## IN AND FOR ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA ESCAMBIA COUNTY CONTRACTOR COMPETENCY BOARD

Proceedings held before the Escambia County
Contractor Competency Board on Wednesday, May 3rd,
2017, at the Escambia County Central Office
Complex, 3363 West Park Place, First Floor,
Pensacola, Florida, commencing at 9:00 a.m.(CST).

## CONTRACTOR COMPETENCY BOARD:

MR. SAM MENEZES

MR. BRIAN BELL, VICE CHAIRMAN

MR. ERWIN WATERS

MR. VERRIS "MAC" MAGEE

MR. DAVID SCHWARTZ

MR. MIKE BATCHELOR

BOBBIE ELLIS-WIGGINS, ASSISTANT COUNTY ATTORNEY

BUILDING INSPECTIONS DEPARTMENT:

TIMOTHY TOLBERT, DIRECTOR JENNIFER HAMPTON, BOARD SECRETARY LYNN ADAMS, TRADES INVESTIGATOR DEB ASPLUND, TRADES INVESTIGATOR

GENERAL PUBLIC

1		INDEX
2		PAGE
3	1.	Call to Order 03
4	2.	Determination of Quorum
5	3.	Proof of Publication
6	4.	Approval of Minutes - April 5, 2017 03
7 8	5.	Public Forum
9	6.	Board Secretary Status Report
10	7.	Contractor Applications
11 12 13 14	8.	Written Communication
15 16 17	9.	Probable Cause Hearing
18	10.	Adjournment
19		
20	CERTIF	FICATE OF REPORTER
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		——LETA P. WOOLARD, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER ———————————————————————————————————

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	* * * * *
3	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Call to order this May 3rd
4	meeting of the Escambia County Contractors
5	Competency Board. You will see the board rules up
6	on the screen. If you wish to speak, please let
7	the secretary know in advance. When you're called
8	to speak, give your name and address, keep your
9	comments brief and factual and keep your comments
10	to three to five minutes. Do we have a quorum?
11	MS. HAMPTON: Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman, we do.
12	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Do we have proof of
13	publication?
14	MS. HAMPTON: Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman. It
15	was published on April 29th in the Pensacola News
16	Journal.
17	ACTING CHAIRMAN: All right. Do we have the
18	minutes were sent to the members dated April 5th,
19	2017? Any changes or recommendations to those
20	minutes?
21	(No response.)
22	ACTING CHAIRMAN: If none, can I get a
23	motion?
24	MR. SCHWARTZ: So moved.
25	MR. BATCHELOR: Second.

MS. HAMPTON: We have a first and a second. 1 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Any comments? If no 2 comments, can I get a vote? All in favor? 3 (Board members collectively say aye.) 4 5 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Any opposed? (None.) 6 7 ACTING CHAIRMAN: All right. That passes. 8 We are going to go to public forum. And we'll let 9 people come and address the board for any The comments need to not be related to 10 comments. anything on the agenda for today and limit them to 11 three minutes. Does anybody wish to make 12 1.3 comments? 14 (No response.) 15 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Seeing none, board secretary status report, please. 16 MS. HAMPTON: Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman. 17 6A is in regards to Triple A Construction, 18 19 Contractor Competency Board Case Number 20 COM170400021. It's in regards to Lucille Newell, homeowner/complainant at 8675 Bowman Avenue, 2.1 22 Pensacola. I'm going to turn it over to Deb. 23 24 complaint came in the mail, and Deb is going to 25 speak to what actually happened with that case.

1	MS. ASPLUND: Good morning, gentlemen.
2	So this came in the mail and it was very
3	little information about it. I have not been able
4	to track this lady and discuss it with her. I
5	think they were out in Foley, Alabama, so I wrote
6	two letters to the complainant asking her to
7	phone.
8	I was not able to find a phone number for
9	her. And after about six weeks and two letters, I
10	never got any response, so we are going to ask
11	that the case just be closed for insufficient
12	information.
13	ACTING CHAIRMAN: I will entertain a motion.
14	MR. MENEZES: Motion so moved.
15	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Motion moved. Any second?
16	MR. BATCHELOR: Second.
17	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Any discussion?
18	(No response.)
19	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, all in
20	favor?
21	(The Board members collectively say aye.)
22	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Opposed?
23	(None.)
24	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Motion carries. All
25	right.

MS. HAMPTON: Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman Item 6B 1 has to do with our discipline hearings that we set 2 for this month at the last month's hearing. 3 4 are requesting to postpone those hearings until 5 June 7th of this year. We need more time to get prepared for those hearings between legal and 6 7 staff. We had some more questions that need to be 8 answered. 9 MR. MAGEE: Motion the same. ACTING CHAIRMAN: Second? 10 MR. MENEZES: Second. 11 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Any discussion? 12 1.3 (No response.) 14 ACTING CHAIRMAN: All in favor, say aye. 15 (Board members collectively say aye.) ACTING CHAIRMAN: Any opposed? 16 17 (None.) ACTING CHAIRMAN: Motion carries. 18 19 MS. HAMPTON: All right. I have two more 20 things for you, Mr. Chairman. An advertisement will be going out this week for four positions on 2.1 the Contractor Competency Board that will be up 22 for renewal. Those seeking reappointment to the 23 24 board will need to get their updated resumes to me 25 as soon as possible; that way we can get them

before the Board of County Commissioners. 1 The four positions that are up for renewal 2 or to have a new member are Mr. Matthews, 3 4 Chairman, as a lay person, Eric Pickett, lay 5 person, Brian Bell, lay person, and Erwin Waters, general contractor. 6 7 If you have any other questions, shoot me an 8 e-mail, let me know. I would assume that all 9 y'all would seek reappointment, so just make sure y'all get me that resume. Okay? 10 And then I have also a request, Mr. 11 Chairman, that we move Item 9B up to above Item 7A 12 1.3 and B. We have an inspector that's present and he needs to get out in the field and do some 14 15 inspections. We have two other inspectors that are out today, so his workload is extensive to 16 where we would need to move that item higher on 17 18 the agenda. 19 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Okay. So we want to do that item now? 20 MS. HAMPTON: Yes. sir. 2.1 22 ACTING CHAIRMAN: We don't need a motion to 23 move it? 24 MS. HAMPTON: Do not need a motion? Okav. 25 ACTING CHAIRMAN: No.

2.1

MS. HAMPTON: So, Mr. Chairman, item 9B, it's a probable cause hearing having to do with Robert Davis, doing business as D.C. Homes, Pensacola. State Certified License Number CGC1524324, Contractor Competency Board case Number COM170200013. It's in regards to Douglas Mehle, complainant, at 8719 Foxtail Loop, Pensacola.

The Mehles are present. We also have Mr.

Davis is present. We also have Jim Horan, an inspector that inspected the project. We also have a written statement from Eddie Williams, the other inspector that was on the project and did the final inspection, and our building official is here to read that statement for you as well. So all parties are present for this particular hearing. They're not parties. Sorry. All people are present.

ACTING CHAIRMAN: All right. Who would like to start this discussion?

MS. HAMPTON: I think it would be best to start off with Deb. Yes. Normally, at probable cause hearings, we give you code provision, we establish code provisions that we've discovered. Unfortunately, this is a State certified case; we

do not have any kind of action that we can take except for recommendation to suspend his permitting privileges to the building official, and we couldn't determine any code provisions for y'all to take a look at.

During this probable cause hearing, if y'all would like to determine code provisions, you have in front of you the statutes as well as our county ordinance for disciplinary hearings in front of you.

ACTING CHAIRMAN: Okay.

MS. ASPLUND: Good morning. You gentlemen have heard this case before. This is between contractor Robert Davis, doing business as D.C. Homes, and the homeowners Douglas and Laura Mehle.

And their differences of opinion, some of the situation has to do with interior flooring, which our board and the Florida statute doesn't deal with. The parts that are in question are about some of the brick work and whether or not there was flashing there as determined by code.

In this case, there is still \$97,000 owed by the homeowner to the builder, and some of the payments that didn't happen at the end had to be in regards to those money differences between

them.

1.3

The builder did stay with the job until the CO was issued in December of 2015 and then the parties from that point can talk about their differences, if any.

Does anyone have questions?

ACTING CHAIRMAN: So we're not looking at any code violations?

MS. ASPLUND: We were not really able to put together a code violation on there, but we did want to discuss about the brick work inspection, a weep hole issue that seemed to be the main thing that we could get our teeth into.

The other things about grading and things like that, some of that was done after the fact, because the builder made the effort just to get the CO and wasn't real concerned about all of the finer details that you usually get when you're finishing a home.

The money dispute continues, so that's probably where some of the interior flooring issues and things like that could be addressed in those amounts.

MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: Deb, it might be helpful if you summarize and give some bullet points about

what the issues are, so the board has just a 1 roadmap of how we got to where we are today. 2 MS. ASPLUND: Okay. 3 MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: Would that be helpful? 4 5 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Just to refresh. MS. ASPLUND: My bullet points were laying 6 7 at the top of my file and now I don't know where 8 they are, so I'll go off the top of my head here. 9 There were interior floor issues about the tile not matching and not being fully level, so 10 there was a little bit of a trip hazard. 11 was an issue about water and grading, that their 12 1.3 time frame was 25 percent longer than expected, 14 although both people can talk about that. 15 The slab penetration, not every one was in place before the concrete was poured and there was 16 an after-the-fact installation of some drains. 17 And uneven flooring and the lack of flashing 18 19 and weep holes -- this is Mr. Mehle's verbiage --20 broken window sills, incorrect grading at site causing drainage issues and exterior electrical 2.1 penetration not sealed, some electrical repairs 22 required and a lack of a promised buyer's guide. 23 24 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 25 MS. ASPLUND: Thank you.

MS. HAMPTON: Mr. Chairman, if we could go 1 ahead and hear from the inspector, that way he can 2 get back to his field work. 3 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Please. 4 5 MS. HAMPTON: State your name and address and you have to be sworn in, too. 6 7 MR. HORAN: Jim Horan. 8 (Jim Horan was duly sworn.) 9 MS. HAMPTON: Jim, you did the inspections on 8719 Foxtail Loop? 10 MR. HORAN: I did. 11 MS. HAMPTON: You did it all the way up 12 until the final inspection, correct? 1.3 14 MR. HORAN: No, not to the final. MS. HAMPTON: Up until the final? 15 MR. HORAN: Yes. I done all the inspections 16 except for the final. 17 MS. HAMPTON: Can you tell us about flashing 18 19 and weep holes, because that seems to be one of the major problems? 20 MR. HORAN: The flashing would be when they 2.1 actually call for the sheathing on a wind load, 22 which is a barrier that goes over the sheathing 23 and it builds a moister barrier. The flashing 24 25 actually goes and turns into that brick as a

barrier with weep holes. And the flashing was 1 there during the wind load inspection. 2 3 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Okay. So from your point, there's no deviation from code as far as the 4 5 flashing goes? MR. HORAN: No, sir. 6 7 MR. MAGEE: Is the black plastic that pulls 8 up? 9 MR. HORAN: A lot of these contractors are using Raydon that actually turns and goes below 10 the weep hole and it's basically a moisture escape 11 or a space for air space, and it was there during 12 the inspection. 1.3 14 So, Jim, it did pass inspection at that time? 15 MR. HORAN: It did pass inspection at that 16 time. 17 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Any time during your 18 19 inspection were there any deficiencies or code violations noted that weren't corrected? 20 MR. HORAN: No, sir, not that I can 2.1 22 recollect. ACTING CHAIRMAN: Any questions? 23 MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: Would a deviation be 24 25 reported in any kind of written document? You

1	said you couldn't recollect a deviation. Can you
2	state for the board whether or not there was a
3	deviation?
4	MR. HORAN: I feel sure there wasn't,
5	because if you basically, when we log in the
6	computer, if there are any deviations, we log it
7	in the computer under that date under who the
8	inspector was, and I feel sure there was no
9	deviations.
10	MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: Would it have passed
11	your inspection had there been a deviation?
12	MR. HORAN: No. There would have been a
13	failure and, basically, a correction notice left
14	to fix the problem.
15	MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: Thank you.
16	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Any further questions from
17	the board?
18	(No response.)
19	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, thank you,
20	sir.
21	MR. HORAN: Thank you.
22	MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: Does the board have
23	anymore questions for Mr. Horan?
24	ACTING CHAIRMAN: None at the present time.
25	MS. HAMPTON: Mr. Chairman, if you would

1 like to hear a written statement by the inspector that did the final inspection on the home 2 resulting in the CO, Mr. Tim Tolbert will read 3 4 that for you. 5 MR. TOLBERT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. could read it verbatim if you would like me to, 6 7 but it basically says the special inspection was 8 done as requested by a contractor and --9 ACTING CHAIRMAN: If you would, please read it for the record. 10 MR. TOLBERT: Sure. 11 In regards to the above address, the only inspection that I performed was 12 the final building inspection. During the final 1.3 14 inspection, no flashing would be able to be seen 15 due to the fact the brick was already in place. Prior to the inspection, the contractor, 16 Robert Davis, contacted me for a courtesy 17 inspection at the location on the flashing around 18 19 the large picture window on the rear of the home. 20 The homeowner had expressed concerns that there was no brick flashing under the window at 2.1 the foundation level to the contractor which 22 23 resulted in a request for my inspection. 24 When I arrived on-site, the contractor had 25 removed the brick under the window where the

1	flashing could be seen. The flashing was in place
2	during my courtesy inspection. Thank you. Eddie
3	Williams.
4	MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: What's the role of Mr.
5	Williams? What's his capacity?
6	MR. TOLBERT: He is a combination inspector.
7	He can do the plumbing, mechanical, electrical,
8	building, and he did the final inspection.
9	MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: And he's employed by the
10	County?
11	MR. TOLBERT: Yes.
12	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Anyone else
13	here to speak?
14	MS. HAMPTON: Mr. Chairman, I would assume
15	you would want to hear from the contractor.
16	Mr. Davis, if you could state your name and
17	address for the record and be sworn in, please.
18	MR. DAVIS: Yes, ma'am. Robert Davis,
19	Pensacola, Florida.
20	(Robert Davis was duly sworn.)
21	MR. DAVIS: Basically, what I'd like to say
22	on my side of it is we had the inspection. We
23	actually had multiple inspections on this house.
24	Obviously, it was a long wait that I don't want to
25	waste you guys' time with it.

There's a lot that has to do with this; there's \$100,000 still owed to me. So there are some things at the end of the bill that were not normal, we're the first ones to say that, so a lot of this I'm hearing for the first time on the grading stuff.

I don't really have anything on that other than we graded it, we have site inspections done by everybody and everything passed inspection.

And at the time I know we had a different building official in office that was very aware of everything and did multiple inspections on this house for this reason.

