING October 1, 2018

CENTRAL OFFICE COMPLEX 3363 WEST PARK PLACE, BOARD CHAMBERS PENSACOLA, FLORIDA (8:35 A.M. – 10:29 A.M.)

Present: Reid Rushing Jay Ingwell Timothy Pyle Patty Hightower Alan Gray Eric Fears William Clay Stephen Opalenik

Absent: Wayne Briske, Chairman

- Staff Present: Allyson Cain, Urban Planner, Planning & Zoning Andrew Holmer, Division Manager, Planning & Zoning Horace Jones, Director, Development Services John Fisher, Senior Urban Planner, Planning & Zoning Kayla Meador, Sr Office Assistant Meredith Crawford, Assistant County Attorney
- 1. Call to Order.
- 2. Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.
- 3. Proof of Publication and Waive the Reading of the Legal Advertisement.

Motion by Alan Gray, Seconded by Eric Fears

Motion was made to approve the proof of publication and to waive the reading of the legal advertisement.

Vote: 6 - 0 Approved

Other: Wayne Briske (ABSENT)

- 4. Approval of Minutes.
 - A. **RECOMMENDATION:** That the Planning Board review and approve the Meeting Resume' Minutes of the August 7, 2018 Planning Board Rezoning Meeting.

Motion by Reid Rushing, Seconded by Jay Ingwell

Motion was made to approve the Rezoning Planning Board meeting minutes from August 7, 2018.

Vote: 6 - 0 Approved

Other: Wayne Briske (ABSENT)

5. Acceptance of Rezoning Planning Board Meeting Packet.

Motion by Eric Fears, Seconded by Alan Gray

Motion was made to accept the Rezoning Planning Board meeting packet for October 1, 2018.

Vote: 6 - 0 Approved

Other: Wayne Briske (ABSENT)

- 6. Quasi-judicial Process Explanation.
- 7. Public Hearings.
 - Α. Case #: Z-2018-09 Applicant: Ronald C. Williams, Owner Address: 1900 Block Tate Rd 1.66 (+/-) acres Property Size: From: LDR, Low Density Residential district (4 du/acre) To: LDMU, Low Density Mixed-use district (7 du/acre)

Tim Pyle acknowledged visiting the site.

No planning board member acknowledged any ex parte communication regarding this item.

No planning board member abstained from voting on this matter due to any conflict of interest.

Motion by Alan Gray, Seconded by Eric Fears

Motion was made to recommend approval and waive the locational criteria.

Vote: 6 - 0 Approved

Other: Wayne Briske (ABSENT)

- B. Case #:
 - Applicant:Gilbert Nelson, OwnerAddress:Dogwood PlaceProperty9.1 (+/-) acresSize:From:HDMU, High Density

Z-2018-11

Mixed-use district (25 du/acre) To: Com, Commercial district (25 du/acre)

Motion by Alan Gray, Seconded by Reid Rushing

Motion made to accept withdraw letter from Applicant.

Vote: 6 - 0 Approved

Other: Wayne Briske (ABSENT)

Motion by Eric Fears, Seconded by Reid Rushing

Motion was made to continue the case to next PB meeting.

Vote: 6 - 0 Approved

Other: Wayne Briske (ABSENT)

C. Case #: Z-2018-13 Applicant: Robert O. Beasley, Agent for Crosby Holdings, LLC., Owner Address: 2335 Klinger Street Property 0.46 (+/-) acres Size: MDR, Medium Density From: Residential district (10 du/acre) HDMU, High Density To: Mixed-use district (25 du/acre)

No planning board member acknowledged visiting the site.

No planning board member acknowledged any ex parte communication regarding this item.

No planning board member abstained from voting on this matter due to any conflict of interest.

Motion by Alan Gray, Seconded by Eric Fears

Motion was made to recommend approval to the BCC.

Vote: 6 - 0 Approved

Other: Wayne Briske (ABSENT)

D. <u>A Public Hearing Concerning the Review of Bridlewood Subdivision, a Planned Unit</u> <u>Development</u>

That the Board review the development plan for Bridlewood residential subdivision, a Planned Unit Development (PUD), and confirm consistency of the plan with Land Development Code (LDC) requirements prior to transmittal of a recommendation to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) to review and consider the plan for a final decision.

No planning board member acknowledged visiting the site.

No planning board member acknowledged any ex parte communication regarding this item.

No planning board member abstained from voting on this matter due to any conflict of interest.

Motion by Alan Gray, Seconded by Eric Fears

Motion was made to recommend approval of the PUD to the BCC.

Vote: 4 - 2 Approved

Voted No: Jay Ingwell William Clay Other: Wayne Briske (ABSENT)

Adjournment.

8.

Planning Board-RezoningMeeting Date:11/08/2018CASE:Z-2018-14APPLICANT:Catalina Filip, Agent for Fil's Group, LLC., OwnerADDRESS:8925 Gulf Beach HighwayPROPERTY REF. NO.:33-2S-31-2000-120-001FUTURE LAND USE:MU-U, Mixed-Use UrbanDISTRICT:2OVERLAY DISTRICT:AIPD-1, APZ-2BCC MEETING DATE:12/06/2018

SUBMISSION DATA: REQUESTED REZONING:

FROM: MDR, Medium Density Residential district (10 du/acre)

TO: HC/LI, Heavy Commercial and Light Industrial district (25 du/acre)

RELEVANT AUTHORITY:

- (1) Escambia County Comprehensive Plan
- (2) Escambia County Land Development Code

(3) Board of County Commissioners of Brevard County v. Snyder, 627 So. 2d 469 (Fla. 1993)

(4) Resolution 96-34 (Quasi-judicial Proceedings)

(5) Resolution 96-13 (Ex-parte Communications)

APPROVAL CONDITIONS

Criterion a., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Consistent with Comprehensive Plan

The proposed zoning is consistent with the future land use (FLU) category as prescribed in LDC Chapter 3, and with all other applicable goals, objectives, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan. If the rezoning is required to properly enact a proposed FLU map amendment transmitted for state agency review, the proposed zoning is consistent with the proposed FLU and conditional to its adoption.

FLU 1.3.1 Future Land Use Categories. General descriptions, range of allowable uses, and residential densities and non-residential intensities for all future land use categories in Escambia County are outlined below.

FLU 1.5.1 New Development and Redevelopment in Built Areas. To promote the efficient use of existing public roads, utilities, and service infrastructure, the County will

encourage the redevelopment in underutilized properties to maximize development densities and intensities located in the MU-S, MU-U, Commercial, and Industrial Future Land Use categories (with the exception of residential development).

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment to HC/LI **is consistent** with the intent and purpose of Future Land Use category MU-U as stated in CPP FLU 1.3.1. The MU-U FLU is intended for an intense mix of residential and non-residential uses while promoting compatible infill development and the separation of urban and suburban land uses within the category as a whole. The listed Range of Allowable Uses includes residential, retail and services, professional office, light industrial, recreational facilities, public, civic and limited agriculture. The amendment is also consistent with the intent of FLU 1.5.1, by making use of the existing public roads and the availability of utilities and service infrastructure.

Criterion b., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Consistent with The Land Development Code

The proposed zoning is consistent with the purpose and intent and with any other zoning establishment provisions prescribed by the proposed district in Chapter 3.

Sec. 3-2.7 Medium Density Residential district (MDR).

(a) Purpose. The Medium Density Residential (MDR) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for residential uses at medium densities within suburban or urban areas. The primary intent of the district is to provide for residential neighborhood development in an efficient urban pattern of well-connected streets and at greater dwelling unit density than the Low Density Residential district. Residential uses within the MDR district are limited to single-family and two-family dwellings. The district allows non-residential uses that are compatible with suburban and urban residential neighborhoods.

Sec. 3-2.11 Heavy Commercial and Light Industrial district (HC/LI).

(a) Purpose. The Heavy Commercial and Light Industrial (HC/LI) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for a complementary mix of industrial uses with a broad range of commercial activities. The primary intent of the district is to allow light manufacturing, large-scale wholesale and retail uses, major services, and other more intense uses than allowed in the Commercial district. The variety and intensity of non-residential uses within the HC/LI district is limited by the applicable FLU and their compatibility with surrounding uses. All commercial and industrial operations are limited to the confines of buildings and not allowed to produce undesirable effects on other property. To retain adequate area for commercial and industrial activities, other uses within the district are limited.

(b) Permitted uses. Permitted uses within the HC/LI district are limited to the following:

(1) Residential. Any residential uses outside of the Industrial (I) future land use category but if within the Commercial (C) future land use category (and not the principal single-family dwelling on an existing lot of record), only as part of a predominantly commercial development; and excluding new or expanded manufactured (mobile) home

parks and subdivisions. See also conditional uses in this district.

(2) Retail sales. Retail sales, including Low-THC marijuana dispensing facilities, sales of alcoholic beverages, sales of automotive fuels, and sales of new and used automobiles, motorcycles, boats, and manufactured (mobile) homes.

(3) Retail services.

a. Car washes, automatic or manual, full service or self-serve.

b. Child care facilities.

c. Hotels, motels and all other public lodging, including boarding and rooming houses.

d. Personal services, including those of beauty shops, health clubs, pet groomers, dry cleaners and tattoo parlors.

e. Professional services, including those of realtors, bankers, accountants, engineers, architects, dentists, physicians, and attorneys.

f. Rental of automobiles, trucks, utility trailers and recreational vehicles.

g. Repair services, including appliance repair, furniture refinishing and upholstery, watch and jewelry repair, small engine and motor services, and major motor vehicle and boat service and repair, but excluding outdoor work or storage.

h. Restaurants and brewpubs, including on-premises consumption of alcoholic beverages, drive-in and drive-through service, and brewpubs with the distribution of on-premises produced alcoholic beverages for off-site sales. The parcel boundary of any restaurant or brewpub with drive-in or drive-through service shall be at least 200 feet from any LDR or MDR zoning district unless separated by a 50-foot or wider street right-of-way.

i. Taxi and limousine services.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(4) Public and civic.

a. Broadcast stations with satellite dishes and antennas, including towers.

b. Cemeteries, including family cemeteries.

c. Community service facilities, including auditoriums, libraries, museums, and neighborhood centers.

d. Educational facilities, including preschools, K-12, colleges, and vocational schools.

e. Emergency service facilities, including law enforcement, fire fighting, and medical assistance.

f. Funeral establishments.

g. Homeless shelters.

h. Hospitals.

i. Offices for government agencies or public utilities.

j. Places of worship.

k. Public utility structures, including telecommunications towers, but excluding industrial uses not otherwise permitted.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(Ord. No. 2015-24, § 1, 7-7-15)

(5) Recreation and entertainment.

a. Commercial entertainment facilities, indoor or outdoor, including movie theatres, amusement parks, and stadiums, but excluding motorsports facilities. Carnival-type

amusements shall be at least 500 feet from any residential district. Bars, nightclubs, and adult entertainment are prohibited in areas with the zoning designation HC/LI-NA or areas zoned ID-CP or ID-1 prior to adoption of HC/LI zoning.

b. Commercial recreation facilities, passive or active, including those for walking, hiking, bicycling, camping, recreational vehicles, swimming, skateboarding, bowling, court games, field sports, and golf, but excluding off-highway vehicle uses and outdoor shooting ranges. Campgrounds and recreational vehicle parks require a minimum lot area of five acres.

c. Marinas, private and commercial.

d. Parks, with or without permanent restrooms or outdoor event lighting. See also conditional uses in this district.

(6) Industrial and related. The following industrial and related uses, except within MU-S. a. Light industrial uses, including research and development, printing and binding, distribution and wholesale warehousing, and manufacturing, all completely within the confines of buildings and without adverse off-site impacts.

b. Marinas, industrial.

c. Microbreweries, microdistilleries, and microwineries, except in areas with the zoning designation HC/LI-NA.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(7) Agricultural and related.

a. Food produced primarily for personal consumption by the producer, but no farm animals.

b. Nurseries and garden centers, including adjoining outdoor storage or display of plants.

c. Veterinary clinics, excluding outside kennels.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(8) Other uses. Within MU-S, outside storage is permitted only when adequately screened per LDC regulations.

a. Billboards structures, excluding areas zoned ID-CP, GBD, or GID prior to adoption of HC/LI zoning.

b. Building or construction trades shops and warehouses, including on-site outside storage.

c. Bus leasing and rental facilities, not allowed within MU-S.

d. Deposit boxes for donation of used items when placed as an accessory structure on the site of a charitable organization.

e. Outdoor adjacent display of plants by garden shops and nurseries.

f. Outdoor sales.

g. Outdoor storage of trailered boats and operable recreational vehicles, excluding repair, overhaul or salvage activities.

h. Parking garages and lots, commercial, not allowed within MU-S.

i. Sales and outdoor display of prefabricated storage sheds.

j. Self-storage facilities, including vehicle rental as an accessory use.

(c) Conditional uses. Through the conditional use process prescribed in Chapter 2, the BOA, or the BCC as noted, may conditionally allow the following uses within the HC/LI district:

(1) **Residential.** Caretaker residences not among the permitted uses of the district and for permitted non-residential uses.

(2) Retail services. Restaurants not among the permitted uses of the district.

(3) Public and civic. Cinerators.

(4) Recreation and entertainment.

- a. Motorsports facilities on lots 20 acres or larger.
- b. Off-highway vehicle commercial recreation facilities on lots 20 acres or larger.
- c. Shooting ranges, outdoor.

(5) Industrial and related. The following industrial and related uses, except within MU-S: a. Asphalt and concrete batch plants if within the Industrial (I) future land use category and within areas zoned GID prior to adoption of HC/LI zoning.

b. Borrow pits and reclamation activities 20 acres minimum and (subject to local permit and development review requirements per Escambia County Code of Ordinances, Part I, Chapter 42, article VIII, and land use regulations in Part III, the Land Development Code, chapter 4.) *Borrow pits are prohibited on land zoned GBD, GID, and WMU prior to the adoption of the HC/LI zoning.

c. Salvage yards not otherwise requiring approval as solid waste processing facilities. d. Solid waste processing facilities, including solid waste collection points, solid waste transfer facilities, materials recovery facilities, recovered materials processing facilities, recycling facilities and operations, resource recovery facilities and operations, and volume reduction plants.

The conditional use determination for any of these solid waste facilities shall be made by the BCC in lieu of any hearing before the BOA. The applicant shall submit a site boundary survey, development plan, description of anticipated operations, and evidence that establishes each of the following conditions in addition to those prescribed in Chapter 2:

1. Trucks have access to and from the site from adequately wide collector or arterial streets and do not use local residential streets.

2. The scale, intensity, and operation of the use will not generate unreasonable noise, traffic, objectionable odors, dust, or other potential nuisances or hazards to contiguous properties.

3. The processing of materials will be completely within enclosed buildings unless otherwise approved by the BCC.

4. The plan includes appropriate practices to protect adjacent land and resources, minimize erosion, and treat stormwater; landscaping and buffering for adjacent uses; hours of operation; methods to comply with maximum permissible noise levels; means of access control to prevent illegal dumping; and plans for materials storage. (6) Agricultural and related. Kennels or animal shelters not interior to veterinary clinics.

(7) Other uses.

a. Structures of permitted uses exceeding the district structure height limit.

b. Heliports.

(e) Location criteria. All new non-residential uses proposed within the HC/LI district that

are not part of a planned unit development or not identified as exempt by district regulations shall be on parcels that satisfy at least one of the following location criteria:

(1) Parcel previously zoned GBD within the MU-S FLU along Hwy 29 or SR 95A. Parcels previously zoned GBD and within the MU-S future land use category which are located along and directly fronting U.S. Highway 29 or State Road 95A

(2) Proximity to intersection. Along an arterial street and within one-quarter mile of its intersection with an arterial street.

(3) Site design. Along an arterial street, no more than one-half mile from its intersection with an arterial street, and all of the following site design conditions:

a. Not abutting a RR, LDR or MDR zoning district

b. Any intrusion into a recorded residential subdivision is limited to a corner lot

c. A system of service roads or shared access is provided to the maximum extent feasible given the lot area, lot shape, ownership patterns, and site and street characteristics.

d. Adverse impacts to any adjoining residential uses are minimized by placing the more intensive elements of the use, such as solid waste dumpsters and truck loading/unloading areas, furthest from

the residential uses.

e. Location in an area where already established non-residential uses are otherwise consistent with the HC/LI, and where the new use would constitute infill development of similar intensity as the conforming development on surrounding parcels. Additionally, the location would promote compact development and not contribute to or promote strip commercial development.

(4) Documented compatibility. A compatibility analysis prepared by the applicant provides competent substantial evidence of unique circumstances regarding the parcel or use that were not anticipated by the alternative criteria, and the proposed use will be able to achieve long-term compatibility with existing and potential uses. Additionally, the following conditions exist:

a. The parcel has not been rezoned by the landowner from the mixed-use, commercial, or industrial zoning assigned by the county.

b. If the parcel is within a county redevelopment district, the use will be consistent with the district's adopted redevelopment plan, as reviewed and recommended by the Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA).

Sec. 4-4.5 Airport and airfield planning districts.

(b) Military Airfield Influence Planning Districts.

(5) AIPD-1 requirements. Airfield Influence Planning District 1 (AIPD-1) defines areas of greatest protection for an airfield. AIPD-1 lies within a boundary connecting the outermost limits of an installation's clear zones, accident potential zones, or other areas necessary to achieve adequate protections. The following requirements apply to all lands within an AIPD-1 district:

a. Prohibited concentrations of population. Any use at such a scale that gatherings concentrating more than 25 people per acre and within a structure would be expected on a regular basis is prohibited. Such uses include sports stadiums, amphitheaters, auditoriums, clubhouses, churches, schools, hospitals, assisted living facilities, hotels and motels, restaurants, nightclubs and other establishments.

b. Residential density. Residential density is limited by the applicable zone or area with the AIPD according to the following:

1. Clear zones. Areas designated as "Clear Zone" are allowed no residential density except vested single-family dwellings on existing lots of record.

2. Area A. Areas designated as "Area A" are allowed no residential density except vested single-family dwellings on existing lots of record.

3. APZ-1. Areas designated as "Accident Potential Zone 1" (APZ-1) and aligned with airfield runways are allowed no residential density except vested single-family dwellings on existing lots of record. All other APZ-1 areas are limited to one dwelling unit per 2.5 acres.

4. APZ-2. Areas designated as "Accident Potential Zone 2" (APZ-2) and aligned with airfield runways are limited to two dwelling units per acre. All other APZ-2 areas are limited to three dwelling units per acre.

5. Area B. Areas designated as "Area B" are limited to three dwelling units per acre and only subject to the minimum lot area of the applicable zoning district.

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment is not consistent with the intent and purpose of the Land Development Code. The applicant is requesting a rezoning for their split zoned parcel to HC/LI that is currently operating as a used cars sale on the HC/LI portion and a non-complaint salvage yard on the MDR portion. To have a salvage yard the MDR portion must be rezoned to HC/LI and have a Conditional Use approval from the Board of Adjustments (BOA). This site has a Code Enforcement violation for a salvage yard on the current MDR zoning area. The split zoned parcel is currently already zoned HC/LI along the Gulf Beach Highway northern part of the parcel to a depth to of 175± to the south where there is a Used Auto Sales. The remaining 500± of the parcel to the south is zoned MDR and surrounded by unimproved right-of-way along the east and south side of the parcel. The applicant is wanting to expand their operation on the parcel to allow more used car sales and a salvage yard, however it must be noted the existing use is a nonconforming use. The proposed property would also have to meet the Locational Criteria by submitting a Compatibility Analysis and proving that the new use would be compatible with the surrounding uses. No compatibility analysis was submitted for the new use nor does staff find the property meeting any of the site designs of the locational criteria. Currently the property falls in the APZ-2 area which prohibits any concentration of people living in the area.

Criterion c., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Compatible with surrounding uses

All the permitted uses of the proposed zoning, not just those anticipated by the rezoning applicant, are compatible, as defined in Chapter 6, with the surrounding uses. The uses of any surrounding undeveloped land shall be considered the permitted uses of the applicable district. Compatibility is not considered with potential conditional uses or with any nonconforming or unapproved uses. Also, in establishing the compatibility of a residential use, there is no additional burden to demonstrate the compatibility of specific residents or activities protected by fair housing law.

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment **is not compatible** with surrounding existing uses in the area. Within the 500' radius, staff identified properties with zoning districts MDR and HC/LI. The property is surrounded by single-family residences and vacant properties throughout the 500 radius. To the northwest on Wade Ave there is two

commercial uses a repair shop and office store. The other uses surrounding the area are less in intensity on the ground and not compatible with the allowed uses under the requested HC/LI district. Due to the existing nonconforming business already established in the HC/LI zoning this would be an expansion of the property zoning which is surrounded by MDR zoning.

Criterion d., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Appropriate if spot zoning

Where the proposed zoning would establish or reinforce a condition of spot zoning as defined in Chapter 6, the isolated district would nevertheless be transitional in character between the adjoining districts, or the differences with those districts would be minor or sufficiently limited. The extent of these mitigating characteristics or conditions demonstrates an appropriate site specific balancing of interests between the isolated district and adjoining lands.

As per LDC Chapter 6, Spot Zoning is: Zoning applied to an area of land, regardless of its size, that is different from the zoning of all contiguous land. Such isolated or "spot" zoning is usually higher in its density or intensity of use than the adjoining zoning and may, therefore, extend privileges not generally extended to property similarly located in the area. Spot zoning is not by itself prohibited, but due to its potentially adverse impacts on adjoining zoning it carries a higher burden of demonstration that, if authorized, it will contribute to or result in logical and orderly development.

FINDINGS

The request to rezone to HC/LI **would not be considered spot zoning** due to the current parcel is split zoned and already zoned HC/LI along Gulf Beach Hwy with an existing business located on the northern half. This would just be an expansion of the business. The surrounding parcels along Gulf Beach Hwy also have split zoning with HC/LI on both sides of Gulf Beach Highway and currently undeveloped.

Criterion e., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Appropriate with changed or changing conditions

If the land uses or development conditions within the area surrounding the property of rezoning have changed, the changes are to such a degree and character that it is in the public interest to allow new uses, density, or intensity in the area through rezoning; and the permitted uses of the proposed district are appropriate and not premature for the area or likely to create or contribute to sprawl.

FINDINGS

The land uses or development conditions within the area surrounding the property of rezoning have not changed in uses or intensities. The subject parcel is already an existing business and runs along Gulf Beach Hwy an arterial road that has existing HC/LI zoning fronting all other properties along Gulf Beach Hwy to the east and west for over a mile. This area already established road frontage of HC/LI Zoning has a mix of non-residential uses, single family and vacant properties running in both directions for of Gulf Beach Hwy. All the existing uses would be considered grandfather in. Any new uses or expansion of uses would constitute as strip commercial development or urban sprawl development do to the surrounding properties being split zoned MDR with all other surrounding uses being MDR as well.

Attachments

Z-2018-14



























FIL'S GROUP LLC

8925 Gulf Beach Hwy Pensacola FL.32507 Ph :8504663001 , Cell :8502915445. email:filsgroupauto@gmail.com

> VIA HAND DELIVERY Date : 08.16.18

Mr. Horace Jones, Director Department of Growth Management 3363 West Park Avenue Pensacola, Florida 32505

RE:Rezoning request: MDR to HC/LIParcel:Portion of 33-2S-31-2000-120-001Owner:Fil's Group LLCAddress:8925 Gulf Beach Highway 32507

Dear Mr. Jones:

The attached application requests Planning Board consideration to change the referenced property from the existing MDR to HC/LI classification. As shown in the attached maps, this parcel of property has split zoning with the front portion zoned HC/LI while the southerly portion is zoned Medium Density Residential MDR. This split zoning is characteristic of many of the adjacent lots running east and west of the subject lot.

The property is located within the Navy/JLUS study boundary area and is consistent with the required low density development restrictions in that the intended use will be an extension of an existing on-going used car sales operation.

The application contains the required filing fee together with additional information regarding ownership, property survey and corporate information. Please contact me if you have any questions or require anything further. Thank you.

Catalina Filip

LAND USE . ZONING . SITE SELECTION . LITIGATION SUPPORT



Escambia County Planning and Zoning

Development Services Department 3363 West Park Place Pensacola, FL 32505 Phone: (850) 595-3475 • Fax: (850) 595-3481 <u>http://myescambia.com/business/ds</u>

1. Contact Information: A. Property Owner/Applicant: Fil's Group, LLC Mailing Address: 8925 Gulf Beach Highway Pensacola, FL 32507 Business Phone: 850-466-3001 Cell: Email: B. Authorized Agent (if applicable): Catalina Filip Mailing Address: 8925 Gulf Beach Hwy ,Pensacola , FL 32507. Business Phone: 8925 Gulf Beach Hwy ,Pensacola , FL 32507. Business Phone: 850-466-3001 Cell: 850-291-5445 Email: filsgroup2012@yahoo.com	Rezoning Application FOR OFFICE USE ONLY - Case Number: 2 - 2018-14 Accepted by: PB Meeting: 10 2 18								
Mailing Address: 8925 Gulf Beach Highway Pensacola, FL 32507 Business Phone: 850-466-3001 Cell: Email:									
Business Phone: 850-466-3001 Cell: Email:		A.	Property Owner/Applicant: Fil's Group, LLC						
Email:			Mailing Address: 8925 Gulf Beach Highway Pensacola, FL 32507						
 B. Authorized Agent (if applicable): <u>Catalina Filip</u> Mailing Address: <u>8925 Gulf Beach Hwy ,Pensacola , FL 32507.</u> Business Phone: <u>850-466-3001</u> <u>Cell: 850-291-5445</u> Email: <u>filsgroup2012@yahoo.com</u> Note: Owner must complete the attached Agent Affidavit. If there is more than one owner, each owner must complete an Agent Affidavit. Application will be voided if changes to this application are found. Property Information: A. Existing Street Address: <u>8925 Gulf Beach Highway</u> Parcel ID (s): <u>33-2S-31-2000-120-001</u> B. Total acreage of the subject property: <u>2.79</u> C. Existing Zoning: <u>MDR /HC/L1</u> Proposed Zoning: <u>HC/L1</u>; explain why necessary and/or appropriate Lot is 675' deep; front 180' presently zone HC/L1 while rear is zoned MDR 			Business Phone: 850- 466-3001 Cell:						
Mailing Address: 8925 Gulf Beach Hwy ,Pensacola , FL 32507. Business Phone: 850-466-3001 Cell: 850-291-5445 Email: filsgroup2012@yahoo.com Note: Owner must complete the attached Agent Affidavit. If there is more than one owner, each owner must complete an Agent Affidavit. Application will be voided if changes to this application are found. 2. Property Information: A. Existing Street Address: 8925 Gulf Beach Highway Parcel ID (s):			Email:						
Business Phone: 850-466-3001 Cell: 850-291-5445 Email: filsgroup2012@yahoo.com Note: Owner must complete the attached Agent Affidavit. If there is more than one owner, each owner must complete an Agent Affidavit. Application will be voided if changes to this application are found. 2. Property Information: A. Existing Street Address: 8925 Gulf Beach Highway Parcel ID (s):		B.	. Authorized Agent (if applicable):Catalina Filip						
Email: filsgroup2012@yahoo.com Note: Owner must complete the attached Agent Affidavit. If there is more than one owner, each owner must complete an Agent Affidavit. Application will be voided if changes to this application are found. Property Information: A. Existing Street Address: 8925 Gulf Beach Highway Parcel ID (s):			Mailing Address:8925 Gulf Beach Hwy ,Pensacola , FL 32507.						
Note: Owner must complete the attached Agent Affidavit. If there is more than one owner, each owner must complete an Agent Affidavit. Application will be voided if changes to this application are found. 2. Property Information: A. Existing Street Address: 8925 Gulf Beach Highway Parcel ID (s): 33-2S-31-2000-120-001 B. Total acreage of the subject property: 2.79 C. Existing Zoning: MDR /HC/LI Proposed Zoning: HC/LI Proposed Zoning: HC/LI ; explain why necessary and/or appropriate Lot is 675' deep; front 180' presently zone HC/LI while rear is zoned MDR			Business Phone:850-466-3001Cell:850-291-5445						
 Note: Owner must complete the attached Agent Affidavit. If there is more than one owner, each owner must complete an Agent Affidavit. Application will be voided if changes to this application are found. 2. Property Information: A. Existing Street Address: <u>8925 Gulf Beach Highway</u> Parcel ID (s):			Email:filsgroup2012@yahoo.com						
 2. Property Information: A. Existing Street Address: <u>8925 Gulf Beach Highway</u> Parcel ID (s):			Note: Owner must complete the attached Agent Affidavit. If there is more than one owner, each owner must						
 A. Existing Street Address: <u>8925 Gulf Beach Highway</u> Parcel ID (s):			complete an Agent Affidavit. Application will be voided if changes to this application are found.						
Parcel ID (s):	2.	Pre	Property Information:						
33-2S-31-2000-120-001 B. Total acreage of the subject property: 2.79 C. Existing Zoning:MDR /HC/LI Proposed Zoning:; explain why necessary and/or appropriate Lot is 675' deep; front 180' presently zone HC/LI while rear is zoned MDR		Α.	Existing Street Address: 8925 Gulf Beach Highway						
 B. Total acreage of the subject property: <u>2.79</u> C. Existing Zoning: <u>MDR /HC/LI</u> Proposed Zoning: <u>HC/LI</u>; explain why necessary and/or appropriate Lot is 675' deep; front 180' presently zone HC/LI while rear is zoned MDR 			Parcel ID (s):						
C. Existing Zoning:MDR /HC/LI Proposed Zoning:HC/LI ; explain why necessary and/or appropriate Lot is 675' deep; front 180' presently zone HC/LI while rear is zoned MDR			33-2S-31-2000-120-001						
C. Existing Zoning:MDR /HC/LI Proposed Zoning:HC/LI ; explain why necessary and/or appropriate Lot is 675' deep; front 180' presently zone HC/LI while rear is zoned MDR									
Proposed Zoning: <u>HC/LI</u> ; explain why necessary and/or appropriate Lot is 675' deep; front 180' presently zone HC/LI while rear is zoned MDR		B.	Total acreage of the subject property: 2.79						
Lot is 675' deep; front 180' presently zone HC/LI while rear is zoned MDR		C.	Existing Zoning:MDR /HC/LI						
Lot is 675' deep; front 180' presently zone HC/LI while rear is zoned MDR Overly: AIPD-1	Proposed Zoning:HC/LI; explain why necessary and/or appropriate								
		Lot is 675' deep; front 180' presently zone HC/LI while rear is zoned MDR Overly: AIPD-1							

FLU Category: MU-U

- D. Is the subject property developed (if yes, explain): ______ Existing car lot located on front 400+ feet
- E. Sanitary Sewer: _____ Septic: __X__

3. Amendment Request

Approval conditions. The applicant has the burden of presenting competent substantial evidence to the reviewing board establishing that the requested zoning district would contribute to or result in a logical and orderly development pattern. The appropriate surrounding area within which uses and conditions must be considered may vary with those uses and conditions and is not necessarily the same area required for mailed notification. A logical and orderly pattern shall require demonstration of each of the following conditions:

Please address ALL the following approval conditions for your rezoning request. (use

supplement sheets as needed)

a. Consistent with Comprehensive Plan. The proposed zoning is consistent with the future land use (FLU) category as prescribed in LDC Chapter 3, and with all other applicable goals, objectives, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan. If the rezoning is required to properly enact a proposed FLU map amendment transmitted for state agency review, the proposed zoning is consistent with the proposed FLU and conditional to its adoption.

The adopted Escambia County Land Development Code lists HC/LI as an allowed use under the MU-U Mixed Use Urban FLU category suggesting consistency with the Comprehensive Plan.

b. Consistent with zoning district provisions. The proposed zoning is consistent with the purpose and intent and with any other zoning establishment provisions prescribed by the proposed district in Chapter 3

The requested change will be consistent with other zoning establishment provisions in that the subject parcel is adjacent to other existing HC/LI parcels.

- 3 -

c. Compatible with surroundings. All of the permitted uses of the proposed zoning, not just those anticipated by the rezoning applicant, are compatible, as defined in Chapter 6, with the surrounding uses. The uses of any surrounding undeveloped land shall be considered the permitted uses of the applicable district. Compatibility is not considered with potential conditional uses or with any nonconforming or unapproved uses. Also, in establishing the compatibility of a residential use, there is no additional burden to demonstrate the compatibility of specific residents or activities protected by fair housing law.

The site has existing split zoning assigned by the County whereby HC/LI is adjacent to MDR zoning which suggests that all uses permitted within the HC/LI must therefore be compatible with MUR zoning. Our request results in the same relationship as the existing assigned County zoning categories.

d. Appropriate if spot zoning. Where the proposed zoning would establish or reinforce a condition of spot zoning as defined in Chapter 6, the isolated district would nevertheless be transitional in character between the adjoining districts, or the differences with those districts would be minor or sufficiently limited. The extent of these mitigating characteristics or conditions demonstrates an appropriate site-specific balancing of interests between the isolated district and adjoining lands.

As per LDC Chapter 6, Spot Zoning is: Zoning applied to an area of land, regardless of its size, that is different from the zoning of all contiguous land. Such isolated or "spot" zoning is usually higher in its density or intensity of use than the adjoining zoning and may, therefore, extend privileges not generally extended to property similarly located in the area. Spot zoning is not by itself prohibited, but due to its potentially adverse impacts on adjoining zoning it carries a higher burden of demonstration that, if authorized, it will contribute to or result in logical and orderly development

The requested zoning category is an extension of HC/LI which is existing on the front portion of the sight. This is not a spot zoning issue.

e. Appropriate with changed or changing conditions. If the land uses or development conditions within the area surrounding the property of rezoning have changed, the changes are to such a degree and character that it is in the public interest to allow new uses, density, or intensity in the area through rezoning; and the permitted uses of the proposed district are appropriate and not premature for the area or likely to create or contribute to sprawl.

A separate rezoning application is being submitted for the adjacent parcel west of the the subject site. This next door parcel will contain a large number of mini storage units and has had the engineering plans already reviewed by the county Development Review Committee. The area is changing and both proposed uses comply with the Navy/JLUS study limiting residential while promoting non-residential low density uses

4. Please complete the following Forms: Concurrency Determination Acknowledgement and Affidavit of Owner/Limited Power of Attorney (if applicable).

CONCURRENCY DETERMINATION ACKNOWLEDGMENT

33-2S-31-2000-120-001 Property Reference Number(s):____

8925 GULF BEACH HWY Property Address:

I/We acknowledge and agree that no future development for which concurrency of required facilities and services must be certified shall be approved for the subject parcel(s) without the issuance of a certificate of concurrency for the development based on the actual densities and intensities proposed in the future development's permit application.

I/We also acknowledge and agree that approval of a zoning district amendment (rezoning) or Future Land Use Map amendment does not certify, vest, or otherwise guarantee that concurrency of required facilities and services is, or will be, available for any future development of the subject parcels.

I/We further acknowledge and agree that no development for which concurrency must be certified shall be approved unless at least one of the following minimum conditions of the Comprehensive Plan will be met for each facility and service of the County's concurrency management system prior to development approval:

a. The necessary facilities or services are in place at the time a development permit is issued.

- b. A development permit is issued subject to the condition that the necessary facilities and services will be in place and available to serve the new development at the time of the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.
- c. For parks and recreation facilities and roads, the necessary facilities are under construction at the time the development permit is issued.
- d. For parks and recreation facilities, the necessary facilities are the subject of a binding executed contract for the construction of the facilities at the time the development permit is issued and the agreement requires that facility construction must commence within one year of the issuance of the development permit.
- e. The necessary facilities and services are guaranteed in an enforceable development agreement. An enforceable development agreement may include, but is not limited to, development agreements pursuant to Section 163.3220, F.S., or as amended, or an agreement or development order issued pursuant to Chapter 380, F.S., or as amended. For wastewater, solid waste, potable water, and stormwater facilities, any such agreement will guarantee the necessary facilities and services to be in place and available to serve the new development at the time of the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.
- f. For roads, the necessary facilities needed to serve the development are included in the first three years of the applicable Five-Year Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Work Program or are in place or under actual construction no more than three years after the issuance of a County development order or permit.

I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE THAT I HAVE READ, UNDERSTAND AND AGREE WITH THE ABOVE STATEMENT ON THIS DAY OF , YEAR OF

Signature of Property Own

Signature of Property Owner

Printed Name of Property Owner

Printed Name of Property Owner

Date

Last Updated: 10/6/17-Rezoning

5. Submittal Requirements

- A. V Completed application: All applicable areas of the application shall be filled in and submitted to the Planning and Zoning Department, 3363 West Park Place, Pensacola, FL 32505.
- B. _____ Application Fees: To view fees visit the website: <u>http://myescambia.com/business/ds/planning-board</u> or contact us at 595-3547

Note: Application fees include a \$5 technical fee. Cost of the public notice mailing is to be borne by the applicant. Payments must be submitted prior to 3 pm of the closing date of acceptance of application. Please make checks payable to Escambia County. MasterCard and Visa are also accepted (a 3% fee will be added for credit card payments).