We've had attorneys involved on both parties well before we had a CO, so I do know that some of it as far as the dates, it took 750 days, according to the complaint. That's indulged a little bit, even if you look at the CO, from the time we pulled a permit to CO; however, with that being said, obviously, some of it we were delaying because we were trying to figure out on the lien side how I'm going to come up with our money on the contractor's end of it.

As far as the weep holes and the flashing, I've got, obviously, the inspector saw the

flashing, too, which that's not something we -- we obviously, had a separate inspection done. I've also got receipts showing an address delivered of six mill poly, which is what we use on every house that we build.

As far as a time, we pulled quite a few permits. That was not the only house we built. We build numerous houses at the same time that we didn't have issues with that went on. I hope our record shows that as many permits as we pull.

To date, this is our first and only thing like this we've been in, so this is a new learning process for us, too.

MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: Mr. Davis, you mentioned that there is an assertion that the construction took approximately 750 days. Are you aware of any provision in the contract that you had with the owners that would have impacted that many days for construction? In other words, were you in violation of the contract?

MR. DAVIS: Well, the contract, actually, I believe both of us, we both have the right to opt out as a builder and as a homeowner. So the contract, yes, after it goes past, I think it was 365 days then either party actually has an option

3

2

4 5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

1.3 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

to opt out at that point.

As far as to the date, as far as the length of time it took, one thing that we have is right off the bat from the time of the contract that we signed, we waited six months to even start the project due to some money being moved around on the homeowner's side of it, which both parties were understanding, it wasn't a problem.

Again, I would assume that it also shows that if it took six months from the day we started the contract to the time we started the foundation work, obviously, if we were both unhappy, I would assume something would have come up pretty quickly There was never an issue there.

But the biggest problem we've had, and actually, I have two subs here that were involved in the job also on our behalf, it's just a very difficult homeowner. There's a lot of things that we waited on, a lot of things that -- I'm not saying we're perfect.

I'm not saying we didn't make mistakes, but a lot of the stuff -- I have e-mails and things waiting on parts, waiting on stuff from China, waiting on different items and it fell back on us as the problem when we couldn't make it work.

But as far as the date goes, at no point did we ever leave the job site more than 90 days, so we never violated code. We worked on it. Like I said, the only delay was at the end when we were frankly trying to figure out the legal option.

MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: I know that one of the issues the board would be addressing is whether there's a breach of contract. And there is a provision -- I don't know if you have a copy of the contract or not. There's a provision in your contract that addresses the length of time for construction.

Is it proper to read that paragraph into the record for your edification so you can determine whether there's a breach of contract?

ACTING CHAIRMAN: Please.

MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: It's subparagraph 5B, completion of residence. Seller estimates -- seller being the contractor. Seller estimates completion to be within 180 days of the commencement date.

Completion times vary and are subject to delays caused by labor shortages, material shortages, transportation delays, moratoriums, weather conditions, war, aggression, construction

strikes, acts of God, or any other condition or 1 occurrence beyond the seller's control. 2 In the event construction completion is 3 4 delayed beyond 15 months of commencement date, 5 then either party may cancel this agreement, whereupon the deposit shall be returned to the 6 7 buyers and all rights hereunder shall terminate. 8 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Can you give me the date 9 of the contract just to make sure I have the right date? 10 MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: Yes, sir. 11 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Because I'm looking on the 12 affidavit, it says it was dated 3/9/16? 1.3 14 MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: July 19, 2013. We can 15 run y'all copies of this contract right now if you would like. 16 ACTING CHAIRMAN: I was just looking at the 17 affidavit. I thought I had the right one, but it 18 19 may not be. MR. DAVIS: And that also, I know that's 20 going to be a date that they're saying as far as 2.1 start date. If you'll notice, we didn't even pull 22 a permit until December. So, I mean, we had a 23 24 six-month delay that we were both aware of to 25 start off. There was a lot of time in-between

there and there.

ACTING CHAIRMAN: But the contract doesn't specify, if I'm hearing your correctly, that it's the date you started?

MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: From the commencement.

ACTING CHAIRMAN: Not the date of the execution?

MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: Commencement date is defined -- there's a list of requirements that have to be completed prior to, quote, commencement. It says the date when the last of these requirements is completed shall be referred to herein as the, quote, commencement date, and the list of prerequisites is the deposit, any additional deposits have been paid to seller, the homebuyer selection sheet, written evidence of the mortgage commitment, items similar to that.

ACTING CHAIRMAN: Okay. Any questions?

MR. SCHWARTZ: In a very short summary, what is your side of what is it that brought this disagreement on? Because what we heard was some flashing issues, and apparently, that was not the case, from what the County said. What other, because you said there's legal issues, there is a lot of money outstanding. It's got to be more

3

2

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

1.3 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

than that, in my opinion.

MR. DAVIS: Yes, sir. I mean, a lot of it, obviously, is outside the code stuff, which I know is not before y'all. But a lot of it is, honestly, money. The house was a very tight budget house. Like I said, everybody knows what the cost of building is, it's a 4,500 square foot house that I sold for \$550,000. There's not a lot of margin in that. There's not a lot of room in that.

So we spent almost a year getting to the point of signing a contract with them. We knew it was a tight budget house. The homeowners come to me and said, we don't want plastic stuff, we are just not wanting the -- we don't want 180 worth of house, we are looking for a house.

Well, lesson learned on my end of it is I tried to work with it to make it happen. But once we got into the build, then they wanted more and more and more, but then whenever we'd do it -now, obviously, their side of it is that for the legal side is that we never told them any of this, that we just completely just, the way we go with attorneys right now, we just completely just threw them a bombshell that they were over budget.

I've got stuff. Obviously, I've got stacks of paperwork this thick to attorneys that defend our side and they probably got the same for them. Like I said, that's getting into a whole other issue.

But to be honest with you, my opinion -well, personally, what I feel like and I have no
backing of is that we're just delaying trying to
figure out how to get money. Right now this is
holding up our attorneys trying to figure out
arbitration, because they're going to every avenue
they can to make me look like a bad contractor.
That's my opinion.

MS. HAMPTON: Just a reminder to the board, Mr. Davis is State certified. The only action you could take is to give a recommendation to the building official to suspend his permitting privileges.

In the past and every State certified contractor that has come before the board, you have to consider -- y'all have to consider those acts to be egregious enough to suspend those privileges.

We do have the homeowners here.

ACTING CHAIRMAN: Yes. I would like to

hear, as a courtesy to the people that are here to 1 speak their side of it, and we'll move on from 2 3 there. I'm good unless anyone has any questions. MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: And also as a reminder, 4 5 in order to recommend to the building official to terminate the permitting privileges of the 6 7 contractor, we do have to have a code violation. 8 So the board has to find a code violation that's 9 occurred. ACTING CHAIRMAN: Yeah. If we can hear from 10 the complainant and then we can come back to what 11 our board limitations are. Because we understand 12 1.3 that there are certain things we are governed to 14 do and some may not fall under our purview. 15 MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: Exactly. MS. HAMPTON: Mr. Mehle, if you could come 16 forward and state your name and address for the 17 board and be sworn in. 18 19 MR. MEHLE: Douglas Mehle, 8719 Foxtail Loop, Pensacola, Florida. 20 (Mr. Mehle was duly sworn.) 2.1 22 MR. MEHLE: Let me go out of order, since 23 the weep hole issue is fresh in your mind. 24 building experience has to do with maybe building 25 some bunkers along a DMT in Vietnam, we didn't

have weep holes there. So I'm very limited on my knowledge on that, but I have tried to read into some of the regulations on that, had other people look at it.

The weep holes I didn't come up with. When I dealt with supervisor Sewell (phonetic), who was his construction supervisor on the site, he said pull the bottom two rows of the stonework along this arch window and lay them on the ground. And he said because there's no flashing there. I got to get flashing in there. Fine.

My concern was they sat on the ground for over six weeks. So I wrote a letter to the director here and asked him about it. He called me and says, well, I'm sure they have weep -- because I was concerned about the water damage to the house -- and he says, I'm sure they have weep holes, I don't really know what that is, so he sends the inspector out.

The inspector must have done something, because Davis came along and chipped through the brick and through some of the mortar and made some weep holes.

Now, on the weep holes, I went out and checked. Some of the weep holes are below the

foundation of the house, up to 47 inches below the foundation, I mean the floor level of the house if that's the same term.

They are, as I understand it, the flashing goes on the floor of the house and then there's a brick level and then there's a weep hole. There is no weep holes there. I went around the total house, maybe there's a possibility of ten possible weep holes in that area.

Now, I'm not an expert. I've been trying to get somebody else to come out and take a look at it. My concern, when I'm reading about all this, is that there's a possibility of long-term damage to the house because it can't breathe and it could retain water. The weep holes you would normally leave out.

I had a builder come out to my house and he went over that, stressed the possible damage on that.

I think it's important for you all to see a time line, and I followed the advice of the people here. I have filed a State complaint, a detailed State complaint and they have the complaint.

The contract was signed July 19th as they stated. I gave Davis, Mr. Davis, a check for

\$25,000 at that time. I gave it to his wife. She is the vice president of the company, as I understand it. And she says, oh, good, we'll be able to use this money to pull all the permits and everything, give them a head start, basically. So I wanted to clarify that point.

With respect to the money that's owed on this thing, the actual amount is somewhere around \$92,000 that's being held in escrow. Actually, we're owed all that amount. In fact, a lot more than that, because we were never reimbursed for our purchase for appliances, the toilets to fans to marble. We supplied all of this. Davis' typical comments to us was, you go ahead and buy that because I want to make sure you're getting what you want, and then I'll reimburse you later.

For example, on appliances, it's written in the contract \$18,300. We've never gotten that money back. Those appliances were ordered in April of 2014 through Lowe's. They had to stay in Lowe's warehouse for 13 months. Lowe's kept on my back, they've got to get these things out of here. I said, the builder is not ready for them.

Finally, in May 2015, the appliances were delivered, and remained in the garage for over a

month before they were put in the house. So the delay is not on our side. We are trying to get stuff in there.

Let me back up one minute. Davis, remember when he says \$570,000 house? We own the lots for that house. So the land is not included in there. We walked in there the first day showing him hand-carved Chinese marble mantles that we're bringing into this house, and a bunch of other stuff. We wanted a high level house. And so he understood that from the beginning.

On July 3rd, 2015, Davis locked us out of the house for 124 days. Now, this was started with my attorney sending his attorney a message saying that our son was getting ready to be deployed to Okinawa and needed to finish up the sound work and the security camera work that he was working on that was in the contract. He was allowed to be there.

So Davis on that Friday, gave us a letter saying you're locked out of the house, you can't come in that house. You can only be in there Monday through Friday -- or he can only be in there Monday through Friday and work between eight and five o'clock.

\_ \_

This guy is leaving the country that following Wednesday. The intention was for him to work in there that whole weekend. So all the sound system and all of the rest of the security cameras still aren't done.

On September the 8th, we did a walk-through with Tommy Weekley. He is a retired builder in this area. The punch list at that time was about 30 percent done. David said before in some messages to his attorney everything had been done. And the marble floors were just a flat disaster.

There were additional items by Davis, by Weekley and us. Davis marked them stuff on the ceiling, leaving blue tape all over the place for stuff to be corrected.

Weekley asked Davis, when are you going to be done with all these things we're bringing up now? Davis told him seven to ten days. Mr. Weekley wanted to come here today and I said, no, I don't think it's necessary today. If we go to the next step, then I will bring you in. But we do have a statement from him, a notarized statement about this particular walk-through.

But Davis told him it would be completed, all of the punch list items, in seven to ten days.

2

3

4 5

6

8

7

9 10

11

12

1.3 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

So basically by September 20th.

The house finally closed on November 5th after two years, three months and 18 days, 840 days total. The punch list was less than half The house and the grounds were trashed. mean trashed. We've got pictures of that. There was trash inside the house. There was trash in the garage, there was trash outside. The plants around the house were dead. We've got documentation with pictures that were video on that.

At closing, we faced the possibility of no insurance on this house. Reason being, the builders' risk insurance had started late, it continued on, the insurance company kept on saying, what's the problem? What's the problem? What's the problem? So every time they extended it for me, they would double the amount that I had to pay.

We also faced the possibility in talking to the other side of the insurance, the homeowners insurance that you face the possibility of not having any homeowners insurance at all, because if we see the builder there after this 840-day period or after the builders risk insurance died, your

insurance is voided.

Builders risk says, we're not giving you anymore insurance. We were within two weeks of not having any insurance on the house at all.

There wasn't any insurance on the house for probably, I don't know, a year-and-a-half, because on our contract it says, builders risk not applicable for us. So we assumed the builder had it.

This is a document that we signed with Harvesters Credit Union. Once we find out that we had to have it, we got it right away, we paid for it.

ACTING CHAIRMAN: So you have insurance on the house?

MR. MEHLE: Now I have homeowners insurance, yeah. But there was a period there when I thought I wasn't going to get any insurance at all because the homeowners insurance, they said, if we see the builder in there while our insurance that's in effect, that's a no-no, because you should still be under your builders risk insurance, not homeowners insurance. So we were really scared at that time.

We were also facing at that time problems

with Harvesters because they were concerned this 1 loan had gone so long and they were working with 2 us trying to put pressure on Davis to finish the 3 4 house. 5 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Was Mr. Weekley Harvester's inspector? 6 MR. MEHLE: No. No. 7 No. Mr. Weekley is a retired builder. 8 9 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Right. Did Harvesters 10 have an inspector? MR. MEHLE: Yes, they did. And they would 11 report stuff like the brick work is done. 12 kind of like one of these almost drive-by things, 1.3 14 because we'd call them and say, hey, the brick work isn't done, and yet he paid him that 15 particular allotment. We had no say-so on 16 allotments. We didn't sign off anything. 17 was simply between Harvesters and Davis. 18 19 I know that's wrong, but we didn't know that 20 until later. Harvesters, the way they handled it, says, we're doing a lot of retraining. So I don't 2.1 22 know if they let some people go. This is just 23 kind of the term that they used. 24 I agree with Mr. Davis 100 percent with 25 respect to inspectors. We had some inspectors

come out there. We were really nervous about the condition of this house. One of them was architect Bennett Shuman, who on May of 2015 after four hours on the site, made this comment to us, and we've got the message, builder is oblivious. He does not appear to be in control of the project.

ACTING CHAIRMAN: Let me interrupt. For the sake of time, we are supposed to limit these to a little bit shorter. Can we get to what is the --

MR. MEHLE: Yes, sir.

ACTING CHAIRMAN: Can we have -- like I said, of course, we are limited, we can't do a lot of things.

MR. MEHLE: Let me bring up the egregious thing that I think you can live with. I'm almost 75 years old, my wife is 68. I spent 30 years in the regular Army, I'm 90 percent disabled, soon to be 100 percent disabled, my wife is disabled. We are facing walkers or wheelchairs, that's why that house was made to have wide hallways, wide doorways. Okay?