- **c.** <u>V</u> Legal Proof of Ownership (ex: copy of Tax Notice or Warranty Deed) <u>AND</u> a Certified Boundary Survey (Include Corporation/LLC documentation if applicable.)
- D. _____ Compatibility Analysis (if applicable): If the subject property does not meet the roadway requirements of Locational Criteria, a compatibility analysis prepared by the applicant is required to provide substantial evidence of unique circumstances regarding the parcel or use that were not anticipated by the alternative criteria. (See "Documented Compatibility" within the request zoning district of the LDC.)

E. _____ Signed and Notarized Affidavit of Owner/Limited Power of Attorney AND Concurrency Determination Acknowledgement (pages 4 and 5).

By my signature, I hereby certify that:

- 1) I am duly qualified as owner(s) or authorized agent to make such application, this application is of my own choosing, and staff has explained all procedures relating to this request; and
- 2) All information given is accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief, and I understand that deliberate misrepresentation of such information will be grounds for denial or reversal of this application and/or revocation of any approval based upon this application; and
- 3) I understand that there are no guarantees as to the outcome of this request, and that the application fee is non-refundable; and
- 4) I authorize County staff to enter upon the property referenced herein at any reasonable time for purposes of site inspection and authorize placement of a public notice sign(s) on the property referenced herein at a location(s) to be determined by County staff; and
- 5) I am aware that Public Hearing notices (legal ad and/or postcards) for the request shall be provided by the Development Services Bureau.

Signature of Owner/Agent	Catalina Filip Printed Name Owner/Agent	$\underline{}_{\text{Date}} = \frac{\frac{8}{\lambda o / 1} \frac{8}{\lambda o}}{\frac{1}{\lambda o}}$
Signature of Owner	Printed Name of Owner	Date
STATE OF Florida COUNTY OF Florida day of	SCAMPBA The The AVAILST 2018, by	foregoing instrument
Personally Known D OR Produced Identification . T	V	D.L.
Hayla Plance Mlacler Signature of Notary	Printed Name of Notary	KAYLA RENAE MEADOR Commission # GG 044191 Expires November 7, 2020 Bonded Thru Troy Fain Insurance 800-385-7019


Select0949943003325312001000010	Ϋ́Α	32507	DR	0/2006	120000	FAMILY RESID	-1	1454
Select 094911510 332S312000340001	BROOKSHIRE JAMES E & PATRICIA A	2628 WELLER AVE+PENSACOLA, FL 32507	2628 WELLER AVE	7/2002	25000	MOBILE 0.2600 HOME 0.2600	0	0
Select 094899100 332\$312000080001	COLSTON I SHEILA LIFE EST &	2520 WELLER AVE+PENSACOLA, FL 32507	2520 WELLER AVE	2/25/2015	78500	SINGLE FAMILY RESID ^{0,3400}	1	1456
Select 094899000 332 S3 1200007000 1	TIDWELL I ROBERT T & JULIE A	2481 UPPER BIG SPRINGS RD+LAGRANGE, GA 30241	8841 GULF BEACH HWY	6/1996	66900	SINGLE FAMILY RESID ^{0.9498}	1	1684

Recorded in Public Records 6/21/2017 3:27 PM OR Rook 7732 Page 1162. Instrument #2017047192, Pam Childers Clerk of the Circuit Court Escambia County, FL Recording \$10,00 Deed Stamps \$1,190.00

This Document Prepared By and Return for Edsel F. Matthews, Jr., P.A. 308 S. Jefferson Street Pensacola, FL 32502

Parcel ID Number: 332531-2000-120-001

Warranty Deed

Made this 16th day of This Indenture, June , 2017 A.D. Between Steven B. Bobe and Tony M. Bobe of the County of Baldwin State of Alabama , grantors, and Fil's Group, LLC, a Florida limited liability company 58 5925 Culf BRCach Highwa whose address is: 3229 N: Q Street, Pensacola, FL 32505 32507 TMB whose address is: 3229-

of the County of Escambia

Witnesseth that the GRANTORS, for and in consideration of the sum of -----TEN DOLLARS (\$10)-----DOLLARS. and other good and valuable consideration to GRANTORS in hand paid by GRANTEE, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, have granted, bargained and sold to the said GRANTEE and GRANTEE'S heirs, successors and assigns forever, the following described land, situate,

State of Florida

, grantce.

to wit:

lying and being in the County of Escambia State of Florida The East 30 feet of the West 150 feet of Lot 75, Bayreuth Subdivision, according to the Plat recorded in Deed Book 74, Page 100, of the Public Records of Escambia County, Florida, and Lots 12 and 13, Block A, Gulf Beach Manor, a subdivision according to the Plat recorded in Plat Book 1, Page 16, of the Public Records of said County.

The property herein conveyed DOES NOT constitute the HOMESTEAD property of the Grantor.

Subject to restrictions, reservany, and taxes subsequent to 2016. reservations and easements of record, if

and the grantors do bereby fully warrant the title to said land, and will defend the same against lawful claims of all persons whomsoever. In Witness Whereof, the grantors have berounte set their hande and coale the day and your first above written.

Signed, sealed and delivered in our presence:

Printed Name: Milan A. Shore	Steven B. Bobe (Scal)	
Witness	P.O. Address: 11570 Country Road 87, Elberta, AL 36530	
Printed Name: 1110 David Vice	Tony M. Bobe (Scal)	
Witness	P.O. Address: 11570 Country Rusil 87, Effecta, AL 36330	
STATE OF Florida COUNTY OF Escambia		
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this Steven B. Bobe and Tony M. Bobe	16th day of June , 2017 by	
who are personally known to me or who have produced their AL identification.	OL the Ondo	
	Printed Name: Notary Public My Commission Expires: My Commission Expires: My Commission 4 (2020) Several Data States of the second	

Laser Generated by +) Display Systems, Inc., 2017 (863) 763-5555 Form FLWD-1



Department of State / Division of Corporations / Search Records / Detail By Document Number /

Detail by Entity Name Florida Limited Liability Company **FIL'S GROUP LLC Filing Information Document Number** L13000021467 **FEI/EIN Number** 46-1997099 **Date Filed** 02/11/2013 State FL ACTIVE Status **Principal Address** 8925 Gulf Beach Hwy PENSACOLA, FL 32507 Changed: 04/05/2018 Mailing Address 8925 Gulf Beach Hwy PENSACOLA, FL 32507 Changed: 04/05/2018 **Registered Agent Name & Address** FILIP, SERGHEI 3229 N Q Street PENSACOLA, FL 32505 Name Changed: 04/24/2015 Address Changed: 03/31/2014 Authorized Person(s) Detail Name & Address **Title Manager** FILIP, SERGHEI 8925 Gulf Beach Hwy PENSACOLA, FL 32507

Filip, Catalina

8925 Gulf Beach Hwy PENSACOLA, FL 32507

Annual Reports

Report Year	Filed Date
2016	03/16/2016
2017	04/25/2017
2018	04/05/2018

Document Images

04/05/2018 ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
04/25/2017 ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
03/16/2016 ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
05/27/2015 AMENDED ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
04/24/2015 ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
03/31/2014 ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
02/11/2013 Florida Limited Liability	View image in PDF format

Florida Department of State, Division of Corporations

BOUNDARY SURVEY WITH IMPROVEMENTS OF A PORTION OF SECTION 33, TOWNSHIP 2 SOUTH, RANGE 31 WEST, ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA





DESCRIPTION AS FURNISHED: (OFFICIAL RECORDS BOOK: 7132, PAGE: 1162)

THE EAST 30 FEET OF THE WEST ISO FEET OF LOT 75, BAYREUTH SUBDIVISION, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT RECORDED IN DEED BOOK 74, PAGE 100, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA, AND LOTS 12 AND 13, BLOCK A, GULF BEACH MANOR, A SUBDIVISION ACCORDING TO THE PLAT RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 1, PAGE 16, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF SAID COUNTY.

GENERAL NOTES:

- I. THE BEARINGS AS SHOWN HEREON ARE REFERENCED TO THE ASSUMED BEARING OF NORTH 25 DEGREES 27 MINUTES 35 SECONDS EAST ALONG THE EAST LINE OF THE PROPERTY.
- THE SURVEY DATUM AS SHOWN HEREON IS REFERENCED TO THE DESCRIPTION AS FURNISHED AND TO EXISTING FIELD MONUMENTATION. 2.
- 3. NO TITLE SEARCH WAS PROVIDED TO NOR PERFORMED BY NORTHWEST FLORIDA LAND SURVEYING, INC., FOR THE SUBJECT PROPERTY. THERE MAY BE DEEDS OF RECORD, UNRECORDED DEEDS, EASEMENTS, RIGHTS-OF-WAY, STATE AND/OR FEDERAL JURISDICTIONAL AREAS OR OTHER INSTRUMENTS WHICH COULD AFFECT THE SUBJECT PROPERTY.
- THE PROPERTY AS SHOWN HEREON IS LOCATED IN FLOOD ZONE "X", BASE FLOOD ELEVATION N/A, AS DETERMINED FROM FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP OF ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA (UNINCORPORATED AREAS), MAP NUMBER 12033C 0521 G, REVISED
- THIS SURVEY DOES NOT DETERMINE OWNERSHIP. 5.
- THIS SURVEY MEETS MINIMUM TECHNICAL STANDARDS AS SET FORTH BY THE FLORIDA BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYORS IN CHAPTER 5J-17.050 5J-17.173052 FLORIDA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE, PURSUANT TO SECTION 472.027, FLORIDA STATUTES, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. 6.
- 7. THE MEASUREMENTS AS SHOWN HEREON WERE MADE TO UNITED STATES STANDARDS.
- THE MEASUREMENTS OF THE BUILDINGS AND/OR FOUNDATIONS SHOWN HEREON DO NOT INCLUDE CONCRETE 8.
- 9. FENCE LOCATIONS SHOWN HEREON MAY BE EXAGGERATED AND NOT TO SCALE FOR CLARITY PURPOSES
- 10. FEDERAL AND STATE COPYRIGHT ACTS PROTECT THIS MAP FROM UNAUTHORIZED USE. THIS MAP IS NOT TO BE COPIED OR REPRODUCED IN WHOLE OR PART AND IS NOT TO BE USED FOR THE BENEFIT OF ANY OTHER PERSON, COMPANY OR FIRM, WITHOUT PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE COPYRIGHT OWNER, FRED R. THOMPSON, AND IS TO BE RETURNED TO OWNER UPON REQUEST.
- THIS DOCUMENT MUST BE COMPARED TO THE ORIGINAL HARD COPY ISSUED ON THE SURVEY DATE П. WITH A RAISED SEAL TO INSURE THE ACCURACY OF THE INFORMATION AND TO FURTHER INSURE THAT NO CHANGES, ALTERATIONS OR MODIFICATIONS HAVE BEEN MADE. NO RELIANCE SHOULD BE MADE ON A DOCUMENT TRANSMITTED BY COMPUTER OR OTHER ELECTRONIC MEANS UNLESS FIRST COMPARED TO THE ORIGINAL SIGNED AND SEALED DOCUMENT.
- 12. THIS SURVEY MAY BE SUBLECT TO ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS BY COUNTY, STATE OR OTHER AGENCIES.

13. ENCROACHMENTS ARE AS SHOWN.

DENOTES.

- $\Phi \sim$ 1/2" capped iron rod, numbered 7092 (found)
- 0 ~ I" IRON PIPE, UNNUMBERED (FOUND)
- (P) ~ PLATTED INFORMATION
- (F) ~ FIELD INFORMATION R/W ~ RIGHT OF WAY
- --- ~ BUSINESS SIGN





BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

- TO: Andrew Holmer, Division Manager Development Services Department
- FROM: Terri V. Malone, AICP, Transportation Planner Transportation & Traffic Operations Division
- THRU: David Forte, Division Manager Transportation & Traffic Operations Division
- DATE: October 23, 2018

RE: Transportation & Traffic Operations (TTO) Comments – Z-2018-14

TTO Staff has reviewed the Rezoning Case (Z)-2018-14, 8925 Gulf Beach Highway, agenda item for the Planning Board meeting scheduled on November 8, 2018. Please see the below comments.

Gulf Beach Highway is a two-lane road with paved shoulders. The approximate pavement width is 33 feet with two 12-foot travel lanes. Right of Way is 66 feet. There are two programmed signal projects (Patton Drive & Sunset Avenue) on Gulf Beach Highway showing in the County's Capital Improvement Program (CIP). There are also two signal projects programmed in the Florida Department of Transportation's five-year work program.

Gulf Beach Highway is classified as a principal arterial with an established vehicular capacity of 17,700 (LOS D) and a year 2017 daily volume of 18,500 vehicles.

TTO's review is solely based off the application submittal packet, so the comments above hold no bearing on any future TTO comments during the Development Review process.

cc: Horace Jones, Development Services Department Director Joy Jones, P.E., Public Works Department Director Colby Brown, P.E., Public Works Department Deputy Director Juan Lemos, CFM, Development Services Department

Planning Board-Rezor	ning 7. B.
Meeting Date:	11/08/2018
CASE :	Z-2018-15
APPLICANT:	Wiley C. "Buddy" Page, Agent for Gulf Beach Self Storage, Inc. Owner
ADDRESS:	8939 Gulf Beach Hwy
PROPERTY REF. NO.:	33-2S-31-1000-002-075
FUTURE LAND USE:	MU-U, Mixed-Use Urban
DISTRICT:	2
OVERLAY DISTRICT:	AIPD 1; APZ 2
BCC MEETING DATE:	12/06/2018

SUBMISSION DATA:

REQUESTED REZONING:

FROM: HC/LI, Heavy Commercial and Light Industrial district (25 du/acre) and MDR, Medium Density Residential district (10 du/acre)

TO: HC/LI-NA, Heavy Commercial and Light Industrial district, prohibiting the subsequent establishment of any microbreweries, microdistilleries, microwineries, bars, nightclubs, or adult entertainment uses (25 du/acre)

RELEVANT AUTHORITY:

- (1) Escambia County Comprehensive Plan
- (2) Escambia County Land Development Code

(3) Board of County Commissioners of Brevard County v. Snyder, 627 So. 2d 469 (Fla. 1993)

(4) Resolution 96-34 (Quasi-judicial Proceedings)

(5) Resolution 96-13 (Ex-parte Communications)

APPROVAL CONDITIONS

Criterion a., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Consistent with Comprehensive Plan

The proposed zoning is consistent with the future land use (FLU) category as prescribed in LDC Chapter 3, and with all other applicable goals, objectives, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan. If the rezoning is required to properly enact a proposed FLU map amendment transmitted for state agency review, the proposed zoning is consistent with the proposed FLU and conditional to its adoption.

CPP FLU 1.3.1 Future Land Use Categories The Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U) Future Land

Use (FLU) category is intended for an intense mix of residential and nonresidential uses while promoting compatible infill development and the separation of urban and suburban land uses within the category as a whole. Range of allowable uses include: Residential, Retail and Services, Professional Office, Light Industrial, Recreational Facilities, Public and Civic. The maximum residential density is 25 dwelling units per acre.

CPP FLU 1.5.1 New Development and Redevelopment in Built Areas. To promote the efficient use of existing public roads, utilities and service infrastructure, the County will encourage redevelopment in underutilized properties to maximize

development densities and intensities located in the Mixed Use-Suburban, Mixed Use-Urban, Commercial and Industrial Future Land Use districts categories (with the exception of residential development).

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment to HC/LI-NA **is consistent** with the intent and purpose of Future Land Use category Mixed-Use Urban as stated in CPP FLU 1.3.1. The Comprehensive Plan allows for professional offices, light industrial, recreational facilities, public and civic. The parcel will utilize the existing public road, utilities, and infrastructure.

Criterion b., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Consistent with The Land Development Code

The proposed zoning is consistent with the purpose and intent and with any other zoning establishment provisions prescribed by the proposed district in Chapter 3.

Sec. 3-2.7 Medium Density Residential district (MDR).

(a) Purpose. The Medium Density Residential (MDR) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for residential uses at medium densities within suburban or urban areas. The primary intent of the district is to provide for residential neighborhood development in an efficient urban pattern of well-connected streets and at greater dwelling unit density than the Low Density Residential district. Residential uses within the MDR district are limited to single-family and two-family dwellings. The district allows non-residential uses that are compatible with suburban and urban residential neighborhoods.

Sec. 3-2.11 Heavy Commercial and Light Industrial district (HC/LI).

(a) **Purpose**. The Heavy Commercial and Light Industrial (HC/LI) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for a complementary mix of industrial uses with a broad range of commercial activities. The primary intent of the district is to allow light manufacturing, large-scale wholesale and retail uses, major services, and other more intense uses than allowed in the Commercial district. The variety and intensity of non-residential uses within the HC/LI district is limited by the applicable FLU and their compatibility with surrounding uses. All commercial and industrial operations are limited to the confines of buildings and not allowed to produce undesirable effects on other property. To retain adequate area for commercial and industrial activities, other uses within the district are limited.

(b) Permitted uses. Permitted uses within the HC/LI district are limited to the following:

(1) Residential. Any residential uses outside of the Industrial (I) future land use category

but if within the Commercial (C) future land use category (and not the principal single-family dwelling on an existing lot of record), only as part of a predominantly commercial development; and excluding new or expanded manufactured (mobile) home parks and subdivisions. See also conditional uses in this district.

(2) Retail sales. Retail sales, including Low-THC marijuana dispensing facilities, sales of alcoholic beverages, sales of automotive fuels, and sales of new and used automobiles, motorcycles, boats, and manufactured (mobile) homes.

(3) Retail services.

a. Car washes, automatic or manual, full service or self-serve.

b. Child care facilities.

c. Hotels, motels and all other public lodging, including boarding and rooming houses.

d. Personal services, including those of beauty shops, health clubs, pet groomers, dry cleaners and tattoo parlors.

e. Professional services, including those of realtors, bankers, accountants, engineers, architects, dentists, physicians, and attorneys.

f. Rental of automobiles, trucks, utility trailers and recreational vehicles.

g. Repair services, including appliance repair, furniture refinishing and upholstery, watch and jewelry repair, small engine and motor services, and major motor vehicle and boat service and repair, but excluding outdoor work or storage.

h. Restaurants and brewpubs, including on-premises consumption of alcoholic beverages, drive-in and drive-through service, and brewpubs with the distribution of on-premises produced alcoholic beverages for off-site sales. The parcel boundary of any restaurant or brewpub with drive-in or drive-through service shall be at least 200 feet from any LDR or MDR zoning district unless separated by a 50-foot or wider street right-of-way.

i. Taxi and limousine services

See also conditional uses in this district.

(4) Public and civic.

a. Broadcast stations with satellite dishes and antennas, including towers.

b. Cemeteries, including family cemeteries.

c. Community service facilities, including auditoriums, libraries, museums, and neighborhood centers.

d. Educational facilities, including preschools, K-12, colleges, and vocational schools.

e. Emergency service facilities, including law enforcement, fire fighting, and medical assistance.

f. Funeral establishments.

g. Homeless shelters.

h. Hospitals.

i. Offices for government agencies or public utilities.

j. Places of worship.

 $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$. Public utility structures, including telecommunications towers, but excluding industrial uses not otherwise permitted.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(5) Recreation and entertainment.

a. Commercial entertainment facilities, indoor or outdoor, including movie theatres, amusement parks, and stadiums, but excluding motorsports facilities. Carnival-type amusements shall be at least 500 feet from any residential district. Bars, nightclubs, and adult entertainment are prohibited in areas with the zoning designation HC/LI-NA or

areas zoned ID-CP or ID-1 prior to adoption of HC/LI zoning.

b. Commercial recreation facilities, passive or active, including those for walking, hiking, bicycling, camping, recreational vehicles, swimming, skateboarding, bowling, court games, field sports, and golf, but excluding off-highway vehicle uses and outdoor shooting ranges. Campgrounds and recreational vehicle parks require a minimum lot area of five acres.

c. Marinas, private and commercial.

d. Parks, with or without permanent restrooms or outdoor event lighting.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(6) Industrial and related. The following industrial and related uses, except within MU-S. **a**. Light industrial uses, including research and development, printing and binding, distribution and wholesale warehousing, and manufacturing, all completely within the confines of buildings and without adverse off-site impacts.

b. Marinas, industrial.

c. Microbreweries, microdistilleries, and microwineries, except in areas with the zoning designation HC/LI-NA.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(7) Agricultural and related.

a. Food produced primarily for personal consumption by the producer, but no farm animals.

b. Nurseries and garden centers, including adjoining outdoor storage or display of plants.

c. Veterinary clinics, excluding outside kennels.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(8) Other uses. Within MU-S, outside storage is permitted only when adequately screened per LDC regulations.

a. Billboards structures, excluding areas zoned ID-CP, GBD, or GID prior to adoption of HC/LI zoning.

b. Building or construction trades shops and warehouses, including on-site outside storage.

c. Bus leasing and rental facilities, not allowed within MU-S.

d. Deposit boxes for donation of used items when placed as an accessory structure on the site of a charitable organization.

e. Outdoor adjacent display of plants by garden shops and nurseries.

f. Outdoor sales.

g. Outdoor storage of trailered boats and operable recreational vehicles, excluding repair, overhaul or salvage activities.

h. Parking garages and lots, commercial, not allowed within MU-S.

i. Sales and outdoor display of prefabricated storage sheds.

j. Self-storage facilities, including vehicle rental as an accessory use.

(2) HC/LI-NA designation. Any applicant for rezoning to the HC/LI zoning district may request a HC/LI-NA designation prohibiting the subsequent establishment of any microbreweries, microdistilleries, microwineries, bars, nightclubs, or adult entertainment uses on the rezoned property. The request shall be in the form of a notarized affidavit that acknowledges this use restriction and affirms that it is a voluntary request. Once approved according to the rezoning process of Chapter 2, the HC/LI-NA zoning designation and its prohibitions shall apply to the property, regardless of ownership, unless the parcel is rezoned.

(e) Location criteria. All new non-residential uses proposed within the HC/LI district that are not part of a planned unit development or not identified as exempt by district regulations shall be on parcels that satisfy at least one of the following location criteria.

(1) Parcel previously zoned GBD within the MU-S FLU along Hwy 29 or SR 95A. Parcels previously zoned GBD and within the MU-S future land use category which are located along and directly fronting U.S. Highway 29 or State Road 95A

(2) Proximity to intersection. Along an arterial street and within one-quarter mile of its intersection with an arterial street.

(3) Site design. Along an arterial street, no more than one-half mile from its intersection with an arterial street, and all of the following site design conditions:

a. Not abutting a RR, LDR or MDR zoning district

b. Any intrusion into a recorded residential subdivision is limited to a corner lot

c. A system of service roads or shared access is provided to the maximum extent feasible given the lot area, lot shape, ownership patterns, and site and street characteristics.

d. Adverse impacts to any adjoining residential uses are minimized by placing the more intensive elements of the use, such as solid waste dumpsters and truck loading/unloading areas, furthest from the residential uses.

e. Location in an area where already established non-residential uses are otherwise consistent with the HC/LI, and where the new use would constitute infill development of similar intensity as the conforming development on surrounding parcels. Additionally, the location would promote compact development and not contribute to or promote strip commercial development.

(4) Documented compatibility. A compatibility analysis prepared by the applicant provides competent substantial evidence of unique circumstances regarding the parcel or use that were not anticipated by the alternative criteria, and the proposed use will be able to achieve long-term compatibility with existing and potential uses. Additionally, the following conditions exist:

a. The parcel has not been rezoned by the landowner from the mixed-use, commercial, or industrial zoning assigned by the county.

b. If the parcel is within a county redevelopment district, the use will be consistent with the district's adopted redevelopment plan, as reviewed and recommended by the Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA).

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment is **not** consistent with the intent and purpose of the Land Development Code due to locational criteria. The undeveloped parcel is on an arterial roadway, however it is just outside of the required one-half mile from its intersection with an arterial street and is is also abutting MDR zoning.district. The parcel is in an areas that has established commercial uses and this could constitue infill development of similiar intensity as some of the surrounding parcels. Currently, the property falls in the APZ-2 area which prohibits any concentration of people living in the area. A self storage would be appropriate use for this area.

Criterion c., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Compatible with surrounding uses

All the permitted uses of the proposed zoning, not just those anticipated by the rezoning applicant, are compatible, as defined in Chapter 6, with the surrounding uses. The uses of any surrounding undeveloped land shall be considered the permitted uses of the applicable district. Compatibility is not considered with potential conditional uses or with any nonconforming or unapproved uses. Also, in establishing the compatibility of a residential use, there is no additional burden to demonstrate the compatibility of specific residents or activities protected by fair housing law.

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment **is compatible** with surrounding existing uses in the area. Within the 500' radius impact area, staff observed properties with zoning districts HC/LI and MDR. There are single-family parcels, vacant parcels, a repair shop, car lot and an office in the area surrounding the subject properties.

Criterion d., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Appropriate if spot zoning

Where the proposed zoning would establish or reinforce a condition of spot zoning as defined in Chapter 6, the isolated district would nevertheless be transitional in character between the adjoining districts, or the differences with those districts would be minor or sufficiently limited. The extent of these mitigating characteristics or conditions demonstrates an appropriate site specific balancing of interests between the isolated district and adjoining lands.

As per LDC Chapter 6, Spot Zoning is: Zoning applied to an area of land, regardless of its size, that is different from the zoning of all contiguous land. Such isolated or "spot" zoning is usually higher in its density or intensity of use than the adjoining zoning and may, therefore, extend privileges not generally extended to property similarly located in the area. Spot zoning is not by itself prohibited, but due to its potentially adverse impacts on adjoining zoning it carries a higher burden of demonstration that, if authorized, it will contribute to or result in logical and orderly development.

FINDINGS

The parcel is currently vacant and is a split zoned parcel of HC/LI and MDR. Along both sides Gulf Beach Highway the parcels are either zoned HC/LI or split zoned HC/LI and MDR. this would not be considered spot zoning.

Criterion e., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Appropriate with changed or changing conditions

If the land uses or development conditions within the area surrounding the property of rezoning have changed, the changes are to such a degree and character that it is in the public interest to allow new uses, density, or intensity in the area through rezoning; and the permitted uses of the proposed district are appropriate and not premature for the area or likely to create or contribute to sprawl.

FINDINGS

The land uses or development conditions within the area surrounding the property of rezoning **have not** changed. The area along Gulf Beach Highway has parcels with split zoning and a mix of commercial and residential uses.

Attachments

Z-2018-15















Public Hearing Sign



Subject Parcel



Looking east along Gulf Beach Highway



Looking West along Gulf Beach Highway



Looking across Gulf Beach Highway from subject parcel Wiley C."Buddy" Page, MPA, APA Professional Growth Management Services, LLC 5337 Hamilton Lane Pace, Florida 32571 Cell 850.232.9853 budpage©att. net

August 10, 2018 VIA HAND DELIVERY

Mr. Horace Jones, Director Department of Growth Management 3363 West Park Avenue Pensacola, Florida 32505

> RE: Rezoning request: MDR to HC/LI NA Parcel: Portion of 33-2S-31-1000-002-075 Owner: Gulf Beach Self Storage, Inc. Address: 8939 Gulf Beach Highway

Dear Mr. Jones:

The attached application requests Planning Board consideration to rezone the southerly portion of the referenced parcel of property from Medium Density Residential to Heavy Commercial / Light Industrial. As shown herein, the property has split zoning where the front portion is presently zoned HC/LI and the southerly portion is zoned MDR.

With regard to location requirements, there are two uses that produce more than 600 trips per day. The first is Campshire Meadows, a 100+ residential subdivision shown on the attached maps. All traffic travels south on Weller Avenue to Gulf Beach Highway less than 600 feet from the subject site. The second is a new Dollar General store located at the intersection of Gulf Beach Highway and Kingsport Avenue, east of the subject site. This store measures between 1,328 and 1,332 feet east of the subject site, slightly exceeding the 1,320 feet requirement. Considering both of these trip generating sources, we intend to ask that the Planning Board consider that the subject site meets the essential requirements of LDC 3-2.10(e)(2) Proximity to Traffic Generator.

The application contains the required filing fee together with additional information regarding proof of ownership, corporate papers, a certified boundary survey and location maps.

Please contact me if you have any questions or require anything further. Thank you.

LAND USE • ZONING • SITE SELECTION • LITIGATION SUPPORT

Last Updated: 10/6/17-Rezoning



Escambia County Planning and Zoning

Development Services Department 3363 West Park Place Pensacola, FL 32505 Phone: (850) 595-3475 • Fax: (850) 595-3481 <u>http://myescambia.com/business/ds</u>

R OF	FICE	USE ONLY - Case Number: 2-2018-15 Accepted by: A Case PB Meeting: 1/6/.
1.	Col	ntact Information:
	Α.	Property Owner/Applicant: Gulf Beach Self Storage, Inc.
		Mailing Address: 8939 Gulf Beach Highway Pensacola, FI 32507
		Business Phone: Cell:
		Email:
	в.	Authorized Agent (if applicable): Wiley C."Buddy" Page
		Mailing Address:5337 Hamilton Lane Pace, Florida 32571
		Business Phone: Cell: 850-232-9853
		Email: budpage1@att.net
		Note: Owner must complete the attached Agent Affidavit. If there is more than one owner, each owner m
		complete an Agent Affidavit. Application will be voided if changes to this application are found.
2.	Pro	operty Information:
	A.	Existing Street Address: 8939 Gulf Beach Highway Pensacola, FI 32507
		Parcel ID (s):
		33-2S-31-1000-002-075
	Β.	Total acreage of the subject property: <u>4.29+-</u>
	C.	Existing Zoning: <u>HC/LI & MDR</u>
		Proposed Zoning: <u>HC/LI NA</u> ; explain why necessary and/or appropriate
		Parcel has split zoning; frontage zoned HC/LI rear zoned MDR. Proposed mini- storage units require a commercial type zoning. Request is to change that rear
		portion currently zone MDR to HC/LI.

FLU Category: MU-U

- D. Is the subject property developed (if yes, explain): ______ Property is vacant
- E. Sanitary Sewer: X Septic: _____

3. Amendment Request

Approval conditions. The applicant has the burden of presenting competent substantial evidence to the reviewing board establishing that the requested zoning district would contribute to or result in a logical and orderly development pattern. The appropriate surrounding area within which uses and conditions must be considered may vary with those uses and conditions and is not necessarily the same area required for mailed notification. A logical and orderly pattern shall require demonstration of each of the following conditions:

Please address ALL the following approval conditions for your rezoning request. (use

supplement sheets as needed)

a. Consistent with Comprehensive Plan. The proposed zoning is consistent with the future land use (FLU) category as prescribed in LDC Chapter 3, and with all other applicable goals, objectives, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan. If the rezoning is required to properly enact a proposed FLU map amendment transmitted for state agency review, the proposed zoning is consistent with the proposed FLU and conditional to its adoption.

Request is consistent as depicted in Attachment "A" attached.

b. Consistent with zoning district provisions. The proposed zoning is consistent with the purpose and intent and with any other zoning establishment provisions prescribed by the proposed district in Chapter 3

Request is consistent with zoning provisions. Request is to rectifly current split zoning condition by asking that existing southerly MDR portion be rezoned to HC/LI NA.

c. Compatible with surroundings. All of the permitted uses of the proposed zoning, not just those anticipated by the rezoning applicant, are compatible, as defined in Chapter 6, with the surrounding uses. The uses of any surrounding undeveloped land shall be considered the permitted uses of the applicable district. Compatibility is not considered with potential conditional uses or with any nonconforming or unapproved uses. Also, in establishing the compatibility of a residential use, there is no additional burden to demonstrate the compatibility of specific residents or activities protected by fair housing law.

The proposed development of a self storage facility is a quite, low key operation where patrons generally visit the site during day time hours, leave goods in a rented unit and depart. The proposed zoning will be compatible with both the car lot to the east and residential units to the west. The southerly end of the property is likely jurisdictional wetlands and will serve as a natural buffer to lots located south of the subject site.

d. Appropriate if spot zoning. Where the proposed zoning would establish or reinforce a condition of spot zoning as defined in Chapter 6, the isolated district would nevertheless be transitional in character between the adjoining districts, or the differences with those districts would be minor or sufficiently limited. The extent of these mitigating characteristics or conditions demonstrates an appropriate site-specific balancing of interests between the isolated district and adjoining lands.

As per LDC Chapter 6, Spot Zoning is: Zoning applied to an area of land, regardless of its size, that is different from the zoning of all contiguous land. Such isolated or "spot" zoning is usually higher in its density or intensity of use than the adjoining zoning and may, therefore, extend privileges not generally extended to property similarly located in the area. Spot zoning is not by itself prohibited, but due to its potentially adverse impacts on adjoining zoning it carries a higher burden of demonstration that, if authorized, it will contribute to or result in logical and orderly development

The requested HC/LI NA is similar to the adjacent HG/LI zoning and is compatible with the adjacent MDR zoning as demonstrated in nearby areas (intersection of Blue Angel Parkway and Gulf Beach Hw and Navy Boulevard and Gulf Beach Hwy.) Both areas depict examples of extensive MDR zoning adjacent to Commercially zoned areas.

e. Appropriate with changed or changing conditions. If the land uses or development conditions within the area surrounding the property of rezoning have changed, the changes are to such a degree and character that it is in the public interest to allow new uses, density, or intensity in the area through rezoning; and the permitted uses of the proposed district are appropriate and not premature for the area or likely to create or contribute to sprawl.

Continuing development in southwest Escambla county will increase for the foreseeable future. Growth in the area is evident and affects traffic capacity on local roadways. As depicted on the attached FOOT 2017 Historical Data Report, traffic counted on Gulf Beach near the site increased some 19.35% during the five year period between 2012 and 2017. This data represents significant changes are occurring in the area and producing more traffic.

4. <u>Please complete the following Forms: Concurrency Determination Acknowledgement</u> and Affidavit of Owner/Limited Power of Attorney (if applicable).

CONCURRENCY DETERMINATION ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Property Reference Number(s): 33-2S-31-1000-002-075

Property Address: 8939 Gulf Beach Highway Pensacola, Florida 32507

I/We acknowledge and agree that no future development for which concurrency of required facilities and services must be certified shall be approved for the subject parcel(s) without the issuance of a certificate of concurrency for the development based on the actual densities and intensities proposed in the future development's permit application.

I/We also acknowledge and agree that approval of a zoning district amendment (rezoning) or Future Land Use Map amendment does not certify, vest, or otherwise guarantee that concurrency of required facilities and services is, or will be, available for any future development of the subject parcels.

I/We further acknowledge and agree that no development for which concurrency must be certified shall be approved unless at least one of the following minimum conditions of the Comprehensive Plan will be met for each facility and service of the County's concurrency management system prior to development approval:

a. The necessary facilities or services are in place at the time a development permit is issued.

- b. A development permit is issued subject to the condition that the necessary facilities and services will be in place and available to serve the new development at the time of the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.
- c. For parks and recreation facilities and roads, the necessary facilities are under construction at the time the development permit is issued.
- d. For parks and recreation facilities, the necessary facilities are the subject of a binding executed contract for the construction of the facilities at the time the development permit is issued and the agreement requires that facility construction must commence within one year of the issuance of the development permit.
- e. The necessary facilities and services are guaranteed in an enforceable development agreement. An enforceable development agreement may include, but is not limited to, development agreements pursuant to Section 163.3220, F.S., or as amended, or an agreement or development order issued pursuant to Chapter 380, F.S., or as amended. For wastewater, solid waste, potable water, and stormwater facilities, any such agreement will guarantee the necessary facilities and services to be in place and available to serve the new development at the time of the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.
- f. For roads, the necessary facilities needed to serve the development are included in the first three years of the applicable Five-Year Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Work Program or are in place or under actual construction no more than three years after the issuance of a County development order or permit.

I HEREBY	ACKNOWLEDGE THA	T I HAVE READ, UNDERS	TAND AND AGREE	WITH THE ABOVE STATEMENT
ON THIS	2812	DAY OF	August	, YEAR OF_ <u>lo(</u> 9

ature of Property Owner

Gulf Beach Self Storage, Inc.	8-28-18
Printed Name of Property Owner	Date

Signature of Property Owner

Printed Name of Property Owner

Date

AFFIDAVIT OF OWNER AND LIMITED POWER OF ATTORNEY (if applicable)

As owner of the property located at <u>8939 Gulf Beach Highway Pensacola, Florida 32507</u> ______, Florida, property reference number(s)<u>33-2S-31-1000-002-075</u> ______ I hereby designate <u>Wiley C."Buddy" Page</u> ______ for the sole purpose of completing this application and making a presentation to the Planning Board and the Board of County Commissioners to request a rezoning on the above referenced property. This Limited Power of Attorney is granted on this ______day of_____ the year of,______, and is effective until the Board of County Commissioners or the Board of Adjustment has rendered a decision on this request and any appeal period has expired. The owner reserves the right to rescind this Limited Power of Attorney at any time with a written, notarized notice to the Development Services Bureau.