On the plans, it calls for a drop of four inches between the house level and the garage level. I would rather had --

1 ACTING CHAIRMAN: I'm trying to figure out what was the violation of a code? What was 2 anything like that? 3 MR. MEHLE: Okay. I think the violation of 4 5 the code was he didn't follow the plans. Instead of a four inch drop where I could get a wheelchair 6 7 up and down, it's a 16 inches drop. The reason 8 it's 16 inches drop in my estimation is because he 9 wanted to save some dirt. 10 The walls in the garage are prepared up, I guess, 18 inches high with concrete block and has 11 cement. So it was prepared for dirt to be put in 12 there and the garage level raised up. 1.3 14 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Okay. On your complaint 15 form, it says here, have you filed legal action, and you say, not at this time. 16 MR. MEHLE: I'm sorry. I didn't hear you. 17 ACTING CHAIRMAN: The complaint form, I was 18 19 just looking at it to try to drill down where we 20 are, and it says, have you filed legal action against the contractor? And the complainants 2.1 marked, not at this time. Do you have legal 22 23 action against the contractor? 24 MR. MEHLE: No, not at this time. We had 25 legal action started -- not legal action, we had

1 an attorney brought in, I think it was, around He worked with us until November the 5th, 2 June. just to try and get the house to closing. We 3 spent \$11,000 on that. 4 5 Since then, we are up to a total of \$25,000 on attorneys fees. 6 7 ACTING CHAIRMAN: So there is no legal 8 action? You don't have -- you're not engaged with 9 an attorney? MR. MEHLE: Yes, we still have it, yeah, 10 with Bruce Partington. 11 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: There hasn't been a 12 civil suit filed. 1.3 14 MR. MEHLE: Yes. Davis talked about us 15 trying to put in a cheap house; that was never the case. Like I said, we brought in these Chinese 16 marble mantels. We ordered them. We showed it to 17 We had him talk directly with the U.S. 18 19 office because we wanted to make sure the 20 measurements were right, because the mantle piece was a concern, what's the sizes of the firebox and 2.1 22 that so they could carve them up right. We had Davis talk directly to them, and then 23 24 the mantles arrived on the east coast, Davis tells 25 me, I'm not ready for them, have them stay there.

So we had them stay there for several months, then 1 Davis says, okay, bring them in. We brought them 2 in, and they laid on the living room floor for a 3 And I was really concerned about that 4 5 because Davis would leave the house open overnight. 6 7 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Okay. I'm still trying to 8 go back down to what is the purview of the 9 committee, and the complaint says violation of a 10 contract. MR. MEHLE: Yes. 11 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Which is not under the 12 purview of the committee? 1.3 14 MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: I believe I may have misspoken there. It's the contractor -- it's a 15 violation if the contractor doesn't build 16 according to the plans and specifications. 17 not a contract issue that you-all can address, and 18 19 my apologies, I did misspeak. MS. HAMPTON: And I think Deb would like to 20 2.1 speak as to the plans in regards to the step and 22 the garage and all that. She has the plans here and she kind of made motion that she would like to 23 24 talk to y'all about that as well. 25 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Okay. Let's -- if you

have anything specific without -- descriptive that 1 I need us to look at? 2 3 MR. MEHLE: Yes. ACTING CHAIRMAN: Okay. 4 5 MR. MEHLE: The other thing that we were guaranteed in the contract and in his 6 7 advertisement, we would get a 210 homebuyers 8 warranty and a one-year workmanship warranty. 9 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Again, that's not... MR. MEHLE: That's not? 10 ACTING CHAIRMAN: It's not our purview. I'm 11 not trying to be rude, we have a time issue. 12 MR. MEHLE: All right. Can I point out 1.3 14 extra costs we were involved in, because I think that's part of the code violation? 15 MS. HAMPTON: Extra costs fall under, that 16 is a civil matter, unfortunately. And only would 17 that come into play if you were to find another 18 19 violation, you could put as restitution kind of 20 thing. 2.1 MR. MEHLE: Okay. 22 ACTING CHAIRMAN: I appreciate it. MR. MEHLE: Okay. Basically, we spent 23 24 \$135,000 just trying to get this house closed, and we got \$200,000 worth of damage on this place. 25

1 The egregious thing is the handicapped 2 access. MS. HAMPTON: And Deb would like to speak. 3 4 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Let me have the expert 5 speak to that. MR. MEHLE: Thank you very much. 6 7 MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: And for clarification. 8 the egregious word or term is a finding that the particular code violation that you all may or may 9 not find is egregious, not that there might be 10 some independent egregious action. 11 ACTING CHAIRMAN: And again, it's a state 12 contractor, so we are limited in finding it. And 1.3 14 egregious would be defined by the board? MS. HAMPTON: Yes. 15 ACTING CHAIRMAN: I would assume that is the 16 terminology. 17 I would like to say to the MS. ASPLUND: 18 board that you may not know that for 25 years I 19 20 was in private practice as a national certified building designer, so I'm able to read these plans 2.1 22 with some degree of expertise. 23 There was no cross-section anywhere on these 24 plans. This is a very steep elevation dropped 25 property where it's high on the street and low in

the back, and there was no elevation drawing with this set that showed that. It just said, steps to grade, things like that. And it's a very generic plan with added engineering that's got details for the structural.

But there is nowhere where it discusses the amount of drop -- maybe that was a personal discussion -- but on the drawings themselves that were submitted to Escambia County Planning, it just shows that there is a difference in the elevation, which is standard for water migration and things like that. It doesn't state the amount.

And generally, you would do on a steep elevation lot, a cross-section that shows that you're going to have the elevation, the foundation at this height and the ground at this height, and then the back of the steps come to grade or going to come to a certain height or you're going to fill, and none of that stuff is anywhere on those drawings. That dispute about the 4 and 16 inches is not defined on the drawings.

ACTING CHAIRMAN: So what I'm getting, we have no code violations other than those that have been cited by...

1 MS. HAMPTON: It was built to plan. MS. ASPLUND: There's a step there that 2 meets code, the difference between one floor and 3 the other, there's a step there that meets code. 4 5 It's a very large garage. I mean, if it wanted to be adjusted, it wouldn't be very difficult to put 6 7 a platform and a small grade. There's room for 8 that in that space. 9 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Anymore questions or need 10 anymore information for the board? MS. HAMPTON: Do you have any further 11 questions for our inspector? Or hang on just a 12 moment. I think Mr. Horan would like to make a 1.3 14 statement, our inspector. 15 MR. HORAN: On this particular project, talking about the height of the foundation and the 16 level of the garage, this particular house as a 17 contractor was doing the foundation, which was 18 19 still within his boundaries, because you've got --20 Erwin can agree with this -- you've got different block levels for different footer types, which is 2.1 22 the engineering. The homeowners literally had this slab 23 24 raised up after the foundation was prepped and ready to be poured, because the contractor called 25

and canceled the inspection and rescheduled the 1 inspection because the homeowners wanted the house 2 up higher. 3 And the way this lot is, if you raise the 4 5 house up and you still want the garage to be up, the garage is going to -- you're going to haul 20 6 7 loads of dirt with a steep incline to get in the 8 This is not a code issue, but I do 9 remember that part of the project. Thank you. ACTING CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 10 MS. HAMPTON: This resulted in a garage 11 staying at a lower level than the raised 12 foundation of the home which resulted in a higher 1.3 14 change for that step. Mr. Chairman, do you have any further 15 questions? 16 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Do you want to step up 17 real quick? 18 19 MR. MEHLE: Just real quick. Throughout this entire build, no change orders. At the 20 beginning, we told them we wanted an upslope to 2.1 22 the house, and I'll tell you, putting a ramp in there is a little ridiculous for it. 23 ACTING CHAIRMAN: I understand. That's 24 25 not --

MR. MEHLE: But no change orders. And remember that the architect, supposedly, that he took us to, he paid for those plans and he paid for the architect, we found out later, it wasn't an architect, it was actually a home modeler.

ACTING CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you.

MR. MEHLE: Thank you.

MS. HAMPTON: Would you like to have -- do you have any further questions of Mr. Davis?

ACTING CHAIRMAN: I'm still not finding anything that comes under our purview, unless the board has any need for any further discussion or anybody wants to make a motion.

MR. SCHWARTZ: I'll make a motion then based on the information that we have received from the County, from the builder and from the homeowner, I have to concur with Acting Chairman that we don't have any grounds for further discussion on this or taking it to a show cause hearing, because we can't find really a code violation.

It seems to have met all the codes that the County had in place. While it's unfortunate that it's spiraled down to this situation, it seems to me that it's going to wind up being a civil matter to be handled by the attorneys in an appropriate

1 court of law. ACTING CHAIRMAN: And it's appropriate and 2 referred to the State. 3 MR. MAGEE: Second. 4 5 ACTING CHAIRMAN: So we have a second on the motion, I guess, determining not to move forward 6 7 to a discipline hearing. MS. HAMPTON: We need that stated for the 8 9 record. MR. SCHWARTZ: I see no way that we can 10 proceed to disciplinary action because we have 11 nothing to act on. I'm assuming they still have 12 the right to go directly to the State if they so 1.3 14 choose to. 15 MS. HAMPTON: Mr. Schwartz, it's my understanding that they've already filed a 16 complaint with the State and the State would have 17 the ability to do more than we could because we 18 19 are limited in our capacity. ACTING CHAIRMAN: I think we have a first 20 and a second on this? 2.1 22 MS. HAMPTON: We do. ACTING CHAIRMAN: Do we need any 23 24 clarification? Are we good there? Any discussion 25 on the motion?

1	I have one comment. From my understanding
2	from staff, there has been no other complaints for
3	Mr. Davis or his contracting?
4	MS. HAMPTON: As far as yesterday, we have
5	had no other complaints.
6	MS. ASPLUND: He had probably like 35
7	permits in the last two years, and no cases of any
8	kind were ever brought against him.
9	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Okay. Anymore discussion?
10	If not, I will take a motion I'll take a vote.
11	All those in favor?
12	(Board members collectively say aye.)
13	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Any opposed?
14	(None.)
15	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
16	MR. DAVIS: Thank you.
17	MS. HAMPTON: Mr. Chairman, if we could move
18	all the way back up to Item Seven, Contractor
19	Applications.
20	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Kevin Kubiak, application
21	for Master Plumber with Gas.
22	MS. HAMPTON: Yes, sir. Mr. Chairman, Mr.
23	Kubiak, he is present, his application was
24	reviewed by our exam committee and it is their
25	recommendation for approval. He is here if you

1	would like to hear from him.
2	Mr. Kubiak, if you could, come forward,
3	please.
4	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Will you state your name
5	and address, please?
6	MR. KUBIAK: Kevin Kubiak, Pensacola,
7	Florida.
8	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Okay. Got anything to say
9	to the board?
10	MR. KUBIAK: No, sir. I just came to see if
11	I could get a yes or no answer and get back to
12	work.
13	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Well, thank you. Do we
14	have a recommendation?
15	MS. HAMPTON: Their recommendation is
16	approval.
17	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Do we have a motion?
18	MR. MAGEE: Motion to approve.
19	MR. SCHWARTZ: Second.
20	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Any discussion?
21	(No response.)
22	ACTING CHAIRMAN: All in favor?
23	(Board members collectively say aye.)
24	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Any opposed?
25	(None.)

1	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Hearing none,
2	congratulations.
3	MR. KUBIAK: Thank you.
4	MS. HAMPTON: Mr. Kubiak, if you will take
5	this out to Debbie Rose, she'll get you set up for
6	your exam.
7	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Now I have Mr. Mark Casson
8	for General Contractor.
9	MS. HAMPTON: Yes, sir. Mr. Casson is
10	present. The exam review committee reviewed his
11	application this morning, and it is their
12	recommendation for approval.
13	Mr. Casson, if you will, please come forward
14	and state your name and address for the board.
15	MR. CASSON: My name is Mark Casson. I live
16	at Walnut Hill.
17	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Sorry for mispronouncing
18	your name.
19	MR. CASSON: No problem.
20	ACTING CHAIRMAN: I'll take a motion.
21	MR. MENEZES: Motion to approve.
22	MR. SCHWARTZ: Second.
23	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Any discussion?
24	(No response.)
25	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, all in

1	favor?
2	(Board members collectively say aye.)
3	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Congratulations.
4	MS. HAMPTON: If you will take that to
5	Debbie Rose, she'll get you set up for your exam.
6	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Any written communication?
7	MS. HAMPTON: Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman. If we
8	can just hang on just a moment. We are waiting on
9	Deb. She took a brief recess real quick. She's
10	not here.
11	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Is there anything else we
12	can move to?
13	MS. HAMPTON: All of the rest we have to
14	have Deb. Megan, if you could go see if you can
15	find her.
16	ACTING CHAIRMAN: We can take a five minute
17	recess.
18	(There was a brief recess.)
19	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Let's move to written
20	communications.
21	MS. HAMPTON: Item 8A, Eric Heseman, doing
22	business as Heseman Builders Group, Incorporated,
23	Certificate of Competency Number RC3018,
24	Contractors Competency Board Case Number
25	COM170300017. It's in regards to Jacque Lebeau,

homeowner/complainant at 1020 Palafox Street, Pensacola.

Ms. Deb Asplund is going to take it from there.

MS. ASPLUND: Good morning. So this is a written complaint, so I'll tell both sides as it was explained to me.

In general, Dr. Lebeau who is going to do a new house on a very complicated piece of property and he had the wife of contractor Eric Heseman, Michelle Heseman, who is listed as a business partner in Florida Division of Corporations. She agreed to do his drawings for \$40,000. And Dr. Lebeau paid \$4,000 of that.

And basically what was provided were four basic elevations for 3Ds made from those elevations in simplified floor plans. There was no site plan.

The property is rural, environmentally sensitive, severely restricted, requires soil log, elevations, wetland survey and setbacks, DEP, and Corps of Engineer approval, septic design and placement, and breakaway or limited wall placement and the concrete approval. None of that happened before these drawings got made.

And at some point in there, Dr. Lebeau became uncomfortable with it and he canceled the contract. And initially Mrs. Heseman agreed to return half of his money, 12,000 but contractor Eric Heseman said no way. So Dr. Lebeau filed a complaint here on March 20th.

Now, Mr. Heseman came and spoke to me and he said that Dr. Lebeau walked away only because he received a better price from a different designer. And he said partial enlarging of a home could go anywhere and his wife handled the project and received telephone okay from the County in regards to the mitigating factors, but he agreed that no site plan had been provided.

And he said that he spent \$6,000 and 200 hours into the project, and again is a registered building designer and I would question where the 200 hours went.

I have a copy of the drawings, they're very rudimentary. It was supposed to be 12,000 down to start the project, and then when the finished floor plans were provided, then he was to pay another 12,000.

So those floor plans were not really like detailed. They weren't -- they didn't have any

dimensions. Things like that would make it pretty 1 difficult to work from, but mainly, the property 2 is so sensitive, they can't just drop a house 3 4 anywhere. 5 So this is the contention of the homeowner and the builder says the architecture isn't 6 7 covered by the law, but all of the money was paid 8 to the Building Group, all of the contract was 9 written on the Heseman Building Group letterhead, so it was Eric Heseman's Building Group business 10 that was representing themselves as the architect/ 11 designer of this project. 12 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Any questions? 1.3 14 MR. SCHWARTZ: Use that in violation of 15 County. Where are we at on that, on the legal side? What's the problem? 16 MS. ASPLUND: I went to the County Attorney 17 beforehand to make sure that this was the kind of 18 19 case that we can bring before you, so she can 20 speak. 2.1 MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: Is the question, is 22 there a violation of the --MR. SCHWARTZ: Do we have a code violation 23 24 or something that this board can address? MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: I believe that we do. 25

One of the issues is the contracting under the 1 name of the Heseman Group, and then there is also 2 a Florida Administrative Code regulation that 3 addresses firms who seek to provide design/build, 4 5 services and the certification requirements for that design/build and there is an issue as to 6 7 whether Mrs. Heseman qualifies under this 8 regulation. 9 MR. SCHWARTZ: Thank you. ACTING CHAIRMAN: Anything else? 10 MS. ASPLUND: I don't have anything else to 11 12 say. ACTING CHAIRMAN: Anybody here have 1.3 14 anything? Deb, what is your 15 MS. HAMPTON: recommendation for this case? 16 MS. ASPLUND: So Dr. Lebeau is here today 17 just to listen, not to speak, because we've 18 19 decided in written complaints you can't have one without the other. 20 My recommendation is that we go ahead and 2.1 22 have the board hear it. We had a lengthy conversation, the county attorney, board secretary 23 24 and myself, about whether this fit in any kind of 25 violations, and I feel pretty confident that

1	there's some pretty that there's something with
2	truth in it here.
3	ACTING CHAIRMAN: So we have a
4	recommendation to this board from the staff. Can
5	I get any comments or any motions for the board?
6	MR. SCHWARTZ: I make a motion that we
7	follow staff directive.
8	MR. MAGEE: Second.
9	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Our recommendation to
10	follow the staff's recommendation to move it to
11	the next step.
12	Any discussion?
13	(No response.)
14	ACTING CHAIRMAN: All in favor?
15	(Board members collectively say aye.)
16	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Any opposed?
17	(None.)
18	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Being none, motion passes.
19	MS. HAMPTON: Mr. Chairman, Item 8B, My
20	Tran, doing business as M&H Construction Services,
21	LLC, Certificate of Competency License Number
22	GC2038, Contractor Competency Board Case Number
23	COM170300019.
24	It's in regards to Richard Brown,
25	homeowner/complainant at 4665 Anchor Lane,

3

2

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

1.3 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Pensacola. Deb?

MS. ASPLUND: Okay. So this is contractor My Tran. And this is a house that was in the tornado zone over there off Olive and Ninth Avenue or something like that.

So the house was subjected to extreme damage as a result of the tornado, was approved for an insurance payment of \$153,000 in repairs. A contract was signed on 3/8/2016 for an amount equal to that paid by insurance.

A permit was obtained by the contractor within a month, but the subsequent permits by subcontractors, there was a note of commencement filed and assurance that the project would be complete within three months.

At this point, the home is complete with the final inspection occurring in December of 2016. So that's about eight months.

The homeowner says that four payments were made by the mortgage company totaling \$118,000, that the project had a delayed start, that it sat the entire summer without any work being done and that in October of 2016, the homeowner discovered that the electrician, cabinetmaker and roofer had not been paid.

He said that Mr. Tran admitted to him that he did not have the money to finish the job without the final payment from this homeowner, which he received on 11/25/2015.

Mr. Tran provided the homeowner with a release of lien dated December 1st, listing four companies that had not been paid, but agreeing they would be paid in full within days of securing the final payment.

Two of the four lienors were paid as agreed; two have since filed recorded liens against the homeowners' house, Freeman Roofing and Coastal Container.

The homeowner says besides the incompetent delays, the garage door was not replaced, hurricane shutters were delayed until February of 2017. He said eventually Mr. Tran offered a \$5,000 refund for work that remained incomplete for more than the additional working days as noted in Item H, H on their agreement.

And Mr. Tran issued the homeowner a \$5,000 check to that effect. When he was notified of the two liens on his home in late January, 2017, Mr. Brown attempted to cash the check, there were insufficient funds verified by a letter from the

bank.

Now, Mr. Tran says that he acted honorably on behalf of Mr. Brown. He says that he provided numerous upgrades over and above the amount supplied by insurance at no additional costs at Mr. Brown's strong-willed insistence.

Mr. Tran did not have change orders of verification, but he intends to provide purchase invoices paired with insurance estimates. He says and also, the reason the project ran short of the funds, he's since paid the two lienors in full without knowing that this complaint action was underway.

The \$5,000 check was to insure that in the end result, his funds would be available to pay off the liens directly and he had not expected the homeowner to actually cash it at that time. He said it was not a reward for completion within a certain time frame. He said the check was issued on 12/1/2016, the final inspection was on 12/15/2016. So that was the 15 days that he discussed.

Mr. Tran says the insurance payments were made only in the name of the homeowner and the timing on the payments from the homeowner to him

were an issue throughout the entire thing, and insistent that he was behind schedule but did, in fact, finish the project in full, on time and with all debts being erased.

I checked with both Freeman and Coastal Container and those liens had been paid by about March 2017, about three months after the fact.

My opinion is on the reason for issuing and cashing the \$5,000 check, but the complainant basically says that Mr. Tran used his money to pay off debts from other jobs and that the delays were not legitimate. Mr. Tran says that he's an upright businessman.

ACTING CHAIRMAN: Okay. Was the check insufficient or was it a stop payment; do you know?

MS. ASPLUND: It was insufficient, it never got cashed. He tried several times to cash it and finally the bank wrote him a letter and said that there were insufficient funds for it to be cashed.

MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: Of note here for the board's consideration, and it's under 8D, but it does impact the determination under this item and that is an unsatisfied final summary judgment.

MS. ASPLUND: No, that's a different case.

1	MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: Oh, it is?
2	MS. ASPLUND: Yes.
3	MS. HAMPTON: We have two separate cases.
4	MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: It's a separate case,
5	but it is the same contractor, correct?
6	MS. HAMPTON: It is.
7	MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: It's a violation of the
8	code to have an outstanding final judgment that
9	hasn't been satisfied.
10	MS. ASPLUND: Would you like me to present
11	that one and then come back to this?
12	MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: We have two against the
13	same contractor. The issue is the way it impacts
14	this case is that regardless of what these facts
15	are, it's a violation of the county code for a
16	contractor to have an unsatisfied judgment
17	outstanding that was acquired through the
18	construction industry.
19	ACTING CHAIRMAN: And that's the D will
20	be that?
21	MS. HAMPTON: Yes, sir.
22	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Do you want to read that
23	complaint in?
24	MS. HAMPTON: If you would like, I can.
25	Item 8D, My Tran doing business as M&H

Construction Services, LLC, Certificate of 1 Competency License Number GC2038, Contractor 2 3 Competency Board Case Number COM170300024. It's in regards to Escambia County Building 4 5 Services Administrative complaint. Over three months ago, we received notice of a judgment filed 6 7 against My Tran. I've held the notice because I, 8 of course, know that it is a violation of code if 9 they do not in some way handle that judgment, so 10 90 days later, put it on the calendar, to find out if he had either mediated that judgment or 11 released that judgment in any way. Deb found out 12 that he had not, so we brought an administrative 1.3 14 complaint against Mr. Tran. ACTING CHAIRMAN: Is it related to the same 15 16 project? MS. HAMPTON: Not the same project, no. 17 MS. ASPLUND: This is Ferguson Enterprises 18 19 brought against M & H Construction, My Tran. believe they're construction. 20 MS. HAMPTON: Supply. 2.1 22 MS. ASPLUND: They're supply. So, yeah, he hasn't paid for the materials or whatever services 23 24 that he received. They're a big corporation and 25 it took me a bit to get to their corporate office

-LETA P. WOOLARD, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER -

into their legal department, but I in the end 1 found out that the judgment has not been paid and 2 that no arrangement for payment has been made in 3 the 90 days that this has been issued. 4 5 ACTING CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, I thought they were related to the same thing, let's go back to 6 7 В. 8 MS. HAMPTON: They're related in the sense 9 that the violation can be used. 10 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Right. Back to My Tran, Case 8B, the recommendation is? 11 MS. ASPLUND: Well. I think when there is 12 disputes like this, the best opportunity is for 1.3 14 each person to speak. To find if it should be dismissed after a probable cause, that's better. 15 There is enough stuff here to question. 16 It's not so cut and dry, when people speak 17 eloquently. 18 19 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Okay. Any recommendation from the board as to cases 8B? 20 2.1 MR. MENEZES: I recommend going to a show 22 cause hearing. ACTING CHAIRMAN: Can I have a second? 23 24 MR. SCHWARTZ: Second. 25 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Any discussion?

1	(No response.)
2	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, can we have
3	a vote to affirm?
4	(Board members collectively say aye.)
5	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Anybody opposed?
6	(None.)
7	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Motion passes.
8	Recommendation on 8D, My Tran?
9	MS. HAMPTON: Staff recommendation is that
10	it moves forward to probable cause.
11	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Staff recommends to move
12	to a probable cause hearing. Do we have a motion
13	from the board?
14	MR. SCHWARTZ: So moved.
15	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Second?
16	MR. MAGEE: Second.
17	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Any discussion?
18	(No response.)
19	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, can I get a
20	vote? All in favor?
21	(Board members collectively say aye.)
22	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Any opposed?
23	(None.)
24	ACTING CHAIRMAN: That one moves forward on
25	probable cause.

Are we on Item 8C, Ralph Rogers? 1 MS. HAMPTON: Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman. Item 2 8C, Ralph Rogers, doing business as Genesis Custom 3 Home Builders, Incorporated, Certificate of 4 5 Competency Number RC3009, Contractor Competency Board Case Number COM170400020. 6 7 It's in regards to Aimee Sluder, the 8 homeowner/complainant at 641 Nowak Road in Cantonment. Ms. Deb? 9 10 MS. ASPLUND: So Ms. Sluder provided practically a ream of paper, but I did have a 11 conversation with her where she gave her main 12 complaint. Uneven interior tile floors, uneven 1.3 14 brick color, both things that are not addressed by 15 the board, water pooling on the sidewalk area near the front of the home. 16 And to date they've paid another contractor 17 to replace and repair the sidewalk citing FFS-558, 18 19 which is emergency repairs. 20 They provided an accompanying sketch, which shows that the original grade gets lowered as they 2.1 move away from the structure. 22 23 The weep holes and flashing in the back, the 24 issue was brought up by a pest control company 25 that they weren't able to spray up in there, and

sewage odor. But currently, the plumber for the project is overseeing this and is working on that.

The contractor says that he tried to accommodate the family with a long list of repairs for eight months, close to and after the end of the job. He says he addressed a punch list before the final inspection and a certificate of occupancy was issued on 6/14/2016. He last visited the site in October of 2016.

Any issues with the sidewalk was not discussed with him until a bill for approximately \$2,500 was presented for reimbursement, and it was rebuilt by others, he never really had a chance to review it like that.

As to the claim of water migrating into the home from the sidewalk, Mr. Rogers states the front porch is built to grade. The interior floors are four inches above the side of the porch. The overall home sits 16 to 20 inches above grade, the remaining grade naturally sloped away making it possible for water to migrate inside.

As to weep holes, Escambia County inspection says brick ties and flashing are reviewed during the wind load inspection, which passed on January

25th, 2016.

Mr. Rogers says he has not seen the new grade created by the reworked sidewalk but that the weep holes and ventilation should not have been affected by the raised grade.

I talked to the site inspectors, the Escambia County Site inspectors about it.
Building inspector Jim Horan was the inspector.
He checked to see if the weep holes are installed.
They check to make sure that they are clear and further back. He said they can be cleaned out with a chisel and screwdriver if the grout has settled into the spaces.

Site inspector Ron Crutchfield says at times they have been instructed to review sidewalks, other times not. He did not know the status of that stipulation at the time of his inspection, but the sidewalk should be five feet wide, have a two percent cross slope maximum.

The final site inspection does review grade insuring there are at least two percent graded on the structure, and then impervious materials do not got past the property lines.

And the contractor between the parties state that, quote, any type of occupancy by the owner of

the project shall immediately be deemed as acceptance of all the conditions of this paragraph. That's in the contract between the parties.

So Mrs. Sluder has sent a lot of pictures, but the thing that was the most noticeable was she sent this video of the rain, and they have a lot of wood chips around the sidewalk and they have just like came to the middle up to the front of the sidewalk, and that was taken before they had that repaired.

I think the Florida statutes says that if she wants the contractor to pay for that, she has to give him notice in advance of that repair and show him the evidence that he says he never got. So a certified letter she sent him was dated after the date of bill for the sidewalk repair, and he's not in agreement to pay it.

So of the things, it's basically weep holes and water migration, or sidewalk elevation, which it's not clear at that time whether that was something the inspectors actually were required to check or not.

MS. HAMPTON: And for the weep holes, it did pass the inspection for that.