Agent Name: Wiley C."Buddy" Page	e Email:budpage1@att.n	et
Address: 5337 Hamilton Lane Pace,	Florida 32571	Phone: 850-232-9853
In run	Gulf Beach Self Storage, Inc.	8-28-18
Signature of Property Owner	Printed Name of Property Owner	Date
Signature of Property Owner	Printed Name of Property Owner	Date
STATE OF Horida	COUNTY OF SANCT	n Rosa
The foregoing instrument was acknowle	edged before me this day of _	<u>lugust</u> 20 <u>18</u> ,
by Rip Uklker	•	0
Personally Known 🗶 OR Produced Ident	tification□. Type of Identification Produce	ed:

Jonna K 4 Jard

Signature of Notary

Printed Name of Notary

Public State of Florida

5. Submittal Requirements

- A. _____ Completed application: All applicable areas of the application shall be filled in and submitted to the Planning and Zoning Department, 3363 West Park Place, Pensacola, FL 32505.
- B. _____ Application Fees: To view fees visit the website: http://myescambia.com/business/ds/planning-board or contact us at 595-3547

Note: Application fees include a \$5 technical fee. Cost of the public notice mailing is to be borne by the applicant. Payments must be submitted prior to 3 pm of the closing date of acceptance of application. Please make checks payable to Escambia County. MasterCard and Visa are also accepted (a 3% fee will be added for credit card payments).

- C. _____ Legal Proof of Ownership (ex: copy of Tax Notice or Warranty Deed) <u>AND</u> a Certified Boundary Survey (Include Corporation/LLC documentation if applicable.)
- D. _____ Compatibility Analysis (if applicable): If the subject property does not meet the roadway requirements of Locational Criteria, a compatibility analysis prepared by the applicant is required to provide substantial evidence of unique circumstances regarding the parcel or use that were not anticipated by the alternative criteria. (See "Documented Compatibility" within the request zoning district of the LDC.)
- E. _____ Signed and Notarized Affidavit of Owner/Limited Power of Attorney AND Concurrency Determination Acknowledgement (pages 4 and 5).

By my signature, I hereby certify that:

- 1) I am duly qualified as owner(s) or authorized agent to make such application, this application is of my own choosing, and staff has explained all procedures relating to this request; and
- All information given is accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief, and I understand that deliberate misrepresentation of such information will be grounds for denial or reversal of this application and/or revocation of any approval based upon this application; and
- 3) I understand that there are no guarantees as to the outcome of this request, and that the application fee is non-refundable; and
- 4) I authorize County staff to enter upon the property referenced herein at any reasonable time for purposes of site inspection and authorize placement of a public notice sign(s) on the property referenced herein at a location(s) to be determined by County staff; and
- 5) I am aware that Public Hearing notices (legal ad and/or postcards) for the request shall be provided by the Development Services Bureau.

2 m all	Gulf Beach Self Storage, Inc.	8-28-18
Signature of Owner/Agent	Printed Name Owner/Agent	Date
Signature of Owner	Printed Name of Owner	Date
STATE OF POYICIA COUNTY O was acknowledged before me this 28th da	= SANTA ROSA The y of <u>august</u> 20 <u>18</u> , by Kyc	foregoing instrument
Personally Known OR Produced Identification	. Type of Identification Produced:	
(Dona KW2)	Donna K Ward	
Signature of Notary	Printed Name of Notary	More Andrew Control Co
	7	Expires 04/30/2021



Chris Jones Escambia County Property Appraiser

	Real Est Searc			le Property Search		ale ist		ent 1/Portal lculations	bility	
*						<u>Back</u>				
		Account	O Refe	rence 🏓		1			Printer Frie	endly Version
General Info Reference:	rmation	222621	100000	2075		and the state of the state	sments			
Account:		332S31 094776		2075		Year 2017	Land \$84,087	Imprv \$0	Total \$84,087	<u>Cap Val</u> \$84,087
Owners:				LF STORAGE	INC	2016	\$84,087	\$0 \$0	\$84,087	\$84,087
Mail:		8939 GI	JLF BEA	CH HWY		2015	\$84,087	\$0	\$84,087	\$84,087
		PENSAC			1012					
Situs:				CH HWY 325	507			Disclaime	er	
Use Code:		VACANT	COMM	ERCIAL 🔑		Δ	mendment	1/Portabi	lity Calcul	ations
Taxing Authority:		COUNTY	MSTU				nenument		nity Calcu	ations
Schools		PLEASA	NT			> File	e for New	Homestea	d Exempti	on Online
(Elem/Int/H	1220 1 20			ESCAMBIA						
Tax Inquiry: Tax Inquiry lin				y Window						
Escambia Cou			unsiora							
Sales						2017	Certified R	oll Exempti	ions	
Data				Official Red	ords	None	-	And a second		
Sale Date				(New Wind						•11
04/10/2017				View Ins		Legal	Description	1:		P
08/08/2011 07/2003			QC	View Ins			FT OF LT 75			
service and the service of the servi	5193 477 4516 499			View Ins View Ins			ND ADJ 1/2 (OR 7695 P 1		O 6TH AVE C	OR 1267
	4284 1667		WD	View Ins						
07/1998	4281 455	\$100	CJ	View Ins		Extra	Features			
Official Record Escambia Cou	Is Inquiry co	ourtesy of	Pam Cl	hilders		None	reatures			
Parcel	nty Clerk of	the Circu	III COURI		oller					
Information			100	, ,	N John	and the second s	-11		unch Inte	ractive Ma
Section Map (d: 33-2S-31-5	+	It		CHINNY	1210			- The second	t	T
Approx. Acreage: 4.2900		2000	GULF BE	ACTING				to a		the set
Coned: IC/LI 1DR	8	100 100	11							
Vacuation Flood Information	Service Annual Anic		8	Nation and	1				120	LERAVE
		The second	F		5		11	324	F	
-	à.		-0	1 9/ 6	-	an.	1 1		1	181
1	View	v Florida	Departm	ent of Enviro			tion(DEP) D	ata		
					uilding	the second second				
					Images					

, 2018

Recorded in Public Records 4/13/2017 4:55 PM OR Book 7695 Page 1880, Instrument #2017027465, Pam Childers Clerk of the Circuit Court Escambia County, FL Recording \$18.50 Deed Stamps \$385.00

Prepared by: Faith H. Woods QUINTAIROS, PRIETO, WOOD & BOYER P.A. 114 E Gregory Street, 2nd Floor Pensacola, Florida 32502

File Number: 17P53104137

General Warranty Deed

Made this April 10, 2017 A.D. By Multi-Property Investment & Development Corp., a dissolved Florida corporation, 4114 Sandy Bluff Drive West, Gulf Breeze, Florida 32563, hereinafter called the grantor, to Gulf Beach Self Storage Inc. a Florida corporation, whose post office address is: 8939 Gulf Beach Highway, Pensacola, Florida 32507, hereinafter called the grantee:

(Whenever used herein the term "grantor" and "grantee" include all the parties to this instrument and the heirs, legal representatives and assigns of individuals, and the successors and assigns of corporations)

Witnesseth, that the grantor, for and in consideration of the sum of Ten Dollars, (\$10.00) and other valuable considerations, receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, hereby grants, bargains, sells, aliens, remises, releases, conveys and confirms unto the grantee, all that certain land situate in Escambia County, Florida, viz:

See Attached Schedule "A"

Parcel ID Number: 332S311000010075

Together with all the tenements, hereditaments and appurtenances thereto belonging or in anywise appertaining.

To Have and to Hold, the same in fee simple forever.

And the grantor hereby covenants with said grantee that the grantor is lawfully seized of said land in fee simple; that the grantor has good right and lawful authority to sell and convey said land; that the grantor hereby fully warrants the title to said land and will defend the same against the lawful claims of all persons whomsoever; and that said land is free of all encumbrances except taxes accruing subsequent to December 31, 2016.

In Witness Whereof, the said grantor has signed and sealed these presents the day and year first above written.

Signed, sealed and delivered in our presence:

MultiProperty Investments & Development Corp a dissolved Florida corporation

nach Kip M Walker, It's: President

(Seal)

12

(Scal)

Witness Printed Name Felicia Brown

State of Florida County of Escambia

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 10th day of April, 2017, by Kip M. Walker, President of Multi property Investment & Development, Inc. a dissolved Florida corporation, who is/are personally known to me or who has produced as identification. in

Address:

Notary Public Print Name:

My Commission Expires:



BK: 7695 PG: 1881 Last Page

Prepared by: Faith H. Woods QUINTAIROS, PRIETO, WOOD & BOYER P.A. 114 E Gregory Street, 2nd Floor Pensacola, Florida 32502

File Number: 17P53104137

"Schedule A"

East-half of Lot 76, Beyreuth Subdivision, Section 33/4, Township 2/3 South, Range 31 West, described according to Plat of said Subdivision recorded in Deed Book 74, Page 100 of the Public Records of Escambia County, Florida, and adjacent 1/2 of vacated 6th Avenue

Less and except

That portion of the East 1/2 of Lot 76, Beyreuth Subdivision, Section 33/4, Township 2/3 South, Range 31 West, described according to Plat of said Subdivision, as recorded in Deed Book 74, at Page 100, of the Public Records of Escambia County, Florida, together with adjacent 1/2 of vacated 6th Avenue, being described as follows:

Commence at the Northeast corner of the East 1/2 of Lot 76, Beyreuth Subdivision, being a Portion of Sections 33 and 4, Townships 2 and 3 South, Range 31 West, Escambia County, Florida according to the Plat thereof recorded in Deed Book 74, Page 100, of the Public Records of said Escambia County, said point being on the South right-of-way line of Gulf Beach Highway (66.00 foot right-of-way); thence go North 89 degrees 57 minutes 01 seconds West along said South right-of-way line a distance of 23.76 feet to the Point of Beginning; thence departing said right-of-way line, go South 00 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds West, a distance of 228.93 feet, thence go North 88 degrees 01 minutes 14 seconds West, a distance of 126.32 feet, thence go North 00 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds East, a distance of 224.68 feet to the aforesaid South right-of-way line of Gulf Beach Highway, thence go South 89 degrees 57 minutes 01 seconds East along said South right-of-way line, a distance of 126.24 feet to the Point of Beginning.

The West 120 feet of Lot 75, Bayreuth, a subdivision of Sections 33/4, Townships 2/3 South, Range 31 West, Escambia County, Florida, according to Plat of said subdivision recorded in Deed Book 74, at Page 100 of the Public Records of said County, and adjacent 1/2 of vacated 6th Avenue.

DEED Individual Warranty Deed with Legal on Schedule A

2011 FOR PROFIT CORPORATION ANNUAL REPORT

DOCUMENT# P00000048488

Entity Name: MULTI-PROPERTY INVESTMENT & DEVELOPMENT CORP.

Current Principal Place of	of Business:	New Principal Place of	f Business:
1508 COLWYN DRIVE CANTOMENT, FL 32533		4114 SANDY BLUFF DF GULF BREEZE, FL 325	
Current Mailing Address	:	New Mailing Address:	
1508 COLWYN DRIVE CANTOMENT, FL 32533		4114 SANDY BLUFF DF GULF BREEZE, FL 325	
FEI Number: 59-3748324	FEI Number Applied For ()	FEI Number Not Applicable ()	Certificate of Status Desired (X)
Name and Address of Cu	irrent Registered Agent:	Name and Address of	New Registered Agent:
WALKER, GERALD C 1508 COLWYN DRIVE CANTOMENT, FL 32533	US	WALKER, KIP M 4114 SANDY BLUFF DF GULF BREEZE, FL 325	

The above named entity submits this statement for the purpose of changing its registered office or registered agent, or both, in the State of Florida.

SIGNATURE:	KIP M. WALKER	01/23/2011	
	Electronic Signature of Registered Agent	Date	

OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS:

City-St-Zip:

 DS

 Name:
 WALKER, KIP M

 Address:
 4114 SANDY BLUFF DRIVE WEST

 City-St-Zip:
 GULF BREEZE, FL 32563

 Title:
 DP

 Name:
 WALKER, KIP M

 Address:
 4114 SANDY BLUFF DRIVE WEST

 Title:
 DT

 Name:
 WALKER, KIP M

 Address:
 4114 SANDY BLUFF DRIVE WEST

 City-St-Zip:
 GULF BREEZE, FL 32563

GULF BREEZE, FL 32563

I hereby certify that the information indicated on this report or supplemental report is true and accurate and that my electronic signature shall have the same legal effect as if made under oath; that I am an officer or director of the corporation or the receiver or trustee empowered to execute this report as required by Chapter 607, Florida Statutes; and that my name appears above, or on an attachment with all other like empowered.

SIGNATURE:	KIP M. WALKER	DP	01/23/2011
	Electronic Signature of Signing Officer or Director		Date




PREPARED BY: **RECORD & RETURN TO:** Lawyers Title Agency of North Florida, Inc. 721 East Gregory Street Pensacola, FL 32501

File No: PNS-03-01077

This Warranty Deed

OR BK 5193 PGO477 Escambia County, Florida INSTRUMENT 2003-123928

DEED DOC STANPS PD & ESC

22/03 ERINE LER MARKH

7

600 00

Made this 11th day of July, 2003

by Pauline B. Pinyan, a single woman

hereinafter called the grantor, to

Multi-Property Investment & Development Corporation, a Florida corporation

whose post office address is: 631 Pinebrook Circle, Cantonment, FL 32533

hereinafter called the grantee:

(Whenever used herein the term "grantor and "grantee" include all the parties to this instrument and the heirs, legal representatives and assigns of individuals, and the successors and assigns of corporations)

Witnesseth, that the grantor, for and in consideration of the sum of \$10.00 and other valuable considerations, receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, hereby grants, bargains, sells, aliens, remises, releases, conveys and confirms unto the grantee, all that certain land situate in Escambia County, Florida, viz:

see attached Schedule "A" for legal description --

SUBJECT TO covenants, restrictions, easements of record and taxes for the current year.

Parcel Identification Number: 33-2S-31-100-002-075

Together with all the tenements, hereditaments and appurtenances thereto belonging or in anywise appertaining.

To Have and to Hold, the same in fee simple forever.

And the grantor hereby covenants with said grantee that the grantor is lawfully seized of said land in fee simple; that the grantor has good right and lawful authority to sell and convey said land; that the grantor hereby fully warrants the title to said land and will defend the same against the lawful claims of all persons whomsoever; and that said land is free of all encumbrances except taxes accruing subsequent to December 31, 2002.

In Witness Whereof, the said grantor has signed and sealed these presents the day and year first above written.

Signed, sealed and delivered in our presence:

N ²	
1 st Witness Sign:	ma
Print Name: Cc	vissa (crisse
2 nd Witness Sign:	Detara Dad
Print Name:	Barbara Fland

Paulie	5 Duma	
Pauline B. Pinvan	0	

OR BK 5193 PGO478 Escambia County, Florida INSTRUMENT 2003-123928

P. O. Box 4774 Pensacola, FL 32507

State of Florida

.....

County of Escambia

5

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me 11th day of July, 2003, by Pauline B. Pinyan, a single woman who is personally known to me or who has produced driver license as identification

BARBARA F LAND Notary Public-State of FL Comm. Exp. Apr 18, 2005 Comm No. DD 18869

.

Notary Signature

My Commission Expires:

(SEAL)

OR BK 5193 PGO479 Escambia County, Florida INSTRUMENT 2003-123928

Schedule "A"

The West 120 feet of Lot 75, Bayreuth, a subdivision of Sections 33/4, Townships 2/3 South, Range 31 West, Escambia County, Florida, according to plat of said subdivision recorded in Deed Book 74, at Page 100 of the Public Records of said County, and adjacent 1/2 of vacated 6th Avenue.

PNS-03-01077

.

5

1 of 3



SITE PROXIMITY TO TRAFFIC GENERATORS



ITE Trip Generation Rates - 8th Edition Pass-by rates from ITE Trip Generation Handbook - 2nd Edition



Description/ITE Code		ITE Vehicle Trip Generation Rates (peak hours are for peak hour of adjacent street traffic unless highlighted						Expected	Total Generated Trips			Total Distribution of Generated Trips							
FDOT	Units	(peak hour Weekday	s are for AM						PM Out	Units	Daily	AM Hour	PM Hour	AM In AM Out Pass-By PM In PM			PM Out	Pass-B	
Waterport/Marine Terminal 010	Acres	11.93	NA			NA	NA	NA			0 O	NA	NA	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	0
Waterport/Marine Terminal 010	Berths	171 52	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA			0	NA	NA	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	0
Commercial Airport 021	Employees	13.40	0.82	0.80		55%	45%	54%	46%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Commercial Airport 021	Avg Flights/Day	104.73	5.40	6.75		54%	46%	45%	55%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Commercial Airport 021	Corn. Flights/Day		6.43	6.88		55%	45%	54%	46%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
General Aviation Airport 022	Employees	14.24	0.69	1.03		83%	17%	45%	55%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
General Aviation Airport 022	Avg. Flights/Day	1.97	0.24	0.30		NA	NA	NA	NA		0	0	0	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	0
General Aviation Airport 022	Based Aircraft	5.00	0.24	0.37		83%	17%	45%	55%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Truck Terminal 030	Acres	81.90	7.28	6.55		41%	59%	43%	57%		0	0	0	0	0	D	0	0	0
Truck Terminal 030	Employees	6.99	0.66	0.55		40%	60%	47%	53%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Desta Distanual Dura Danalar 000		4 50	0.70	0.00		0404	1001		774		-			-					
Park&Ride w/ Bus Service 090	Parking Spaces	4.50	0.72	0.62		81%	19%	23%	77%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Park&Ride w/ Bus Service 090 Park&Ride w/ Bus Service 090	Acres Occ. Spaces	U.L. UC		43.75		NA 69%	NA 31%	NA 28%	NA 72%		0	0	0	NA 0	NA	0	NA	NA	0
Light Rail Station w/ Park, 093	Parking Space	9.62	1.26	1.24		80%	20%	58%	42%		0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
Light Rail Station w/ Park, 093	Occ. Spaces	3.91	1.07	1.24		80%	20%	58%	42%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	KSF ²			1.00															
General Light Industrial 110		6.97	0.92	0.97		88%	12%	12%	88%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
General Light Industrial 110	Acres	51.80	7.51	7.26		83%	17%	22%	78%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
General Light Industrial 110	Employees	3.02	0.44	0.42		83%	17%	21%	79%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
General Heavy Industrial 120	KSF ²	1.50	0.51	0.19		NA	NA	NA	NA		0	0	0	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	0
General Heavy Industrial 120	Acres	6.75	1.98	2.16		NA	NA	NA	NA		0	0	0	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	0
General Heavy Industrial 120	Employees	0.82	0.51	0.88		NA	NA	NA	NA		0	0	0	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	0
Industrial Park 130	KSP ²	6.96	0.84	0.86		82%	18%	21%	79%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Industrial Park 130	Acres	63.11	8.55	8.84		83%	17%	21%	79%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Industrial Park 130	Employees	3 34	0.47	0.46		86%	14%	20%	80%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Manufacturing 140	KSF	3.82	0.73	0.73		78%	22%	36%	64%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Manufacturing 140	Acres	38.88	7.44	8,35		93%	7%	53%	47%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Manufacturing 140	Employees	2.13	0.40	0.36		73%	27%	44%	56%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Warehousing 150	KSF ²	3.56	0.30	0.32		79%	21%	25%	75%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Warehousing 150	Acres	57.23	10.03	8.69		72%	28%	35%	65%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Warehousing 150	Employees	3.89	0.51	0.59		72%	28%	35%	65%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mini Warehouse 151	KSF ²	2.50	0.15	0.26	1000	59%	41%	51%	49%		a	a	0	0	a	D	0	0	0
Mini Warehouse 151	Storage Units	0.25	0.02	0.20		87%	33%	NA	49%		0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA	0
Mini Warehouse 151	Acres	35.43	2.62	3,45		NA	NA	52%	48%		0	0	0	NA	NA	0	0	0	0
Mini Warehouse 151	Eniployees	61.90	5.26	6.04		67%	33%	52%	40%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
and the second se	KSF ²																		
High-Cube Warehouse 152		1.44	0.09	0.10		65%	35%	33%	67%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Utilities 170	KSF'	NA	0.80	0,76		NA	NA	45%	55%		0	0	0	NA	NA	0	Q	0	0
Utilities 170	Employees	NA	0.76	0.76		90%	10%	15%	85%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Single Family Homes 210	DU	9.57	0.75	1.01		25%	75%	63%	37%	125.0	1,196	94	126	23	70	o	80	47	0
Single Family Homes 210	Acres	26.04	2.06	2.74		31%	69%	66%	3/%	125.0	0	94 0	0	0	0	0	03	4/	0
Single Family Homes 210	Persons	2.55	0.21	0.28		31%	69%	66%	34%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Single Family Homes 210	Vehicles	6.02	0.51	0.67		31%	69%	66%	34%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
							A DOLLAR DOLLAR	CLARK PROVIDE											
Apartment 220	DU	8 65	0.51	0.62		20%	80%	65%	35%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Apartment 220 Apartment 220	Persons	3.31 5.10	0.28	0.40		NA	NA	NA	NA		0	0	0	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	0
The second s	Vehicles						And a state of the second	NA	and the second se		0	0	0	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	0
Low Rise Apartment 221	Occ.DU	6.59	0.46	0.58		21%	79%	65%	35%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
High Rise Apartment 222	DU	4.20	0.30	0.35		25%	75%	61%	39%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mid-Rise Apartment 223	DU	NA	0.30	0.39		31%	69%	58%	42%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rental Townhouse 224	DU	NA	0.70	0.72		33%	67%	51%	49%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Resd. Condo/Townhouse 230	DU	5.81	0.44	0.52		17%	83%	87%	33%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Resd. Condo/Townhouse 230	Persons	2.49	0.19	0.24		16%	84%	67%	33%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Resd. Condo/Townhouse 230	Vehicles	3.34	0.24	0.32		16%	84%	66%	34%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Low Rise Resd. Condo 231	DU	NA	0.67	0.78		25%	75%	58%	42%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
High Rise Resd. Condo 232	DU	4 18	0.34	0.38	Sec. Sec.	19%	81%	62%	38%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Luxury Condo/Townhouse 233	Occ. DU	NA	0.55	0.55		23%	77%	63%	37%		0	0	0	0	0 ·	0	0	0	0
Mobile Home Park 240	Occ. DU	4 99	0.44	0.59		20%	80%	62%	38%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HIGHIN FRINC FRIN 240	000.00	4 99	0.44	0.09		2070	0075	02.75	30%		0	U	0	U	U	0	U	0	0

Convenience Mkt. (Open 24 hrs) 851 KSF ² 737.99 67.03 52.41 61% 50% 51% 49% 0.0 0 <th></th> <th></th>		
Space Moor Verbiels Dept. 731 Ma ² 1962 P64 77.00 NA	69% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0
Signe Rody Whichse Dept. 731 ensystem 44.8 2.84 4.84 NA NA <td>% 26% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>0 0</td>	% 26% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0
Insp Prot Office 722 etral office 723 etral office 723 etral office 723 etral office 724	A NA O O O NA NA O NA	NA 0
Dis Faul Office 732 Employees 28.2 201 28.4 42% 49% 51% 49% 0 <td></td> <td>NA 0</td>		NA 0
Up Feat Office 722 Employees 28.2 201 28.4 322 49% 11% 31% 69% 0 <td>6 49% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>0 0</td>	6 49% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0
Construction Construction<		0 0
Office Park, 750 Me ²¹ 1142 171 148 Bits 0 <t< td=""><td>69% 0 0 0 0 0 0</td><td>0 0</td></t<>	69% 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0
Office Park, 750 Me ²¹ 11.42 171 1448 89% 0 <		0 0
Office Prinz, 750 Aces 199.11 2.265 28.28 92.94 99.41 99.56 99.57 0 <th< td=""><td>6 86% 0 0 0 0 0 0</td><td>0 0</td></th<>	6 86% 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0
BAB Center #00 (#P ²) 811 122 107 83% 17% 15% 5% 0		0 0
TAD Canter 190 Employees 2.77 0.43 0.41 95% 1.45% 1.05% 0		0 0
TABLE Contrar 7:00 Aces 79:01 16:77 15:46 0.4% 10% 12% 83% 0	6 85% 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0
Submass Park 770 KeP ² 12.76 1.43 1.23 9.4% 19% 23% 77% 0		0 0
Bissienss Prix: 770 Employees 4.04 0.03 0.03 0.057 0.67		0 0
Subscription Area 149.79 16.86 0.64 0.95% 10% 0		0 0
Building MaterialLumber 812 Kts ² 45:16 28:0 44:0 67% 33% 47% 53% 0 <t< td=""><td></td><td>0 0</td></t<>		0 0
Building Materials/Lumber 812 Employees 32.31 2.42 2.77 6.2% 38% 51% 40% 0		0 0
Description Display		0 0
The dualing user ways of the set of		0 0
production product		0 0
Free-Standing Discount Store 815 KSP ² 57.24 10.8 50.0 17.% 68% 32.% 50.% 50.% 11.0 63.0 12 55.7 3.3 2 Free-Standing Discount Store 815 Employees 28.84 0.51 3.48 17.% 66% 34% 50% 60% 0		0 0
Tree-Standing Discourt Store 815 Employees 28.84 0.51 3.48 177 66% 3.4% 50% 0<	A NA NA NA O NA	NA 0
Hardware/Pant Store 816 KSP ² 51.29 10.8 4.84 29% NA NA 47% 53% 0 0 0 NA		23 9
Industry Mix Burger Construction	6 50% 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0
Hardware/Part Store 816 Acres 545.77 11.64 51.78 28% NA		0 0
Nursery (Garden Center) 817 KSF ² 36.08 1.31 3.80 NA		NA 0
Nursey (Garden Center) 617 Employees 22.13 0.69 1.99 NA		NA O
Nursey (Garden Center) 817 Acres 9621 2.59 7.52 NA		NA O
Nursey (Ubnolesale) 818 KSF ² 39.00 2.40 5.17 NA		NA 0
Nursery (Wholesale) 818 Employees 23.4 0.47 NA NA<		NA 0
Nursery (Wholesale) 818 Acres 19:50 0.26 0.45 NA O </td <td></td> <td>NA 0</td>		NA 0
Shopping Center B20 (Equation) KSF ² Equations 34% 61% 39% 49% 51% 0 <	101 101 0 101	NA 0 NA 0
Shopping Center 620 0		0 0
Bit Destruction Construction Constopair Construction Construction		
New Car Sales 841 KSF ² 3334 2.03 5.259 74% 27% 47% 53% 0 <td></td> <td>0 0</td>		0 0
New Car Sales 841 Employees 2114 0.67 0.96 44% 56% 48% 52% 0		0 0
Automobile Parts Sales 843 KSF ² 61.91 2.21 5.98 4.3% NA NA 49% 51% O O O NA NA O Tire Store 848 Service Bays NA 2.10 3.54 2.8% 64% 36% 42% 58% O		0 0
Number Part Output Na		0 0
Tire Store 848 KSF ² 24.87 2.89 4.15 28% 63% 37% 43% 57% 0 <td></td> <td>0 0</td>		0 0
Tire Superstore 849 Service Bays 30.55 2.01 3.17 65% 35% 47% 53% 0		0 0
Tire Superstore 849 KSF ² 20.36 1.34 2.11 65% 35% 47% 53% 0		0 0
Intercepting Mail Mail <td></td> <td>0 0</td>		0 0
Convenience. Mkt. (Open 24 hrs) 851 KSF ² 737.99 67.03 52.41 61% 50% 51% 49% 0.0 0 <td></td> <td>0 0</td>		0 0
Convenience. Mkt. (Open 16 Hrs) 852 KSF ² NA 31.02 34.57 50% 50% 51% 11.0 0 341 380 171 171 0 Convenience. Mkt. (Open 16 Hrs) 852 KSF ² NA 31.02 34.57 50% 50% 50% 51% 11.0 0 341 380 171 171 0 Convenience. Mkt w/ Gas Pumps 853 KSF ² 845.60 43.90 59.69 66% 50% 50% 50% 0.0 0	49% 11.0 1,125 39 116 15 10 14 38	36 42
Convenience. Mkt w/ Gas Pumps 853 KSF ² 845.60 43.90 59.69 66% 50% 50% 50% 0.0 0 <td>49% 0.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>0 0</td>	49% 0.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0
Convenience. Mkt w/ Gas Pumps 853 KSF ² 845.60 43.90 59.69 66% 50% 50% 50% 0.0 0	51% 11.0 0 341 380 171 171 0 186	194 0
Convenience. Mkt w/ Gas Pumps 853 Fuel Position 542.60 16.57 19.07 66% 50% 50% 50% 0		0 0
Discount Supermarket 854 KSF ² 96.82 2.74 8.90 23% 58% 42% 50% 50% 11.0 1,065 30 98 13 10 7		0 0
		38 23
	50% 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0
Discount Club 857 Employees 32.21 0.36 2.79 77% 23% 48% 52% 0 0 0 0 0 0	52% 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0
Wholesale Market 860 KSF ² 6.73 0.51 0.88 67% 33% 53% 47% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	47% 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0
Sporting Goods Superstore 861 KSF ² NA NA 3.10 NA 47% 53% O NA O NA NA O	53% 0 NA 0 NA NA 0 0	0 0
Home Improvement Superstore 862 KSF ² 29.80 1.26 2.37 48% 57% 43% 48% 52% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0
Electronics Superstore 863 KSF ² 45.04 0.28 4.50 40% NA NA 49% 51% 0 0 0 NA NA 0		0 0

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TRANSPORTATION STATISTICS OFFICE 2017 HISTORICAL AADT REPORT

COUNTY: 48 - ESCAMBIA

SITE:	4066 -	SR	292 (GULF	BEACH	HWY)	- 450'	E OF	C297 (DOG TRACK RD)	
-------	--------	----	-----------	-------	------	--------	------	---------------------	--

YEAR	AADT	DIRECTION 1		DIRECTION 2		*K FACTOR	D FACTOR	T FACTOR
2017 2016 2015 2014 2013	18500 C 19500 C 17500 C 16500 C 16500 C	e e e e e	0 0 0	W W W W	0 0 0 0	9.00 9.00 9.00 9.00 9.00	58.60 56.30 55.50 55.80 56.30	4.00 3.90 4.20 3.70 4.10
2012 2011 2010 2009 2008 2007	15500 C 16000 C 17000 C 15500 C 15500 C 17000 C	e e e e e e	0 0 0 0 0	W W W W W	0 0 0 0 0	9.00 9.00 9.39 9.51 9.42 9.42	56.10 56.10 55.60 57.14 56.46 56.49	3.50 3.90 4.10 4.20 5.40 7.40
2006 2005 2004 2003 2002	18500 C 18500 C 17500 C 16500 C 17500 C	eeee	0	W W W W	0	9.16 10.00 9.80 9.60 9.90	52.46 55.30 57.10 56.60 52.80	5.40 4.50 3.90 6.00 5.20

Traffic count comparision 2012 and 2017

18,500 - 15,500 = 3,000 ÷ 15,500 = 19.35% increase in 2017 traffic over 2012

AADT FLAGS: C = COMPUTED; E = MANUAL ESTIMATE; F = FIRST YEAR ESTIMATE S = SECOND YEAR ESTIMATE; T = THIRD YEAR ESTIMATE; R = FOURTH YEAR ESTIMATE V = FIFTH YEAR ESTIMATE; 6 = SIXTH YEAR ESTIMATE; X = UNKNOWN *K FACTOR: STARTING WITH YEAR 2011 IS STANDARDK, PRIOR YEARS ARE K30 VALUES



2-2018-15 18093050PPB

	PLANNING BOARD	
REZONING	PRE-APPLICATION SUMMARY	FORM

22 DS 221	INC-ALLEGATION 5	UNINARTE	ORIVI
$\frac{33 \cdot 25 - 31 \cdot 1000 - 002 - 07}{\text{Property Reference Number}}$	Name	dy Page	
8939 Gulf Beach Hu Address		Agent	Referral Form Included? Y / N
MAPS PREPARED	PROPERTY INFO	RMATION	
	Current Zoning: Hc/Cr.	MDn_Size o	2.24 of Property: 2.65 +/-
D FLU	Future Land Use: MU-L		
Aerial	Overlay/AIPD: <u>A PD 1</u>		
Other:	Sanitary Sewer Septic	Tank	
Redevelopment Area*:	*For more info please contact the	CRA at 505-3217	prior to application submitted
		011A di 090-0211	bhor to application submittar.
.1 .	COMMENTS		
Desired Zoning: <u>HC/CI-NA</u>			Arterial
Is Locational Criteria applicable?	If so, is a com	patibility analys	sis required?
Wants to rem	me so parcels	are one	Zorning for Atorace could
			and enterin
cupples which man	1 1 1 1		f merchs to Sub
traffic compatibili	in. In AIPD.	90	
3/8/18 Recommend rezo		to Co	+ upzone
backportion SMDR to			- ings circ
	1 1 1-111		
Applicant will contact staff Applicant decided against Applicant was referred to BOA DRC 3/8/18 JAsher, A. Holmer	rezoning property		4/1-1-
3/8/18 Sage	fores Dor	2 M	Date://S//7
Applicant/Agert Name & Sigr	nature:	0	

No comment made by any persons associated with the County during any pre-application conference or discussion shall be considered eiter as approval or rejection of the proposed development, development plans, and/or outcome of any process.

3363 West Park Place Pensacola, FL 32505 (850) 595-3475 * FAX: (850) 595-3481

9



(F)	DENOTES	FIELD INFORMATION
(C)	DENOTES	CALCULATED INFORMATION
(D)	DENOTES	DEED INFORMATION
(P)	DENOTES	PLAT INFORMATION
BM	DENOTES	BENCHMARK
DIA	DENOTES	DIAMETER
EOP	DENOTES	EDGE OF PAVEMENT
No.	DENOTES	NUMBER
OR	DENOTES	OFFICIAL RECORDS



DESCRIPTION: (OFFICIAL RECORDS BOOK 7695 AT PAGE 1880)

EAST-HALF OF LOT 76, BEYREUTH SUBDIVISION, SECTION 33/4, TOWNSHIP 2/3 SOUTH, RANGE 31 WEST, DESCRIBED ACCORDING TO PLAT OF SAID SUBDIVISION RECORDED IN DEED BOOK 74, PAGE 100 OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA, AND ADJACENT 1/2 OF VACATED 6TH AVENUE

LESS AND EXCEPT

THAT PORTION OF THE EAST 1/2 OF LOT 76, BEYREUTH SUBDIVISION, SECTION 33/4, TOWNSHIP 2/3 SOUTH, RANGE 31 WEST, DESCRIBED ACCORDING TO PLAT OF SAID SUBDIVISION, AS RECORDED IN DEED BOOK 74, AT PAGE 100, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA, TOGETHER WITH ADJACENT 1/2 OF VACATED 6TH AVENUE, BEING DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCE AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF THE EAST 1/2 OF LOT 76, BEYREUTH SUBDIVISION, BEING A PORITON OF SECTIONS 33 AND 4, TOWNSHIPS 2 AND 3 SOUTH, RANGE 31 WEST, ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED IN DEED BOOK 74, PAGE 100, OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF SAID ESCAMBIA COUNTY, SAID POINT BEING ON THE SOUTH RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF GULF BEACH HIGHWAY (66.00 FOOT RIGHT-OF-WAY); THENCE GO NORTH 89 DEGREES 57 MINUTES 01 SECONDS WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE A DISTANCE OF 23.76 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE DEPARTING SAID RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, GO SOUTH OO DEGREES OO MINUTES OO SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 228.93 FEET, THENCE GO NORTH 88 DEGREES 01 MINUTES 14 SECONDS WEST, A DISTANCE OF 126.32 FEET, THENCE GO NORTH 00 DEGREES 00 MINUTES 00 SECONDS EAST, A DISTANCE OF 224.68 FEET TO THE AFORESAID SOUTH RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF GULF BEACH HIGHWAY, THENCE GO SOUTH 89 DEGREES 57 MINUTES 01 SECONDS EAST ALONG SAID SOUTH RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, A DISTANCE OF 126.24 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

AND ALSO

THE WEST 120 FEET OF LOT 75, BAYREUTH, A SUBDIVISION OF SECTIONS 33/4, TOWNSHIPS 2/3 SOUTH, RANGE 31 WEST, ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA, ACCORDING TO PLAT OF SAID SUBDIVISION RECORDED IN DEED BOOK 74, AT PAGE 100 OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF SAID COUNTY, AND ADJACENT 1/2 OF VACATED 6TH AVENUE.