1	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Okay. So we have no code
2	violation?
3	MS. ASPLUND: We had a little trouble
4	finding one here, too. Yes. We really couldn't
5	find code violations. Neither of them go down
6	that probable cause, but when these are
7	questionable and I don't really know, we get
8	together and have a meeting again to see if
9	there's any, and we were really not able to find
10	anything; is that correct?
11	MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: Correct.
12	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Discussion,
13	recommendation?
14	MR. MAGEE: Motion to close.
15	MR. SCHWARTZ: Second.
16	ACTING CHAIRMAN: All in favor?
17	(Board members collectively say aye.)
18	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Any opposed?
19	(None.)
20	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, that was
21	dismissed.
22	MS. ASPLUND: Thank you.
23	ACTING CHAIRMAN: And now we move to
24	probable cause. We've got Timothy Cox.
25	MS. HAMPTON: Yes. Mr. Chairman, I would

–LETA P. WOOLARD, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER –

like to request at this time to move Item 9C above 1 Mr. Cox, not Item 9A, reason being, Deb. 2 MS. ASPLUND: The complainant has health 3 4 issues, allergy issues in the room. He's been in 5 the room for an hour now, hour and a half. MS. HAMPTON: Okay. So we'll go to Item 9B, 6 7 Bruce Pernicka, doing business as Leakmaster 8 Roofing, Certificate of Competency Number RR3003, 9 Contractor Competency Board Case Number COM170200014. 10 It's in regards to Wayne Prescott, 11 homeowner/complainant at 7505 Century Boulevard, 12 Century, Florida. At this time, I'm going to read 1.3 14 the Escambia County code provisions for consideration that we were able to supply the 15 board. 16 Item One, Section 1837 (d)(13), misconduct 17 or incompetency in the practice of contracting. 18 19 Item Two, Section 1837(d)(3), violating any 20 part of Florida Statutes Chapter 455. Item Three, Section 1878(a)(2), applicant 2.1 shall provide proof of compliance with the State 22 23 of Florida applicable workers compensation 24 requirements under Florida Statutes Chapter 440 as 25 amended and evidence of public liability and

proper damage insurance under Florida Statutes 1 Section 489.115, (5)(a). 2 Item Four, Section 1880(a)(1), failure to 3 renew certificates of competency during the month 4 5 of the expiration date shall cause such certificates to become invalid and it is unlawful 6 7 thereafter for any person to engage or offer to engage or hold himself or herself out as engaged 8 9 in contracting under the certificate unless such certificate is restored or reissued. 10 Item Five, Section 1837(c)(6), financial 11 mismanagement or misconduct in the practice of 12 contracting that causes financial harm to a 1.3 14 customer. 15 Item Six, Section 1837(d)(9)(c), contracting with a delinquent license. 16 Item Seven, Section 1837(c)(11), fraud, 17 deceit, gross negligence, incompetency or 18 19 misconduct in the practice of contracting. 20 Item 8, Section 1837(c)(12), violating any provision of Chapter 18, Article Two, construction 2.1 contractors. 22 23 Deb? 24 MS. ASPLUND: Okay. I'm going to give you a 25 brief opening. Both the complainant and the

contractor are here today. So the complainant is Mr. Wayne Prescott. He hired Mr. Pernicka to repair his leaky metal roof.

Mr. Pernicka had him order about \$5,000 worth of this elastomeric paint from a company in Fort Worth, Texas. And his claim is the manner in which is was installed didn't work, that it leaked worse the next day. There's a question about where all of the materials really went, did they really go onto the roof? And he can speak for himself as to that.

As to Mr. Pernicka, it shows that he didn't have any workers comp, that his license had been delinquent for about ten months at the time. He only had a few workers out there. We don't know anything about their status in terms of workers comp coverage.

It's true that the homeowner left one text message or a phone call to Mr. Pernicka, which was not responded to. So, in fact, Mr. Pernicka said he kind of remembers that something was said, but it was never really explained to him or no real complaint was really made to him about the inadequacies. Mr. Prescott told me he did not want him back on the job.

So I'll let those two speak for themselves. As to those counts. Jennifer and Bobbie can speak to why we picked about those workers comp licensing kind of things. His license was not valid at the time, and I don't know about his liability insurance, you'll have to ask him that particularly. I don't have any way to check on those.

ACTING CHAIRMAN: And those would be coming from staff?

MS. ASPLUND: Yes. If you can go through those, it was a little complicated.

ACTING CHAIRMAN: Which ones are we looking for directive on this?

MS. HAMPTON: Because Mr. Pernicka at the time had failed to renew his license, he was acting as a contractor with a delinquent license. Item four, Items four, six and eight, all kind of delve into that, and at the time, he did not have workers comp, so item three deals with that.

Liability, and it is my understanding he did have liability updated at that time. We do update those even if their license is delinquent, that way if they do come in to pull a permit and they fix their license, we already have that in our

1 system. ACTING CHAIRMAN: So what's the complaint 2 between the two parties? What would their matter 3 be addressing as far as those statutes? 4 5 MS. HAMPTON: Can you repeat that, please? ACTING CHAIRMAN: We have the complainants, 6 7 what of these violations are we looking at for 8 today, that case outside of... 9 MS. HAMPTON: The misconduct and financial 10 mismanagement, fraud, those items: One, two, five and seven would be more for the complainant. 11 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Okay. We'd like to hear 12 1.3 from the parties. 14 MS. HAMPTON: Mr. Pernicka, if you could 15 come up and state your name and address for the board and be sworn in. 16 MR. PERNICKA: Bruce Pernicka. Allentown 17 Community, Florida. 18 19 (Bruce Pernicka was duly sworn.) MR. PERNICKA: This is the first I've heard 20 about the roof leaking. I did send in my renewal 2.1 for my Escambia County Competency license. I did 22 it a few months before -- or the year before, I'm 23 24 sorry, and I was under the impression that the 25 renewal for Escambia County is the same as for the

State of Florida, every two years, I just found out from Deb Asplund that it's not, it's every year.

I sent in the \$125 for the renewal to
Escambia County made payable and I thought I was
going to get some kind of statement in the mail
when it comes time to renew like the State of
Florida does every two years, so my bad on that.

As far as roof leaking goes, I never got a call, never got a text message that there was a problem on the roof. And then we've got other things that need to be addressed.

The products were used, we did put them up there properly. The only thing I changed that was in my proposal, and I've never seen it before, I've been roofing for 35 years, state licensed about 30, I've never seen cement around large skylights. I would say three by eight, and there are four of them together, there's 15 three-by-eight skylights, and they used cement on the high side as a water diverter, not mortar but cement.

I told him, I broke it up in my proposal, that I would chip that out. I started chipping it out and it started to tear up not only the

skylights, but the panels. But he was gone, he was back in Virginia. It was close to Thanksgiving.

I made the decision based upon my proficiency and expertise to go ahead and leave the cement there and do the sandwich system with the products, which is an SBS analogous to Hydrostop.

We had to put on more because the formula was a new product put out that I helped bring to the area from Texas Refinery Corporation about three years ago. And they had four standard colors. We had a special, a light sky blue for his roof because his roof was sky blue. It was a different consistency, it ran down the roof and I thought, oh, no, what am I going to do?

Well, I let it dry and then I went ahead and got some computerized matched paint so I painted over that, so hopefully that was not an issue.

As far as the workman's comp, we were under the largest drought in the history of the panhandle of Florida from September 27th to the second week in December, and that's almost three months.

And I have had workman's comp with SPLI out

1 of Holiday, Florida for about ten years on and off, and there was no work. I chased leaks. 2 That's all I'd do. When it rains, my business 3 picks up, but I had no work and I kept on sending 4 5 in my fax forms to SPLI saying no work, it's going into the third month. 6 7 They never sent me, you've been discontinued. I later found out that I was 8 9 discontinued later on. It was like close to Christmas. They had sent, just like Escambia 10 County did, they had sent my discontinuance notice 11 from my workman's comp to my farm in Allentown, 12 and I don't have a mailbox there. 1.3 14 I've never had a mailbox there. I've been 15 there for 30 years. Same mistake that Escambia County made sending me certified letters, I never 16 got those either. 17 MS. HAMPTON: Mr. Pernicka, isn't that the 18 address that you on record with contractor 19 licensing? 20 MR. PERNICKA: It's P.O. Box 842, that's 2.1 22 where I've been getting mail. MS. HAMPTON: Your physical address that you 23 24 have on record with the contractor licensing? 25 MR. PERNICKA: I think so, because I had

back in 2016, I had to go ahead and give that 1 information when I reupped my certificate of 2 3 competency with Escambia County. MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: Any kind of notices 4 5 would have been sent to the address of record with Escambia County. 6 7 MS. ASPLUND: Both addresses are on his 8 card. 9 MS. HAMPTON: Both addresses are on his contractor licensing card. It's policy to send 10 every notice, everything to a physical address and 11 not a P.O. Box, because they come certified. 12 MR. SCHWARTZ: Is this a commercial 1.3 14 building? MR. PERNICKA: No, sir. 15 MR. SCHWARTZ: A metal roof? 16 MR. PERNICKA: It's a huge metal roof. 17 looks like at one time it was a dentist office or 18 19 an outpatient doctor's office. It's about an 20 eight on twelve. And when I first brought that product to the area, it was like a viscous grease 2.1 22 type of product, real thick. Well, since they changed, I think they changed, they won't tell me 23 because I called. 24 25 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Hydrostop?

No. It's called Durashield 1 MR. PERNICKA: I've got some descriptive literature on it 2 8000. to show you, if you wish, and it was more runny. 3 So I had to put on two primer coats and then a 4 5 base coat thick enough. And each time I had to start over from one 6 7 set of skylights and go around to when I got to 8 the other where I first started would be dry; and 9 then I put in the tavera four inch, four and six 10 inch webbing embedded in that base coat, and then I put two top coats on. 11 And this is the first time I found out it's 12 leaking. And I didn't guarantee it on the 1.3 14 proposal because I had replaced about 1,000 screws. And that's why I didn't put it down. 15 Usually, I guarantee my work one, two, three 16 years. And I told them when we talked, he was in 17 Virginia, and I said I won't be able to guarantee 18 19 it because there's so many possible things that 20 could go wrong. 2.1 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Was there a guarantee in 22 the contract? 23 MR. PERNICKA: No, sir. 24 MS. HAMPTON: Mr. Chairman, it's my 25 understanding that board member Waters has a

1 meeting that he needs to get to, so if we could excuse him at this time? 2 Just for record, please note that Mr. Waters 3 has to leave. We still have a quorum present. 4 5 MR. BATCHELOR: So this was a residential house that you put a coating system on the metal 6 7 roof? Is that right? 8 MR. PERNICKA: Sandwich system around the 9 skylight penetration, and I gave them additional work to do the chimney, replace the chimney chase, 10 and he held off on that. 11 And as far as the materials was not -- it 12 might have been 5,000 but \$3,600 of it was for me 1.3 14 to do his roof. He had one bucket left over that 15 I gave him and then he ordered the sky blue and then he ordered an additional sky blue color, an 16 additional white and an additional red for his 17 fountain. 18 19 So consequently, that 5,000 wasn't all for the roof. It was for Mr. Prescott. 20 MS. ASPLUND: I would like to clarify that 2.1 materials were a little over 5,000 and the labor 22 was 4,000, so a \$9,000 total job. 23 24 MR. PERNICKA: Well, the materials was 3,600 25 to TRC for the roof and I charged 4,000, so that's

\$7,600 for my part. He ordered additional
material that he was going to put on.
MS. ASPLUND: That's fine. It's all on one
invoice.
MR. MAGEE: Is this the job you put 1,000
screws, you said you replaced?
MR. PERNICKA: About 900, yes, sir, close
to.
MR. MAGEE: And that was just patching
around the skylights?
MR. PERNICKA: Well, sandwich system.
MR. MAGEE: Oh. He had that many screws bad
and you replaced all the screws on the roof?
MR. PERNICKA: The ones that did not bite.
When you fasten them in, they have to bite, if
they don't bite because the washers looked
pretty good on the ones that bit. So the ones
MR. MAGEE: You went up on the roof and
tightened every screw to see what needed replaced?
MR. PERNICKA: Yes, sir. Yes, sir. We
tightened all the ones that didn't bite with our
cordless drill, and if it doesn't tighten, we pull
them out and put a new screw in.
MR. MAGEE: Did you have a permit on that
job?

1	MR. PERNICKA: I didn't think I needed one
2	since it's a patch job.
3	MR. MAGEE: Yeah, but how many square feet
4	can you do on a patch without a permit?
5	MR. PERNICKA: Per dollar, Santa Rosa, it's
6	12.
7	MS. HAMPTON: We don't do a dollar value.
8	MR. MAGEE: I mean, square feet of roof. I
9	thought you could do a ten-by-ten.
10	MS. HAMPTON: The building official will
11	MR. TOLBERT: It would be four squares.
12	MR. MAGEE: Four squares? How many squares
13	was the house?
14	MR. PERNICKA: I could guesstimate, I didn't
15	put a tape measure to it, but I would guess it was
16	about 50 squares.
17	MR. MAGEE: So if he replaced all the bad
18	screws, then you patched 50 squares, not four.
19	MR. PERNICKA: Yes, sir. I didn't patch a
20	50 square roof, I replaced the screws that weren't
21	biting and tightened the ones that were.
22	MR. MAGEE: Yeah, but you got ten per
23	square, then you patched that many squares.
24	MR. PERNICKA: The skylights were, there was
25	15 three-by-eights, so if we calculate it, if

that's less than 400 square feet, then that would
be below permitting.
MR. MAGEE: Well, no. When you patch in a
ten by ten area, that's a ten by ten repair.
MR. PERNICKA: Right. I didn't do a one
area. I did-
MR. MAGEE: The whole roof?
MR. PERNICKA: I did skylights over the
whole roof.
MR. MAGEE: You said you put screws in the
whole roof, so you patched the whole roof.
MR. PERNICKA: In the industry, the metal
roofing industry, that's not considered patching
the roof, that's retightening the fasteners.
MR. MAGEE: Well, if you replaced 1,000
screws, you didn't retighten, you replaced?
MR. PERNICKA: The ones that didn't bite,
yes, sir.
MR. MAGEE: Anyway, it needed a permit.
MR. PERNICKA: Okay.
MS. HAMPTON: Mr. Batchelor?
MR. BATCHELOR: I was under the is
coating considered roofing? I'm a little grey on
it. I don't think it is.
MR. TOLBERT: No. We would not issue a

1 permit for a simple coating. MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: Would you issue a permit 2 for the screw procedure that we're discussing? 3 MR. TOLBERT: More than likely. It depends 4 5 on what the scale of work is going on. We would need to evaluate the scope of work. 6 7 MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: So it's not just an over 8 four square issue? 9 MR. TOLBERT: Well, when I say four squares, 10 that is for all roofing. But typically when you're talking squares, you're talking shingles. 11 But it doesn't apply to only shingles. Something 12 like this, we would probably evaluate if we wanted 1.3 14 to issue a permit on it. 15 And a lot of times what happens is you will get a scope of work and we'll say, no, we're not 16 going to permit this, and it actually ends up 17 being more than what was proposed. That happens a 18 19 lot on repairs. Once you get into the gears of 20 it, there's more things that happen, so... MS. HAMPTON: Mr. Pernicka, did you contact 2.1 the building services department to see if a 22 23 permit was required? 24 MR. PERNICKA: No. I didn't. 25 MR. SCHWARTZ: For the building official, I

guess, and I think you pretty well answered this already, it's apparently a grey area then, when replacement of screws is, quote, a repair or not a repair, and require permitting or nonpermitting?

MR. TOLBERT: Yes, and that would come to me, something like that, I would have to make a call on it. I guess that's why I have a job. It's something that's not specific in statute or code and therefore, I would have to make a decision on that. 900 screws, I probably would say, yeah, it would need a permit.

But I really did not know that there was that many screws until you got -- just like I said, you can just estimate what you've got to do, but a lot of times it ends up being more.

MR. SCHWARTZ: That's where I was going. You're up on a roof and you don't know whether there's ten screws loose or 10,000 screws loose until you put a pack driver on it.

MS. HAMPTON: Mr. Schwartz, I believe the homeowner has knowledge of what the actual scope of work was, and he's saying that it's vastly different than just replacing a few screws. So whenever he comes up, you might want to question as to what stuff was actually done.

1	MR. SCHWARTZ: I just wanted to get a good
2	footing on wherever we were with this to start
3	with, what was the actual requirements by the
4	County as perceived requirements by the
5	contractor?
6	MS. HAMPTON: The first requirement by the
7	County is make sure his license is active before
8	pulling a permit or before starting any contract.
9	MR. SCHWARTZ: No question there on my part.
10	I was just looking at the scope of work and
11	requirements there.
12	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Are we ready to hear from
13	the homeowner at this time?
14	MR. MAGEE: One other question.
15	MR. PERNICKA: Yes, sir.
16	MR. MAGEE: You were without a license for
17	ten months?
18	MS. HAMPTON: He's currently not active.
19	MR. PERNICKA: Not active.
20	MS. HAMPTON: He's delinquent still.
21	MR. PERNICKA: I sent in my money a year
22	before in 2015, thinking it would expire in 2017.
23	MR. MAGEE: You have not tried to pull any
24	permits for that length of time for anything?
25	MR. PERNICKA: No.
	1

1 MR. MAGEE: So all you were doing was patching? 2 MR. PERNICKA: Patching. And plus, my mom 3 died, and I was out of state and my truck broke 4 5 down, so I really didn't work at all for the past, well, three, four months. 6 7 MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: Just for the record, 8 sir, during the time that you were working on this 9 project, did you have an active license? MR. PERNICKA: A State license. 10 MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: 11 MR. PERNICKA: Not a certificate of 12 competency from -- like I said, it was my fault 1.3 14 because I didn't know. MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: I realize that. 15 I'm not trying to assign fault. Just for the record, just 16 in summary, did you have a contractor license? 17 MR. PERNICKA: Florida State, yes, ma'am. 18 19 MS. HAMPTON: I think you're confused on 20 that, Mr. Pernicka. You register your local license with the State. You have a State 2.1 registration, you do not have a State license. 22 23 Your local license was delinquent, therefore, 24 making your State license, your State registration inactive as well. 25

1	MR. PERNICKA: If that's the case, then that
2	is true, because I did not get the renewal notice.
3	ACTING CHAIRMAN: So he's not under the
4	State.
5	MS. HAMPTON: He is not under the State. He
6	is locally licensed, registered with the State.
7	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Right.
8	MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: One more question for
9	the building official. Is it required to send
10	notifications for license renewal? Is it a
11	requirement to send notification for license
12	renewals?
13	MR. TOLBERT: In Escambia County, not that I
14	know of.
15	MR. PERNICKA: I used to get them.
16	MS. HAMPTON: It is not a requirement. We
17	do that as a courtesy to the physical address on
18	record. His physical address was listed as the
19	farm property. And we do not send license
20	renewals to P.O. Boxes.
21	MR. PERNICKA: That's why I didn't get them.
22	MS. HAMPTON: If he would like to update his
23	P.O. Box as his primary address of record, he
24	needs to do that with licensing.
25	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Anymore questions or can

1 we move onto the homeowner/complainant, please? MR. PERNICKA: Thank you. 2 Thank you, sir. 3 ACTING CHAIRMAN: MS. HAMPTON: Mr. Prescott, if you could, 4 5 please come forward and state your name and address for the record and also be sworn in. 6 7 MR. PRESCOTT: Good morning. My name is Wayne Ellerston Prescott, my address is 7505 North 8 9 Century Boulevard in Century, Florida. (Wayne Prescott was duly sworn.) 10 MS. HAMPTON: Mac, did you have a question? 11 MR. MAGEE: No, I wanted him to swear in. 12 PRESCOTT: First I have to give you a 1.3 14 little bit about myself. I just retired from the 15 Navy last year, and I'm moving from Virginia to Florida because I can't stand the cold anymore. 16 I'm very sick, I'm now considered 100 percent 17 disabled. I spoke to Mr. Pernicka about that 18 19 also, he said he's also a Navy veteran like I am 20 and everything seemed like it was going great the first time we met. 2.1 Yeah, I started everything from Virginia 22 where I have my primary home, and I'm in the 23 24 process of working to fix that and sell that so I 25 can move to Florida permanently with my family.

I was more looking for below Orlando, but then I saw this gorgeous house in the country. I liked farming and I said this house would make my wife happy and I will be happy with my seven acres of land, that's what I wanted.

I started purchasing the house. I had to find someone who did repairs. The biggest problem with the house was the roof, and I got the house as a foreclosure. The house is 5,600 square feet, making sure you guys know the size of the roof.

And yes, it was stated, because I had the house inspected, and I believed the gentleman who was the inspector, if I remember correctly, his name was Bryan Bateman, and this guy was a wonderful guy. He gave me great information, advice of what needs to be done detailed, and I was like, okay.

I made sure that I contacted several different people to get estimates on what I needed to get done to the roof.

On his situation, he gave me a list and his information was interesting. I'm not a roof person, so I didn't know all of the terms and stuff like that, but he had recommendations on all that stuff, and he said my house would be leak

free once he does, he completes his repairs to the house.

And I was like, okay. There was one company that also wanted me to pay \$90,000 to replace the whole roof, I said, no, that's ridiculous, that's half of how much I'm paying for the house. And I had two different prices, he was in the middle.

I spoke to him over the phone. I said, okay, I'm going to let this gentleman be the person I want to meet. I come down and I meet with him. We talk about everything.

And in his contract, it stated clearly that I should contact Texas Refinery to get the material. And the pricing, he was right on the money with the pricing and everything, total for \$3,656.19.

But upon meeting me -- he said it was

Durashield 8000, sky blue. Upon meeting me, we had further discussions that the paint which is a very thick paint from what I know, when it gets put on the house, it would have a different color to what is up there, because of the different chemicals in the air and atmosphere, wear and tear.

I said, okay. So then he recommended that I

1.3

2.1

get more paint. And I said, okay, I'll get more paint so we can paint the whole roof, so we wouldn't have that discoloration issue on my house because I wanted the house to look like it's been repaired, not partially repaired when I get it done.

I really want to impress my neighbors, and said, okay, this guy is taking care of what he's supposed to do. So I went ahead and ordered more.

I also have a big fountain in front of the house, and it was painted red, white and blue like a flag. The roof was blue, the house was white. And I had a purple door, I changed that to red, again, going back to the same theme of red, white and blue. I did the painting on the door myself.

With all of that, the total of my paint came up to over \$5,000 for a recommendation. He was supposed to -- I gave him the list on an e-mail or text message of how Bryan Bateman, I believe was his name, tells me what repairs to the roof needs to be done. I remember there was flashing and stuff like that, removing cement that was never supposed to be there in the first place. I could not understand putting cement on top of metal, it doesn't make sense.

So I clearly tell him, I want all of these 1 things done. He said it will be done and he will 2 do this Durashield and all of that. And a good 3 little note he left me is stated here Texas 4 5 Refinery Corporation, TRC material, he gave me the costs of what's needed to get this roof in a 6 7 leak-free condition along with my local materials 8 and application. 9 And that was the key thing. He said my house would be leak free. I was like, this guy is 10 the guy that I want. He's telling me everything, 11 showing me his recommendations and everything. 12 Please let me know if you have any questions, 1.3 14 Bruce. I mean, we were going on a first name 15 basis, yes. MR. SCHWARTZ: I hate to interrupt, but I 16 will lose my train of thought. 17 MR. PRESCOTT: Sure. 18 19 MR. SCHWARTZ: Did he give you a reason that 20 he being a contractor wanted you to buy the materials instead of him providing the labor and 2.1 materials as most normal contractors do? 22 MR. PRESCOTT: Well, I took it where I know 23 24 from -- I believe from what I know, I believe that 25 I know that usually when contractors go to

purchase materials and stuff like that, they get a discount, and I took it as this is a man who is even letting me purchase the materials so that he will let me know that he is not taking extra money out of me, that's the way I thought it was.

So he didn't give me an explanation, he just told me in the package, and this is the envelope and everything that it came with, he sent it to my Realtor at the time, because I was in Virginia. And he had everything in here in this package. This is the note, the first note that he had, and everything that I would need to do it. You can take a look at it if you need to.

MR. SCHWARTZ: It never crossed your mind that possibly he requested you to purchase it because he did not have the financial wherewithal to make that type of a purchase?

MR. PRESCOTT: No, sir. It didn't come to my mind at all, because for one, he presented to me in this package, as I said, you can look at it, it seems that he shows a picture of his face, the person I'm going to meet, it shows people customers who complimented his work, and it also stated Florida licenses and stuff like that on the paperwork.

MS. HAMPTON: Mr. Chairman, if you would 1 like to see a copy of the contract, this is what 2 Bobbie was just pointing out to me, at the bottom 3 there is a business card Texas Refinery Corp. with 4 5 Mr. Pernicka's name on the business card. ACTING CHAIRMAN: All right. Was there a 6 7 discussion about the screws being replaced? 8 MR. PRESCOTT: Yes, sir. That is what I was 9 told by the inspector needs to be done to get this house back to 100 percent. So he put that also in 10 his write-up and everything, he's going to take 11 care of the screws and stuff, replace the ones 12 that need replacing. I had no idea what the 1.3 screws looked like or anything like that. 14 15 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Did he say in that conversation that he would not warranty anymore of 16 the roof because of the screws? 17 MR. PRESCOTT: No, he didn't. That was not 18 19 discussed at all. He is the person. He was very 20 nice, polite and everything, telling me this job would be done, no problem. He also stated he was 2.1 military, he would take care of me and all of 22 23 that. 24 MR. MAGEE: Where is the leak now? 25 MR. PRESCOTT: The leaks have been repaired,

sir, because I hired someone else to do the job. I could not, I would not have this guy step back on my property, because, I, as I said, I'm 100 percent disabled, and I suffer from anxiety, and stress, and when I looked at -- when he told me the job was done, I said, well, let's do a walk-through, because that's something we do in the military to inspect that the work is done properly for the higher standards of the supervisor and stuff like that.

So I said, hey, he came there, we done that, let me look and see what you've done. And as I went up there to the roof, I started saying, this is all you did? Yes. The job was done, the house is leak free.

I was like, you didn't remove the cement.

He said, oh, I made an executive decision to leave the cement there since it was already there, and just put paint over it and that was it.

I was like, you made an executive decision?

I'm the one who hired you. How could you make an executive decision over me? I was stressed.

And that's the point in time that I just gave him his money so he could just get off my property because I was losing it.

MS. ASPLUND: Mr. Prescott, will you mention to the board about the screws that you told me, what you told me about the screws?

MR. PRESCOTT: The screws. As I said, I didn't know about screws. All I know of a screw is that it's a metal screw in there. I used to build chicken coops and stuff like that, because I love farming and stuff like that. But I came to find out that these screws were special screws. There was a rubber or something that once you screw it in, the rubber keeps it sealed, basically, and stops anything from going through that area where the screw went in.

Well, what I found out was he went and put back all the old screws back in the thing. And thankfully when I first moved here there was a drought, there was no rain. So about two weeks after, rain came, and that was just before Christmas.

And he said I was in Virginia at the time.

No, I wasn't. From the time -- I only went to

Virginia when I first come to meet him, but then

everything was done, I was here in Florida

observing that things were being done, the

materials received and everything like that. But

the screws he put in was the same screws.

And what happened was I called another person who I didn't get to let give me his pricing because he was late. I said I have someone, I truth them. I have to trust the person who is doing the job and I made an agreement, I'm not going to back out of it for no cheaper deal, nothing.

And when the rain came, I started seeing things in my roof for the first time. I'm like, what? That's a water stain, going to another room, that's another water stain.

So the gentleman who repaired the house for me now took me up to the attic and showed me all of the different leakage coming into the roof and I was like, wow, I didn't know this. It was never explained to me by anyone that all this was happening.

Then I went up there, I saw buckets and containers to hold the water just in case it rained, but it didn't rain at that time, by people, I guess, who was selling the house or who had someone at the house before.

The buckets were full coming over in the garage, bathrooms, bedrooms. The carpet was

getting wet in the house. I suffer from all these different allergies and stuff, I'm afraid now I'm going to get mold and I've got a bigger problem now. How am I going to be able to afford this?

I decided then to text a message to him and let him know how unhappy I was, just brief, about three words, I can't remember exactly. I have it in my phone, I could get that if needed.

No response. He never responded to me. He said that it was done in November, that is incorrect. We started talking in November, about around the 20th of November. He did the repairs to the house for two days around Christmastime, just before Christmas. And it rained the week after or something like that.

The skylights. Yes, there were several skylights. I didn't want to remove my skylights because that's the beauty of the house, because inside the house I have atriums, which is basically plant houses, and if I close the skylights, I have this square footage of space with no purpose.

So that would be ridiculous to get rid of the skylights. That's why I didn't do the 90,000 or 40,000 anyone else recommended to me. I just

went with that. It's a metal house, I just need the metal, and I thought that was the flashing put onto the thing to stop the water from going under there by the skylights, I think, to the house.

And I was right, the person came and did all of that and I don't have water problems on my roof anymore. But what he did was he bring some sort of mesh. And let's say this is the skylight here, he put the mesh in the front here, and this is where the rain comes down.

And it just builds onto where the cement was that needed to be removed so the water could flow properly and put the correct flashing to guide the water off.

He put the mesh there, then put paint on top of it, and said that was the job, that would cause my house to be leak free.

When I climbed up there on the final day, when he said he was finished, I said, hey, that's not going to stop rain from going into there and settling right there, it is just going to create more of a problem. He said, no, this will stop the problem and anything. It's under warranty. He will come back and fix it.

I said, listen, if you could see that mesh,

it's just like a cloth type of thing with little, 1 fine holes in it where it could suck in the paint. 2 You could see that it's already have air pockets 3 where the rain comes through. Rain can just go 4 5 pile up there already being blocked, go right through and come right into the house. 6 7 So I said that's not going to stop it. he said, so he just called a younger guy and said, 8 9 hey, bring me that Durashield, he put some more paint on it and said, hey, are you happy now? I 10 was like, now the relationship was not as friendly 11 as when we first met. 12 MS. HAMPTON: Mr. Prescott, have you had 1.3 14 another contractor to come after? MR. PRESCOTT: Yes. 15 MS. HAMPTON: And they repaired everything? 16 MR. PRESCOTT: They repaired everything. 17 They had to take off all the mess that he did, 18 19 then take off the cement that I asked for in the 20 beginning, because all of that, once that was removed, you could see like the water was just 2.1 seeping under there. 22 MS. HAMPTON: And how much did you have to 23 24 pay for them to repair the... 25 MR. PRESCOTT: Less than \$9,000.