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1. NORTH AND THE SURVEY DATUM SHOWN HEREON ARE REFERENCED TO THE WEST PROPERTY LINE OF THE SURVEYED PROPERTY, ALSO BEING THE EAST LINE OF THE LESS AND EXCEPT PARCEL, HAVING A DEED BEARING OF SOUTH 00 DEGREES 00 MINUTES 00 SECONDS EAST; COPY OF THE RECORD PLAT OF BEYREUTH AS RECORDED IN DEED BOOK 74 AT PAGE 100 OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF ESCAMBIA COUNTY; COPY OF THE RECORD PLAT OF GULF BEACH MANOR AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 1 AT PAGE 16 OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA; COPY OF PREVIOUS SURVEY OF PARCEL TO THE EAST BY SWINNEY & ASSOCIATES, INC., DRAWING NO. 08-11659, DATED 2/14/2008; COPY OF PREVIOUS SURVEY OF THE WEST HALF OF LOT 76, BEYREUTH SUBDIVISION, BY SWINNEY & ASSOCIATES, INC., DRAWING NO. 03-4790, DATED 04/16/03; DEEDS OF RECORD AND EXISTING FIELD MONUMENTATION.
- 2. ELEVATIONS AS SHOWN HEREON ARE BASED ON THE NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM OF 1988 (NAVD88) AND ARE REFERENCED TO THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (FDOT) VERTICAL CONTROL NETWORK BENCH MARK NUMBER 48-05-B11V HAVING A PUBLISHED ELEVATION OF 18.81 FEET.
- 3. THE STRUCTURE DIMENSIONS DO NOT INCLUDE THE EAVE OVERHANG OR FOUNDATION FOOTINGS.
- 4. NO TITLE SEARCH, TITLE OPINION OR ABSTRACT WAS PERFORMED BY NOR PROVIDED TO THIS FIRM FOR THE SUBJECT PROPERTY. THERE MAY BE DEEDS OF RECORD, UNRECORDED DEEDS, EASEMENTS, RIGHTS-OF-WAY, BUILDING SETBACKS, RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS OR OTHER INSTRUMENTS WHICH COULD AFFECT THE BOUNDARIES OR USE OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY.
- 5. IT IS THE OPINION OF THE UNDERSIGNED SURVEYOR & MAPPER THAT THE PARCEL OF LAND SHOWN HEREON IS IN ZONE 'X'. AREAS DETERMINED TO BE OUTSIDE THE 0.2% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOODPLAIN BASED ON THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP FOR ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA, COMMUNITY PANEL NUMBER 12033C0527G, EFFECTIVE DATE OF SEPTEMBER 29, 2006.
- 6. GRAPHIC SYMBOLISM FOR FEATURES SUCH AS MONUMENTATION, FENCES, TREES, TREE LINES, UTILITIES, ETCETERA MAY BE EXAGGERATED IN SIZE FOR CLARITY PURPOSES. DIMENSIONS TO EXAGGERATED FEATURES WILL SUPERSEDE SCALED MEASUREMENTS.
- 7. VISIBLE IMPROVEMENTS ARE AS SHOWN HEREON.
- 8. VISIBLE UTILITIES ARE AS SHOWN HEREON.
- 9. VISIBLE ENCROACHMENTS ARE AS SHOWN HEREON.
- 10. THIS SURVEY DOES NOT REPRESENT NOR GUARANTEE OWNERSHIP.

SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE:

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE SURVEY SHOWN HERON WAS MADE UNDER MY RESPONSIBLE CHARGE AND MEETS THE STANDARDS OF PRACTICE AS SET FORTH BY THE FLORIDA BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL SURVEYORS AND MAPPERS IN CHAPTER 5J-17.050, 5J-17.051 AND 5J-17.052 FLORIDA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE, PURSUANT TO SECTION 472.027 FLORIDA STATUTES TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF.

MARK X NOMERES

FEB. 14, 2018 DATE

BOUNDARY AND DROUNDARY AND TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY PROJECT NO: 2017.285 Date Appr. Date Appr. Date Appr. Date Appr. Date Appr. Date Appr. Deconduction taken Deconductaken Deconduction taken <th< th=""></th<>
APPR. REVISION/ACTION TAKEN B939 GUI R939 GUI R933 GUI R933 GUI SECTION: 33 COUNTY: ESCAN
Аррқ.
BOUNDARY AND PROJECT NO: BOUNDARY AND 2017.285 PRAM BY: AND 2017.285 ARED FOR: MR. KIP WALKER ESTED BY: MR. KIP WALKER ESTED BY: MR. KIP WALKER F.B.: 17-15 PG:: 48-51 DATE: 2/01/2018 DATE: 2/01/2018
BOUNDARY AND BOUNDARY AND COPOGRAPHIC SURVEY ARED FOR: MR. KIP WALKER ESTED BY: MR. KIP WALKER
ES AR

BY: MARK A. NORRIS FLORIDA REGISTRATION No. 6211 BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

- TO: Andrew Holmer, Division Manager Development Services Department
- FROM: Terri V. Malone, AICP, Transportation Planner Transportation & Traffic Operations Division
- THRU: David Forte, Division Manager Transportation & Traffic Operations Division
- DATE: October 24, 2018



RE: Transportation & Traffic Operations (TTO) Comments – Z-2018-15

TTO Staff has reviewed the Rezoning Case (Z)-2018-15, 8939 Gulf Beach Highway, agenda item for the Planning Board meeting scheduled on November 8, 2018. Please see the below comments.

Gulf Beach Highway is a two-lane road with paved shoulders. The approximate pavement width is 33 feet with two 12-foot travel lanes. Right of Way is 66 feet. There are two programmed signal projects (Patton Drive & Sunset Avenue) on Gulf Beach Highway showing in the County's Capital Improvement Program (CIP). There are also two signal projects programmed in the Florida Department of Transportation's five-year work program.

Gulf Beach Highway is classified as a principal arterial with an established vehicular capacity of 17,700 (LOS D) and a year 2017 daily volume of 18,500 vehicles.

TTO's review is solely based off the application submittal packet, so the comments above hold no bearing on any future TTO comments during the Development Review process.

cc: Horace Jones, Development Services Department Director Joy Jones, P.E., Public Works Department Director Colby Brown, P.E., Public Works Department Deputy Director Allyson Cain, Development Services Department

Planning Board-Rezon	Planning Board-Rezoning 7.		
Meeting Date:	11/08/2018		
CASE :	Z-2018-16		
APPLICANT:	Wiley C. "Buddy" Page, Agent for James Campbell,	Owner	
ADDRESS:	1250 Fairchild Street		
PROPERTY REF. NO.:	30-1S-30-1101-000-000		
FUTURE LAND USE:	MU-U, Mixed Use Urban		
DISTRICT:	4		
OVERLAY DISTRICT:	N/A		
BCC MEETING DATE:	12/06/2018		

SUBMISSION DATA: REQUESTED REZONING:

FROM: HC/LI, Heavy Commercial and Light Industrial district (25 du/acre)

TO: HDR, High Density Residential district (18 du/acre)

RELEVANT AUTHORITY:

(1) Escambia County Comprehensive Plan

(2) Escambia County Land Development Code

(3) Board of County Commissioners of Brevard County v. Snyder, 627 So. 2d 469 (Fla. 1993)

(4) Resolution 96-34 (Quasi-judicial Proceedings)

(5) Resolution 96-13 (Ex-parte Communications)

APPROVAL CONDITIONS

Criterion a., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Consistent with Comprehensive Plan

The proposed zoning is consistent with the future land use (FLU) category as prescribed in LDC Chapter 3, and with all other applicable goals, objectives, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan. If the rezoning is required to properly enact a proposed FLU map amendment transmitted for state agency review, the proposed zoning is consistent with the proposed FLU and conditional to its adoption.

CPP 1.3.1 Future Land Use Category. The Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U) Future Land Use (FLU) category is intended for an intense mix of residential and nonresidential uses while promoting compatible infill development and the separation of urban and suburban land uses within the category as a whole. Range of allowable uses include: Residential, Retail and Services, Professional Office, Light Industrial, Recreational Facilities, Public and

Civic. The maximum residential density is 25 dwelling units per acre.

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment to HDR is consistent with the intent and purpose of Future Land Use category MU-U as stated in CPP FLU 1.3.1. the future land use allows residential, retail and services that would be compatible with adjacent parcels.

Criterion b., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Consistent with The Land Development Code

The proposed zoning is consistent with the purpose and intent and with any other zoning establishment provisions prescribed by the proposed district in Chapter 3.

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment **is consistent** with the intent and purpose of the Land Development Code. The parcel is currently HC/LI and the request is to downzone to HDR, which is a less intense zoning. The requested zoning will be compatible with the adjacent parcels to the east and south, currently zoned HDR.

Criterion c., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Compatible with surrounding uses

All the permitted uses of the proposed zoning, not just those anticipated by the rezoning applicant, are compatible, as defined in Chapter 6, with the surrounding uses. The uses of any surrounding undeveloped land shall be considered the permitted uses of the applicable district. Compatibility is not considered with potential conditional uses or with any nonconforming or unapproved uses. Also, in establishing the compatibility of a residential use, there is no additional burden to demonstrate the compatibility of specific residents or activities protected by fair housing law.

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment **is compatible** with surrounding existing uses in the area. Within the 500' radius impact area, staff observed properties with zoning districts HC/LI, HDR, HDMU and Com. Within the area of the subject parcel, there are single family residential lots, a mobile home and vacant land.

Criterion d., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Appropriate if spot zoning

Where the proposed zoning would establish or reinforce a condition of spot zoning as defined in Chapter 6, the isolated district would nevertheless be transitional in character between the adjoining districts, or the differences with those districts would be minor or sufficiently limited. The extent of these mitigating characteristics or conditions demonstrates an appropriate site specific balancing of interests between the isolated district and adjoining lands.

As per LDC Chapter 6, Spot Zoning is: Zoning applied to an area of land, regardless of its size, that is different from the zoning of all contiguous land. Such isolated or "spot" zoning is usually higher in its density or intensity of use than the adjoining zoning and may, therefore, extend privileges not generally extended to property similarly located in the area. Spot zoning is not by itself prohibited, but due to its potentially adverse impacts on adjoining zoning it carries a

higher burden of demonstration that, if authorized, it will contribute to or result in logical and orderly development.

FINDINGS

The HDR district would **not** be spot zoning due to the other similar zoning districts adjacent to and in the vicinity.

Criterion e., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Appropriate with changed or changing conditions

If the land uses or development conditions within the area surrounding the property of rezoning have changed, the changes are to such a degree and character that it is in the public interest to allow new uses, density, or intensity in the area through rezoning; and the permitted uses of the proposed district are appropriate and not premature for the area or likely to create or contribute to sprawl.

FINDINGS

The land uses or development conditions within the area surrounding the property of rezoning **have not** changed and the uses allowed in HDR zoning category would be appropriate and compatible with the surrounding properties.

Attachments

Working Case File

Z-2018-16















Public Hearing Sign



Looking into subject parcel



Looking onto subject parcel. House behind trees



Another view into subject parcel



Another view onto subject parcel.



Looking onto Fairchild from subject parcel



Looking east on Fairchild



Looking south from subject parcel on Chapel St

Wiley C."Buddy" Page, MPA, APA

Professional Growth Management Services, LLC 5337 Hamilton Lane Pace, Florida 32571 Cell 850.232.9853 budaciel©att. net

August 10, 2018 VIA HAND DELIVERY

Mr. Horace Jones, Director Department of Growth Management 3363 West Park Avenue Pensacola, Florida 32505

RE: Rezoning request: HC/LI to HDR Parcel: 30-1S-30-1101-000-000 Owner: James W. Campbell, Jr. Address: 1250 Fairchild Street

Dear Mr. Jones:

The attached application requests Planning Board consideration to rezone a the referenced parcel of property from HC/LI to HDR High Density Residential. As shown herein, the property has HDR zoning to the east and south of the site and HC/LI (Coke Bottling Plant) to the west. The site is served by local roads and it is this reason that the site could never be actually developed as a commercial use.

The application contains the required filing fee together with additional information regarding proof of ownership, a certified boundary survey and location maps.

Please contact me if you have any questions or require anything further. Thank you.



Escambia County Planning and Zoning

Development Services Department 3363 West Park Place Pensacola, FL 32505 Phone: (850) 595-3475 • Fax: (850) 595-3481 <u>http://myescambia.com/business/ds</u>

FOR O	RCE	Rezoning Application USE ONLY - Case Number 2-2018-16_ Accepted by: A.Can_ PB Meeting: 16/18
1.	<u>Co</u>	ntact Information:
	A.	Property Owner/Applicant: James W. Campbell, Jr.
		Mailing Address: 1250 Fairchild Street
		Business Phone: <u>334-412-0173</u> Cell: <u>334-412-0173</u>
		Email: wendellcampbell46@gmail.com
	B.	Authorized Agent (if applicable):
		Mailing Address: 5337 Hamilton Lane Pace, FL 32571
		Business Phone: 850-232-9853 Cell: 850-232-9853
		Email:budpage1@att.net
		Note: Owner must complete the attached Agent Affidavit. If there is more than one owner, each owner must
		complete an Agent Affidavit. Application will be voided if changes to this application are found.
2.	<u>Pro</u>	operty Information:
	A.	Existing Street Address: 1250 Fairchild Street
		Parcel ID (s):
		30-1S-30-1101-000-000
	В.	Total acreage of the subject property: <u>04.00</u>
	C.	Existing Zoning: HC/LI.
		Proposed Zoning: <u>HDR</u> ; explain why necessary and/or appropriate
		Property could never be actually developed under HC/LI because of location
		criteria. Downzoning to HDR will be consistent with area categories.
		FLU Category: MU-U

- D. Is the subject property developed (if yes, explain): ______ Property is homesite of applicant
- E. Sanitary Sewer: _____ Septic: X

3. Amendment Request

Approval conditions. The applicant has the burden of presenting competent substantial evidence to the reviewing board establishing that the requested zoning district would contribute to or result in a logical and orderly development pattern. The appropriate surrounding area within which uses and conditions must be considered may vary with those uses and conditions and is not necessarily the same area required for mailed notification. A logical and orderly pattern shall require demonstration of each of the following conditions:

Please address ALL the following approval conditions for your rezoning request. (use

supplement sheets as needed)

a. Consistent with Comprehensive Plan. The proposed zoning is consistent with the future land use (FLU) category as prescribed in LDC Chapter 3, and with all other applicable goals, objectives, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan. If the rezoning is required to properly enact a proposed FLU map amendment transmitted for state agency review, the proposed zoning is consistent with the proposed FLU and conditional to its adoption.

As per attached copy of LCD chart at page LDC 3:7, the requested zoning category is consistent with the assigned Future Land Use category.

b. Consistent with zoning district provisions. The proposed zoning is consistent with the purpose and intent and with any other zoning establishment provisions prescribed by the proposed district in Chapter 3

The request is to downzone the HC/LI property to HDR which is compatible with the predominent assigned zoning category in the area suggesting consistency and compatibility with the surrounding residential uses.

c. Compatible with surroundings. All of the permitted uses of the proposed zoning, not just those anticipated by the rezoning applicant, are compatible, as defined in Chapter 6, with the surrounding uses. The uses of any surrounding undeveloped land shall be considered the permitted uses of the applicable district. Compatibility is not considered with potential conditional uses or with any nonconforming or unapproved uses. Also, in establishing the compatibility of a residential use, there is no additional burden to demonstrate the compatibility of specific residents or activities protected by fair housing law.

The requested downzoning to HDR will be compatible with the majority of surrounding residential uses which are also presently zoned HDR.

d. Appropriate if spot zoning. Where the proposed zoning would establish or reinforce a condition of spot zoning as defined in Chapter 6, the isolated district would nevertheless be transitional in character between the adjoining districts, or the differences with those districts would be minor or sufficiently limited. The extent of these mitigating characteristics or conditions demonstrates an appropriate site-specific balancing of interests between the isolated district and adjoining lands.

As per LDC Chapter 6, Spot Zoning is: Zoning applied to an area of land, regardless of its size, that is different from the zoning of all contiguous land. Such isolated or "spot" zoning is usually higher in its density or intensity of use than the adjoining zoning and may, therefore, extend privileges not generally extended to property similarly located in the area. Spot zoning is not by itself prohibited, but due to its potentially adverse impacts on adjoining zoning it carries a higher burden of demonstration that, if authorized, it will contribute to or result in logical and orderly development

The requested zoning category will not create spot zoning. Properties east and south of the site are presently zoned the same category as that being requested.

e. Appropriate with changed or changing conditions. If the land uses or development conditions within the area surrounding the property of rezoning have changed, the changes are to such a degree and character that it is in the public interest to allow new uses, density, or intensity in the area through rezoning; and the permitted uses of the proposed district are appropriate and not premature for the area or likely to create or contribute to sprawl.

The site cannot be developed under the present HC/LI category because of location creteria requirements. Downzoning to residential will allow owner to develop a wider range of residential housing posibilities.

4. <u>Please complete the following Forms: Concurrency Determination Acknowledgement</u> <u>and Affidavit of Owner/Limited Power of Attorney (if applicable).</u>

CONCURRENCY DETERMINATION ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Property Reference Num	1ber(s): 30-1	30-1S-30-1101-000-000	
Property Address:	1250 Fairchild Street	Pensacola, Escambia County Florida 32504	

I/We acknowledge and agree that no future development for which concurrency of required facilities and services must be certified shall be approved for the subject parcel(s) without the issuance of a certificate of concurrency for the development based on the actual densities and intensities proposed in the future development's permit application.

I/We also acknowledge and agree that approval of a zoning district amendment (rezoning) or Future Land Use Map amendment does not certify, vest, or otherwise guarantee that concurrency of required facilities and services is, or will be, available for any future development of the subject parcels.

I/We further acknowledge and agree that no development for which concurrency must be certified shall be approved unless at least one of the following minimum conditions of the Comprehensive Plan will be met for each facility and service of the County's concurrency management system prior to development approval:

- a. The necessary facilities or services are in place at the time a development permit is issued.
- b. A development permit is issued subject to the condition that the necessary facilities and services will be in place and available to serve the new development at the time of the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.
- c. For parks and recreation facilities and roads, the necessary facilities are under construction at the time the development permit is issued.
- d. For parks and recreation facilities, the necessary facilities are the subject of a binding executed contract for the construction of the facilities at the time the development permit is issued and the agreement requires that facility construction must commence within one year of the issuance of the development permit.
- e. The necessary facilities and services are guaranteed in an enforceable development agreement. An enforceable development agreement may include, but is not limited to, development agreements pursuant to Section 163.3220, F.S., or as amended, or an agreement or development order issued pursuant to Chapter 380, F.S., or as amended. For wastewater, solid waste, potable water, and stormwater facilities, any such agreement will guarantee the necessary facilities and services to be in place and available to serve the new development at the time of the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.
- f. For roads, the necessary facilities needed to serve the development are included in the first three years of the applicable Five-Year Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Work Program or are in place or under actual construction no more than three years after the issuance of a County development order or permit.

I HEREBY	ACKNOWLEDGE T	HAT I HAVE READ, UNDERSTAND	AND AGREE WITH THE ABOVE STATEMENT
ON THIS	412	DAY OF April	, YEAR OF 18

ature of Property Owner

James W.	Campbell, Jr.
Printed Name	e of Property Owner

Signature of Property Owner

Printed Name of Property Owner

Date

AFFIDAVIT OF OWNER AND LIMITED POWER OF ATTORNEY (if applicable)

As owner of the property located at 1250 Fairchild Street Pensacola, Escambia County Florida 30-1S-30-1101-000-000 _____, Florida, property reference number(s)____ Wiley C."Buddy" Page I hereby designate _____ for the sole purpose of completing this application and making a presentation to the Planning Board and the Board of County Commissioners to request a rezoning on the above referenced property. This Limited Power of Attorney is granted on this _____ day of _____ the year of,______, and is effective until the Board of County Commissioners or the Board of Adjustment has rendered a decision on this request and any appeal period has expired. The owner reserves the right to rescind this Limited Power of Attorney at any time with a written, notarized notice to the Development Services Bureau.

Agent Name:	Wiley C."Buddy" P	age	Email:	budpage1@att.net	8 1
Address: 5	337 Hamilton Lane Pac	e, Florida 32571		Phone:	850-232-9853
James (angberg	James W. Car	mpbell, Jr.		4-24-18
Signature of Prop	erty Owner	Printed Name of I	Property Owner		Date
Signature of Prop	erty Owner	Printed Name of	Property Owner	-	Date

V

STATE OF <u>Horioa</u> COUNTY OF <u>Escambia</u> The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this <u>244</u> day of <u>April</u> 20 18, by Vickie Marchman

Personally Known DOR Produced Identification Type of Identification Produced: Driver's License

Narchman

Vickie Marchman

Signature of Notary

Printed Name of Notary

(Notary Seal)

5. Submittal Requirements

- A. _____ Completed application: All applicable areas of the application shall be filled in and submitted to the Planning and Zoning Department, 3363 West Park Place, Pensacola, FL 32505.
- B. _____ Application Fees: To view fees visit the website: <u>http://myescambia.com/business/ds/planning-board</u> or contact us at 595-3547

Note: Application fees include a \$5 technical fee. Cost of the public notice mailing is to be borne by the applicant. Payments must be submitted prior to 3 pm of the closing date of acceptance of application. Please make checks payable to Escambia County. MasterCard and Visa are also accepted (a 3% fee will be added for credit card payments).

- C. _____ Legal Proof of Ownership (ex: copy of Tax Notice or Warranty Deed) <u>AND</u> a Certified Boundary Survey (Include Corporation/LLC documentation if applicable.)
- D. _____ Compatibility Analysis (if applicable): If the subject property does not meet the roadway requirements of Locational Criteria, a compatibility analysis prepared by the applicant is required to provide substantial evidence of unique circumstances regarding the parcel or use that were not anticipated by the alternative criteria. (See "Documented Compatibility" within the request zoning district of the LDC.)
- E. _____ Signed and Notarized Affidavit of Owner/Limited Power of Attorney AND Concurrency Determination Acknowledgement (pages 4 and 5).

By my signature, I hereby certify that:

- 1) I am duly qualified as owner(s) or authorized agent to make such application, this application is of my own choosing, and staff has explained all procedures relating to this request; and
- 2) All information given is accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief, and I understand that deliberate misrepresentation of such information will be grounds for denial or reversal of this application and/or revocation of any approval based upon this application; and
- 3) I understand that there are no guarantees as to the outcome of this request, and that the application fee is non-refundable; and
- 4) I authorize County staff to enter upon the property referenced herein at any reasonable time for purposes of site inspection and authorize placement of a public notice sign(s) on the property referenced herein at a location(s) to be determined by County staff; and
- 5) I am aware that Public Hearing notices (legal ad and/or postcards) for the request shall be provided by the Development Services Bureau.

Signature of Owner/Agent	James W. Campbell, Jr.	4-24-18
Signature of Owner/Agent	0 Printed Name Owner/Agent	Date
Signature of Owner	Printed Name of Owner	Date
	UNTY OF <u>Escambia</u> Th <u>441</u> day of <u>April</u> 20 <u>18</u> , by <u>Vic</u>	he foregoing instrument <u>k: e Marchmar</u> a
Personally Known I OR Produced Iden	tification X. Type of Identification Produced: <u>Drive</u>	r's License
Vickie & March	nom Vickie L Marchma	n
Signature of Notary	Printed Name of Notary	(notary seal)


Chris Jones Escambia County Property Appraiser

Mavigate Mode Account Reference Printer Friendly Version General Information 301S301101000000 Account: 032092000 Account: 032092000 2017 \$57,000 \$98,644 \$155,644 \$134,449 Owners: CAMPBELL JAMES W JR 2016 \$57,000 \$96,824 \$133,824 \$131,684 Mail: 1250 FAIRCHILD ST PENSACOLA, FL 32504 2015 \$57,000 \$73,769 \$130,769 \$130,769 Situs: 1250 FAIRCHILD ST 32504 Disclaimer Disclaimer Amendment 1/Portability Calculations	
Mavigate Mode Account Reference Printer Friendly Version General Information Assessments Cap Val Reference: 301S301101000000 Account: 032092000 Owners: CAMPBELL JAMES W JR Year Land Imprv Total Cap Val Mail: 1250 FAIRCHILD ST 2016 \$57,000 \$96,824 \$153,824 \$131,684 Situs: 1250 FAIRCHILD ST PENSACOLA, FL 32504 2015 \$57,000 \$73,769 \$130,769 \$130,769 Use Code: SINGLE FAMILY RESID P Amondment 1 (Destability Coloudations) Amondment 1 (Destability Coloudations)	
General Information Assessments Reference: 301S301101000000 Account: 032092000 Owners: CAMPBELL JAMES W JR Mail: 1250 FAIRCHILD ST PENSACOLA, FL 32504 Situs: 1250 FAIRCHILD ST 32504 Use Code: SINGLE FAMILY RESID P	
Reference: 301S301101000000 Year Land Imprv Total Cap Val Account: 032092000 2017 \$57,000 \$98,644 \$155,644 \$134,449 Owners: CAMPBELL JAMES W JR 2016 \$57,000 \$96,824 \$153,824 \$131,684 Mail: 1250 FAIRCHILD ST PENSACOLA, FL 32504 2015 \$57,000 \$73,769 \$130,769 \$130,769 Situs: 1250 FAIRCHILD ST 32504 Disclaimer Disclaimer Disclaimer Disclaimer]
Owners: CAMPBELL JAMES W JR 2016 \$57,000 \$96,824 \$153,824 \$131,684 Mail: 1250 FAIRCHILD ST PENSACOLA, FL 32504 2015 \$57,000 \$73,769 \$130	
Mail: 1250 FAIRCHILD ST PENSACOLA, FL 32504 2015 \$57,000 \$73,769 \$130,769 \$130,769 Situs: 1250 FAIRCHILD ST 32504 Disclaimer Use Code: SINGLE FAMILY RESID P Amondment 1 (Dortability Colculations)	
PENSACOLA, FL 32504 Situs: 1250 FAIRCHILD ST 32504 Use Code: SINGLE FAMILY RESID	1
Use Code: SINGLE FAMILY RESID	
Amondment 1 (Portability Calculations	
Authority:	
Schools (Elem/Int/High): HOLM/WORKMAN/WASHINGTON > File for New Homestead Exemption Online	
Tax Inquiry: Open Tax Inquiry Window	
Tax Inquiry link courtesy of Scott Lunsford Escambia County Tax Collector	
Sales Data Data Data Data Data Data Data Dat	Ī
Sale Date Book Page Value Type Official Records (New Window)	Ī s
Og/21/2016 7593 1020 \$100 View Instr OR 7250 P 845 OR 7593 P 1020	
10/28/2014 7250 845 \$100 CJ <u>View Instr</u> Extra Features]
02/2003 5061 1421 \$100 OT <u>View Instr</u>	
Official Records Inquiry courtesy of Pam Childers Escambia County Clerk of the Circuit Court and MOBILE HOME	
Comptroller UTILITY BLDG	
Parcel Launch Interactive Ma	P
Section Map	
Id: + 33 27	
Approx.	-
Acreage:	
4.0000	
zoned: P T 1100	
HC/LI - TO HDK	
Evacuation & Flood	
Information140140	ā
<u>Open Report</u>	U
155.57 155.57 155.57	
165 330 53 27 95 6 70 70 60 70	0
FAIRCHILD ST	
View Florida Department of Environmental Protection(DEP) Data	
Buildings	
Address:1250 FAIRCHILD ST, Year Built: 1980, Effective Year: 1980	
Structural Elements DECOR/MILLWORK-AVERAGE	
DWELLING UNITS-1	

Without benefit of title examination this instrument prepared by: T. David Mann, Esq. 41 N. Jefferson Street, Suite 105 Pensacola, FL 32502 P.O. Box 1191 Pensacola, FL 32591

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA

WARRANTY DEED

THIS INDENTURE made this 21ST day of September, 2016, between ADAM BRIAN CAMPBELL, a married man, whose address is 815 Deahl Street, Apt. 5, Borger, Texas 79007, of the County of Hutchinson, and State of Texas, Grantor, and JAMES W. CAMPBELL, JR., a married man, whose address is 1250 Fairchild Street, Pensacola, Florida 32504, Grantee,

WITNESSETH: The said Grantor for and in consideration of the sum of Ten and No/100 (\$10.00) Dollars and other good and valuable considerations, does by these presents, grant, bargain, sell, alien, remise, release, convey, and confirm unto the Grantee, his heirs and assigns forever, the following described land lying and being in the County of Escambia, State of Florida:

> The East 5 acres of the North 20 acres of Lot 1, Section 30, Township 1 South, Range 30 West, Escambia County, Florida, less rights-of way and easements of record.

Grantor declares that the real property being conveyed herein is not his constitutional homestead.

The said Grantor does hereby fully warrant the title to said land, and will defend the same against lawful claims of all persons whomsoever. "Grantor" and "Grantee" are used for singular or plural, as context requires.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, Grantor has hereunto set Grantor's band and seal the day and year first above written.

Signed, sealed and delivered in the presence of:

Witness Signature

AMUEL PAR inted Nome

1a

Witness Signature

air

Printed Name

ADAM BRIAN CA MPREI.I

STATE OF TEXAS COUNTY OF HUTCHINSON

The foregoing Warranty Deed was acknowledged before me this 2 day of September, 2016, by ADAM BRIAN CAMPBELL, a married man, who is personally known to me or who has produced <u>Florada</u> <u>OL</u> as identification. 2514-002-102-181-0

Tirdelf Indial le Upetto NOTARY PUBLIC



	Escambia LaxCollector.com				
WP LOUIEGIO			DIAIC	TAXES Assessments	
ACCOUNT NUMB	ER MILLAG	E CODE	ESCROW CODE	PROPERTY REFER	NCE NUMBER
03-2092-000	06	;		3015301101	.000000
CAMPBELL JAMES W JR 250 FAIRCHILD ST PENSACOLA, FL 32504 334 - 412	-0173		ROPERTY ADDRESS: 250 FAIRCHILD ST	EXEMPTI HOMESTE/	ONS: AD EXEMPTION
TAXING AUTHORITY	MILLAGER			IOUNT TAXABLE AMOU	NT TAXES LEVIE
C. LANDER OF COMPANY & C. LANDER		States and a second			

134,449

134,449

134,449

134,449

134,449

25.000

25,000

50,000

50,000

50,000

	TOTAL MILLAGE	4.3268	AD VALOREM TAXE	S \$1,375.60
LEGAL DE	SCRIPTION	NON	AD VALOREM ASSESSMENTS	
E 5A OF N 20A OF LT 1 LESS R/W FOR STATE RD NO 8 OR 7250 P 845 OR 7593 P 1020		TAXING AUTHORITY	RATE	AMOUNT
		FP FIRE PROTECTION		125.3
			NON-AD VALOREM ASSESSMENT	'S \$125.3
	at EscambiaTax ust be in U.S. funds drawn		COMBINED TAXES AND ASSESSMENT	FS \$1,500.9
If Paid By Please Pay	Dec 31, 2017 \$0.00			
		RETAIN FOR YOUR REC		

RETAIN FOR YOUR RECORDS

DETACH HERE AND RETURN THIS PORTION WITH YOUR PAYMENT **2017 REAL ESTATE TAXES**

2.2480

4.3830

0.0353

0.6850

0.3590

ACCOUNT NUMBER 03-2092-000 PROPERTY ADDRESS **1250 FAIRCHILD ST**

12/11/2017 Receipt #

CAMPBELL JAMES W JR **1250 FAIRCHILD ST** PENSACOLA, FL 32504

PUBLIC SCHOOLS BY LOCAL BOARD

BY STATE LAW

M.S.T.U. LIBRARY

SHERIFF

WATER MANAGEMENT

Make checks payable to:

Scott Lunsford, CFC **Escambia County Tax Collector** P.O. BOX 1312 PENSACOLA, FL 32591 Pay online at EscambiaTaxCollector.com Payments in U.S. funds from a U.S. bank

109.449

109,449

84,449

84,449

84,449

246.04

479.71

2.98

57.85

30.32

PAY ONLY ON	IE AMOUNT
AMOUNT IF PAID BY	Dec 31, 2017 0.00
AMOUNT IF PAID BY	
DO NOT FOLD, STAP	LE, OR MUTILATE

Development Se Escambia County, Florida	ervices Department	180 93110PPB 2-2018-16
REZONING	PLANNING BOARD PRE-APPLICATION SUMM	
31 - 15 - 30 - //01 - 000 - 000 Property Reference Number	name Buddy	Page
1250 Fairchild Address	Owner D	Agent Referral Form Included? Y / N
MAPS PREPARED	PROPERTY INFORMA	TION
	Current Zoning: HC/LI	Size of Property:4+/-
□ FLU	Future Land Use: MU-U	
Aerial	Overlay/AIPD: <u>// /-</u> Sub	odivision:
Other:	Sanitary Sewer Septic Tank	
Redevelopment Area*://A_	*For more info please contact the CRA a	t 595-3217 prior to application submittal.
	COMMENTS	
Desired Zoning:H_D_R	COMMENTS	
Is Locational Criteria applicable?	→ If so is a compatibil	ity analysis required?
		coming disignation
will Burn and	time la Marian	8 P.B.
X Flood	anon in for nor an	0 1.12.
Praimage - VII	<u>_</u>	
	t height restrictions	
 Applicant will contact staff Applicant decided against Applicant was referred to BOA 	rezoning property	1
Staff present: A Cam		Date:/10/18
Applicant/Agent Name & Sigr	nature:	
No comment made by any persons associa considered eiter as approval or rejection of	ted with the County during any pre-applicat the proposed development, development p	tion conference or discussion shall be lans, and/or outcome of any process.



0 10 20 Scale: 1" = 40'

NOT VALID WITHOUT THE ORIGINAL BL FIELD BOOK DATE I HERE MEETS PROF CHAPTE FENCES, UTILITY WIRES, BARN, POWER POLE FLONDING & PROFESSI

ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA	Γ	
	CLIENT CAMPBELL	SOURCE OF INFORMATION: FIELD EVIDENCE DEEDS OF RECORD PLAT RECORDED IN: PRIOR SURVEYS/DRAWINGS
Process Process <td< td=""><td>BEARING BASIS N90'00'E NORTHERLY R/W LINE OF FAIRCHILD STREET (ASSUMED)</td><td>f only reflects setback lines, which appear on the recorded plat. We on drawing. 2A. This property may also be subject to setback lines mandated by zoning. ere not located unless otherwise noted. I unless otherwise noted: (D); Description = (DE); Actual Field Measurement = (F); Plat = (P) es standards. The accuracy shown meet the standards required in the appropriate land area. Y this firm and lands shown hereon were not abstracted by this firm for ownership, easements, setbacks, easements, zoning, and restrictions that may be found in the Public Records of said County</td></td<>	BEARING BASIS N90'00'E NORTHERLY R/W LINE OF FAIRCHILD STREET (ASSUMED)	f only reflects setback lines, which appear on the recorded plat. We on drawing. 2A. This property may also be subject to setback lines mandated by zoning. ere not located unless otherwise noted. I unless otherwise noted: (D) ; Description = (DE) ; Actual Field Measurement = (F) ; Plat = (P) es standards. The accuracy shown meet the standards required in the appropriate land area. Y this firm and lands shown hereon were not abstracted by this firm for ownership, easements, setbacks, easements, zoning, and restrictions that may be found in the Public Records of said County
THE UNDERSIGNED CLIENT(S) ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT AND ACCEPTANCE OF THIS SURVEY: JAMES W. CAMPBELL, JR THE PURPOSE OF THIS SURVEY IS FOR TITLE TRANSACTION AND ITS ACCOMPANYING MORTGAGE. THIS MAP IS CERTIFIED AS MEETING THE FLORIDA STANDARDS OF PRACTICE TO THE FOLLOWING AND IS FOR THE BENEFIT OF ONLY THE FOLLOWING LISTED CLIENT(S), AGENT(S) AND COMPANIES: JAMES W. CAMPBELL, JR SIGNATURE AND THE ORIGINAL RAISED SEAL OF A FLORIDA LICENSED SURVEYOR AND MAPPER THE TITLE TO THE CONSTRAINTS OF THE STANDARDS OF PRACTICE AND THE SIGNATURE AND THE ORIGINAL RAISED SEAL OF A FLORIDA LICENSED SURVEYOR AND MAPPER THE STANDARDS OF PRACTICE AS SET FORTH BY THE FLORIDA BOARD OF STANDARDS OF PRACTICE AS SET FORTH BY THE FLORIDARD AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	TYPE OF SURVEY: BOUNDARY WITH IMPROVEMENTS	 GENERAL NOTES: 1. Fence locations as drawn are not to scale. 1A. This drawing 2. Jurisdiction (Wetlands) boundary lines not located unless shown 3. Footings, foundations, or any other subsurface structures wer 4. All bearings and/or angles and distances are Deed and Actual 5. All measurements were made in accordance with United States 6. No Title Search of the Public Records has been performed by or right-of-ways. The parcel shown hereon may be subject to se

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

- TO: Andrew Holmer, Division Manager Development Services Department
- FROM: Terri V. Malone, AICP, Transportation Planner Transportation & Traffic Operations Division
- THRU: David Forte, Division Manager Transportation & Traffic Operations Division
- DATE: October 24, 2018



RE: Transportation & Traffic Operations (TTO) Comments – Z-2018-16

TTO Staff has reviewed the Rezoning Case (Z)-2018-16, 1250 Fairchild Street, agenda item for the Planning Board meeting scheduled on November 8, 2018. Please see the below comments.

Fairchild Street is two lane local road without shoulders, curb and gutter or sidewalks. The road dead-ends in front of the subject property. The approximate pavement width in front of the property is 10 feet and 20 feet immediately east. Right of Way is approximately 36 feet directly in front of the property and 66 feet immediately east.

Currently, there are no on-going or programmed projects on Fairchild Street showing in the County's Capital Improvement Program (CIP). Likewise, there are no ongoing or programmed projects on the adjacent segment of Creighton Road in either the County's CIP or the Florida Department of Transportation's five-year work program.

Fairchild Street is classified as a local road and assumed to be functioning within its allowable capacity for traffic volumes. Per the TPO's Congestion Management Process Plan, Creighton Road is classified as a minor arterial with an allowable vehicular capacity of 32,400 (LOS D) and a year 2017 daily volume of 22,000 vehicles.

TTO's review is solely based off the application submittal packet, so the comments above hold no bearing on any future TTO comments during the Development Review process.

cc: Horace Jones, Development Services Department Director Joy Jones, P.E., Public Works Department Director Colby Brown, P.E., Public Works Department Deputy Director Allyson Cain, Development Services Department

Planning Board-Rezoning

Meeting Date:	11/08/2018
CASE :	Z-2018-17
APPLICANT:	Wiley C. "Buddy" Page, agent for RNL Investment Group, LLC, Owner
ADDRESS:	8891 Burning Tree Rd
PROPERTY REF. NO.:	06-1S-30-1000-000-024 (sections of)
FUTURE LAND USE:	MU-U, Mixed-Use Urban
DISTRICT:	5
OVERLAY DISTRICT:	N/A
BCC MEETING DATE:	12/06/2018

SUBMISSION DATA:

REQUESTED REZONING:

Northern Portion:

From: Rec, Recreation district (du density limited to vested development)

To: MDR, Medium Density Residential district (10 du/acre)

Southern Portion: From: Rec, Recreation district (du density limited to vested development)

To: Com, Commercial district (25 du/acre)

RELEVANT AUTHORITY:

- (1) Escambia County Comprehensive Plan
- (2) Escambia County Land Development Code

(3) Board of County Commissioners of Brevard County v. Snyder, 627 So. 2d 469 (Fla. 1993)

(4) Resolution 96-34 (Quasi-judicial Proceedings)

(5) Resolution 96-13 (Ex-parte Communications)

APPROVAL CONDITIONS

Criterion a., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Consistent with Comprehensive Plan

The proposed zoning is consistent with the future land use (FLU) category as prescribed in LDC Chapter 3, and with all other applicable goals, objectives, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan. If the rezoning is required to properly enact a proposed FLU map amendment transmitted for state agency review, the proposed zoning is consistent with

the proposed FLU and conditional to its adoption.

FLU 1.3.1 Future Land Use Categories. General descriptions, range of allowable uses, and residential densities and non-residential intensities for all future land use categories in Escambia County are outlined below.

FLU 1.5.1 New Development and Redevelopment in Built Areas. To promote the efficient use of existing public roads, utilities, and service infrastructure, the County will encourage the redevelopment in underutilized properties to maximize development densities and intensities located in the MU-S, MU-U, Commercial, and Industrial Future Land Use categories (with the exception

of residential development).

FLU 2.1.2 Compact Development. To promote compact development, FLUM amendments and residential re-zonings to allow for higher residential densities to be allowed in the MU-U and MU-S future land use categories.

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment to Medium Density Residential and Commercial **is consistent** with the intent and purpose of Future Land Use (FLU) category Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U) as stated in CPP FLU 1.3.1. The FLU category is intended for an intense mix of residential and non-residential uses while promoting compatible infill development. The parcels identified will use established public roads, utilities and service infrastructure, satisfying the requirements under FLU 1.5.1. The MU-U FLUM category is also identified in the Comprehensive Plan under FLU 2.1.2 to accommodate residential rezoning.

Criterion b., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Consistent with The Land Development Code

The proposed zoning is consistent with the purpose and intent and with any other zoning establishment provisions prescribed by the proposed district in Chapter 3.

Sec. 3-2.13 Recreation district (Rec).

(a) Purpose. The Recreation (Rec) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for outdoor recreational uses and open space. The primary intent of the district is to preserve and maintain parcels of land necessary or used for a system of public and private parks providing both active and passive recreational activities and amenities. Indoor recreation facilities are allowed within the Recreational district if customarily incidental to the principal outdoor uses. Non-recreational uses are severely limited to ensure the preservation of district lands and provision of adequate areas for public recreation. New or expanded residential development is generally prohibited.

Sec. 3-2.7 Medium Density Residential district (MDR).

(a) Purpose. The Medium Density Residential (MDR) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for residential uses at medium densities within suburban or urban areas. The primary intent of the district is to provide for residential neighborhood development in an efficient urban pattern of well-connected streets and at greater dwelling unit density than the Low Density Residential district. Residential uses

within the MDR district are limited to single-family and two-family dwellings. The district allows non-residential uses that are compatible with suburban and urban residential neighborhoods.

(b) **Permitted uses.** Permitted uses within the MDR district are limited to the following: (1) Residential.

a. Manufactured (mobile) homes only within manufactured home parks or subdivisions. No new or expanded manufactured home parks, and new or expanded manufactured home subdivisions only on land zoned V-4 prior to adoption of MDR zoning.

b. Single-family dwellings (other than manufactured homes), detached and only one per lot, excluding accessory dwellings. Accessory dwellings only on lots one acre or larger. Attached single-family dwellings and zero lot line subdivisions only on land zoned R-3 or V-4 prior to adoption of MDR zoning.

c. Two-family dwellings only on land zoned R-3 or V-4 prior to adoption of MDR zoning, and multi-family dwellings up to four units per dwelling (quadruplex) only on land zoned V-4 prior to MDR zoning.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(2) Retail sales. No retail sales.

(3) Retail services. No retail services. See conditional uses in this district.

(4) Public and civic. Public utility structures, excluding telecommunications towers. See also conditional uses in this district.

(5) Recreation and entertainment.

a. Marinas, private.

b. Parks without permanent restrooms or outdoor event lighting. See also conditional uses in this district.

(6) Industrial and related. No industrial or related uses.

(7) Agricultural and related. Agricultural production limited to food primarily for personal consumption by the producer, but no farm animals. See also conditional uses in this district.

(8) Other uses. [reserved]

(c) Conditional uses. Through the conditional use process prescribed in Chapter 2, the BOA may conditionally allow the following uses within the MDR district:

(1) Residential.

a. Accessory dwellings on lots less than one acre.

b. Group living, excluding residential facilities providing substance abuse treatment, post-incarceration reentry, or similar services.

- c. Home occupations with non-resident employees.
- d. Townhouses not among the permitted uses of the district.
- (2) Retail services. Boarding and rooming houses.
- (3) Public and civic.
- a. Clubs, civic and fraternal.

b. Educational facilities, excluding preschools or kindergartens independent of other elementary grades.

c. Emergency service facilities, including law enforcement, fire fighting, and medical assistance.

d. Offices for government agencies or public utilities, small scale (gross floor area 6000 sq.ft. or less per lot).

e. Places of worship.

f. Public utility structures exceeding the district structure height limit,

excluding telecommunications towers.

(4) Recreation and entertainment.

a. Golf courses, tennis centers, swimming pools and similar active outdoor recreational facilities, including associated country clubs.

b. Parks with permanent restrooms or outdoor event lighting.

(5) Agricultural and related. Horses or other domesticated equines kept on site, and stables for such animals, only as a private residential accessory with a minimum lot area of two acres and a maximum of one animal per acre.

(d) Site and building requirements. The following site and building requirements apply to uses within the MDR district:

(1) Density. A maximum density of 10 dwelling units per acre regardless of the future land use category.

(2) Floor area ratio. A maximum floor area ratio of 1.0 within the MU-S future land use category and 2.0 within MU-U.

(3) Structure height. A maximum structure height of 45 feet. See height definition.

(4) Lot area. No minimum lot area unless prescribed by use.

(5) Lot width. Except for cul-de-sac lots which shall provide a minimum lot width of 20 feet at the street right-of-way, the following minimum lot widths are required:

a. Single-family detached. Fifty feet at the street right-of-way for single-family detached dwellings.

b. Two-family. Eighty feet at the street right-of-way or two-family dwellings.

c. Multi-family and other. Eighty feet at the street right-of-way for townhouse groups and boarding or rooming houses. No minimum lot width required by zoning for other uses.

(6) Lot coverage. Minimum pervious lot coverage of 30 percent (70 percent maximum semi-impervious and impervious cover) for all uses.

(7) Structure setbacks. For all principal structures, minimum setbacks are:

a. Front and rear. Twenty feet in the front and rear.

b. Sides. Ten feet on each side of a group of attached townhouses. On each side of all other structures, five feet or 10 percent of the lot width at the street right-of-way, whichever is greater, but not required to exceed 15 feet.

c. Corner lots. Will have one front setback and one side setback.

(8) Other requirements.

a. Stables. Stables shall be at least 50 feet from any property line and at least 130 feet from any residential dwelling on the property of another landowner.

b. Chapters 4 and 5. Refer to chapters 4 and 5 for additional development regulations and standards.

(e) Location criteria. All non-residential uses within the MDR district shall be located to avoid nuisance, hazard and other adverse impacts to surrounding residential uses.

(f) Rezoning to MDR. Medium Density Residential zoning may be established only within the Mixed-Use Suburban (MU-S) and Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U) future land use categories. The district is suitable for suburban or urban areas with central water and sewer and developed street networks.

The district is appropriate to provide transitions between areas zoned or used for low density residential and areas zoned or used for high density residential or mixed-use.

Sec. 3-2.10 Commercial district (Com).

(a) **Purpose.** The Commercial (Com) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for general commercial activities, especially the retailing of commodities and

services. The primary intent of the district is to allow more diverse and intense commercial uses than the neighborhood commercial allowed within the mixed-use districts. To maintain compatibility with

surrounding uses, all commercial operations within the Commercial district are limited to the confines of buildings and not allowed to produce undesirable effects on surrounding property. To retain adequate area for commercial activities, new and expanded residential development within the district is limited, consistent with the Commercial (C) future land use category.

(b) Permitted uses. Permitted uses within the Commercial district are limited to the following:

(1) Residential. The following residential uses are allowed throughout the district, but if within

the Commercial (C) future land use category they are permitted only if part of a predominantly commercial development:

a. Group living, excluding dormitories, fraternity and sorority houses, and residential facilities providing substance abuse treatment, post-incarceration reentry, or similar services.

b. Manufactured (mobile) homes, including new or expanded manufactured home parks or subdivisions.

c. Single-family dwellings (other than manufactured homes), detached or attached, including townhouses and zero lot line subdivisions.

d. Two-family and multi-family dwellings. See also conditional uses in this district.

(2) Retail sales. Retail sales, including Low-THC marijuana dispensing facilities, sales of alcoholic beverages and automotive fuels, but excluding motor vehicle sales and permanent outdoor

storage. See also conditional uses in this district.

(3) Retail services. The following retail services, excluding permanent outdoor storage:

a. Car washes, automatic or manual, full service or self-serve.

b. Child care facilities.

c. Hotels, motels and all other public lodging, including boarding and rooming houses.

d. Personal services, including those of beauty shops, health clubs, pet groomers, dry cleaners and tattoo parlors.

e. Professional services, including those of realtors, bankers, accountants, engineers, architects, dentists, physicians, and attorneys.

f. Repair services, including appliance repair, furniture refinishing and upholstery, watch and jewelry repair, small engine and motor services, but excluding major motor vehicle or boat service or repair, and outdoor work.

g. Restaurants and brewpubs, including on-premises consumption of alcoholic beverages, drive-in and drive-through service, and brewpubs with the distribution of on-premises produced alcoholic beverages for off-site sales. The parcel boundary of any restaurant or brewpub with drive-in or drive- through service shall be at least 200 feet from any LDR or MDR zoning district unless separated by a 50-foot or wider street right-of-way.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(4) Public and civic.

- a. Broadcast stations with satellite dishes and antennas, including towers.
- b. Cemeteries, including family cemeteries.
- c. Community service facilities, including auditoriums, libraries, museums, and

neighborhood centers.

d. Educational facilities, including preschools, K-12, colleges, and vocational schools.

e. Emergency service facilities, including law enforcement, fire fighting, and medical assistance.

- f. Foster care facilities.
- g. Funeral establishments.
- h. Hospitals.
- i. Offices for government agencies or public utilities.
- j. Places of worship.

k. Public utility structures, including telecommunications towers, but excluding any industrial uses.

I. Warehousing or maintenance facilities for government agencies or for public utilities. See also conditional uses in this district.

(5) Recreation and entertainment.

a. Campgrounds and recreational vehicle parks on lots five acres or larger.

b. Indoor recreation or entertainment facilities, including movie theaters, bowling alleys, skating rinks, arcade amusement centers, bingo facilities and shooting ranges, but excluding bars, nightclubs or adult entertainment facilities.

c. Marinas, private and commercial.

d. Parks without permanent restrooms or outdoor event lighting. See also conditional uses in this district.

(6) Industrial and related.

a. Printing, binding, lithography and publishing.

b. Wholesale warehousing with gross floor area 10,000 sq.ft. or less per lot. See also conditional uses in this district.

(7) Agricultural and related.

a. Agricultural food production primarily for personal consumption by the producer, but no farm animals.

b. Nurseries and garden centers, including adjoining outdoor storage or display of plants.

- c. Veterinary clinics.
- See also conditional uses in this district.

(8) Other uses.

a. Billboard structures.

b. Outdoor storage if minor and customarily incidental to the allowed principal use, and if in the rear yard, covered, and screened from off-site view, unless otherwise noted.

c. Parking garages and lots, commercial.

d. Self-storage facilities, excluding vehicle rental.

(c) Conditional uses. Through the conditional use process prescribed in Chapter 2, the BOA may conditionally allow the following uses within the Commercial district:

(1) Residential.

a. Group living not among the permitted uses of the district.

b. Home occupations with non-resident employees.

(2) Retail sales.

a. Boat sales, new and used.

b. Automobile sales, used autos only, excluding parcels fronting on any of the following streets:

Sorrento Road/Gulf Beach Highway/Barrancas Avenue (SR 292); Blue Angel Parkway (SR 173); Pine Forest Road, south from Interstate 10 to State Road 173; Navy

Boulevard (SR 295 and US 98); and Scenic Highway (SR 10A and US 90). Additionally, the parcel shall be no larger than one acre and provided with a permanent fence, wall, or other structural barrier of sufficient height and mass along all road frontage to prevent encroachment into the right-of way other that through approved site access.

c. Automobile rental limited to the same restrictions as used automobile sales.

d. Utility trailer, heavy truck (gross vehicle weight rating more than 8500 lbs), and recreational vehicle sales, rental, or service limited to the same restrictions as used automobile sales.

(3) Retail services.

a. Restaurants not among the permitted uses of the district.

b. Service and repair of motor vehicles, small scale (gross floor area 6000 sq. ft. or less per lot), excluding painting and body work and outdoor work and storage.

(4) Public and civic.

a. Cemeteries, including family cemeteries.

b. Clubs, civic and fraternal.

c. Cinerators.

d. Homeless shelters.

(5) Recreation and entertainment.

a. Bars and nightclubs.

b. Golf courses, tennis centers, swimming pools and similar active outdoor recreational facilities, including associated country clubs.

c. Parks with permanent restrooms or outdoor event lighting.

(6) Industrial and related.

(a) Borrow pits and reclamation activities 20 acres minimum and (subject to local permit and development review requirements per Escambia County Code of Ordinances, Part I, Chapter 42, article VIII, and land use and regulations in Part III, the Land Development Code, chapter 4. *Borrow pits are prohibited on land zoned GMD prior to the adoption of the Commercial (Com) zoning.

(b) Microbreweries, microdistilleries, microwineries.

(7) Agricultural and related. Horses or other domesticated equines kept on site, and stables for such animals, only as a private residential accessory with a minimum lot area of two acres and a maximum of one animal per acre.

(8) Other uses.

a. Outdoor sales not among the permitted uses of the district.

b. Outdoor storage not among the permitted uses of the district, including outdoor storage of trailered boats and operable recreational vehicles, but no repair, overhaul, or salvage activities. All such storage shall be screened from residential uses and maintained to avoid nuisance conditions.

c. Self-storage facilities, including vehicle rental as an accessory use.

d. Structures of permitted uses exceeding the district structure height limit.

(d) Site and building requirements. The following site and building requirements apply to uses within the Commercial district:

(1) Density. A maximum density of 25 dwelling units per acre throughout the district. Lodging unit density not limited by zoning.

(2) Floor area ratio. A maximum floor area ratio of 1.0 within the Commercial (C) future land use category and 2.0 within Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U).

(3) Structure height. A maximum structure height of 150 feet above adjacent grade.

(4) Lot area. No minimum lot area unless prescribed by use.

(5) Lot width. Except for cul-de-sac lots which shall provide a minimum lot width of 20 feet at the street right-of-way, the following minimum lot widths are required:

a. Single-family detached. Forty feet at the street right-of-way for single-family detached dwellings.

b. Two-family. Eighty feet at the street right-of-way for two-family dwellings.

c. Multi-family and other. Eighty feet at the street right-of-way for multi-family dwellings, boarding or rooming houses, or townhouse groups. No minimum lot width required by zoning for other uses.

(6) Lot coverage. Minimum pervious lot coverage of 15 percent (85 percent maximum semi-impervious and impervious cover) for all uses.

(7) Structure setback. For all principal structures, minimum setbacks are:

a. Front and rear. Fifteen feet in both front and rear.

b. Sides. Ten feet on each side, including any group of attached townhouses. For structures exceeding 35 feet above highest adjacent grade, an additional two feet for each additional 10 feet in height.

c. Corner lots. Will have one front setback and one side setback.

(8) Other requirements. Refer to chapters 4 and 5 for additional development regulations and standards.

(e) Location criteria. All new non-residential uses proposed within the Commercial district that

are not part of a planned unit development or not identified as exempt by the district shall be on

parcels that satisfy at least one of the following location criteria:

(1) Proximity to intersection. Along an arterial or collector street and within one- quarter mile

of its intersection with an arterial street.

(2) Proximity to traffic generator. Along an arterial or collector street and within a one-quarter mile radius of an individual traffic generator of more than 600 daily trips, such as an apartment complex, military base, college campus, hospital, shopping mall or similar generator.

(3) Infill development. Along an arterial or collector street, in an area where already established non-residential uses are otherwise consistent with the Commercial district, and where the new use would constitute infill development of similar intensity as the conforming development on surrounding parcels. Additionally, the location would promote compact development

and not contribute to or promote strip commercial development.

(4) Site design. Along an arterial or collector street, no more than one-half mile from its intersection with an arterial or collector street, not abutting a single-family residential zoning

district (RR, LDR or MDR), and all of the following site design conditions:

a. Any Intrusion into a recorded subdivision is limited to a corner lot.

b. A system of service roads or shared access is provided to the maximum extent made feasible by lot area, shape, ownership patterns, and site and street characteristics.

c. Adverse impacts to any adjoining residential uses are minimized by placing the more intensive elements of the use, such as solid waste dumpsters and truck

loading/unloading areas, furthest from the residential uses.

(5) Documented compatibility. A compatibility analysis prepared by the applicant

provides competent substantial evidence of unique circumstances regarding the potential uses of parcel that were not anticipated by the alternative criteria, and the proposed use, or rezoning as applicable, will be able to achieve long-term compatibility with existing and potential uses. Additionally, the following conditions exist:

a. The parcel has not been rezoned by the landowner from the mixed-use, commercial, or industrial zoning assigned by the county.

b. If the parcel is within a county redevelopment district, the use will be consistent with the district's adopted redevelopment plan, as reviewed and recommended by the Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA).

(f) Rezoning to Commercial. Commercial zoning may be established only within the Mixed-Use Suburban (MU-S), Mixed-Use Urban (MU-U) or Commercial (C) future land use categories. The district is appropriate to provide transitions between areas zoned or used as high density mixed-use and areas zoned or used as heavy commercial or industrial. Rezoning to Commercial is subject to the same location criteria as any new non-residential use proposed within the Commercial district.

(Ord. No. 2015-56, § 4, 12-10-2015; Ord. No. 2016-02, § 2, 1-7-2016; 2016-31 § 1, 8-4-2016; Ord.

No. 2017-5, §,2, 1-5-20

FINDINGS

The request for rezoning of the southern parcel to Commercial is **not consistent** with the intent and purpose of the Land Development Code. Based on Sec. 4-7.16 Recreational Amenities, a subdivision or other residential development where a recreational amenity including but not limited to a golf course, swimming pool, club house our tennis courts, was anticipated as part of the subdivision or development regardless of whether the amenity was included in the subdivision plat, and that amenity abuts or is otherwise located adjacent to any portion of the subdivision, then should that amenity cease to be used for recreational purposes, it cannot be developed or used in a manner that is more intense than the most intense residential use in the subdivision.

As the two proposed parcels were part of the original ammenity, based on public records, Scenic Hills Country Club PB 4, P77, Parcel 1, once the proposed parcels are split from the golf course ammenity, the recreational use for those two parcels will cease to exist. Based on the language in Sec 4-7.16 of the LDC, the most intense use for the subdivision is MDR. Therefore, the proposed northern parcel request to MDR zoning would be **compatible** with the existing subdivision. The rezoning request to Commercial for the **southern parcel** will be more intense than the most intense residential use of the subdivision MDR, and therefore, **inconsistent** with the Land Development Code.

Criterion c., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Compatible with surrounding uses

All the permitted uses of the proposed zoning, not just those anticipated by the rezoning applicant, are compatible, as defined in Chapter 6, with the surrounding uses. The uses of any surrounding undeveloped land shall be considered the permitted uses of the applicable district. Compatibility is not considered with potential conditional uses or with any nonconforming or unapproved uses. Also, in establishing the compatibility of a

residential use, there is no additional burden to demonstrate the compatibility of specific residents or activities protected by fair housing law.

FINDINGS

Based on the surveys provided by the applicant, signed and sealed on 1-3-2018, by David D. Glaze, Professional Surveyor, the northern parcel amendment is compatible with surrounding existing uses in the area, as the proposed zoning of MDR is the same as the approved original subdivision. Within the 500' radius area of the northern parcel, staff identified properties with zoning district MDR. There are thirty seven single-family residences, seventy one multi-family units, a Gulf Power owned parcel and a University of West Florida parcel.

The southern parcel amendment request is not compatible with the surrounding existing uses because it would not preserve the character and quality of residential neighborhood. Allowing the commercial zoning as requested would in-fact promote continuous strip commercial development along a major street, with the potential of creating negative influences on adjacent residential neighborhoods. Therefore, it would grant more intense uses, not compatible with the exclusive residential and recreational use of the original development. Within the 500' radius area of the southern parcel, staff identified properties with zoning districts, Rec, MDR, HDR and Commercial. Twenty six single-family residences, a portion of the parcel that contains the golf course amenity, one office complex, two vacant commercial properties, three commercial properties, one ECUA parcel and a park-forest parcel owned by Escambia County.

Criterion d., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Appropriate if spot zoning

Where the proposed zoning would establish or reinforce a condition of spot zoning as defined in Chapter 6, the isolated district would nevertheless be transitional in character between the adjoining districts, or the differences with those districts would be minor or sufficiently limited. The extent of these mitigating characteristics or conditions demonstrates an appropriate site specific balancing of interests between the isolated district and adjoining lands.

As per LDC Chapter 6, Spot Zoning is: Zoning applied to an area of land, regardless of its size, that is different from the zoning of all contiguous land. Such isolated or "spot" zoning is usually higher in its density or intensity of use than the adjoining zoning and may, therefore, extend privileges not generally extended to property similarly located in the area. Spot zoning is not by itself prohibited, but due to its potentially adverse impacts on adjoining zoning it carries a higher burden of demonstration that, if authorized, it will contribute to or result in logical and orderly development.

FINDINGS

The entire golf course parcel was part of the original subdivision plat and it is currently zoned Rec. Although the proposed southern parcel is located adjacent to existing commercial zoning, the current Rec zoning serves as a buffer between the intense uses off Nine Mile road and the residential development adjacent to the golf course. Some of the contiguous zoning is MDR, Rec and Com; therefore the proposed zoning does not represent a smooth transition between uses, in addition to contributing and promoting the continuation of strip commercial development on this area.

Criterion e., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Appropriate with changed or changing conditions

If the land uses or development conditions within the area surrounding the property of rezoning have changed, the changes are to such a degree and character that it is in the public interest to allow new uses, density, or intensity in the area through rezoning; and the permitted uses of the proposed district are appropriate and not premature for the area or likely to create or contribute to sprawl.

FINDINGS

As it relates to the parcel to the north, the typical development is residential in nature and the request to MDR would allow for uses, densities and intensities already comparable to the existing development. The southern parcel, is located off Nine Mile road; the commercial development trend for Nine Mile road has focused on areas East and West from this parcel and located near the existing major intersections. PenAir Credit Union has been at this location on the south side on Nine Mile since 1996. No rezonings to surrounding properties since 2007. The development conditions of this section of Nine Mile have not drastically changed and the increase in density and intensity is not in the public interest.

Working Case File

Attachments

Z-2018-17





















PUBLIC HEARING SIGN NORTH PARCEL



LOOKING SOUTHEAST ACROSS BURNING TREE



LOOKING SOUTHWEST ALONG BURNING TREE



LOOKING NORTHEAST ALONG BURNING TREE





PUBLIC HEARING SIGN SOUTH PARCEL



LOOKING EAST ON NINE MILE ROAD



LOOKING WEST ON NINE MILE ROAD


LOOKING SOUTH ACROSS NINE MILE ROAD



LOOKING NORTH ONTO PARCEL FROM NINE MILE ROAD



LOOKING SOUTHWEST ACROSS NINE MILE

Wiley C."Buddy" Page, MPA, APA Professional Growth Management Services, LLC 5337 Hamilton Lane Pace, Florida 32571 Cell 850.232.9853 budpagel@att.net

> August 10, 2018 VIA HAND DELIVERY

Mr. Horace Jones, Director Department of Growth Management 3363 West Park Avenue Pensacola, Florida 32505

RE:Rezoning request: REC. to COMM and MDRParcel:Portions of 06-1S-30-1000-000-024Owner:RNL Investment Group, LLCAddress:8891 Burning Tree Road

Dear Mr. Jones:

The attached application requests Planning Board consideration to rezone a portion of Scenic Hills Country Club property at the southwest portion of the property as depicted on the attached survey and location maps from Recreation to Commercial. In addition, a second request to rezone a parcel located at the northwesterly end of the property from REC to MDR. As shown herein, the northerly site is adjacent to property currently zoned MDR, which is the same zoning as is being requested in the attached application. The southerly site is adjacent and across the road from uses currently zoned Commercial, which is the same zoning requested in the attached application. A letter indicating Home Owner Association approval is attached. In summary, the application is requesting two different and separate zoning categories for two sites located on opposite ends of the same parcel of property.

The application contains the required filing fee together with additional information regarding proof of ownership, corporate papers, a certified boundary survey and location maps.

Please contact me if you have any questions or require anything further. Thank you.

'Buddv" Page

LAND USE • ZONING • SITE SELECTION • LITIGATION SUPPORT



Escambia County Planning and Zoning

Development Services Department 3363 West Park Place Pensacola, FL 32505 Phone: (850) 595-3475 • Fax: (850) 595-3481 <u>http://myescambia.com/business/ds</u>

	FICE	Rezoning Application USE ONLY - Case Number: 2-204-17_Accepted by: Kan PB Meeting: 11/119
1.	<u>Co</u>	ntact Information:
	A.	Property Owner/Applicant: RNL Investment Group, LLC
		Mailing Address: 8891 Burning Tree Road Pensacola, Florida 32514
		Business Phone: Cell:
		Email:
	в.	Authorized Agent (if applicable): <u>Wiley C. "Buddy" Page</u>
		Mailing Address: 5337 Hamilton Lane Pace, Florida 32571
		Business Phone:Cell: 850-232-9853
		Email: budpage1@att.net
		Note: Owner must complete the attached Agent Affidavit. If there is more than one owner, each owner must
		complete an Agent Affidavit. Application will be voided if changes to this application are found.
2.	<u>Prc</u>	operty Information:
	Α.	Existing Street Address: 8891 Burning Tree Road
		Parcel ID (s): 06-1S-30-1000-000-024
1	<u>В.</u>	Total acreage of the subject property: 3.52 and 7.56
C	ć.	Existing Zoning:REC
		Proposed Zoning: <u>Commercial</u>
		FLU Category:MU-U TBD
	D.	Is the subject property developed (if yes, explain): VACANT
	E.	Sanitary Sewer: X Septic:

.

3. <u>Amendment Request</u>

A. Please provide a general description of the proposed zoning request, explaining why

it is necessary and/or appropriate.

Existing Recreation zoning restricts residential or commercial development plans

- B. Rezoning Approval Conditions Please address *ALL* the following approval conditions for your rezoning request. (use supplement sheets as needed)
- 1. Consistent with Comprehensive Plan. The proposed rezoning is consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan and not in conflict with any of its provisions.

The proposed zoning category is listed as an permitted use under the Mixed Use Urban $4^{-C}M$. FLU categories.

2. Consistent with LDC. The proposed rezoning is consistent with the stated purposes and intent of the LDC and not in conflict with any of its provisions. The proposed zoning category is consistent with the intent and stated purposes of the LDC. 3. Compatibility. All land uses, development activities, and conditions allowed by the proposed zoning are compatible with the surrounding conforming uses, activities and conditions and are able to coexist in relative proximity to them in a stable fashion over time such that no use, activity, or condition negatively impacts another. The appropriateness of the rezoning is not limited to any specific use that may be proposed but is evident for all permitted uses of the requested zoning. This condition shall not apply to any conditional uses of the proposed district or compatibility with nonconforming or unapproved uses, activities, or conditions. The proposed zoning category uses will be compatible with existing adjacent uses in the area.

4. Changed conditions. The area to which the proposed rezoning would apply has changed, or is changing, to such a degree that it is in the public interest to encourage new uses, density, or intensity in the area through rezoning. The 9 Mile Road corridor continues to attract additional development activities

- 5. Development patterns. The proposed rezoning would contribute to or result in a logical and orderly development pattern. If approved, the resulting zoning category will be logical and orderly with existing development patterns and uses in the area.
- 6. Effect on natural environment. The proposed rezoning would not increase the probability of any significant adverse impacts on the natural environment. Impacts on the natural environment will be minimal, if any.

.

AFFIDAVIT OF OWNER AND LIMITED POWER OF ATTORNEY (if applicable)

As owner of the property located at 8891 Burning Tr	ee Road	
Pensacola, FL 32514 , Florida, property reference		30-1000-000-024
	ignate Wiley C."B	
for the sole	purpose of comple	ting this application and making
a presentation to the Planning Board and the Board o	of County Commiss	sioners to request a rezoning on
the above referenced property. This Limited Power o	f Attorney is grant	ed on thisday of
the year of,, and is effective until the Bo	ard of County Corr	missioners or the Board of
Adjustment has rendered a decision on this request a	and any appeal pe	riod has expired. The owner
reserves the right to rescind this Limited Power of At	torney at any time	with a written, notarized notice
to the Development Services Bureau.		
Agent Name: Wiley C."Buddy" Page	Email: budpag	e1@att.net
Address: 5337 Hamilton Lane Pace, Florida 32571		Phone: <u>850-232-9853</u> /
RNL investr	nent Group, LLC	- 09/2h/(8)
Signature of Property Owner Printed Name of	of Property Owner	Date / / /
Signature of Property Owner Printed Name of	of Property Owner	Date
STATE OF FLORIDA	COUNTY OF	ESCAMBIA
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before	me this 26	day of SEPTEMBER 20_18
by LEO LYNNE		
Personally Known DOR Produced Identification Ty	pe of Identificatio	n Produced: PL DL
A - O H N	_	
fame Shuthere	Jamie	Smithee
Signature of Notary	Printed Name of	Notary
JAIME SMITHEE MOREAU MY COMMISSION # FF969355 EXPIRES March 08, 2020 (Motery Seal)		

.

4. <u>Please complete the following Forms: Concurrency Determination Acknowledgement</u> and Affidavit of Owner/Limited Power of Attorney (if applicable).

CONCURRENCY DETERMINATION ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Property Reference Number(s): 06-1S-30-1000-000-024

Property Address: 8891 Burning Tree Road

I/We acknowledge and agree that no future development for which concurrency of required facilities and services must be certified shall be approved for the subject parcel(s) without the issuance of a certificate of concurrency for the development based on the actual densities and intensities proposed in the future development's permit application.

I/We also acknowledge and agree that approval of a zoning district amendment (rezoning) or Future Land Use Map amendment does not certify, vest, or otherwise guarantee that concurrency of required facilities and services is, or will be, available for any future development of the subject parcels.

I/We further acknowledge and agree that no development for which concurrency must be certified shall be approved unless at least one of the following minimum conditions of the Comprehensive Plan will be met for each facility and service of the County's concurrency management system prior to development approval:

- a. The necessary facilities or services are in place at the time a development permit is issued.
- b. A development permit is issued subject to the condition that the necessary facilities and services will be in place and available to serve the new development at the time of the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.
- c. For parks and recreation facilities and roads, the necessary facilities are under construction at the time the development permit is issued.
- d. For parks and recreation facilities, the necessary facilities are the subject of a binding executed contract for the construction of the facilities at the time the development permit is issued and the agreement requires that facility construction must commence within one year of the issuance of the development permit.
- e. The necessary facilities and services are guaranteed in an enforceable development agreement. An enforceable development agreement may include, but is not limited to, development agreements pursuant to Section 163.3220, F.S., or as amended, or an agreement or development order issued pursuant to Chapter 380, F.S., or as amended. For wastewater, solid waste, potable water, and stormwater facilities, any such agreement will guarantee the necessary facilities and services to be in place and available to serve the new development at the time of the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.
- f. For roads, the necessary facilities needed to serve the development are included in the first three years of the applicable Five-Year Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Work Program or are in place or under actual construction no more than three years after the issuance of a County development order or permit.

Signature of Property Of

RNL Investment Group, LLC Printed Name of Property Owner

Signature of Property Owner

Printed Name of Property Owner

Date

- 5 -

Last Updated: 3/16/17

5. <u>Submittal Regulrements</u>

- A. _____ Completed application: All applicable areas of the application shall be filled in and submitted to the Planning and Zoning Department, 3363 West Park Place, Pensacola, FL 32505.
- B. _____ Application Fees: To view fees visit the website: <u>http://mvescambia.com/business/ds/planning-board</u> or contact us at 595-3547

Note: Application fees include a \$5 technical fee. Cost of the public notice mailing is to be borne by the applicant. Payments must be submitted prior to 3 pm of the closing data of acceptance of application. Please make checks payable to Escamble County. MasterCard and Vise are also accepted (a 3% fee will be added for credit card payments).

- C. Legal Proof of Ownership (ex: copy of Tax Notice or Warranty Deed) <u>AND</u> a <u>Certified</u> Boundary Survey (Include Corporation/LLC documentation if applicable.)
- D. Compatibility Analysis (if applicable): If the subject property does not meet the roadway requirements of Locational Criteria, a compatibility analysis prepared by the applicant is required to provide substantial evidence of unique circumstances regarding the parcel or use that were not anticipated by the alternative criteria. (See "Documented Compatibility" within the request zoning district of the LDC.)
- E. _____ Signed and Notarized Affidavit of Owner/Limited Power of Attorney AND Concurrency Determination Acknowledgement (pages 4 and 5).

By my signature, I hereby certify that:

- 1) I am duly qualified as owner(s) or authorized agent to make such application, this application is of my own choosing, and staff has explained all procedures relating to this request; and
- 2) All information given is accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief, and I understand that deliberate misrepresentation of such information will be grounds for denial or reversal of this application and/or revocation of any approval based upon this application; and
- 3) I understand that there are no guarantees as to the outcome of this request, and that the application fee is non-refundable; and
- 4) I authorize County staff to enter upon the property referenced herein at any reasonable time for purposes of site inspection and authorize placement of a public notice sign(s) on the property referenced herein at a location(s) to be determined by County staff; and
- 5) I am aware that Public Hearing notices (legal ad and/or postcards) for the request shall be provided by the (Development Services Bureau.

$\pm \Delta$	RNL Investment Group, LLC	0'9 pt 18
Signature of Owner/Agent	Printed Name Owner/Agent	Date
Signature of Owner	Printed Name of Owner	Date
STATE OF FL CO	UNTY OF ESCAMBIA	the foregoing instrument
was acknowledged before me this		EOLYNNE.
ersonally Known D QR)Produced Iden	tification A. Type of Identification Produced:	L DĽ
Jamie Smith	Tame Smith	ee
Renature of Notary	Printed Name of Notary	(notary see!)
/		
	-7-	JAIME SMITHEE MOR

MY COMMISSION # FF969355 EXPIRES March 08, 2020

FloridaNotaryService com

(407) 398-0-53

Recorded in Public Records 9/7/2017 12:30 PM OR Book 7772 Page 1749, Instrument #2017069366, Pam Childers Clerk of the Circuit Court Escambia County, FL Recording \$120.50 Deed Stamps \$7,000.00

> Prepared by: Stephen R. Moorhead, Esq. McDonald Fleming Moorhead 127 Palafox Place, Suite 500 Pensacola, FL 32502 RE-17-1249

SPECIAL WARRANTY DEED

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS: that UWF BUSINESS ENTERPRISES, INC., a Florida not for profit corporation, whose address is 11000 University Parkway, Building 10, Room 123, Pensacola, FL 32514 ("Grantor"), for and in consideration of the sum of Ten and No/100 Dollars (\$10.00) and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, does grant, bargain, sell and convey the below described property, situate, lying and being in the County of Escambia, State of Florida, unto RNL INVESTMENT GROUP, LLC, a Florida limited liability company, whose mailing address is 8891 Burning Tree Road, Pensacola, FL 32514 ("Grantee"), its successors and assigns:

SEE EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED HERETO AND INCORPORATED HEREIN BY REFERENCE

Subject to taxes for the current year, zoning ordinances and restrictions, limitations, easements and other matters of record including, without limitation, those items identified in the attached Exhibit "B" (the "Permitted Exceptions"). And the said Grantor does hereby covenant with the said Grantee that, except for the Permitted Exceptions, at the time of the delivery of this deed the premises were free from all encumbrances made by Grantor, and that Grantor will warrant and defend the same against the lawful claims and demands of all persons claiming by, through or under it, but against none other.

DATED this the 31st day of August, 2017.

Witnesses:

INC., a Florida not for profit corporation niclin Ed name Printed name of witness R.Moo. By: Dr. Steven Cunningham

Its: Chief Executive Officer

UWF BUSINESS ENTERPRISES,

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this <u>31</u> day of August, 2017, by Dr. Steven Cunningham, as Chief Executive Officer of UWF Business Enterprises, Inc., a Florida not for profit corporation, on behalf of the corporation.

NOTARY PUBLIC

Print Name: STEPHEN R. MOORHEAD

Personally Known OR Produced Identification Type of Identification Produced Fldide's ficuse



EXHIBIT "A"

Parcel 1 (North):

A portion of Parcel 1 and all of Lot 13 and a portion of Lot 22, Block R, SCENIC HILLS COUNTRY CLUB SUBDIVISION, a Subdivision of a portion of Sections 5 and 6, Township 1 South, Range 30 West, Escambia County, Florida, according to the plat recorded in Plat Book 4, at page 77 of the Public Records of said County; together with a portion of said Section 5, the entire tract being more particularly described as follows:

!

Begin at the Southwest corner of Lot 1, Block Q, of said subdivision thence North 89° 18' 50" East along the Southerly line of Block Q and its Easterly extension for a distance of 1140.02 feet; thence North 45° 19' 05" East for a distance of 201.55 feet to a point on the Southerly right of way line of Greenbrier Boulevard (100' right of way); thence North 89° 18' 50" East along said Southerly right of way line for a distance of 634.62 feet to the Northeast corner of said Parcel 1; thence South 00° 32' 34" West along the East line of said Parcel 1 (said line also being the West line of the University of West Florida and the West line of Autumn Chase as recorded in Plat Book 10 at page 93 of the Public Records of said County) for a distance of 2251.22 feet to the Southwest corner of Lot 1 of said Autumn Chase; thence South 89° 38' 21" East along the South side of said Lot 1 for a distance of 25.00 feet to the Northwest corner of Lot 2, Block A, University Park, as recorded in Plat Book 7 at page 35 of the Public Records of said County; thence South 00° 32' 34" West along the West line of said Block A and its' Southerly extension for a distance of 252.47 feet to a point on the Northwesterly right of way line of Hillview Road (66' right of way); thence South 45° 21' 39" West along said Northwesterly right of way for a distance of 26.08 feet to the point of tangency of a circular curve concave to the Southeast having a radius of 126.00 feet and a delta angle of 45° 00' 00"; thence Southwesterly along said right of way line and the arc of said curve for an arc distance of 98.96 feet (chord bearing South 22° 51' 40" West, chord = 96.44') to the end of said curve; thence South 00° 14' 31" East along said right of way line for a distance of 0.18 feet; thence South 89° 59' 09" West along the North line of Highlands at Scenic Hills (as recorded in Plat Book 14, at page 15 of the Public Records of said County) and its' Easterly extension for a distance of 656.52 feet to the Northwest corner of the retention area as shown on said plat of said subdivision; thence South 00° 01' 12" West along the West line of said subdivision for a distance of 249.90 feet; thence North 89° 58' 48" West for a distance of 6.57 feet to a point on the Easterly right of way line of Meadowbrook Drive (60' right of way), said point being on circular curve concave to the West having a radius of 399.65 feet and a delta angle of 15° 58' 20"; thence Northwesterly along said right of way line and the arc of said curve for an arc distance of 111.41 feet (chord bearing North 04° 35' 36" West, chord = 111.05); to the point of tangency of said curve; thence North 12° 34' 46" West along said right of way line for a distance of 315.38 feet to a point on the Southerly right of way line of Burning Tree Road (60' right of way); thence North 81° 36' 28" East along said Southerly right of way line for a distance of 256.29 feet to the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve concave to the Northwest having a radius of 178.18 feet and a delta angle of 19° 18' 02"; thence Northeasterly along said right of way line and the arc of said curve for an arc distance of 60.02 feet (chord hearing North 71° 57' 07" East, chord = 59.74') to the Southwest corner of Lot 1, Block R of the aforesaid Scenic Hills Country Club Subdivision; thence South 84° 34' 57" East along the South line of said Lot 1 for a distance of 210.83 feet to the Southeast corner of said Lot 1; thence North 05° 25' 03" East along the Easterly lines of lots 1 through 12, inclusive, of said Block R for a distance of 1219.91 feet to the Northeast corner of said Lot 12; thence South 86° 44' 14" West along the Northerly line of said Lot 12 for a distance

of 134.42 feet to the Easterly right of way line of the aforesaid Burning Tree Road, said point being on a circular curve concave to the Southwest having a radius of 250.37 feet and delta angle of 20° 35' 46"; thence Northwesterly along said right of way line for an arc distance of 90.00 feet (chord bearing = North 20° 35' 46" West, chord = 89.52') to the most Southerly corner of Lot 14 of said Block R; thence North 70° 54' 33" East along the Southeasterly line of said Lot 14 for a distance of 134.16 feet to the most Easterly corner of said Lot 14; thence North 30° 19' 55" West (this course and the next four courses are along the Northeasterly line of Lots 14 through 21, inclusive, of said Block R) for a distance of 410.35 feet; thence North 31° 06' 24" West for a distance of 19.23 feet; thence North 34° 00' 57" West for a distance of 52.60 feet; thence North 36° 08' 33" West for a distance of 256.97 feet; thence North 38° 22' 04" West for a distance of 114.25 feet to the most Northerly corner of said Lot 21; thence South 87° 08' 13" West for a distance of 88.62 feet; thence south 41° 41' 19" West for a distance of 64.12 feet to a point on the Northerly right of way line of the aforesaid Burning Tree Road, said point being on a circular curve concave to the South having a radius of 601.05 feet and a delta angle of 66° 55' 58"; thence Northwesterly, Westerly, and Southwesterly along said right of way line and the arc of said curve for an arc distance of 702.14 feet (chord bearing = North 80° 41' 25" West, chord = 662.89') to the end of said curve; thence South 65° 47' 57" West (this course and the next four courses are along the said right of way line) for a distance of 86.95 feet to the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve concave to the Northwest having a radius of 542.96 feet and a delta angle of 19° 55' 36"; thence Southwesterly along the arc of said curve for an arc distance of 188.83 feet (chord bearing = South 75° 48' 39" West, chord = 187.88') to the end of said curve; thence South 85° 49' 21" West for a distance of 128.64 feet to the point of curvature of a non - tangent circular curve concave to the Southeast having a radius of 290.44 feet and a delta angle of 13° 51' 00"; thence Southwesterly along the arc of said curve for an arc distance of 70.21 feet (chord bearing = South 78° 52' 26° West, chord = 70.00') to the end of said curve; thence South 71° 56' 20" West for a distance of 23.11 feet to a point on the arc of a circular curve concave to the East having a radius of 300.55 feet and a delta angle of 17° 51' 53"; thence Northwesterly along the arc of said curve (this course and the next two courses are along the Easterly right of way line of Tam O'Shanter Road 60' right of way) for an arc distance of 93.71 feet (chord bearing = North 03° 45' 19" West, chord = 93.33') to the point of tangency of said curve; thence North 05° 10' 37" East for a distance of 101.42 feet to the point of curvature of a non-tangent circular curve concave to the West having a radius of 602.96 feet and a delta angle of 05° 27' 14"; thence Northeasterly along the arc of said curve for an arc distance of 57.39 feet (chord bearing = North 02° 27' 04" East, chord = 57.37') to the Point of Beginning.

Less and Except

That portion of the above described lands conveyed by Quit Claim Deed to Patrick A. Tillery and Linda E. Tillery, husband and wife, dated May 15, 2002 and recorded May June 3, 2002 in Official Records Book 4913, Page 436, of the public records of Escambia County, Florida.

Also Less and Except

That portion of the above described lands conveyed by Quit Claim Deed to Jay Robert Cook and Elizabeth A. Cook, husband and wife, dated May 15, 2002 and recorded June 3, 2002 in Official Records Book 4913, Page 455, of the public records of Escambia County, Florida.

Parcel 1 (South):

A portion of Parcel 1, SCENIC HILLS COUNTRY CLUB SUBDIVISION, a Subdivision of a portion of Sections 5 and 6, Township 1 South, Range 30 West, Escambia County, Florida, as recorded in Plat Book 4, at page 77 of the Public Records of said County and a portion of Lots 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7, Block V, Scenic Hills Country Club Subdivision, according to the said plat, the entire tract being more particularly described as follows:

Commence at the Southwest corner of the said Parcel 1; thence South 89° 27' 33" East along the South line of the said Parcel 1 for a distance of 234.84 feet, more or less, to a point on the centerline of Thompson Bayou Creek for the Point of Beginning, thence North 89° 27' 33" West along the line last traversed for a distance of 234.84 feet, more or less, to the said Southwest corner of Parcel 1; thence North 00° 00' 08" East (this course and the next eight courses are along the Westerly line of the said Parcel 1) for a distance of 70.45 feet; thence North 63° 20' 08" East for a distance of 130.00 feet; thence North 00° 00' 08" East for a distance of 430.00 feet; thence North 23° 52' 49" West for a distance of 228.52 feet; thence North 34° 17' 14" East for a distance of 165.77 feet; thence North 22° 00' 59" West for a distance of 80.00 feet to a point on the Southeasterly right of way line of Meadowbrook Drive (60' right of way), said point being the point of curvature of a circular curve concave to the Northwest having a radius of 373.77 feet and a delta angle of 44° 17' 21"; thence Northeasterly along the arc of the said curve for an arc distance of 288.92 feet, (chord = 281.78', chord bearing = North 45° 49' 20" East); thence South 89° 06' 05" East for a distance of 170.00 feet; thence North 02° 54' 10" West for a distance of 101.10 feet to the Northeast corner of Lot 1 of the said Block V; thence North 89° 21' 19" West along the North line of the said Lot 1 for a distance of 15.16 feet; thence North 08° 59' 57" East for a distance of 101.06 feet; thence North 89° 24' 19" West for a distance of 10.11 feet; thence North 08° 59' 57" East for a distance of 462.09 feet to a point on the Southeast line of Lot 8 of the said Block V; thence South 49° 39' 47" East along the said Southwest line of Lot 8 for a distance of 29.27 feet to the most Southerly corner of said Lot 8; thence North 08° 59' 57" East (this course and the next course are along the Easterly line of the said Lot 8) for a distance of 99.99 feet; thence North 34° 12' 54" West for a distance of 103.00 feet to a point on the said Southeasterly right of way line of Meadowbrook Drive, said point being on the arc of a circular curve concave to the Northwest having a radius of 399.65 feet and a delta angle of 04° 18' 03"; thence Northeasterly along the arc of the said curve for an arc distance of 30.00 feet (chord = 29.99', chord bearing = North 52° 05' 59" East) to the Southwest corner of the parcel of property described in Official Records Book 2925 at page 698 of the said Public Records; thence South 39° 49' 44" East (this course and the next course are along the South line of the said parcel of property) for a distance of 15.00 feet; thence North 89° 47' 56" East for a distance of 138.64 feet to a point on the East line of the said Parcel 1; thence South 00° 01' 12" West along the said East line of Parcel 1, being the West line of Highlands at Scenic Hills according to the plat recorded in Plat Book 14, at page 15 of the said Public Records, for a distance of 1378.07 feet; thence North 89° 37' 48" West along the South line of the said Parcel 1 for a distance of 260.08 feet, more or less to a point on the said centerline of Thompson Bayou Creek; thence meander Southerly along the said centerline of Thompson Bayou Creek for a distance of 730 feet, more or less to the Point of Beginning.

LESS AND EXCEPT

That portion of the above described property conveyed to Alex L. Davis and Margaret Davis husband and wife, by Warranty Deed, recorded April 28, 2010 in Official Records Book 6585, Page 168, and re-recorded July 16, 2010 in Official Records Book 6614, Page 460, of the public records of Escambia County, Florida. Parcel 2 A portion of Parcel 2; portions of Lots 2 through 6, inclusive, Block "G", a portion of Lot 1, Block H; Lot 9 Block M; and portions of Lots 2 through 4 inclusive Block U, Scenic Hills Country Club Subdivision, a subdivision of a portion of Section 5 and 6, Township 1 South, Range 30 West, Escambia County, Florida, according to the plat recorded in Plat Book 4, page 77 of the Public Records of said County; the entire tract being more particularly described as follows:

i

Ì

Commence at the intersection of the Easterly right of way Line of Scenic Hills Drive (60' right of way) and the Northerly right of way of Meadowbrook Drive (60' right of way) for the Point of Beginning, thence North 25° 56' 59" West along said Easterly right of way line for a distance of 664.02 feet; thence North 64° 03' 01" East for a distance of 110.00 feet; thence North 25° 56' 59" West for a distance of 120.00 feet to a point on the Southeasterly line of Lot 8, Block F, of said Subdivision; thence North 68° 06' 27" East along said lot line for a distance of 51.05 feet to the most Easterly corner of said Lot 8, thence North 31° 55' 43" West along the Easterly line of said Block F for a distance of 67.04 feet; thence North 04° 19' 31" West along said Easterly line for a distance of 776.45 feet to the Northeast corner of Lot 1, of said Block F; thence North 54° 29' 02" West along the Northerly line of said Lot 1 for a distance of 48.15 feet to a point on the aforesaid Easterly right of way line of Scenic Hills Drive; thence North 35° 30' 58" East along said right of way line for a distance of 107.09 feet to the Southwest corner of Lot 1, Block G of said subdivision; thence South 54° 29' 02" East along the Southwesterly line of said Block G for a distance of 135.42 feet; thence South 38° 35' 34" East for a distance of 67.62 feet; thence South 16° 53' 43" East for a distance of 288.65 feet to a point on the Northerly line of Lot 6 of said Block G; thence South 83° 40' 04" West along said Northerly line for a distance of 10.17 feet; thence South 16° 53' 43" East for a distance of 91.20 feet to a point on the Northerly line of Lot 7 of said Block G; thence North 87° 32' 55" West along said Northerly line for a distance of 15.90 feet to the Northwest corner of said Lot 7; thence South 16° 53' 43" East along the Westerly line of said Lot 7 for a distance of 201.31 feet to the point of curvature of a circular curve (cul-de-sac), concave to the Northeast having a radius of 60.00 feet and a delta angle of 60° 06' 50"; said point also lying on the right of way of Thunderbird Drive (60' right of way); thence Southeasterly along the arc of said curve and along said right of way for an arc distance of 62.95 feet (chord bearing of South 42° 43' 18" East; chord distance of 60.10 feet); thence South 17° 14' 08" West for a distance of 110.00 feet; thence South 83° 13' 24" East for a distance of 144.91 feet; thence North 27° 24' 33" East for a distance of 78.08 feet; thence North 20° 59' 50" East for a distance of 137.47 feet; thence North 12° 32' 13" East for a distance of 154.94 feet; thence North 38° 36' 25" West for a distance of 52.59 feet; thence South 89° 33' 50" West for a distance of 90.00 feet to a point on the Easterly right of way line of said Thunderbird Drive, said point lying on a circular curve concave to the Southwest and having a radius of 777.34 feet and a delta angle of 11° 26' 36"; thence Northwesterly along said right of way and the arc of said curve for an arc distance of 155.25 feet (chord beating of North 06° 09' 31" West, chord distance of 154.99 feet); thence North 78° 07' 05" East for a distance of 130.00 feet; thence North 15° 34' 02' West for a distance of 116.64 feet to the most Easterly corner of Lot 6, Block H of said subdivision; thence North 22° 45' 14" West (this course and the next three courses are along the Northeasteriy line of said Block H) for a distance of 110.82 feet; thence North 29° 45' 22" West for a distance of 110.82 feet; thence North 36° 45' 30" West for a distance of 110.82 feet; thence North 43° 45' 38" West for a distance of 86.15 feet to the Southeast corner of Lot 1 of said Block H; thence North 18º 35' 21" East for a distance of 126.66 feet to a point on the Northerly line of said Lot 1; thence North 43° 55' 48" West for a distance of 110.54 feet to a point on the aforesaid Easterly right of way line of Scenic Hills Drive, said point lying on a circular curve concave to the West having a radius of 321.33 feet and a delta angle of 55° 28' 06"; thence Northwesterly along said right of way and the arc of said curve for an arc distance of 311.08 feet (chord bearing of North 02° 44' 16" West, chord distance of 299.07 feet); thence North 43° 41' 37" East for a distance of 132.19 feet; thence North 46° 18' 23" West for a distance of 290.00 feet; thence North 43° 41' 37" East for a

distance of 20.00 feet; thence North 42° 46' 39" West for a distance of 116.64 feet to the most Southerly corner of Lot 3, Block M of said subdivision; thence North 46° 47' 19" East along the Southeasterly line of said Block M for a distance of 624.15 feet to the most Easterly corner of Lot 8 of said Block M; thence North 30° 48' 23" West along the Northeasterly line of said Lot 8 for a distance of 128.55 feet to a point on the aforementioned Easterly right of way line of Scenic Hills Drive; thence North 59° 11' 37" East (this course and the next four courses are along the said right of way line) for a distance of 8.87 feet to a point on a nontangent circular curve concave to the Northwest having a radius of 220.98 feet and a delta angle of 67° 35' 59"; thence Northeasterly along the arc of said curve for an arc distance of 260.72 feet (chord bearing of North 25° 23' 01" East chord distance of 245.86 feet) to the end of said curve; thence North 08° 24' 22" West for a distance of 172.88 feet to the point of curvature of a circular curve concave to the East having a radius of 322.95 feet and a delta angle of 10° 07' 56"; thence Northwesterly along the arc of said curve for an arc distance of 57.11 feet (chord bearing of North 03° 20' 22" West, chord distance of 57.04 feet) to the point of tangency of said curve; thence North 01° 43' 38" East for a distance of 122.91 feet to the Southerly right of way line of Burning Tree Road (60' right of way), said point lying on a circular curve concave to the Southwest having a radius of 541.05 feet and a delta angle of 47° 46' 17"; thence Southeasterly along said Southerly right of way and the arc of said curve for an arc distance of 451.11 feet (chord bearing of South 76° 26' 16" East, chord distance of 438.16 feet) to the most Northerly corner of Lot 20, Block S of said subdivision; thence South 36° 17' 12" West along the Westerly line of said Block S for a distance of 174.03 feet to the most Westerly corner of said Lot 20; thence South 41° 25' 27" East (this course and the next three courses are along the Westerly line of said Block S) for a distance of 463.82 feet; thence South 30° 19' 55" East for a distance of 299.92 feet; thence South 15° 19' 18" East for a distance of 65.47 feet; thence South 05° 25' 03" West for a distance of 1126.81 feet to a point on the Northerly right of way line of the aforesaid Burning Tree Road; thence South 81° 36' 28" West along said Northerly right of way lire for a distance of 79.15 feet to the Southeast corner of Lot 1, Block T of said subdivision; thence North 09° 48' 43" West (this course and the next five courses are along the Northeasterly line of said Block T) for a distance of 309.57 feet; thence North 10° 13' 37" West for a distance of 108.73 feet; thence North 22° 52' 13" West for a distance of 115.06 feet; thence North 36° 21' 48" West for a distance of 115.06 feet; thence North 49° 51' 22" West for a distance of 115.06 feet; thence North 60° 52' 51" West for a distance of 103.20 feet to the Northwest corner of Lot 8 of said Block T; thence South 39° 26' 07" West along the Westerly line of said Lot 8 for a distance of 110.00 feet to a point on a circular curve (cul-de-sac) concave to the Southeast having a radius of 60.00 feet and a delta angle of 156° 04' 29", said point also lying on the right of way of the aforesaid Meadowbrook Drive; thence Northwesterly, Westerly, Southwesterly, and Southerly along said right of way and the arc of said curve for an arc distance of 163.44 feet (chord bearing of South 59° 09' 09" West, chord distance of 117.39 feet) to the Northwest corner of Lot 13, Block U of said subdivision; thence South 11° 10' 49" West along the West line of said Block U for a distance of 199.94 feet to the Southwest corner of said Lot 1; thence South 50° 07' 46" East (this course and the next course are along the Westerly line of said Block U) for a distance of 153.20 feet; thence South 29° 17' 14" East for a distance of 208.70 feet to the Northwest corner of Lot 8 of said Block U; thence South 77° 25' 14" West along a Westerly extension of the North line of said Lot 8 for a distance of 141 feet, more or less, to a point on the bank of Governors Bayou Creek; thence meandering Southeasterly along said Creek Bank for a distance of 100 feet, more or less, to a point on a line passing through the Southwest corner of said Lot 8 having a bearing of South 77° 25' 14' West, said line being a Westerly projection of the South line of said Lot 8; thence North 77° 25' 14" East along said Westerly projection for a distance of 148 feet, more or less, to the aforesaid Southwest corner of said Lot 8; thence South 12° 34' 46" East (this course and the next course arc along the aforesaid Westerly line of said Block U) for a distance of 199.83 feet; thence South 19° 37' 04" west for a distance of 118.10 feet to the Northwest corner of Lot 4 of said Block U; thence North

ł

77° 25' 14" East along the North line of said Lot 4 for a distance of 29.54 feet; thence South 19° 37' 04" West for a' distance of 54.41 feet; thence South 20° 37' 47" East for a distance of 210.77 feet to a point on the Northeasterly line of Lot 1 of said Block U; thence North 72° 54' 00" West along said Northeasterly line for a distance of 31.61 feet to the most Northerly corner of said Lot 1; thence South 20° 37' 47" East along the Westerly line of said Lot 1 for a distance of 206.08 feet to a point on the aforesaid Northerly right of way line of Meadowbrook Drive; said point lying on a circular curve concave to the Northwest having a radius of 339.65 feet and a delta angle of 15° 47' 25"; thence Southwesterly along said Northerly right of way and the arc of said curve for an arc distance of 93.60 feet (chord bearing of South 53° 40' 21" West, chord distance of 93.31 feet) to the end of said curve; thence South 61° 35' 10" West for a distance of 68.88 feet to the most Easterly corner of Lot 8, Block W of said subdivision; thence North 28° 24' 50" West along the Northeasterly line of said Lot 8 for a distance of 190.00 feet to the most Northerly corner of said Lot 8; thence south 38° 33' 45" West (this course and the next four courses are along the Westerly line or said Block W) for a distance of 156.81 feet; thence South 25° 18' 12" West for a distance of 283.17 feet; thence South 02° 15' 59" East for a distance of 100.12 feet; thence South 13° 26' 25" East for a distance of 309.23 feet; thence South 25° 39' 16" East for a distance of 216.80 feet to a point on the aforesaid Northerly right of way line of Meadowbrook Drive, said point lying on a circular curve concave to the Northwest having a radius of 313.77 feet and a delta angle of 40° 24' 09"; thence Southwesterly along said Northerly right of way and the arc of said curve for an arc distance of 221.26 feet (chord bearing of South 47° 45' 44" West; chord distance of 216.70 feet) to the end of said curve; thence South 67° 59' 01" West along said Northerly right of way line for a distance of 272.05 feet to the Point of Beginning.

Parcel 3 South and West Strip

A portion of Parcel 3, Scenic Hills Country Club Subdivision, a subdivision of a portion of Sections 5 and 6, Township 1 South, Range 30 West, Escambia County, Florida according to the plat recorded in Plat Book 4, at page 77 of the Public Records of said County and a portion of the said Section 6, the entire tract being more particularly described as follows:

Commence at the Southwest corner of Block K, Scenic Hills Country Club Subdivision, according to the said plat for the Point of Beginning. Thence North 00° 04' 25' West along the West line of said Block K for a distance of 904.46 feet to the South line of said Parcel 3; thence North 88° 05' 23" East along the South line of said Parcel 3 for a distance of 70.29 feet to the Westerly right of way line of Burning Tree Road (60' right of way); said point also being a point on the arc of a circular curve concave to the East having a radius of 482.34 feet and a delta angle of 03° 54' 43"; thence Northerly along the said Westerly right of way line and arc of the said curve for an arc distance of 32.93 feet (chord distance of 32.93 feet, chord bearing of North 00° 02' 44" East) to a point on the South right of way line of West Club Drive (60' right of way) according to the Deed recorded in Official Records Book 1396, at page 687 of the said Public Records; thence South 89° 55' 35" West along said South right of way line for a distance of 96.69 feet to a point on the West line of the West one half (1/2) of the Northeast one-quarter (1/4) of the said Section 6; thence South 00° 00' 13" West along said West line of the West 1/2 for a distance of 939.62 feet to the Southwest corner of the said West 1/2 of the Northeast 1/4; thence North 89° 57' 50" East along the South line of said Northeast 1/4 for a distance of 27.63 feet to the Point of Beginning. All lying and being in Section 6, Township 1 South, Range 30 West, Escambia County, Florida.

Parcel 3, 4, R/W Strip

A portion of Parcel 3 and of Parcel 4, Scenic Hills Country Club Subdivision, a subdivision of a portion of Sections 5 and 6, Township 3. South, Range 30 West, Escambia County, Florida

according to the plat recorded in Plat Book 4, at page 77 of the Public Records of said County; a portion of the said Section 6, a portion of Burning Tree Road (60' right of way) as vacated in Official Records Book 1321, at page 430 of the said Public Records; a portion of Lot 10, Block J, Scenic Hills Country Club Subdivision according to the said plat and all of Lot 15, Block N, Scenic Hills Country Club Subdivision, according to the said plat; the entire tract being more particularly described as follows:

Commence at the most Westerly corner of Lot 14, Block N, Scenic Hills Country Club Subdivision, according to the said plat for the Point of Beginning, thence South 48° 25' 23" East along the Southwest line of said Lot 4 for a distance of 134.19 feet; thence North 49° 20' 40" East (this course and the next course are along the Southerly line of said Block N) for a distance of 633.14 feet; thence North 76° 50' 33" East for a distance of 697.26 feet to the West right of way line of Scenic Hills Drive (60' right of way); thence South 08° 24' 22" East along the said West right of way line for a distance of 125.55 feet to the Northeast corner of Block L, Scenic Hills Country Club Subdivision, according to the said plat; thence South 62° 07' 30" West (this course and the next five courses are along the Northerly, West, and Southwest lines of Block L) for a distance of 160.20 feet; thence South 62° 03' 37" West for a distance of 499.90 feet; thence South 66° 09' 35" West for a distance of 694.91 feet; thence South 00° 04' 10" West for a distance of 191.58 feet, thence South 61° 59' 29" East for a distance of 480.33 feet; thence South 56° 15' 15" East for a distance of 630.63 feet to a point on the West right of way of Scenic Hills Drive, said point also being on a circular curve concave to the West, having a radius of 261.33 feet and a delta angle of 32° 20' 45"; thence Southwesterly along the arc of said curve for an arc distance of 147.53 feet (chord = 145.58', chord bearing = South 19° 12' 28" West) to the Northeast corner of Block "I", Scenic Hills Country Club Subdivision according to the said plat; thence North 54° 29' 02" West (this course and the next six courses are along the Northerly, Northeasterly, Northwesterly, Southwesterly, and Southerly lines of said Block I) for a distance of 62.00 feet; thence North 70° 28' 40" West for a distance of 607.72 feet; thence North 38° 49' 13" West for a distance of 454.14 feet; thence South 49° 54' 41" West for a distance of 367.07 feet; thence South 40° 54' 46" East for a distance of 458.14 feet; thence South 60° 34' 17" East for a distance of 518.45 feet; thence South 70° 57' 46" East for a distance of 223.01 feet to the aforesaid West right of way of Scenic Hills Drive; thence South 35° 30' 58" West (this course and the next course are along the said West right of way) for a distance of 118.88 feet to the point of curvature of a circular curve concave to the East having a radius of 500.92 feet and a delta angle of 04° 30' 16"; thence Southwesterly along the arc of said curve for an arc distance of 39.38 feet (chord = 39.37', chord bearing = South 33° 13' 24" West) to the Northerly right of way of Burning Tree Road (60' right of way), said point being on a circular curve concave to the South having a radius of 316.48 feet and a delta angle of 34° 02' 09"; thence Northwesterly (this course and the next course are along the said Northerly right of way) along said curve for an arc distance of 186.00 feet (chord = 185.25', chord bearing = North 73° 01' 16" West) to the point of tangency of said curve; thence South 89° 57' 39" West for a distance of 202.36 feet to the Southeast corner of Block J, Scenic Hills Country Club Subdivision, according to the said plat; thence North 00° 02' 21" West (this course and the next three courses are along the East and Northeasterly lines of said Block J) for a distance of 85.00 feet to the Northeast corner of said Block J; thence North 79° 03' 20" West for a distance of 338.62 feet; thence North 44° 47' 31" West for a distance of 74.98 feet; thence North 29° 42' 45" West for a distance of 599.77 feet to the Southerly line of parcel described in 0.R. Book 202, page 688, of the said public records; thence South 78° 34' 12" West along the said Southerly line for a distance of 131.54 feet to the aforementioned East right of way of said Burning Tree Road, said point being on a circular curve concave to the East having a radius of 422.34 feet and a delta angle of 24° 25' 21"; thence Northwesterly along the said East right of way and the arc of said curve for an arc distance of 180.02 feet (chord = 178.66', chord bearing = North 08° 35' 22" West) to the point of a cusp being of a circular curve, cul-

÷

de-sac, being described in 0.R. Book 1396, page 687 of the said Public Records, having a radius of 40.00 feet; thence Northerly and Westerly along the arc of the said curve for an arc distance of 136.21 feet (chord = 79.31', chord bearing = North 50° 45' 30" West) to the Northerly right of way line of West Club Drive (60' right of way) as described in O.R. Book 1396, page 682, of the said Public Records; thence South 89° 55' 35" West (this course and the next course are along the said North right of way line and East right of way line according to O.R. Book 1396, page 687 of the said public records) for a distance of 9.53 feet; thence North 00° 04' 25" West for a distance of 170.82 feet to a point on the boundary of Scenic Hills Country Club Villas (as recorded in Plat Book 10, page 83 of the said Public Records); thence North 59° 55' 35" East (this course and the next three courses are along the Easterly line of said Scenic Hills Country Club Villas) for a distance of 135.16 feet; thence North 30° 04' 25" West for a distance of 62.74 feet; thence North 37° 29' 58" East for a distance of 98.92 feet; thence North 00° 04' 25" west for a distance of 70.43 feet; thence North 06° 56' 26" West for a distance of 83.66 feet to a point on said boundary of Scenic Hills Country Club Villas; thence North 00° 04' 25" West (this course and the next five courses are along the Easterly line of said Scenic Hills Country Club Villas) for a distance of 142.85 feet; thence North 20' 40' 43" West for a distance of 95.84 feet; thence North 05° 56' 38" West for a distance of 127.11 feet; thence North 30° 04' 25" West for a distance of 85.75 feet; thence South 89° 55' 35" West for a distance of 106.40 feet; thence South 00° 04' 25" East for a distance of 957.87 feet to the North right of way line of aforementioned West Club Drive; thence South 89° 55' 35" West along said North right of way for a distance of 26.28 feet; thence North 00° 00' 13" East for a distance of 1573.04 feet to the South right of way line of Greenbrier Road; thence North 89° 18' 50" East along said South right of way line for a distance of 24.16 feet to the Northwest corner of Block P, Scenic Hills Country Club Subdivision, according to the said plat; thence South 00° 04' 25" East along the West line of said Block P for a distance of 140.01 feet to the Southwest corner of said Block P; thence North 89° 18' 50" East along the South line of said Block P for a distance of 1341.04 feet to the West right of way of Tam O'Shanter Road (60' right of way), said point being on the circular curve concave to the West, having a radius of 542.96 feet and a delta angle of 05° 24' 31"; thence Southerly along said right of way line and curve for an arc distance of 51.25 feet (chord = 51.23', chord bearing = South 02° 28' 26" West) to the point of tangency; thence South 05° 10' 37" West along said West right of way for a distance of 20.34 feet to the Northeast corner of Block 0, Scenic Hills Country Club Subdivision according to the said plat; thence South 81° 34' 50" West (this course and the next three courses are along the Northwesterly and Southwesterly lines of said Block 0) foe a distance of 181.40 feet; thence South 72° 10' 37" West for a distance of 474.43 feet; thence South 16° 00' 30" West for a distance of 497.18 feet; thence South 47° 00' 22" East for a distance of 189.32 feet to a point on the said Easterly right of way line of Burning Tree Road, said point being on the arc of a circular curve concave to the Northwest having a radius of 391.11 feet and a delta angle of 01° 29' 44"; thence Northeasterly along the arc of the said curve being the said Easterly right of way line for an arc distance of 10.21 feet (chord = 10.21', chord bearing = North 42° 06' 24" East) to the Point of Beginning.

Less and Except that portion of the above described parcel set forth and described in Corrective Quit Claim Deed, recorded February 5, 2009 in Official Records Book 6422, Page 820, of the public records of Escambia County, Florida. (Corrects Quit Claim Deed, recorded in Official Records Book 1396, Page 687)

Also Less and Except that portion of the above described parcel set forth and described in Corrective Warranty Deed, recorded July 7, 2006 in Official Records Book 5944, Page 1525, of the public records of Escambia County, Florida. (Corrects legal description in Corrective Warranty Deed, recorded in Official Records Book 4425, Page 1966 and Corrective Warranty

Deed, recorded in Official Records Book 4417, Page 921 and that certain Corporate Warranty Deed, recorded in Official Records Book 3644, Page 978)

Parcel 5

Parcel 5, Scenic Hills Country Club Subdivision, a subdivision of a portion of Sections 5 and 6, Township 1 South, Range 30 West, Escambla County, Florida according to the plat recorded in Plat Book 4, at page 77 of the Public Records of said County, the entire tract being more particularly described as follows:

Commence at the Southwest corner of the said Parcel 5 for the Point of Beginning, thence North 89° 57' 50" East (this course and the next five courses are along the lines of the said Parcel 5) along the North line of the Woodlands, according to the plat recorded in Plat Book 9, at page 56 of the said Public Records, for a distance of 678.31 feet; thence North 00° 00' 00" West for a distance of 14.62 feet to the Southerly right of way line of Burning Tree Road (60' right of way), said point also being on the arc of a circular curve concave to the South having a radius of 256.48 feet and a delta angle of 02° 54' 19"; thence West along the arc of the said curve for an arc distance of 13.01 feet (chord distance of 13.00 feet, chord bearing of North 88° 35' 11" West) to the point of tangency; thence South 89° 57' 39" West along said Southerly right of way for a distance of 462.36 feet to the point of curve of a circular curve concave to the Northeast having a radius of 334.10 feet and a delta angle of 30° 10' 42"; thence Northwesterly along said Southerly right of way and the arc of the said curve for an arc distance of 175.98 feet (chord distance of 173.95 feet, chord bearing of North 74° 57' 00" West); thence South 30° 08' 22" West for a distance of 69.64 feet to the Point of Beginning.

Parcel 6

Parcel 6 and a portion of Lot 1, Block D, Scenic Hills Country Club Subdivision, a subdivision of a portion of Sections 5 and 6, Township 1 South, Range 30 West, Escambia County, Florida as recorded in Plat Book 4, at page 77 of the Public Records of said County, the entire tract being more particularly described as follows:

Commence at the Southwest corner of the said Parcel 6 for the Point of Beginning, thence North 00° 00' 00" West along the West line of the said Parcel 6, being the East line of Woodlands Second Addition, according to the plat recorded in Plat Book 10 at page 23 of the said Public Records, and the East line of the Woodlands, according to the plat recorded in Plat Book 9 at page 56 of the said Public Records for a distance of 1493.56 feet to the Northwest corner of the said Parcel 6; thence North 64° 03' 42" East along the North line of the said Parcel 6 for a distance of 200.57 feet to the West right of way line of the Scenic Hills Drive (60' right of way); thence South 25° 56' 59" East along the said West right of way line for a distance of 141.96 feet; thence South 64° 03' 01" West for a distance of 102.42 feet to the Easterly line of a said Parcel 6, also being the Westerly line of Block D, Scenic Hills Country Club according to said plat; thence South 12° 38' 07" East (this course and the next ten courses are along the Easterly and South line of said Parcel 6) for a distance of 349.76 feet: thence South 10° 54' 54" East for a distance of 605.58 feet; thence North 88° 23' 13" East for a distance of 130.05 feet; thence North 54° 13' 13" East for a distance of 224.39 feet to the aforesaid Westerly right of way line, said point also being on the arc of a circular curve concave to the Southwest and having a radius of 468.22 feet and a delta angle of 16° 01' 44"; thence Southerly along the arc of the said curve for an arc distance of 130.99 feet, (chord distance of 130.56 feet, chord bearing of South 15° 22' 37" East); thence South 59° 15' 24" West for a distance of 156.16 feet; thence South 00° 00' 08" West for a distance of 301.85 feet; thence South 76° 29' 52" East for a distance of 142.00 feet to the aforesaid Westerly :

.

right of way line; thence South 00° 00' 08" West along said Westerly right of way for a distance of 69.85 feet to the Northerly right of way line of 9 mile road (State Highway #10, 200' right of way); thence North 89° 27' 33" West along said Northerly right of way line for a distance of 36.94 feet to the point of curvature of a circular curve concave to the South having a radius of 57395.80 feet and a delta angle of 00° 39' 14"; thence West along said Northerly right of way line and the arc of the said curve for an arc distance of 655.14 feet, (chord bearing of 655.14 feet, chord bearing of North 89° 47' 10" West) to the Point of Beginning.

EXHIBIT "B"

PERMITTED EXCEPTIONS

1. Taxes for the year 2017, and subsequent years, which are not yet due and payable.

2. Any minerals or mineral rights leased, granted or retained by current or prior owners.

As to Parcel 1 South:

3. Drainage Easement in favor of the State of Florida, for the use and benefit of the State of Florida, Department of Transportation, recorded in Official Records Book 880, Page 593 of the public records of Escambia County, Florida.

4. Public Road and Right of Way Easement recorded in Official Records Book 7465, Page 1938 of the public records of Escambia County, Florida.

As to Parcel 2:

5. Easement by and between Scenic Hills Utility Company, Inc., and Scenic Hills Country Club, Inc., recorded in Deed Book 549, Page 748 of the public records of Escambia County, Florida (as Lots 5 and 6, Block G).

6. Easement by and between the Board of County Commissioners of Escambia Country, Florida and Scenic Hills Country Club, Inc., recorded in Deed Book 549, Page 369 of the public records of Escambia County, Florida (as to Lots 5 and 6, Block G).

As to Parcels 3, 4, R/W Strip

7. Easement in favor of the City of Pensacola, recorded in Official Records Book 1409, Page 482, Official Records Book 1321, Page 434 and Official Records Book 1409, Page 486, as assigned to Escambia County Utilities Authority, by Assignment of Easements, recorded in Official Records Book 3880, Page 404 of the public records of Escambia County, Florida (as to property along vacated portion of Burning Tree Road).

8. Distribution Easement in favor of Gulf Power Company, recorded in Official Records Book 1321, Page 437 of the public records of Escambia County, Florida.

9. Easement in favor of Southern Bell Telephone & Telegraph Company, recorded in Official Records Book 1321, Page 439 of the public records of Escambia County, Florida.

. . . .

10. Drainage Easement by and between the Escambia County, a political subdivision of the State of Florida and Scenic Hills Country Club, Incorporated, a Florida corporation, recorded in Official Records Book 413, Page 675 of the public records of Escambia County, Florida.

As to "Strip" as described in Parcel 3 South and West Strip and Parcel 3, 4, R/W and Strip

11. Easement as set forth in that certain Corporation Warranty Deed, recorded in Deed Book 172, Page 29 of the public records of Escambia County, Florida.

As to Lots or Portions of Lots in Scenic Hills Country Club Subdivision

12. Covenants, Conditions, Restrictions, as contained in that certain Restrictions, recorded in Deed Book 484, Page 50, as amended in Official Records Book 530, Page 393, and Extension of Restrictions, recorded in Official Records Book 2717, Page 586 of the public records of Escambia County, Florida.

As to all Parcels

13. Board of County Commissioners of Escambia County, Ordinance No. 2000-15, recorded May 18, 2000 in Official Records Book 4559, Page 1487 of the public records of Escambia County, Florida.

14. Any loss or damage occasioned by claims, demands, interests or rights asserted by others that prevent the Insured from using or maintaining the Land other than as a golf course or that affect the design of the Land or the improvements or landscaping thereon.

i

ł



Chris Jones Escambia County Property Appra

Real Estate	Tangible Property	Sale	Amendment 1/Portab
Search	Search	List	Calculations

		Back
Navigate	Mode 💿 Account 🔍 Reference 👘	······································
General Inform	nation	Assessments
Reference:	061S30100000024	Year Land Imprv
Account:	014332000	2018 \$708,843 \$1,350,731 \$;
Owners:	RNL INVESTMENT GROUP LLC	2017 \$708,843 \$1,297,514 \$:
Mail:	8891 BURNING TREE RD PENSACOLA, FL 32514	2016 \$708,843 \$1,274,557 \$:
Situs:	8891 BURNING TREE RD 32514	Disclaimer
Use Code:	GOLF COURSE	,
Taxing		Amendment 1/Portabilit
Authority:	COUNTY MSTU	
Tax Inquiry:	Open Tax Inquiry Window	File for New Homestead
	courtesy of Scott Lunsford	
Escambia Count	y Tax Collector	
Sales		2018 Certified Roll Exemption:
Data		None
Sale Date Bo	Officia New Type Value Type (New Window 72 1749 \$1,000,000 WD <u>View In</u>	 Legal Description PARCEL 1 - LESS THE FOLLOWING AT NE COR OF LT 10 BLK Q SCENI CLUB S/D FOR POB N 89 DEG 15.
	78 556 \$2,200,000 WD <u>View In</u>	ctr
10/1993 364		- CAUG FUGUIUS
11/1991 309	92 941 \$1,629,200 WD View In	
02/1986 217	79 274 \$998,000 WD View In:	
	Inquiry courtesy of Pam Childers	LIGHTS
	y Clerk of the Circuit Court and	MASONRY WALL/FENCE
Comptroller		
Parcel Information		La
Section Map Id: 06-1S-30-1		

Approx. Acreage: 147.2600 Location of site at northwest corner of property



Site located at southwest portion of property.



DIVISION OF CORPORATIONS



Department of State / Division of Corporations / Search Records / Detail By Document Number /

Detail by Entity Name

Florida Limited Liability Company RNL INVESTMENT GROUP, LLC

Filing Information

Document Number	L17000127148			
FEI/EIN Number	82-1826197			
Date Filed	06/09/2017			
Effective Date	06/09/2017			
State	FL			
Status	ACTIVE			
Last Event	LC AMENDMENT			
Event Date Filed	08/03/2017			
Event Effective Date	NONE			
Principal Address				
8891 BURNING TREE RC	DAD			
PENSACOLA, FL 32514				
Mailing Address				
8891 BURNING TREE ROAD				
PENSACOLA, FL 32514				
Registered Agent Name & /	<u>Address</u>			
LYNNE, LEO D				
8800 THUNDERBIRD DR				
PENSACOLA, FL 32514				

 \mathcal{L}

Authorized Person(s) Detail

Name & Address

Title MGR

LYNNE, LEO 8800 THUNDERBIRD DRIVE PENSACOLA, FL 32514

Title MGR

REESE, ERIC 8925 SCENIC HILLS DRIVE PENSACOLA, FL 32514

Title MGR

NOVAK, DAMIAN 8678 SHERWOOD BLUFF EDEN PRAIRIE, MN 55347

Annual Reports

Report Year	Filed Date
2018	03/11/2018

Document Images

03/11/2018 - ANNUAL REPORT	View image in PDF format
08/03/2017 LC Amendment	View image in PDF format
06/09/2017 Florida Limited Liability	View image in PDF format

Florida Department of State, Division of Corporations

August 6, 2018

To Whom it May Concern:

This will affirm that the Scenic Hills Homeowner Association has reviewed the plans to develop properties within the platted common areas of the Club and further affirm that the Association is supportive and does herewith approve of these common area developments.

Sincerely yours Preulen untson

Parker Wilson

President, Scenic Hills Homeowners Association

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA

Affirmed and subscribed before me this 6th day of August, 2018, by ow Ker SUn



Jaime Morean Jamie Maura

_OR Produced Identification __ Personally Known ______

Type of Identification Produced

8892 SCENIC HILLS DRIVE, PENSACOLA, FL 32514

Phone 205.657.1308

LAND SURVEYORS 5700 N. DAVIS HIGHWAY, SUITE 3 PENSACOLA, FL 32503 Phone (850) 434-6666 Fax (850) 434-6661 Email: pgasurvev@bellsouth.net

A LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND SKETCH OF A PORTION OF SECTION 6, T-I-S, R-30-W

SHEET 1 OF 2

LEGEND:

R/W Right of way P.O.B. Point of beginning P.T. Point of tangency O.R. Official Record Book

SURVEYOR'S NOTES:

1. Subject to setbacks, easements and restrictions of record.

2. This sketch is subject to any facts that may be disclosed by a full and accurate title search. No title work performed by this firm.

3. This sketch does not reflect or determine ownership.

4. This drawing only reflects setback lines, which appear on the recorded plat. This property may also be subject to setback lines mandated by zoning ordinances and or restrictive covenants of record.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

A portion of Parcel 3, Scenic Hills Country Club Subdivision, a subdivision of a portion of Sections 5 and 6, Township I South, Range 30 West, Escambia County, Florida as recorded in Plat Book 4, page 77 of the public records of said County, more particularly described as follows:

Begin at the northwest corner of said parcel 3; thence North 89 degrees 15'00" East (North 89 degrees 1850" East exist) along the north line of said Parcel 3 for a distance of 420.00 feet; thence South 00 degrees 04'25" East for a distance of 943.94 feet to the northerly right of way line of Burning tree Road (60' public R/W), said point being on a circular curve concave to the southeast, having a radius of 391.11 feet and delta angle of 22 degrees 09'12"; thence Southwesterly (this course and the next along said northerly right of way line) for an arc distance of 151.22 feet (chord bearing of South 48 degrees 3751" West, chord distance of 150.28 feet) to the point of tangency; thence South 37 degrees 29'45" West for a distance of 10.00 feet; thence North 60 degrees 06'59" West for a distance of 109.61 feet to the east line of Scenic Hills Country Club VIIIas, according to plat recorded in Plat Book 10 at page 83 of the public records of said County; thence North 06 degrees 56'26" West (this course and the next five courses along said east line) for a distance of 83.65 feet; thence North 00 degrees 04'37" East (north 00 degrees 04'25" West exist) for a distance of 142.85 feet; thence North 20 degrees 31'41" West (North 20 degrees 40'43" West exist) for a distance of 95.84 feet; thence North 05 degrees 4736" West (north 05 degrees 5638" West exist) for a distance of 127.11 feet; thence North 29 degrees 55'23" West (North 30 degrees 04'25" West exist) for a distance of 85.75 feet; thence North 89 degrees 55'23" West (South 89 degrees 55'35" West exist) for a distance of 106.40 feet to the west line of said Parcel 3; thence North 00 degrees 09'00" West (North OO degrees O4'25" West exist) for a distance of 475.04 feet (475.23 feet exist) to the point of beginning

All Tying and being in Section 6, Township I South, Range 30 West, Escambia County, Florida. Containing 7.56 acres, more or less.

= 100'	" (PUBLIC)									
SCALE 1"										
NORTH	I E	LOT 1 BLOCK P	LOT 2 BLOCK P		DT 3 DCK P	LOT 4 BLOCK P		LOT 5 BLOCK P	LOT 6 BLOCK P	
N N	Ì	 N 8	9°18'50" E 43	 20.00'	EXIST					
			9°15'00" E	-	PLAT				L	L_
- M. 54725	PARCEL 3	P.O.B. NORTHWES PARCEL 3, SCEN COUNTRY CLUB SI (PLAT BOOK 4, 1			IORTH LINE P	ARCEL 3	ш			
N 00°04							943.94' 00°04'25"			
	415.04 PL	(O.R. 1409, PA	SEWER EASEMENT IGE 486) DS; TAX MAPS; REC		PIAT. CO		S INTER			
Source of Infor Measurements	mation:	DENIC HILLS	COUNTRY CLUB VILL to United State	-AS (P.B.	IO, P. 83);	SURVEYS BY	THIS F		15ION (P.B. 4, P. T.	Ŋ.
responsible cho as set forth by & Mappers	the Flo	meets the rida Board o oter 5J-17	was made und Standards of P f Professional Sur 050, 5J—17.051 .027 Florida Stat	ractice rveyors	File No Job No FB FB Ordered	37584-17 P	G	ale <u>_I"=IOQ</u> *	NOS VALID UNLE IMPRINTED WITH EMBOSSED SEAL SIGNED BY SURVE	AND
1		\checkmark			Bearing	Reference_1 AS N 00°00	NORTH I			10
1		U			Date of	Survey		Elevation	Reference	
David D. PSM ;	Glaze #5605	V	Valter J. Glaze PSM #6190	•	Date of		-2018	Drawn B Checked	ByDDG	Ka Ka







BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

- TO: Andrew Holmer, Division Manager Development Services Department
- FROM: Terri V. Malone, AICP, Transportation Planner Transportation & Traffic Operations Division
- THRU: David Forte, Division Manager Transportation & Traffic Operations Division
- DATE: October 24, 2018

RE: Transportation & Traffic Operations (TTO) Comments – Z-2018-17

TTO Staff has reviewed the Rezoning Case (Z)-2018-17, 8891 Burning Tree Road, agenda item for the Planning Board meeting scheduled on November 8, 2018. Please see the below comments.

Burning Tree Road is two lane local road with curb and gutter but no sidewalks. This road is entirely located within the Scenic Hills Country Club Estates. The approximate pavement width in front of the club house is 22 feet. Most of the traffic exits this development at the signalized intersection of Scenic Hills Drive and E. Nine Mile Road. E. Nine Mile Road is a 4-lane divided facility in front of Scenic Hills Country Club Estates.

Currently, there are no on-going or programmed projects on Burning Tree Road showing in the County's Capital Improvement Program (CIP). Likewise, there are no ongoing or programmed projects on the adjacent segment of E. Nine Mile Road in either the County's CIP or the Florida Department of Transportation's five-year work program.

Burning Tree Road is classified as a local roadway and assumed to be functioning within its allowable capacity for traffic volumes. Per the TPO's Congestion Management Process Plan, E. Nine Mile Road is classified as a Principal Arterial with an allowable vehicular capacity of 74,400 (LOS D) and a year 2017 daily volume of 37,500 vehicles.

TTO's review is solely based off the application submittal packet, so the comments above hold no bearing on any future TTO comments during the Development Review process.

cc: Horace Jones, Development Services Department Director

Joy Jones, P.E., Public Works Department Director Colby Brown, P.E., Public Works Department Deputy Director Juan Lemos, CFM, Development Services Department

Planning Board-Rezor	ling	7. E.
Meeting Date:	11/08/2018	
CASE :	Z-2018-18	
APPLICANT:	Kshitij Patel, Agent for Michael and Tammy Matcke,	Owners
ADDRESS:	5950 West Nine Mile Road	
PROPERTY REF. NO.:	06-1S-31-4402-000-001	
FUTURE LAND USE:	MU-S, Mixed-Use Suburban	
DISTRICT:	1	
OVERLAY DISTRICT:	AIPD-2	
BCC MEETING DATE:	12/06/2018	

SUBMISSION DATA: REQUESTED REZONING:

FROM: LDR, Low Density Residential district (four du/acre)

TO: HDMU, High Density Mixed-use district (25 du/acre)

RELEVANT AUTHORITY:

- (1) Escambia County Comprehensive Plan
- (2) Escambia County Land Development Code

(3) Board of County Commissioners of Brevard County v. Snyder, 627 So. 2d 469 (Fla. 1993)

(4) Resolution 96-34 (Quasi-judicial Proceedings)

(5) Resolution 96-13 (Ex-parte Communications)

APPROVAL CONDITIONS

Criterion a., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Consistent with Comprehensive Plan

The proposed zoning is consistent with the future land use (FLU) category as prescribed in LDC Chapter 3, and with all other applicable goals, objectives, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan. If the rezoning is required to properly enact a proposed FLU map amendment transmitted for state agency review, the proposed zoning is consistent with the proposed FLU and conditional to its adoption.

CPP FLU 1.3.1 Future Land Use Categories. The Mixed-Use Suburban (MU-S) Future Land Use (FLU) category is intended for a mix of residential and non-residential uses while promoting compatible infill development and the separation of urban and suburban land uses. Range of Allowable Uses: residential, retail sales & services, professional office, recreational facilities, public and civic, limited agriculture. The maximum
residential density is 25 dwelling units per acre.

CPP FLU 1.5.1 New Development and Redevelopment in Built Areas. To promote the efficient use of existing public roads, utilities, and service infrastructure, the County will encourage the redevelopment in underutilized properties to maximize development densities and intensities located in the MU-S, MU-U, Commercial, and Industrial Future Land Use categories (with the exception of residential development).

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment to HDMU **is consistent** with the intent and purpose of Future Land Use category MU-S as stated in CPP FLU 1.3.1. The FLU category allows for a mixture of commercial and residential development. The property is located along Nine Mile Rd, a minor arterial, and currently has a commercial business on the corner of Nine Mile and Beulah as well as a mobile home park. There are several other commercial developments in the area of the proposed zoning. The amendment is also consistent with the intent of FLU 1.5.1, by making use of the existing public roads and the availability of utilities and service infrastructure.

Criterion b., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Consistent with The Land Development Code

The proposed zoning is consistent with the purpose and intent and with any other zoning establishment provisions prescribed by the proposed district in Chapter 3.

Sec. 3-2.5 Low Density Residential district (LDR).

(a) **Purpose.** The Low Density Residential (LDR) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for residential uses at low densities within suburban areas. The primary intent of the district is to provide for large-lot suburban type residential neighborhood development that blends aspects of rural openness with the benefits of urban street connectivity, and at greater density than the Rural Residential district.

Sec. 3-2.6 Low Density Mixed-use district (LDMU).

(a) **Purpose.** The Low Density Mixed-use (LDMU) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for a complementary mix of low density residential uses and compatible non-residential uses within mostly suburban areas. The primary intent of the district is to provide for a mix of neighborhood-scale retail sales, services and professional offices with greater dwelling unit density and diversity than the Low Density Residential district. Additionally, the LDMU district is intended to rely on a pattern of well-connected streets and provide for the separation of suburban uses from more dense and intense urban uses. Residential uses within the district include most forms of single-family, two-family and multi-family dwellings.

(e) Location criteria. All new non-residential uses proposed within the LDMU district that are not part of a predominantly residential development or a planned unit development, or are not identified as exempt by district regulations, shall be on parcels that satisfy at least one of the following location criteria:

(1) Proximity to intersection. Along an arterial or collector street, and within 200 feet of an intersection with another arterial or collector.

(2) Proximity to traffic generator. Along an arterial or collector street and within a one-quarter mile radius of an individual traffic generator of more than 600 daily trips, such as an apartment complex, military base, college campus, hospital, or shopping mall.

(3) Infill development. Along an arterial or collector street, in an area where already established non-residential uses are otherwise consistent with the LDMU district, and where the new use would constitute infill development of similar intensity as the conforming development on surrounding parcels. Additionally, the location would promote compact development and not contribute to or promote strip commercial development.

(4) Site design. Along an arterial street and at the intersection with a local street that serves to connect the arterial street to another arterial, and all of the following site design conditions:

a. Any intrusion into a recorded residential subdivision is limited to a corner lot

b. Access and stormwater management is shared with adjoining uses or properties to the extent practicable.

c. Adverse impacts to any adjoining residential uses are minimized by placing the more intensive elements of the use (solid waste dumpsters, truck loading/unloading areas, drive-thru facilities, etc.) furthest from the residential uses.

(5) Documented compatibility. A compatibility analysis prepared by the applicant provides competent substantial evidence of unique circumstances regarding the parcel or use that were not anticipated by the alternative location criteria, and the proposed use will be able to achieve long-term compatibility with existing and potential uses. Additionally, that the following conditions exist:

a. The parcel has not been rezoned by the landowner from mixed-use, commercial, or industrial zoning assigned by the county.

b. If the parcel is within a county redevelopment area, the use will be consistent with the area's adopted redevelopment plan, as reviewed and recommended by the Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA).

Sec. 3-2.9 High Density Mixed-use district (HDMU).

(a) Purpose. The High Density Mixed-use (HDMU) district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for a complimentary mix of high density residential uses and compatible non-residential uses within urban areas. The primary intent of the district is to provide for a mix of neighborhood retail sales, services and professional offices with greater dwelling unit density and diversity than the Low Density Mixed-use district. Additionally, the HDMU district is intended to rely on urban street connectivity and encourage vertical mixes of commercial and residential uses within the same building to accommodate a physical pattern of development characteristic of village main streets and older neighborhood commercial areas. Residential uses within the district include all forms of single-family, two-family and multi-family dwellings.

(b) Permitted uses. Permitted uses within the HDMU district are limited to the following: (1) Residential. The following residential uses are allowed throughout the district, but if within a Commercial (C) future land use category they are permitted only if part of a predominantly commercial development.

a. Group living, excluding dormitories, fraternity and sorority houses, and residential facilities providing substance abuse treatment, post-incarceration reentry, or similar services.

b. Manufactured (mobile) homes, including manufactured home subdivisions, but excluding new or expanded manufactured home parks.

c. Single-family dwellings (other than manufactured homes), detached or attached, including townhouses and zero lot line subdivisions.

d. Two-family and multi-family dwellings.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(2) Retail sales. Small-scale (gross floor area 6000 sq.ft. or less per lot) retail sales, including Low-THC marijuana dispensing facilities, sales of beer and wine, but excluding sales of liquor, automotive fuels, or motor vehicles, and excluding permanent outdoor storage, display, or sales. See also conditional uses in this district.

(3) Retail services. The following small-scale (gross floor area 6000 sq.ft. or less per lot) retail services, excluding outdoor work or permanent outdoor storage:

a. Bed and breakfast inns.

b. Boarding and rooming houses.

c. Child care facilities.

d. Personal services, including those of beauty shops, health clubs, pet groomers, dry cleaners, and tattoo parlors.

e. Professional services, including those of realtors, bankers, accountants, engineers, architects, dentists, physicians, and attorneys.

f. Repair services, including appliance repair, furniture refinishing and upholstery, watch and jewelry repair, small engine and motor services, but excluding major motor vehicle or boat service or repair.

g. Restaurants, and brewpubs, including on-premises consumption of alcoholic beverages, but excluding drive-in or drive-through service and brewpubs with distribution of alcoholic beverages for off-site sales.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(4) Public and civic.

a. Preschools and kindergartens.

b. Emergency service facilities, including law enforcement, fire fighting, and medical assistance.

c. Foster care facilities.

d. Places of worship.

e. Public utility structures, excluding telecommunications towers.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(5) Recreation and entertainment.

a. Marinas, private only.

b. Parks without permanent restrooms or outdoor event lighting.

See also conditional uses in this district.

(6) Industrial and related No industrial or related uses.

(7) Agricultural and related. Agricultural production limited to food primarily for personal consumption by the producer, but no farm animals.

(8) Other uses. [Reserved]

(c) Conditional uses. Through the conditional use process prescribed in Chapter 2, the BOA may conditionally allow the following uses within the HDMU district:

(1) Residential.

a. Dormitories.

b. Fraternity and sorority houses.

c. Manufactured (mobile) home parks.

(2) Retail sales. Medium-scale (gross floor area greater than 6000 sq.ft. per lot, but no greater than 35,000 sq.ft.) retail sales, including sales of beer and wine and automotive fuels, but excluding sales of motor vehicles and liquor, and excluding permanent outdoor storage, display, or sales.

(3) Retail services.

a. Medium-scale (gross floor area greater than 6000 sq. ft. per lot, but no greater than 35,000 sq. ft.) retail services, excluding motor vehicle service and repair.

b. Restaurants and brewpubs with drive-in or drive-through service and brewpubs with the distribution of on-premises produced alcoholic beverages for off-site sales.

c. Small-scale (gross floor area 6000 sq.ft. or less per lot) major motor vehicle service and repair, excluding painting or body work and outdoor work.

(4) Public and civic.

a. Broadcast stations with satellite dishes and antennas, excluding towers.

b. Cemeteries, including family cemeteries.

c. Clubs, civic and fraternal.

d. Community service facilities, including auditoriums, libraries, museums, and neighborhood centers.

e. Cinerators.

f. Educational facilities not among the permitted uses of the district.

g. Funeral establishments.

h. Hospitals.

i. Offices for government agencies or public utilities.

j. Public utility structures exceeding the district structure height limit and

telecommunications towers of any height, excluding any industrial uses.

k. Warehousing or maintenance facilities for government agencies or public utilities.

(5) Recreation and entertainment.

a. Amusement arcade centers and bingo facilities.

b. Golf courses, tennis centers, swimming pools and similar active outdoor recreational facilities, including associated country clubs.

c. Parks with permanent restrooms or outdoor event lighting.

(6) Industrial and related. Microbreweries, microdistilleries, and microwineries

(7) Agricultural and related.

a. Horses or other domesticated equines kept on site, and stables for such animals, only as a private residential accessory with a minimum lot area of two acres and a maximum of one animal per acre.

b. Veterinary clinics.

(8) Other uses.

a. Self-storage facilities with a maximum lot area of one acre and outdoor storage limited to operable motor vehicles and boats. No vehicle rental.

b. Structures of permitted uses exceeding the district structure height limit, excluding telecommunications towers.

(e) Location criteria. All new non-residential uses proposed within the HDMU district that are not part of a predominantly residential development or a planned unit development, or are not identified as exempt by district regulations, shall be on parcels that satisfy at least one of the following location criteria:

(1) **Proximity to intersection.** Along an arterial or collector street and within 200 feet of an intersection with another arterial or collector.

(2) Proximity to traffic generator. Along an arterial or collector street and within a one-quarter mile radius of an individual traffic generator of more than 600 daily trips, such as an apartment complex, military base, college campus, hospital, shopping mall or similar generator.

(3) Infill development. Along an arterial or collector street, in an area where already established non-residential uses are otherwise consistent with the HDMU district, and where the new use would constitute infill development of similar intensity as the conforming development on surrounding parcels. Additionally, the location would promote compact development and not contribute to or promote strip commercial development.

(4) Site design. Along an arterial street and at the intersection with a local street that serves to connect the arterial street to another arterial, and all of the following site design conditions:

a. Any intrusion into a recorded residential subdivision is limited to a corner lot

b. Access and stormwater management is shared with adjoining uses or properties to the extent practicable.

c. Adverse impacts to any adjoining residential uses are minimized by placing the more intensive elements of the use, such as solid waste dumpsters and truck loading/unloading areas, furthest from the residential uses.

(5) Documented compatibility. A compatibility analysis prepared by the applicant provides competent substantial evidence of unique circumstances regarding the parcel or use that were not anticipated by the alternative criteria, and the proposed use will be able to achieve long-term compatibility with existing and potential uses. Additionally, the following conditions exist:

a. The parcel has not been rezoned by the landowner from the mixed-use, commercial, or industrial zoning assigned by the county.

b. If the parcel is within a county redevelopment district, the use will be consistent with the district's adopted redevelopment plan, as reviewed and recommended by the Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA).

Sec. 4-4.5 Airport and airfield planning districts.

(b) Military Airfield Influence Planning Districts. Airfield Influence Planning Districts (AIPDs) are established to provide enhanced protection in support of the continued operation of military airfields for areas that are close enough to those airfields to influence or be influenced by their activities. AIPDs impose additional restrictions on surrounding development that primarily address noise and safety concerns created by flight operations and potential interferences with those operations. If military operations permanently cease at an airfield, the supplemental requirements of its AIPDs will no longer apply to surrounding lands.

(6) AIPD-2 requirements. AIPD-2 is additional areas extended beyond AIPD-1 that is sufficiently close to the airfield to require some protections. AIPD-2 requirements are the same for all airfields. Densities and minimum lot sizes of the underlying zoning districts are not modified by AIPD-2.

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment **is not consistent** with the intent and purpose of the Land Development Code. The primary intent of the HDMU district is to provide for a mix of neighborhood retail sales, services and professional offices with greater dwelling unit

density and diversity than the Low Density Residential district. The HDMU zoning district is also meant to provide transitions between areas zoned or used for medium or high density residential (MDR or HDR zoning) and areas zoned or used for commercial. The subject property is surrounded by Commercial (Com) zoned property to the east and LDR zoned property to the west. There is no MDR or HDR zoning in the surrounding area therefore HDMU would not provide the transition between the LDR and Com zoned property in the area.

Now a more suitable designation for the subject property may be LDMU. The primary intent of the LDMU district is to provide for a mix of neighborhood-scale retail sales, services and professional offices with greater dwelling unit density and diversity than the Low Density Residential district. A rezoning to HDMU would result in the maximum density of 25 dwelling units per acre, where as LDMU would result in a density of 7 dwelling units per acre. The LDMU district establishes appropriate areas and land use regulations for a complementary mix of low density residential uses and compatible non-residential uses within mostly suburban areas. Additionally, the LDMU district is intended to rely on a pattern of well-connected streets and provide for the separation of suburban uses from more dense and intense urban uses. A rezoning to LDMU may provide the transition between the Com zoned property to the east and the LDR zoned property to the west. The rezoning also appears to meet the location criteria of the HDMU zoning district as the subject property is within 600 feet of a traffic generator (Beulah Middle School). The rezoning is not influenced by AIPD-2 regulations.Now

Criterion c., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Compatible with surrounding uses

All the permitted uses of the proposed zoning, not just those anticipated by the rezoning applicant, are compatible, as defined in Chapter 6, with the surrounding uses. The uses of any surrounding undeveloped land shall be considered the permitted uses of the applicable district. Compatibility is not considered with potential conditional uses or with any nonconforming or unapproved uses. Also, in establishing the compatibility of a residential use, there is no additional burden to demonstrate the compatibility of specific residents or activities protected by fair housing law.

FINDINGS

The proposed amendment **is compatible** with surrounding existing uses in the area. Within the 500 radius impact area, staff observed properties with zoning districts LDR, Com and HC/LI (Heavy Commercial/LIght Industrial). The new Beulah Middle School, a storage facility, retails sales, an animal hospial, and vacant commercial property were found in the area. The subject property is single-family residential. A trailer park is located directly east of the site. A vacant residental lot and a single-family dwelling is located to the west.

Criterion d., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Appropriate if spot zoning

Where the proposed zoning would establish or reinforce a condition of spot zoning as defined in Chapter 6, the isolated district would nevertheless be transitional in character between the adjoining districts, or the differences with those districts would be minor or sufficiently limited. The extent of these mitigating characteristics or conditions demonstrates an appropriate site specific balancing of interests between the isolated district and adjoining lands.

As per LDC Chapter 6, Spot Zoning is: Zoning applied to an area of land, regardless of its size, that is different from the zoning of all contiguous land. Such isolated or "spot" zoning is usually higher in its density or intensity of use than the adjoining zoning and may, therefore, extend privileges not generally extended to property similarly located in the area. Spot zoning is not by itself prohibited, but due to its potentially adverse impacts on adjoining zoning it carries a higher burden of demonstration that, if authorized, it will contribute to or result in logical and orderly development.

FINDINGS

The proposed rezoning to HDMU or LDMU, as speciified in Criterion B above to be a more suitable zoning, **would both result in an isolated zoning** district as indicated in the existing zoning map. However, LDMU zoning designation could provide a better transition between the Commercial zoned property to the east and LDR zoned property to the west. Note rezoning case Z-2018-05 that was approved on 06/07/2018. This property is abutting the subject parcel and was zoned HDMU and approved to Com. See attached Exhibit A.

Criterion e., LDC Sec. 2-7.2(b)(4)

Appropriate with changed or changing conditions

If the land uses or development conditions within the area surrounding the property of rezoning have changed, the changes are to such a degree and character that it is in the public interest to allow new uses, density, or intensity in the area through rezoning; and the permitted uses of the proposed district are appropriate and not premature for the area or likely to create or contribute to sprawl.

FINDINGS

The land uses or development conditions within the area surrounding the property of rezoning **have changed**. The area along Nine Mile Rd is growing with the additions to Navy Federal, upcoming subdivisions, a new middle school and improvements to Nine Mile Rd. Several rezonings have been requested in the area. See attached Exhibit A for rezoning cases in the surrounding area.

Attachments

Working Case File

Z-2018-18





























Escambia County Planning and Zoning

Development Services Department 3363 West Park Place Pensacola, FL 32505 Phone: (850) 595-3475 • Fax: (850) 595-3481 <u>http://myescambia.com/business/ds</u>

FORO	FFICE	Rezoning Application EUSE ONLY - Case Number: 2-2018-18 Accepted by: CFM PB Meeting: 11/13/2018
1.	ntact Information:	
	A.	Property Owner/Applicant: MATCKE MICHAEL R& MATCKE TAMMY W
		Mailing Address: 5950 W NINE MILE RD PENSACOLA, FL 32526
		Business Phone: Cell: 850-377-2636 850-698-8753
		Email: mmatcke@gmail.com whynet67@gmail.com
	B.	Authorized Agent (if applicable): KSHIJIT PATEL
		Mailing Address: ST22 SALT GRASS PR. DENSALOLA, FL, 32526
		Business Phone: 908-209-0707 Cell.
		Email: <u>xitijsPatal Qgmeeil.(6mprotecolecol</u> gnairon
		Note: Owner must complete the attached Agent Affidavit. If there is more than one owner, each owner must
		complete an Agent Affidavit. Application will be voided if changes to this application are found.
2.	Pro	operty Information:
	A.	Existing Street Address: 5950 W NINE MILE RD PENSACOLA, FL 32526
		Parcel ID (s): 061S314402000001
	B.	Total acreage of the subject property: .97
	C	Existing Zoning: LDR
		Proposed Zoning: HDMU ; explain why necessary and/or appropriate
		Changing zoning to become consistent with surrounding commercial
		development.
		FLU Category: MU-S

- D. Is the subject property developed (if yes, explain): Existing Residential Home
- E Sanitary Sewer: _____ Septic: 2

3. Amendment Request

Approval conditions. The applicant has the burden of presenting competent substantial evidence to the reviewing board establishing that the requested zoning district would contribute to or result in a logical and orderly development pattern. The appropriate surrounding area within which uses and conditions must be considered may vary with those uses and conditions and is not necessarily the same area required for mailed notification. A logical and orderly pattern shall require demonstration of each of the following conditions:

Please address ALL the following approval conditions for your rezoning request. (use

supplement sheets as needed)

a. Consistent with Comprehensive Plan. The proposed zoning is consistent with the future land use (FLU) category as prescribed in LDC Chapter 3, and with all other applicable goals, objectives, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan. If the rezoning is required to properly enact a proposed FLU map amendment transmitted for state agency review, the proposed zoning is consistent with the proposed FLU and conditional to its adoption.

This zoning request is consistent with the existing FLU of MU-S.

b. Consistent with zoning district provisions. The proposed zoning is consistent with the purpose and intent and with any other zoning establishment provisions prescribed by the proposed district in Chapter 3

HDMU request is consistent with zoning establishment provisions.

c. Compatible with surroundings. All of the permitted uses of the proposed zoning, not just those anticipated by the rezoning applicant, are compatible, as defined in Chapter 6, with the surrounding uses. The uses of any surrounding undeveloped land shall be considered the permitted uses of the applicable district. Compatibility is not considered with potential conditional uses or with any nonconforming or unapproved uses. Also, in establishing the compatibility of a residential use, there is no additional burden to demonstrate the compatibility of specific residents or activities protected by fair housing law.

Adjacent lot is currently HDMU (reference number : 061S314402000000) Parcels located directly across the street are HCLI (reference number: 071S311101001004).

d. Appropriate if spot zoning. Where the proposed zoning would establish or reinforce a condition of spot zoning as defined in Chapter 6, the isolated district would nevertheless be transitional in character between the adjoining districts, or the differences with those districts would be minor or sufficiently limited. The extent of these mitigating characteristics or conditions demonstrates an appropriate site-specific balancing of interests between the isolated district and adjoining lands.

As per LDC Chapter 6, Spot Zoning is: Zoning applied to an area of land, regardless of its size. that is different from the zoning of all contiguous land. Such isolated or "spot" zoning is usually higher in its density or intensity of use than the adjoining zoning and may, therefore. extend privileges not generally extended to property similarly located in the area. Spot zoning is not by itself prohibited, but due to its potentially adverse impacts on adjoining zoning it carries a higher burden of demonstration that, if authorized, it will contribute to or result in logical and orderly development

Property is not applicable for spot zoning as it is located in a commercial and mixed use corridor.

e. Appropriate with changed or changing conditions. If the land uses or development conditions within the area surrounding the property of rezoning have changed, the changes are to such a degree and character that it is in the public interest to allow new uses, density, or intensity in the area through rezoning; and the permitted uses of the proposed district are appropriate and not premature for the area or likely to create or contribute to sprawl.

New HDMU zoning to complement existing commercial sprawl.

<u>Please complete the following Forms: Concurrency Determination Acknowledgement</u> and Affidavit of Owner/Limited Power of Attorney (if applicable).

CONCURRENCY DETERMINATION ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Property Reference Number(s):061S314402000001

Property Address: 5950 W NINE MILE RD PENSACOLA, FL 32526

I/We acknowledge and agree that no future development for which concurrency of required facilities and services must be certified shall be approved for the subject parcel(s) without the issuance of a certificate of concurrency for The developmen []balled on the actual den [] []ed and in []en [] []ell propoled in the fullure developmen [] [] permi [] application.

I/We also acknowledge and agree that approval of a zoning district amendment (rezoning) or Future Land Use Map amendment does not certify, vest, or otherwise guarantee that concurrency of required facilities and services is, or will be, available for any future development of the subject parcels.

I/We further acknowledge and agree that no development for which concurrency must be certified shall be approved unless at least one of the following minimum conditions of the Comprehensive Plan will be met for each facility and tervice of the County flooncurrency management by the prior to development approval:

- a. The necessary facilities or services are in place at the time a development permit is issued.
- b. A development permit is issued subject to the condition that the necessary facilities and services will be in place and available to serve the new development at the time of the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.
- c. For parks and recreation facilities and roads, the necessary facilities are under construction at the time the development permit is issued.
- d. For parks and recreation facilities, the necessary facilities are the subject of a binding executed contract for the construction of the facilities at the time the development permit is issued and the agreement requires that facility construction must commence within one year of the issuance of the development permit.
- e. The necessary facilities and services are guaranteed in an enforceable development agreement. An enforceable development agreement may include, but is not limited to, development agreements pursuant to Section 163.3220, F.S., or as amended, or an agreement or development order issued pursuant to Chapter 380, F.S., or as amended. For wastewater, solid waste, potable water, and stormwater facilities, any such agreement will guarantee the necessary facilities and services to be in place and available to serve the new development at the time of the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.
- f. For roads, the necessary facilities needed to serve the development are included in the first three years of the applicable Five-Year Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Work Program or are in place or under actual construction no more than three years after the issuance of a County development order or permit.

I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE THAT I HAVE READ, UNDERSTAND AND AGREE WITH THE ABOVE STATEMENT ON THIS 18th DAY OF September . YEAROF2018

Sgnature of Property Owner

Sonature of Proderty Owner

Michael R Matcke Printed Name of Property Owner

Tammy W Matcke Printed Name of Property Owner

9/18/2018 Date 9-18-18

Last Updated: 10/6/17-Rezoning

4

b

2

AFFIDAVIT OF OWNER AND LIMITED POWER OF ATTORNEY (if applicable)

As owner of the property located at 5950 W NING MILE LD
PENSACOLA, Florida, property reference number(s) 664 532440200 0001
I hereby designate KSHITIT PATEL
for the sole purpose of completing this application and making
a presentation to the Planning Board and the Board of County Commissioners to request a rezoning on
the above referenced property. This Limited Power of Attorney is granted on this 1.9 day of $S \in P$
the year of, 2015, and is effective until the Board of County Commissioners or the Board of
Adjustment has rendered a decision on this request and any appeal period has expired. The owner
reserves the right to rescind this Limited Power of Attorney at any time with a written, notarized notice
to the Development Services Bureau.
Agent Name: KSHTTJJPATEL Email: XitijSPatel@gmui.1.(0)
Address: 88-22 SALT GRASSPR. PENSA(OLA, FL Phone: 908-209-0707
MM & Mtthe Michael R. MAtche 9/19/18
Signature of Property Owner Printed Name of Property Owner Date
Junny WI Dicke Tanny W. Matche 9-19-18
Signature of Property Owner Printed Name of Property Owner Date
STATE OF Florida COUNTY OF Escandia
STATE OF Florida COUNTY OF Fscandia The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 19th day of Sept 2018,
by michael produce
Personally Known D OR Produced Identification . Type of Identification Produced: FC Driver Lice-se
Signature of Notary Printed Name of Notary JOSHUA JOHNSON
Commission # GG 95126
My Commission Expires April 18, 2021
(Notary Seal)

5. <u>Submittal Requirements</u>

- A. _____ Completed application: All applicable areas of the application shall be filled in and submitted to the Planning and Zoning Department, 3363 West Park Place, Pensacola, RL 32505.
- B. _____ Application Fees: To view fees visit the website: <u>http://myescambia.com/business/ds/planning-board</u> or contact us at 595-3547

Note: Application fees include a \$5 technical fee. Cost of the public notice mailing is to be borne by the applicant. Payments must be submitted prior to 3 pm of the closing date of acceptance of application. Please make checks payable to Escambia County. MasterCard and Visa are also accepted (a 3% fee will be added for credit card payments).

- C Legal Proof of Ownership (ex: copy of Tax Notice or Warranty Deed) <u>AND</u> a <u>Certified Boundary Survey (Include Corporation/LLC documentation if applicable.)</u>
- D. _____ Compatibility Analysis (if applicable): If the subject property does not meet the roadway requirements of Locational Oriteria, a compatibility analysis prepared by the applicant is required to provide substantial evidence of unique circumstances regarding the parcel or use that were not anticipated by the alternative criteria. (See "DocumenTed Compatibility" within the request zoning district of the LDC.)
- E _____ Signed and Notarized Affidavit of Owner/Limited Power of Attorney AND Concurrency Determination Acknowledgement (pages 4 and 5).

By my signature, I hereby certify that:

- 1) I am duly qualified as owner(s) or authorized agent to make such application, this application is of my own choosing, and staff has explained all procedures relating to this request; and
- All information given is accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief, and I understand that deliberate misrepresentation of such information will be grounds for denial or reversal of this application and/or revocation of any approval based upon this application; and
- 3) I understand that there are no guarantees as to the outcome of this request, and that the application fee is non-refundable; and
- 4) I authorize County staff to enter upon the property referenced herein at any reasonable time for purposes of site inspection and authorize placement of a public notice sign(s) on the property referenced herein at a location(s) to be determined by County staff; and
- 5) I am aware that Public Hearing notices (legal ad and/or postcards) for the request shall be provided by the Development Services Bureau.

Development dervices bureau.		/ /			
MM/ KMATS	MICHAEL P. MATCHE	= 9/18/2018			
Sgnature of Owner/Agent	Printed Name Owner/Agent	Date			
Samme W Matche	Tammy W. Matcke	9-18-18			
Sgnature of Owner	Printed Name of Owner	Date			
STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 18th day of <u>Sept</u> 2018, by <u>Michael Ray Matcke</u> . Personally Known OR Produced Identification. Type of Identification Produced: FL Prive License					
Sgnature of Notary	Printed Nar	otar seal)			
	-7-				

A298-10 R298-04

Recorded in Public Records 08/29/2013 at 03:13 PM OR Book 7068 Page 383, Instrument #2013065719, Pam Childers Clerk of the Circuit Court Escambia County, FL Recording \$18.50 Deed Stamps \$0.70

QUITCLAIM DEED

THIS QUITCLAIM DEED, Executed this 29 day of August , 2013 (year),

by first party, Grantor, Tammy W Matcke whose post office address is 5950 W Nine Mile Rol Pensacola 71 32526 to second party, Grantee, Tammy and Michaele Matche whose post office address is 5950 W Nine Miked Pensacola 71 32526

WITNESSETH, That the said first party, for good consideration and for the sum of Ten Dollars (\$ 10.00) paid by the said second party, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, does hereby remise, release and quitclaim unto the said second party forever, all the right, title, interest and claim which the said first party has in and to the following described parcel of land, and improvements and appurtenances thereto in the County of Escambia , State of Horida to wit:

BEG AT SE COR OF SEC S 89 DEG 45 MIN 19 SEC W ALG S LI 1189 72/100 FT N 0 DEG 34 MIN 9 SEC W 87 94/100 FT TO NLY R/W LI NINE MILE RD (200 FT R/W) N 83 DEG 41 MIN 7 SEC E ALG NLY R/W 27 92/100 FT N 89 DEG 50 MIN 37 SEC E 175 09/100 FT FOR POB N 0 DEG 34 MIN 9 SEC W 255 01/100 FT N 89 DEG 50 MIN 37 SEC E 165 FT S 0 DEG 34 MIN 9 SEC E 255 01/100 FT TO NLY R/W S 89 DEG 50 MIN 37 SEC W 165 FT TO POB OR 359 P 149 OR 636 P 912 OR 1023 P 775 OR 2359 P 649 OR 5975 P 1456 OR 6563 P 283

ZBHH (1)

Rev. 4/99

If your state requires 8 1/2" x 11" forms, cut off the bottom of this page at the dotted line.



9/19/2018

BK: 7068 PG: 384 Last Page

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, The said first party has signed and sealed these presents the day and year first above written. Signed, sealed and delivered in presence of:

++nPri Sic Ś est

1

}

nature of First Part irst Party n Party ŧΕ Print name of First Party

Known

Type of ID PLDL M 320 - 556-60-244-0 eup. 7-4-2019

Produced ID

(Seal)

£

Affiant

State of FIOrida County of Escambia

Print name of Witness

On August 29,2013 appeared Michan R. Matche before me, Dawn O'Brien

personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument. WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Bre aw Signature of Notary

Dawn O'Brien Notary Public-State of FL Comm. Exp. July 10, 2017 Comm. No. FF 9829

before me, Down O'Brian

State of FIOTILA County of Escambia August 29, 2013 be ared Tanny 10. Matcke On

appeared personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument. WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Dausn O'Brien ignature of Notary	Tam Print Na 595	Affiant Known Replaced ID Type of ID <u>FLOL. M320. 819-67-648</u> .0 <i>etp.4-28-22</i> (Seal) Wy Matck me of Preparer O W 9 mile Rd Penscola of Preparer 32500
 	(2)	

If your state requires 8 1/2" x 11" forms, cut off the bottom of this page at the dotted line.



PANEL NOTE: DETERM BE FRE AREA M

> SCA BOL

FOU FINA

DAT

W

			and the second	
(L.E. S	HONT	7
			CIATES, INC	
		SE EAST GARDEN STREET	AND LAND PLANNIN OFTICE:(850)470-	0532
UESTED BY: F	RANKIE F	PENSACOLA, FLORIDA 32501 WWW.SHOM IGUEROA	DRAWING NO.	
S SURVEY WAS PREF POSES WITHOUT PRI	PARED FOR THE	CLIENT SHOWN AND	IS NOT TO BE USED	FOR ANY OTHER
MEASUREMENTS WE ROACHMENTS AS SH THE UNDERSIGNING DIMENSIONS OF TH	RE MADE ACCOR HOWN HEREON AN SURVEYOR.	DING TO UNITED STA RE ONLY THOSE ABO	TES STANDARD FOOT VE GROUND VISIBLE	O FOR ANY OTHER OBJECTS OBSERVED
FOOTING OF THE FI	OUNDATION.	SHOWN HEREON DO		
SUBJECT PROPERTY ITS OF WAY, STATE	AS THERE MAY AND/OR FEDERA	BE DEEDS OF RECO L JURISDICTIONAL AR	ERE SHOWIZ AND A WRD, UNRECORDED D EAS OR OTHER INST	SSOCIATES, INC., FOI IEEDS, EASEMENTS, RUMENTS WHICH ITTED MEASUREMENTS
		ARE AS FIELD MEAS		
ED ON THE FIELD & IERSHIP OF FENCES NOT USE HOUSE THE	UNKNOWN TO T	EVIDENCE AVAILABLE HIS SURVEYOR.	AT THE TIME OF TH	ESSIONAL OPINION IS SURVEY.
FOUND IRON PIPE FOUND IRON ROD SET IRON ROD 5/8	A	= CENTRAL ANGLE = RADIUS		DEGREES MINUTES
FOUND CONCRETE MO	MONUMENT CD NUMENT CB	= ARC LENGTH = CHORD DISTANCE = CHORD BEARING = SI DIATION	T/B CALC	SECONDS TOP OF BANK CALCULATED
LAT ELD	FFL A/C	= ELEVATION = FINISHED FLOOR = AIR CONDITIONER	ELEVATION	BUILDING SETBACK LINE POINT OF REVERSE
= CONCRETE POINT OF COMMENT POINT OF BEGINNIN	CEMENT C/L IG PCP	= RIGHT-OF-WAY = CENTERLINE = PERMANENT CONT = PERMANENT REFEI		CURVATURE POINT OF COMPOUND
OINT OF INTERSECTION POINT OF TANGENCY	ON PRM PC	= PERMANENT REFEI = POINT OF CURVAT		CURVATURE TYPICAL
D STATEMENT.				1
		SE FLOOD ELEVA		<u>A</u>
		0270-F AS		
MINATION DOES N EE FROM FLOODIN MAY BE DAMAGED	OT IMPLY THAT IG OR DAMAGE BY A FLOOD	O ON THE FLOOD THE REFERENCE A PROPERTY I GREATER THAN TI SHOWN ON THE	D PROPERTY WILL NOT IN A SPECIAL HAT PREDICTED O	OR WILL NOT
		FIELD	FIELD	PAGE
<u>ALE: 1"=1</u> INDARY	DRAFTED BY	DATE	BOOK	TAOL
PLAN	DHK	01-31-05	217	23-24
INDATION				
1L				
RESS	5950	NINE MILE	ROAD	
		ISIONS	NOAD	
E				INITIALS
		G AND DESCR		DHK JN
	D DESCR			JN
		Č	ji Ma	
N 6 TO	WNSHID 1-	-S PANCE	31-W 601117	ESC
N <u>6</u> TOWNSHIP <u>1-S</u> RANGE <u>31-W</u> COUNTY <u>ESC</u> N89°49'54"E, ALONG THE NORTHERLY OF BEARING: R/W LINE OF NINE MILE ROAD				
S SURVEY IS I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE SURVEY AS SHOWN HEREON MEETS THE MINIMUM TECHNICAL				
NOT VALID STANDARDS AS SET FORTH IN CHAPTER 61617-6, FLORIDA ADMINISTRATIVE COSE				
GINAL RAISED				
SEAL & L.E. SHONTZ AND ASSOCIATES, INC. 98 EAST GARDEN STREET PENSACOLA FLORIDA				
IATURE OF FLORIDA				
LICENSED		L. E. SHONTZ, PI	ROFESSIONAL SURVE	YOR AND MAPPER
SURVEYOR	LICEINSED L. E. SHONTZ, PROFESSIONAL SURVEYOR AND MAPPER REGISTRATION NUMBER 5883 L.B. NUMBER 7166 STATE OF FLORIDA			
			1 1 1 N	

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

- TO: Andrew Holmer, Division Manager Development Services Department
- FROM: Terri V. Malone, AICP, Transportation Planner Transportation & Traffic Operations Division
- THRU: David Forte, Division Manager Transportation & Traffic Operations Division
- DATE: October 24, 2018



RE: Transportation & Traffic Operations (TTO) Comments – Z-2018-18

TTO Staff has reviewed the Rezoning Case (Z)-2018-18, 5950 W. Nine Mile Road (SR 10), agenda item for the Planning Board meeting scheduled on November 8, 2018. Please see the below comments.

Currently, this section of W. Nine Mile Road is a two lane facility with paved shoulders but no curb and gutter or sidewalks. The approximate pavement width in front of the property is 32 feet with two 12-foot travel lanes and 4-foot shoulders. The posted speed is 55 MPH.

Currently, there are no on-going or programmed projects on W. Nine Mile Road showing in the County's Capital Improvement Program (CIP). Likewise, this section of the State Route 10 shows no ongoing or programmed projects in the Florida Department of Transportation's five-year work program.

Per the TPO's Congestion Management Process Plan, this section of W. Nine Mile Road is classified as a minor arterial with an allowable vehicular capacity of 17,300 (LOS C) and a year 2017 daily volume of 4,900 vehicles.

TTO's review is solely based off the application submittal packet, so the comments above hold no bearing on any future TTO comments during the Development Review process.

cc: Horace Jones, Development Services Department Director Joy Jones, P.E., Public Works Department Director Colby Brown, P.E., Public Works Department Deputy Director Caleb MacCartee, Development Services Department RE: Z-2018-18

Terri V. Malone

Mon 10/22/2018 8:32 AM

To:Caleb G. MacCartee <cgmaccartee@myescambia.com>;

Cc:David V. Forte <DVFORTE@myescambia.com>;

Good Morning Caleb,

Just wanted to respond to your question regarding Beulah Middle School. David and I discussed it and with 600 daily trips as the trip generator threshold, Beulah middle school should be considered a generator. The school has a capacity of around 1,200 students and with the corresponding trip generation rate of 1.62 trip per day, it could produce 1,944 trips.

Regards,

Terri V. Malone, AICP Engineering Program Coordinator



Escambia County

Public Works – Transportation & Traffic Operations 3363 Park Place, Pensacola, FL 32505 Work (850) 595-3425 Mobile (850) 490-0915

From: David V. Forte Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2018 2:42 PM To: Terri V. Malone <TVMALONE@myescambia.com> Cc: Caleb G. MacCartee <cgmaccartee@myescambia.com> Subject: Fwd: Z-2018-18

Terri,

Please review.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Caleb G. MacCartee" <<u>cgmaccartee@myescambia.com</u>>
To: "David V. Forte" <<u>DVFORTE@myescambia.com</u>>
Cc: "Horace L Jones" <<u>HLJONES@myescambia.com</u>>, "Allyson Cain" <<u>MACAIN@myescambia.com</u>>
Subject: Z-2018-18

David,

A rezoning request is under review at 5950 W Nine Mile Rd. The applicant is asking to go from LDR to HDMU zoning. See attached.

Question:

Would the new Beulah Middle School be considered a "traffic generator of more than 600 daily trip"? I do not believe any other location criteria can be meet at this time except this one and the applicant has not provided any additional documents. See below excerpt from the LDC concerning location criteria:

(e) Location criteria. All new non-residential uses proposed within the HDMU district that are not part of a predominantly residential development or a planned unit development, or are not identified as exempt by district regulations, shall be on parcels that satisfy at least one of the following location criteria:

Proximity to intersection. Along an arterial or collector street and within 200 feet of an intersection with another arterial or collector.
 Proximity to traffic generator. Along an arterial or collector street and within a one-quarter mile radius of an individual traffic generator of more than 600 daily trips, such as an apartment complex, military base, college campus, hospital, shopping mall or similar generator.

Mail - cgmaccartee@myescambia.com

(3) Infill development. Along an arterial or collector street, in an area where already established non-residential uses are otherwise consistent with the HDMU district, and where the new use would constitute infill development of similar intensity as the conforming development on surrounding parcels. Additionally, the location would promote compact development and not contribute to or promote strip commercial development.(4) Site design. Along an arterial street and at the intersection with a local street that serves to connect the arterial street to another arterial, and all of the following site design conditions:

a. Any intrusion into a recorded residential subdivision is limited to a corner lot

b. Access and stormwater management is shared with adjoining uses or properties to the extent practicable.

Any additional comments from Traffic Engineering are welcome.

Thanks in advance,

Caleb G. MacCartee Urban Planner II Development Services Department Escambia County BCC 3363 West Park Place, Pensacola, FL 32505 (850) 595-3475 Main (850) 595-3557 Fax

Florida has a very broad public records law. Under Florida law, both the content of emails and email addresses are public records. If you do no want the content of your email or your email address release in response to a public records request, do no send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in person.

This verification relates to zoning for the specified property and is provided for information purposes only. This email does not imply or confer development rights for any desired use or activity on the specified parcel. Prior to the issuance of any permits, the applicant must submit a complete application to the County and must comply with all other applicable State and Local Regulations.



Planning Board-Rezoning

7. F.

Meeting Date: 11/08/2018Issue:A Public Hearing Concerning Vested Rights DeterminationOrganization:Development Services

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Planning Board review and make recommendation to the Board of County Commisioners (BCC) on the following Vested Rights case:

Case No.:	VRD-2018-01
Project Address:	3411 John Street
Property Reference No.:	16-2S-30-1001-330-004
Zoning District:	HDMU, High Density Mixed-use district (25 du/acre)
FLU Category:	MU-U, Mixed-Use Urban
Vested Rights for:	Allow a MH in HDMU zoning
Applicant:	Tanaya Rosa, Agent for Keith L. Davis, Owner

BACKGROUND:

In 2011, the applicant applied for and was granted a rezoning from R-4 to R-5, which is now HDMU zoning for the parcel located at 3411 John Street. The parcel is within the Englewood Overlay and during the rezoning meeting in 2011, the Community and Redevelopment Agency (CRA) stated, "The CRA does not support an increase in high density residential, R-5, as it allows for more intense development..". This issue was noted at the Planning Board, who made a unanimous vote to recommend approval to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC), who in turn the approved the rezoning. Following the approval of the rezoning, the applicant had severe medical issues and was not able to go forward with placing a mobile home on the lot, but has since purchased a mobile home for the lot. HDMU allows for mobile homes, although there is a prohibition within the Englewood Overlay as specified in the LDC in affect as of April 15, 2015.

CRITERIA FOR VESTED RIGHTS:

An owner shall be entitled to a determination of vested rights only if through substantial competent evidence it can be established that the proposed use of the property meets the concurrency provisions of Article 5 and in addition one of the following criteria has been met:

CRITERION 1:

The proposed use was authorized pursuant to a county development order, or equivalent, issued on or before the effective date of this Code, or a pertinent amendment thereto, and the development has commenced and is continuing in good faith. In a claim based upon this criterion, the owner must produce evidence of actions and accomplishments that substantiate timely and lawful progression towards the completion of the intentions and plans documented in the original order, or equivalent. In a claim based upon this criterion, the right to which the owner may be vested is a continuation of the original order, or equivalent.

FINDINGS:

The property in question received a approval of a rezoning to R-5 (now HDMU) by the BCC in 2011 with discussions of the placement of a mobile home. The rezoning allowed any and all permitted uses in that district to be developed. The owner was of the understanding that the approval of the rezoning allowed the placement of a mobile home on site and was with good intentions was in the process until he had serious medical issues that prevented him from moving forward.

CRITERION 2:

The owner is determined to have acquired rights due to good faith reliance on an act of commission or omission of the County which has caused the owner to make such a substantial change in position or to incur such extensive obligations and expenses that it would be highly inequitable and unjust to destroy the rights acquired. In a claim based upon this criterion, the owner must document, and the County must verify, the obligations and expenses that are in jeopardy. The owner must produce evidence of actions and accomplishments that substantiate timely and lawful progression towards the completion of the intentions and plans that have been jeopardized. Evidence including, but not limited to, that which demonstrates that such activity has not progressed in such a manner may be sufficient to negate a finding of good faith on the part of the owner and therefore invalidate the claim to vested rights.

FINDINGS:

Due to the fact that the owner received a rezoning and there were discussions regarding the placement of a mobile home, the applicant had good intentions and plans to purchase the home for the parcel in question. The applicant has produced documentation, which we as staff have verified, that he was under doctors care and he states his medical condition was such that he was not able to complete the purchase of the mobile home to be placed on site until this year.

Attachments

Working Case File












Public Hearing sign



Looking into subject parcel



Looking at the adjacent parcel



Looking east along John St



Looking across John St from subject parcel



Looking west along John St from subject parcel Last Updated: 2/2/16



Escambia County Planning and Zoning

Development Services Department 3363 West Park Place Pensacola, FL 32505 Phone: (850) 595-3475 • Fax: (850) 595-3481 <u>http://myescambia.com/business/ds</u>

1. <u>Contact Information:</u> A. Property Owner/Applicant: <u>Keith L Bavis</u> Mailing Address: <u>4420 Morpellien dr Pensacola 41</u> 32505 Business Phone: <u>Cell: 850)529-5196</u> Email: <u>+blade 640 M50. Com</u>
 B. Authorized Agent (if applicable): <u>Tomaph</u> <u>Rosa</u> Mailing Address: <u>4420</u> <u>Morpellier</u> <u>3</u> 3555 Business Phone: <u>Cell: 850</u> <u>529-5849</u> Email: <u>Tanaya Tosa 76@ gmail. Com</u> Note: Owner must complete the attached Agent Affidavit. If there is more than one owner, each owner must complete an Agent Affidavit. Application will be voided if changes to this application are found. 2. Property Information:
A. Existing Street Address: <u>3411 John St</u> Parcel ID (s): <u>16-25-30-1001-330-004</u> B. Total acreage of the subject property: <u>0.26</u> C. Existing Zoning: <u>HOMU</u> FLU Category: <u>MU-U</u>

3. Amendment Request

A. Please provide a general description of the proposed vested rights request,

explaining, why it is necessary and/or appropriate.

MAN).

B. Vested Rights Determination Criteria – Please address one of the following criteria

for your vested rights request. (use supplement sheets as needed)

1. The proposed use was authorized pursuant to a county development order, or equivalent, issued on or before the effective date of this Code, or a pertinent amendment thereto, and the development has commenced and is continuing in good faith. In a claim based upon this criterion, the owner must produce evidence of actions and accomplishments that substantiate timely and lawful progression towards the completion of the intentions and plans documented in the original order, or equivalent. In a claim based upon this criterion, the right to which the owner may be vested is a continuation of the original order, or equivalent.

MUNILAN AILA OLILES

2. The owner is determined to have acquired rights due to good faith reliance on an act of commission or omission of the County which has caused the owner to make such a substantial change in position or to incur such extensive obligations and expenses that it would be highly inequitable and unjust to destroy the rights acquired. In a claim based upon this criterion, the owner must document, and the County must verify, the obligations and expenses that are in jeopardy. The owner must produce evidence of actions and accomplishments that substantiate timely and lawful progression towards the completion of the intentions and plans that have been jeopardized. Evidence including, but not limited to, that which demonstrates that such activity has not progressed in such a manner may be sufficient to negate a finding of good faith on the part of the owner and therefore invalidate the claim to vested rights.

An

Last Updated: 2/2/16

CONDITION OF APPROVAL

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

By my signature below, I declare and acknowledge that I understand and willingly agree to the following condition regarding an affirmative Vested Rights Determination regarding my property, which is an unrecorded subdivision known as:

A condition of approval of a vested right determination involving an unrecorded subdivision is the recording of an original deed to individual lot owners in the public records of Escambia County, Florida, prior to the issuance of a permit or other development approval.

Owner's Signature

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA

The foregoing instrument was acknowledge before me this 18^{44} day of October, year of 2018 by Keich Davis , who () did () did not take an oath.

He/she is () personally known to me, (Aproduced a current Florida drivers license, and/or () produced current $\frac{710120-512-641-467-0}{6479-12/2021}$ as identification.

ansand & Ca

Signature of Notary Public

Printed Name of Notary

10/18/18

Date Commission Expires

Commission No.



AFFIDAVIT OF OWNER AND LIMITED POWER OF ATTORNEY

(if applicable)

As owner of the property located at	3411 John St			
Pensacola, Florida, prope	rty reference number(s)			
	I hereby designate <u>Tawaya</u>	ROSA		
	_ for the sole purpose of completing this a			
a presentation to the Planning Board an	d the Board of County Commissioners to	request a rezoning on		
the above referenced property. This Lim	nited Power of Attorney is granted on this	day of		
the year of,, and is effective	e until the Board of County Commissioner	s or the Board of		
	this request and any appeal period has ex			
reserves the right to rescind this Limited	Power of Attorney at any time with a wr	itten, notarized notice		
to the Development Services Bureau.		22		
-				
Agent Name: langya Rosh	Email:			
Address: 3411 St John	54.	Phone:		
New 1 a	Keith L. DAVIS	10/18/2018		
Signature of Property Owner	Printed Name of Property Owner	Date		
Signature of Property Owner	Printed Name of Property Owner	Date		
	 International test of the state of the state			
STATE OF bridg	COUNTY OF 6 Scan	big		
STATE OF <u>Londa</u> COUNTY OF <u>Example</u> The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this <u>18th</u> day of <u>October</u> 20 <u>18</u> ,				
Personally Known OR Produced Ident	ification 🖈 Type of Identification Produce	d: JI DIZO SIZ-64-467-0		
M				
Margaret & Can	Margaret A.	Cain		
Signature of Notary	Printed Name of Notary			
MARGARET A. CAIN				
Commission # GG 154529 Expires November 11, 2021				
Bonded Thru Troy Fain Insurance 800-385-7019				
(Notary Seal)				



BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA

FEE WAIVER REQUEST FORM

The Board of County Commissioners have determined that it is in the best interest of the public to waive certain Planning Board and Board of Adjustment application fees for projects, regardless of size or scale, that will provide affordable housing for low income individuals and families. Upon request, the County Administrator may grant, to qualified applicants, a waiver of the fees approved by Resolution 2010-107. An approved fee waiver request shall expire after twelve (12) months.

The County Administrator shall only grant waivers to the following qualified applicants. Please check the box next to the appropriate statement that applies to your request.

- □ Individuals and families with an annual gross income at or below 30% of the median income for Escambia County.
- □ Non-profit organizations that will develop and provide affordable housing for individuals and families with an annual gross income at or below 30% of the median income for Escambia County.

Property Owner/Non-profit Organization Name:_

ALLIS

Please list the address(es) and Property Reference Number(s) for the property(s):

3411	St. John	St.		
16	-25-30-1	1001-330-004		

Please indicate which application fee this request is for and the amount: Vested rights

Please attach the following required supporting documents to this request form:

- a. All applicants must submit sufficient evidence of ownership or control of the property that is the subject of the development project for which the waiver is sought.
- b. All applicants must submit a copy of their federal income tax returns for the previous two years.
- c. All applicants must submit sufficient evidence that at least 30% of the total housing units produced from the development project will be sold or rented to, or occupied by, individuals and families with annual gross incomes at or below 30% of the median income for Escambia County.
- d. For projects that will provide rental housing, applicants shall also submit a schedule of rental rates for each unit by size.

FOR	INTERNAL OF	FICE USE ONLY	,		
The applicant is a qualified applicant.	DYES	□NO			
The applicant provided all required sup	pporting d	ocuments.	PYES	□NO	
Therefore; this fee waiver request for	Vester	Richts	delan	unalión	
is hereby opened on thi	s 18th	day of Oct	ober	, 20 <u>18</u>	

Horace Jones

Development Services Director

WARRANTY DEED

Ernie Lee Magaha CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT ESCAMBIA COUNTY FLORIDA INST# 2011016170 03/11/2011 at 11:48 AM OFF REC BK: 6698 PG: 750 - 751 Doc Type: WD RECORDING: \$18.50 Deed Stamps \$0.70

Florida STATE OF COUNTY OF CSCAMBIA

THIS WARRANTY DEED made the // day and between Markaphy Richt	
hereinafter called the Grantor , to	hereinafter called the Grantee ,

WITNESSETH

That the Grantor , for and in consideration of the sum of TEN DOLLARS (\$10.00) and other valuable considerations, receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, do bargain, sell, convey and grant unto the Grantee all that certain land situate in to wit:

See AHAChed Exhibit A'

Together with all the tenements, hereditaments and appurtenances thereto belonging or in any wise appertaining.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the same in fee simple forever.

AND the Grantor hereby covenants with the Grantee that the Grantor is lawfully seized of said land in fee simple; that the Grantor has good right and lawful authority to sell and convey said land; that the Grantor fully warrants the title to said land and will defend the same against the lawful claim of all persons whomsoever; and that said land is free of all encumbrances.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Grantor has signed and sealed these presents the day and year first above written.

Signed, sealed and delivered

our presence 1200000 hutter Rationy JUSSICK LIDE STĂTE OF COUNTY OF The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this $\frac{1}{1}$ day of March 2011, by Anthony Dright who produced identification of FLovide Priver Lice Ernie Lee Magana, Cierkand Compl Prepared By: Return to: Keith L. DAVis 3402 West Fisher St. Pensneola, FL 32505

x h;b.

The West ½ of Lot 33 of the unrecorded plat of Lot 4, Subdivision of Lot 1, Section 16, Township 2 South, Range 30 West, recorded in plat of Deed Book 76, page 263 of the records of Escambia County, Florida. Also described as:

Begin 655 ft. North and 354 ft. West of Southeast corner of the unrecorded plat of lot 4, Subdivision of Lot 1, Section 16, Township 2 South, Range 30 West, thence South 150 feet, thence West 105.15 feet, thence Northerly parallel to road 162.5 feet, thence East 74 feet to point of beginning, being the West ½ of Lot 33 of the unrecorded plat of Lot 4, Subdivision of Lot 1, Section 16, Township 2 South, Range 30 West, recorded in Plat of Deed Book 76, page 263 of the records of Escambia County, Florida.

Parcel ID# 16-28-30-1001-330-004

á.

99. PG: 127 Last Page

CORRECTIVE QUIT CLAIM DEED-Page Two

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 6m day of min, 2007.

Signed, sealed and delivered in our presence

JAMES LEONARD

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this \underbrace{bth} day of $\underbrace{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}^{\prime})^{T})}_{identification of \underline{f!}}$ by James Leonard Bartlett, who produced identification of $\underbrace{f!}_{identification}$ and did not take an oath.



Emie Lee Magaha. Clerk of the Circuit Court

Notary-Public Big: Gan Bare GAIL BARE, Deputy Clerk

Prepared By: James Leonard Bartlett 1401 W. Mallory Street Pensacola, FL 32501 Return To: Anthony Jerome Bright 1401 W. Mallory Street Pensacola, FL 32501







(https://platinumbuilt.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/7016.png)

Bathrooms

THE EISENHOWER X-7016

Sq. Ft.Size Beds

2347 32' X 78'4 Bedrooms2/3 Bathrooms

Price Range

X Series

Key Features

The Eisenhower

View 360° (/3d/x-7016/?fullscreen) View Video (/home-galleries/video-gallery/eisenhower-x-7016-video/)

Share 9 (https://platinumbuilt.com/floorplans/x-7016/emailpopup/)







2.