ACTING CHAIRMAN: And we are trying for 1 matter of time, we are trying to narrow down to 2 what we can do here as a board. 3 4 MR. PRESCOTT: Basically, sir, what I see 5 happened here was this gentleman just came and put a mesh on my house, put some paint on it and said 6 7 that was worth all that. He said it was 8 Durashield paint, that he was going to paint the 9 roof, he just painted just around this trimming right here, the skylights, and I have buckets of 10 paint still in my house. 11 ACTING CHAIRMAN: And this was not 12 inspected, so we don't have anything attached to 1.3 14 code. 15 MR. TOLBERT: That was going to be my question. I don't know if a permit was issued. 16 MR. PRESCOTT: No permits were issued. 17 MS. ASPLUND: Can I ask Mr. Batchelor about 18 19 those screws? They come with a rubber washer already on them, and when you put them in, the 20 washer gets squashed, so if they need to be 2.1 screwed down, that rubber is already damaged or 22 23 cracked, you need to put new screws with new washers? 24 25 I don't understand how those worked, because

Mr. Prescott told me that Mr. Pernicka really 1 didn't replace the screws, that he just screwed 2 down what was already there. And I don't know 3 enough about roofing to know what the difference 4 5 in that means. MR. BATCHELOR: You can screw them down, you 6 7 have just have to use your own -- it's a judgment 8 call. There's not just a direct procedure. Some 9 you do want to pull out and replace if it doesn't -- if it spins on you, then you need to pull it 10 out and put a new one in. You can get a bigger 11 screw that will tighten up, so I mean, it's really 12 every one is a judgment call. You don't know. 1.3 Ιf 14 it can tighten up, then you can use the same 15 screw. 16 MS. ASPLUND: Because the contract says 17 refastened/replace screws. MR. BATCHELOR: Yes, it's a judgment call. 18 19 MS. ASPLUND: The contract says 20 refasten/replace the screws. 2.1 MR. BATCHELOR: Yeah, that's what you do. You go around, it's a judgment call. You try to 22 23 get every one on the roof that size, you may get 24 some, you may not. 25 MR. SCHWARTZ: I have a question. Who put

1	the buckets in the
2	MR. PRESCOTT: The buckets, as I said, were
3	placed before I purchased the house.
4	MR. SCHWARTZ: So the home inspector didn't
5	tell you that there were buckets everywhere?
6	MR. PRESCOTT: I wasn't aware of that, no.
7	I never went up on the roof, but the way I found
8	out about that, as I said, it wasn't through this
9	gentleman, it was the other, the last gentleman
10	who said, anyone who deals with roofing and
11	leaking should always go and take a look on the
12	inside to see where the leaking is coming from,
13	and he's the one who took me.
14	MR. BATCHELOR: Sometimes you do, sometimes
15	you don't.
16	MR. PRESCOTT: He took me into the attic for
17	the first time and that's where I started seeing
18	all these different areas of problems.
19	ACTING CHAIRMAN: It sounds like this
20	property was first in foreclosure. The property
21	was first in foreclosure, so there may not have
22	been an inspection.
23	MS. HAMPTON: On a home inspection for
24	foreclosure?
25	MS. ASPLUND: You buy it as is.

1	MS. HAMPTON: You buy it as is.
2	MR. PRESCOTT: Right. You buy it as is.
3	This gentleman told me he did go to the attic
4	and everything, but he didn't state that these
5	things were there. But he told me where the
6	leakings were and stuff like that.
7	But as I said, I didn't realize that so much
8	water was, but it was a big pour of rain that came
9	down and the buckets were filled and water was
10	just all in the house.
11	And you said the right thing. The screws
12	had to be replaced with a longer screw and a
13	little bit wider so it would not allow that water
14	to keep seeping through, and those were problems
15	that were also happening.
16	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Anymore questions from the
17	board?
18	(No response.)
19	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir.
20	MR. PRESCOTT: Thank you.
21	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Does anybody have any
22	questions from the contractor?
23	(No response.)
24	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Recommendations from the
25	staff?

MS. HAMPTON: We have laid out our provisions for code. The only thing that he might think about adding to that was failure to pull a permit if you think permitting should have been required.

Now, our building official has said it depends on the scope of work and so that's at your discretion.

MR. TOLBERT: It's hard to make that decision unless I saw a scope of work. You could say the same thing for the contractor that repaired it, he may have needed a permit as well.

MR. BATCHELOR: I think that's a gray area. I know he doesn't like the mesh, but there's a really good system that you use, it's a base coat with a fabric that is a really, really great product that works with metal roofing, and you do not have to take the asphalt off, you can work with it, because sometimes taking the asphalt off is worse than, but it's a judgment call on there. And a repair is still a repair, I mean, no matter what.

So unfortunately, somebody should have looked in the attic and saw the buckets and then made a call from there.

1	ACTING CHAIRMAN: All right.
2	MS. HAMPTON: Would you like to review the
3	code provisions that you have?
4	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Do we want to take each at
5	a time?
6	MS. HAMPTON: Yes, we sure can. Let me pull
7	them back up.
8	ACTING CHAIRMAN: So we're going to address
9	each one of them. Move to hearing?
10	MS. HAMPTON: I would assume that your first
11	step would be does this warrant moving to
12	disciplinary, and then you determine which code
13	provisions you will take to disciplinary?
14	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Okay. And I understand
15	you want to speak again, but right now we are just
16	probable cause, if we do vote to move forward,
17	we'll have further opportunity to discuss if that
18	is correct, so do we have any recommendation of
19	the board?
20	MR. SCHWARTZ: I move that we go to a
21	disciplinary hearing based on my reasoning on
22	that really applies to the licensing side than it
23	does for the actual construction side at this
24	point.
25	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Okay. Do we have a

1	second?
2	MR. WHITE: Second.
3	ACTING CHAIRMAN: All right. Any further
4	discussion?
5	(No response.)
6	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Being none, all in favor?
7	(Board members collectively say aye.)
8	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Anybody opposed?
9	(None.)
10	ACTING CHAIRMAN: The motion to move it to
11	disciplinary hearing passes. Do we need to select
12	the
13	MS. HAMPTON: We need to select which code
14	provisions we will be we need to establish our
15	code provisions.
16	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Will you go through them?
17	MS. HAMPTON: Item One, misconduct or
18	incompetency in the practice of contracting.
19	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Do we have a motion to
20	have that heard from the board?
21	MR. SCHWARTZ: Before a motion, a quick
22	discussion.
23	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Please.
24	MR. SCHWARTZ: Since we already have a
25	blanket amount, just leave everything in there and

–LETA P. WOOLARD, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER –

sort it out at the disciplinary hearing? 1 MS. HAMPTON: We can, yes. We have done 2 that before. 3 MR. SCHWARTZ: Can we have possibly -- the 4 5 question is possibly -- further information from either the contractor or homeowner and we can make 6 7 a better decision potentially based on additional 8 information, if there is any? 9 MS. HAMPTON: Like on previous hearings whenever we move to disciplinary and we have these 10 established already, you can choose at that time 11 to find him not in violation of those counts. 12 MR. SCHWARTZ: Correct. 1.3 14 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Do we have to go through each one? 15 MS. HAMPTON: You do not if you want to send 16 them all? 17 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Okay. 18 19 MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: The reason that we're 20 requesting the board to identify which of these actions could be potential violations, in the 2.1 22 administrative complaint, we identify each of these sections and list the fact under which those 23 24 sections may be applicable. 25 We can revise that to accommodate the

procedure that you just suggested. We can say 1 that these are possible code provisions that have 2 3 been violated based on the general, factual scenario. I think that would be just as 4 5 appropriate. MR. SCHWARTZ: If the staff is comfortable 6 7 with everything as outlined at this point, that 8 would be my motion to encompass all of those and 9 we can sort through them at the discipline hearing and find whether they are substantial enough for 10 fact or not so. 11 MR. BATCHELOR: Second. 12 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Any further discussion? 1.3 14 (No response.) ACTING CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 15 (Board members collectively say aye.) 16 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Any opposed? 17 (None.) 18 19 ACTING CHAIRMAN: All right. The motion to 20 move this to disciplinary passes. MS. HAMPTON: We'll move back up to Item 9A, 2.1 22 Timothy Cox, doing business as Nationwide Catastrophe Services, Incorporate, State Certified 23 24 License Number CCC1327608, Contractor Competency 25 Board Case Number COM170200010.

1	It's in regards to Ian Werth,
2	homeowner/complainant at 7138 Rampart Way,
3	Pensacola. It is my understanding that neither
4	the contractor or the complainant are present at
5	this time, so I'm going to get Deb to give you a
6	rundown.
7	MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: Can you just insure that
8	
9	MS. HAMPTON: Mr. Pernicka, if you will, let
10	Debbie Rose know to change your address to the
11	P.O. Box as the correct one.
12	MR. PERNICKA: I'll do that right now.
13	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Quick question on this
14	next case. If we don't have the complainant or
15	the parties here, is it something we should move
16	to the next meeting?
17	MS. HAMPTON: No.
18	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Just asking.
19	MS. HAMPTON: No. We sent notice to both
20	parties. They both received notice.
21	ACTING CHAIRMAN: That was just more for
22	information for me. Thank you.
23	MS. ASPLUND: This is pretty simple, clear
24	cut. This company Nationwide Catastrophe Services
25	is State licensed and has come around to this

1 county and door knocked and got people to understand that there were issues with their roof. 2 And they worked with these homeowners' insurance 3 4 companies and received funds. 5 They were pretty good about helping the insurance companies get the highest dollar for the 6 7 homeowner, but then they would also get the 8 homeowner to assign the rights to the check. 9 So in any case, this homeowner who has never 10 done this process, brand new homeowner, new baby, lots going on, got \$10,000 from his insurance 11 company and it goes directly to Nationwide 12 Catastrophe Services. That was eleven months ago. 1.3 14 They have not heard one more word. They took the 15 money and they have never did any work. And I looked at the Better Business Bureau, 16 there were 22 similar complaints across the state 17 from this company taking money and not doing any 18 19 work. They're State certified? 20 ACTING CHAIRMAN: MS. ASPLUND: They're State certified. 2.1 22 MS. HAMPTON: We are limited as to what we 23 can do. 24 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Pull the permits? MS. HAMPTON: Yes. 25

MS. ASPLUND: And all of those -- I talked 1 to the salesman for that company, and he said they 2 were all of two contracts that were ever done in 3 Escambia County and one of them didn't qualify for 4 5 the insurance. So this is the only case in Escambia County 6 7 from this company, even though there are all these 8 big complaints about them, they are not here 9 actively doing work. It doesn't appear that they're doing really much. I don't exactly know 10 what's going on with them. 11 ACTING CHAIRMAN: So they're contracting in 12 the County via their State licensing? 1.3 14 MS. ASPLUND: Yes. They never pulled a 15 permit. ACTING CHAIRMAN: So they were probably not 16 coming to pull a permit until the work would have 17 to be done. 18 19 MS. HAMPTON: Yes. ACTING CHAIRMAN: Your recommendation to 20 staff? 2.1 22 MS. ASPLUND: That we cancel his Escambia 23 County registration of his State license. 24 MR. TOLBERT: We need to go to probable 25 cause.

MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: We can't do that. 1 He hasn't been properly notified. 2 There has been no notification as to an 3 impact to his ability to pull a permit or to 4 5 impact his license. It's a due process. MS. HAMPTON: I believe it would be Deb's 6 7 recommendation to proceed to disciplinary. 8 ACTING CHAIRMAN: That's fine. My question 9 was kind of going on your thought, was this something egregious to move this to that step 10 without going to disciplinary hearing? 11 MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: No. We have to -- from 12 a due process perspective, notify him that that 1.3 14 proceeding will be taking place and will impact 15 his ability to pull a permit. MS. HAMPTON: We have to define our reason 16 to take him to disciplinary hearing via a 17 violation of an ordinance, statute, code. 18 19 MS. ASPLUND: Well, there is accepting money 20 without pulling a permit, not beginning the job within 60 days and abandonment of more than 90 2.1 22 I think that's under one single statute days. 23 there. And there is fraud mismanagement. MR. SCHWARTZ: Diversion of funds. 24 25 MR. TOLBERT: Mr. Chairman?

1	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Yes, sir.
2	MR. TOLBERT: In Chapter One of the building
3	code, I can administratively make that decision
4	temporarily until
5	ACTING CHAIRMAN: I appreciate that. I was
6	just concerned for going forward and the timing of
7	the meeting.
8	MR. TOLBERT: Right.
9	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Can we read the statute
10	that we want to move forward to, the
11	recommendation?
12	MS. HAMPTON: We did not establish any code
13	provisions for consideration. I was leaving that
14	up to the board because he is State certified for
15	y'all to determine any code provisions.
16	From what Deb has stated, it looks like
17	abandonment would be one of them. Let me grab my
18	code book here. Gentlemen, you all have your
19	codes in front of you.
20	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Yes, ma'am.
21	MS. HAMPTON: Section 18-37(d)(10),
22	abandonment per Florida Statute 489.129.(1)(j).
23	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Do we want to take these?
24	MS. HAMPTON: Well, I'm stating them and if
25	y'all agree with them, we would lump them together

1 and say this is what we... ACTING CHAIRMAN: 2 Right. MS. HAMPTON: Section 18-37(d)(13), 3 4 misconduct or incompetency in the practice of 5 contracting per Florida Statute 489.129(1)(m). think the building official mentioned diversion of 6 7 funds, so Section 18-37 (c)(5), diversion of funds 8 for property received. 9 Probably, I think it would go in also to financial mismanagement, so Section 18-37(c)(6), 10 financial mismanagement or misconduct in the 11 practice of contracting that causes financial harm 12 to a customer. 1.3 14 And then we have also the catch-all, Section 15 18-37(c)(12), violating any provision of this article. 16 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Question for staff. 17 other issues are brought up in the disciplinary 18 19 hearing, he would have to be renoticed? MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: We have to have sent him 20 notice of those alleged prior. 2.1 ACTING CHAIRMAN: But would we have to send 22 23 him another notice for another hearing? MS. HAMPTON: Yes. 24 25 ACTING CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you.

1	MS. HAMPTON: We also have Section
2	18-37(c)(11), fraud, deceit, gross negligence,
3	incompetency or misconduct in the practice of
4	contracting. I think that pretty much covers all
5	of the
6	MR. TOLBERT: I agree.
7	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Can I get a motion as to
8	those what were just stipulated?
9	MR. SCHWARTZ: So moved.
10	MR. MAGEE: Second.
11	MR. WHITE: Second.
12	ACTING CHAIRMAN: The motion has been first
13	and a second to move those to disciplinary
14	hearing. Any discussion?
15	(No response.)
16	ACTING CHAIRMAN: All in favor?
17	(Board members collectively say aye.)
18	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Any opposed?
19	(None.)
20	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Motion passes. Thank you.
21	MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: And for the record,
22	building official, your authority under, you
23	referenced a section of the code. Can you restate
24	that for me, please?
25	MR. TOLBERT: I will have to get the proper

–LETA P. WOOLARD, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER –

1	language, but there's
2	MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: I didn't mean to put you
3	on the spot.
4	MR. TOLBERT: It's in there.
5	MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: I never did doubt that.
6	I just want it for my records.
7	ACTING CHAIRMAN: That is good enough
8	information.
9	MS. HAMPTON: We do, and y'all have in the
10	past, sent a recommendation to the building
11	official to use to suspend permitting privileges
12	contingent upon the outcome of the hearing and
13	that's what he's referencing there. He has the
14	authority to do that.
15	MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: Without a
16	recommendation.
17	MS. HAMPTON: Without a recommendation, from
18	being in this hearing.
19	MR. TOLBERT: Just put my neck on the line
20	doing it, basically, and that's fine.
21	MS. HAMPTON: We are going to move onto Item
22	9D, Michael Rabb, doing business as J.W.
23	Dunnwright Construction, LLC, State Certified
24	License Number CBC1257209, Contractor Competency
25	Board Case Number COM170200015.

It's in regards to Lisa Hale, homeowner/complainant at 7775 LeJeune Drive in Pensacola. Yesterday morning, I received a call from a Mr. John Asmar, he's legal representation for J.W. Dunnwright. At that time, he just wanted information on the proceeding and how it would proceed. And I concluded that phone call.

Yesterday evening about 4:05, I received a phone call from legal representation from the Hales. He was requesting a continuance for this hearing, and I told him at that time that Ms. Hale, she is a complainant, however, she does not have a stake in this case.

Whenever she filed her complaint, Escambia County took over that case and takes action against the license. She is only acting as a witness on our behalf, and I told her legal representation that a continuance for that side could not be granted, because it's actually Escambia County's action against the contractor.

About ten minutes later, I received a phone call from Mr. Asmar, legal representation for J.W. Dunnwright and he wanted to know what it would take to receive a continuance for the hearing, that the complainant was agreeable, and that the

contractor wanted a little bit more time.

There's pending litigation between the two parties and they're trying to come to a resolution outside of these proceedings. I received a letter from -- let me pull up what time it was just real quick -- I received an e-mail from Ms. Hailey (phonetic) who was the paralegal at 4:44 p.m., yesterday, which is after, of course, you know our business hours, but it is a request, and to put us on notice that J.W. Dunnwright has legal representation and that they're requesting a continuance for this hearing to be moved to next month.

MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: For this hearing?

MS. HAMPTON: For this hearing, probable

cause.

And the Hales are here. That's at your discretion, board. He's requesting a continuance of the probable cause hearing. He was noticed. He did receive notice to be here. Everyone received proper notice.

ACTING CHAIRMAN: Yes, ma'am. And the witnesses are here at this time?

MS. HAMPTON: The witnesses on behalf of the County are here.

1	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Recommendation from staff?
2	MS. HAMPTON: Do you have any issue with
3	MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: I don't have any issue.
4	I believe you could most likely make a
5	determination as to whether to move it to
6	disciplinary proceeding from hearing the facts.
7	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Yeah. And my concern is
8	we've had people come here and show up.
9	MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: Exactly. And the issue
10	
11	ACTING CHAIRMAN: And sitting here all
12	morning.
13	MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: The issue for probable
14	cause is if there is sufficient facts alleged to
15	present a violation. And so this isn't really the
16	hearing where you determine a violation. You just
17	assuming the facts are true, is that a
18	violation? That's your mindset.
19	So you don't really need to hear from the
20	complainant even or the contractor, although, I'm
21	think I'm not suggesting you not hear it. But
22	all I'm saying is from a legal perspective, the
23	issue is if the facts alleged are true, is there a
24	violation?
25	MS. HAMPTON: And I even questioned

1	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Can we take a break?
2	MS. HAMPTON: Okay.
3	MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: Now y'all can't talk
4	about this on break.
5	ACTING CHAIRMAN: We know. Sunshine.
6	MS. HAMPTON: Recess.
7	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Yes, please.
8	(There was a brief recess.)
9	ACTING CHAIRMAN: We are back at it.
10	MS. HAMPTON: Okay. We were at Item 9D
11	is where we were at. And I spoke with, during
12	this recess, I spoke with the complainant Ms.
13	Hale, and she said that she finds no reason that
14	we should continue this. They are ready to speak
15	about this case. And I was going tell you that I
16	explained all this to both legal representation,
17	and I don't think they clearly understood what we
18	do.
19	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Out of respect for them
20	being here, I think we need to continue.
21	MS. HAMPTON: And we can make a
22	determination to proceed to disciplinary
23	regardless of whether the contractor is here or
24	not.
25	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Let's move forward.

MS. HAMPTON: Mrs. Hale, if you could, 1 please come to the front and state your name and 2 address for the record and be sworn in. 3 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Do we hear from Deb? 4 5 MS. HAMPTON: Oh, I'm sorry. Do you want to hear from Deb or do you want to hear from the 6 7 homeowner first? I was jumping the gun, Deb. I'm sorry. 8 9 It's getting late in the morning. MS. ASPLUND: Okay. Mrs. Hale is the owner 10 of another house that was damaged in the tornado. 11 They have been out of the house for over like 14 12 months now. 1.3 14 The contractor was awarded to J.W. 15 Dunnwright. Half was paid to the contractor. The job has sat for quite a while. Even though the 16 roof, there's issues with the roof repair, they 17 were supposed to be done by a certain time. 18 19 insurance company paid for an alternative housing up through December. Since that time, they've had 20 to pay out of pocket for their housing and they're 2.1 still not back in their own home. 22 23 And Mr. Rob handled quite a bit of 24 information, too, about payments being the reason 25 that the job didn't move forward, and he reports

having spent \$97,000 on the project when having 1 only received 57,000, and that that's the reason 2 that the job is not moving forward. Anyway, he's 3 not here, but Mrs. Hale is. 4 5 Do you guys have any questions before I exit? 6 7 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Not yet. 8 MS. ASPLUND: Okay. 9 MRS. HALE: Good morning. I'm going to be quick and succinct, because 10 it's fairly easy. 11 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Okay. 12 MRS. HALE: We paid them about \$15,000 at 1.3 14 the start of the job. 15 MS. HAMPTON: Please state your name and be 16 sworn. MRS. HALE: Oops, I'm sorry. Lisa Hale, 17 7775 LeJeune, it's 32514. 18 19 (Lisa Hale was duly sworn.) MRS. HALE: We entered into the contract 20 with them 3/29/2016. They informed the bank that 2.1 22 they would be done with the job by October and they gave the scope of work to the bank. They 23 24 told the insurance company the job continued to 25 drag on and on and on.

-LETA P. WOOLARD, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER -

They came in. They did the roofing. As stated by other people, we had very little rain. The first rain that we had, all three roofs failed, so we had rain water running through the entire house. By that point, we already had the walls done, so the rain continued to pool through all of the walls.

They've rebuilt one of the walls in the house and the rain came through that new wall that they rebuilt. The bank did an inspection. The inspection was done on 1/27/2017, because Dunnwright was asking for more money and the bank was not willing to give money with the condition that the house was still in.

So the bank came. They did an inspection. It was 55 percent complete. And at that point in time, J.W. Dunnwright said that they would do no further work because they felt that they were at 85 percent complete and the bank needed to give them more money for 85 percent completion, and without any further money, they were not going to be able to do anymore work.

So all work had stopped by that point. They have not been on the job site. The only thing that they came back to do was to do some work on

the roof to fix some of those leaks. They did not complete the roof work. We have a big blue tarp on our roof still currently.

They did some work on our swimming pool, which was within the scope of work. We were supposed to have a new swimming pool replacement, new coping, because our coping was no longer coping that they sold. We came home to find them scraping our old coping, the swimming pool liner that they replaced was already falling out.

We have no siding on our house. We have no front porch. Our windows haven't been replaced. Our gutters are not repaired. Our garage door hasn't been fixed. We have no kitchen, no appliances, the cabinets have been replaced. They have no handles, we have no sink. We are at 55 percent completion on our house isn't done.

It's been 14 months, a year and three months. As of December, we had no housing, so we've been relying on other people, our family. We have two children. We don't have a house that I pay a mortgage every single month to the tune of \$1,200 and I don't have a house. That's it.

I have no home. We are homeless. But I pay mortgage and I pay insurance, but I have no home.

It's grossly negligent. I mean, that's it.

That's all I can say. So that's it, easy in and easy out. I tried to terminate them, they've sued us and put a lien on our house. They're suing us.

ACTING CHAIRMAN: Who signed off on the financing? Did the bank or did y'all?

MRS. HALE: Yes. The bank gave them initial money when we signed the contract, they gave them the initial funding. And like I say, because no work was getting done, they refused to give them anymore funding. And because the work that was done, was so grossly inept, they refused to give anymore.

Our house isn't even -- it's not even -- there's no siding. Our house is still getting weather in it. Every time it rains, there is water getting into our house, it has no siding, it's not even weatherproof at this point.

It's been a year. I mean, that was
February. March we went into the contract with
them. We are over a year now and we don't have
siding on our house. We gave them \$58,000.

So it's really that simple. That's our case. It's really that simple. We are homeless. We have actually went and purchased a foreclosure

house that we had managed to strip out the studs 1 and remodel in a month-and-a-half, we did it. 2 My husband is a heating and air conditioning 3 contractor. They haven't even paid him and he 4 5 installed a \$14,000 and they haven't paid him yet. So that's where we're at. They haven't paid other 6 7 contractors, yet they've liened our house and 8 they're suing us for 85 percent completion. 9 We have the bank statements that says 55 percent, but they're suing us. That is our legal 10 system. So that is it. Thank you. 11 I will remind you that J.W. MS. HAMPTON: 12 Dunnwright is State certified, so we are limited 1.3 14 in our action that we can take against them. You can recommend to the building official, or he can 15 take it upon his own authority. 16 MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: I don't think they can 17 recommend, but I know that Mr. Tolbert can act on 18 19 his own initiative. 20 MS. HAMPTON: Right. ACTING CHAIRMAN: You're saying what 2.1 22 statutes or sections we're going to be taking on? MS. HAMPTON: That's what we need to 23 determine. 24 25 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Is there anything that

we're not? 1 MS. HAMPTON: Correct. 2 ACTING CHAIRMAN: It seems like this is the 3 full gamut. 4 5 MR. TOLBERT: I would be more apt to not make that decision administratively, because it 6 7 sounds like there's a dispute on a draw schedule, possibly, from a construction loan. 8 Right. And there's all --9 ACTING CHAIRMAN: 10 I'm coming from the banking side, there's all sorts of issues that come up with that that may be 11 recourses, or not recourse. 12 Yeah. I agree with you, let's see what if 1.3 14 y'all could give us the checklist of the statutes, please, then we'll address in mass. 15 MS. HAMPTON: Okay. We have Section 16 18-37(d)(13), misconduct or incompetency in the 17 practice of contracting, per Florida Statute 18 19 489.129.(1)(m); Section 18-37(b)(10), abandonment 20 per Florida Statute 489.129.(1)(j); Section 18-37(c)(6), financial mismanagement or misconduct 2.1 in the practice of contracting that causes 22 23 financial harm to a customer; Section 24 18-37(c)(12), violation of any provision of this 25 article. We're going to Section 18-37(d)(3),

-LETA P. WOOLARD, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER -

violating any part of Florida Statutes, Chapter 1 455. And I think that's all we've got for you. 2 3 Yes, sir? MR. WHITE: I would like to have a copy of 4 5 the draw schedule from the bank for the next meeting, so maybe we can figure out what has been 6 7 done and what hasn't been done, we can come up 8 with a 55 percent or whatever it is. It's not 78 9 percent with no outside walls on the house. MR. MAGEE: Well, the roof leaking, they've 10 got new kitchen cabinets. 11 MRS. HALE: We have a big beautiful blue 12 tarp on a brand new roof. 1.3 14 MS. ELLIS-WIGGINS: That was an excellent 15 question. I'm glad you brought that up, because going forward with the probable cause hearing and 16 the disciplinary hearing, it's incumbent on the 17 board to let the staff, including me, know what 18 19 kind of evidence you're interested in hearing or 20 seeing prior to the disciplinary hearing. And so we have one request. I think Deb may 2.1 22 have been otherwise predisposed, but we have one request for the draw schedule from the lender. 23 24 And so if there's any other kind of evidence that 25 you would like to hear or see that we can gather

for you prior to disciplinary hearing, let us 1 know. 2 ACTING CHAIRMAN: I don't know if the bank 3 inspector who came up with that inspection would 4 5 be something that's appropriate or not, but that's a consideration. 6 7 MS. HAMPTON: We can reach out to him. MR. WHITE: He's the one that made that 8 9 determination, right? ACTING CHAIRMAN: Right. He's the one that 10 made the determination of the percentage. 11 MR. WHITE: Right. 12 ACTING CHAIRMAN: Now, I guess we can go 1.3 14 ahead and get a motion. We can have discussion. If anybody has a motion for the statute as 15 recommended to be taken forward to disciplinary 16 hearing? 17 MR. SCHWARTZ: So moved. 18 19 MR. WHITE: Second. 20 ACTING CHAIRMAN: All right. MR. WHITE: I was going to ask, too, what 2.1 22 about a copy of all the subcontractor agreements for this job? 23 24 MR. TOLBERT: Well, the City of Pensacola 25 is...

1	MS. HAMPTON: This project is located within
2	the city limits.
3	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Just a quick question and
4	then we'll take a vote. Recourse for us is still
5	just limited to permitting, right?
6	MS. HAMPTON: It's limited to his permitting
7	in Escambia County.
8	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Okay. Anymore discussion?
9	(No response.)
10	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, all in
11	favor?
12	(Board members collectively say aye.)
13	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Any opposed?
14	(None.)
15	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Passes.
16	Any further issues to come before the board?
17	MS. HAMPTON: No, sir, Mr. Chairman. I just
18	want to put that reminder out there for the guys
19	if they are seeking reappointment, to get those
20	resumes to me, please, as quickly as possible.
21	ACTING CHAIRMAN: Hearing nothing else, move
22	to adjourn?
23	MR. SCHWARTZ: So moved.
24	MR. WHITE: Second.
25	ACTING CHAIRMAN: We're adjourned.

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER</u>
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA
4	COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA
5	
6	I, LETA P. WOOLARD, CCR, do hereby certify
7	that I was authorized to and did stenographically record
8	the foregoing pages of the Contractor Competency Board
9	proceeding, and that this transcript is a true and
10	complete record of my stenographic shorthand notes.
11	I further certify that I am not a relative,
12	employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor
13	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
14	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
15	financially interested in the action.
16	
17	Dated this <u>31st</u> day of <u>May</u> , 2017.
18	
19	
20	LETA P. WOOLARD, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

—LETA P. WOOLARD, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER –